THE PRINCE GEORGE'S COUNTY PLANNING BOARD OF 1 2 THE MARYLAND-NATIONAL CAPITAL PARK AND PLANNING COMMISSION 3 4 5 BELL STATION CENTER, PARCEL B 6 Detailed Site Plan, DSP-21031 7 8 TRANSCRIPT 9 ΟF 10 PROCEEDINGS 11 12 COUNTY ADMINISTRATION BUILDING 13 Upper Marlboro, Maryland 14 May 26, 2022 15 VOLUME 1 of 1 16 17 18 BEFORE: 19 PETER A. SHAPIRO, Chair 20 DOROTHY F. BAILEY, Vice-Chair 21 MANUEL R. GERALDO, Commissioner 22 WILLIAM M. DOERNER, Commissioner 23 A. SHUANISE WASHINGTON, Commissioner (Absent) 24 25 Deposition Services, Inc. P.O. Box 1040 Burtonsville, MD 20866 Tel: (301) 881-3344 Fax: (301) 881-3338 info@DepositionServices.com www.DepositionServices.com

OTHERS PRESENT:

TIERRE BUTLER, Staff Reviewer

ED GIBBS, Gibbs & Haller

MIKE LENHART, Lenhart Traffic Consulting, Inc.

Richard Palumbo, Attorney

CONTENTS

SPEAKER

PAGE

Tierre Butler	4
Ed Gibbs	10
Henry Wixon	29
Gilbert Stokes	35
Sean Suhar	37
Ruthenia Hopkins	42

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	MR. CHAIR: Agenda items from the original agenda
3	that was published. This is Item 5, Detailed Site Plan,
4	DSP-21031, Bell Station Center, Parcel B. The staff
5	reviewer and staff presentation will be by Tierre Butler.
6	The attorney for the Applicant is Ed Gibbs. There are a
7	number of members of the Applicant's team which I'll leave
8	to Mr. Gibbs to introduce as appropriate. There are some
9	folks in opposition to this. There's some other folks who I
10	believe have negotiated agreements with the Applicant from
11	the, one of the homeowner's associations, but we'll, we'll
12	hear from them as we go through the process. Let me just
13	make sure that we have everybody here. Is Mr. Wixon here
14	from Glenn Dale Citizens Association?
15	(No audible response.)
16	MR. CHAIR: No? Is Mr. Stokes here?
17	(No audible response.)
18	MR. CHAIR: No as well. And we do have Ms.
19	Hopkins?
20	MS. HOPKINS: Yes, I'm here.
21	MR. CHAIR: Okay. And, Mr. Stokes, you are here?
22	If you're here, we don't hear you. You may need to unmute
23	so we can hear your voice.
24	MR. STOKES: Yes, I'm here.
25	MR. CHAIR: Oh, good. Thank you, Mr. Stokes. And

then we have Mr. Suhar as well, who is representing one of 1 2 the homeowner's associations, and I see you online. Okay. 3 MR. SUHAR: Correct, yes. 4 MR. CHAIR: I just wanted to -- say it again? 5 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Mr. Wixon arrived. 6 MR. SUHAR: And that's correct. Good morning. 7 MR. CHAIR: Good morning. And, Mr. Wixon, you have arrived? 8 9 MR. WIXON: Yes, I am here. Thank you very much. 10 MR. CHAIR: Oh, there you are? I see you. Okay. Great. I just wanted to see who is here. We have some 11 additional back-up information, but I'll leave it to staff 12 13 to, to educate us around that; and let me turn it over to 14 staff for the presentation. Ms. Butler, take it away. 15 MS. BUTLER: Good morning, Chairman, and members of the Planning Board. For the record, I am Tierre Butler 16 17 with the Urban Design Section. The project before you is 18 Item No. 5, Detailed Site Plan, DSP-21031, or Bell Station 19 Center, Parcel, Parcel B, which is a Detailed Site Plan for 20 the development of a 68,475 square foot shopping center. 21 Next slide, please. 22 This site is located in Prince George's County 23 Planning Area 70 in Council District 4, as shown in the 24 green-colored area on the map. Next slide, please. 25 This site is located in the southeast quadrant of

1 intersection of MD-193, Glenn Dale Boulevard, and Bell 2 Station Road; and the Vicinity Map is outlined in red. Next 3 slide, please.

The subject property is zoned commercial general and office zone, CGO, under the current zoning ordinance as shown in red on the map. Next slide, please.

7 The subject property is zoned commercial shopping 8 center, CSC, under the prior zoning ordinance as shown in 9 red on the map. Next slide, please.

This slide shows that the site is not located within any overlay zone. Next slide, please.

12 This aerial photo shows the existing conditions on 13 the property which shows that the site is currently vacant. 14 Next slide, please.

This site contains slopes as shown with the redcontour lines on the map. Next slide, please.

This slide shows the Master Plan right-of-way. This site is located near Glenn Dale Boulevard which is classified as an arterial roadway as shown in red. Bell Station Road is classed as a collector roadway, as shown in green; and Hillandale Road is a primary roadway shown in pink on the map. Next slide, please.

This slide shows the bird's eye view of the property which shows the site is currently vacant. Next slide, please. This slide shows the Site Plan which

proposes a 68,475 square foot commercial shopping center. 1 2 This site will contain multiple buildings. Building A, B 3 and C will accommodate multiple tenants in one pad site. 4 Building D will be for a single tenant; building A is 10,000 5 square feet and located on Parcel 1 in the northwest corner of the property. Building B is 8,500 square feet and 6 7 located on proposed Parcel 3 in the northeast corner of the property. Building C is 45,475 square foot, feet, and is 8 proposed on Parcel 4, along with property's southern 9 boundary. Building D is 4,500 square feet and located on 10 11 proposed Parcel 4. Proposed Parcel 2 contains only portions 12 of the central parking lot and drive-outs that serve all of 13 the buildings. The site is proposing a total of 288 parking spaces located mainly in one lot in the middle of the 14 15 property. There is a loading space and dumpsters adjacent 16 to each building. The site originally proposed four 17 different access points with two full turning movements, 18 access points from Bell Station Road, a third right in and 19 right out from MD-193, and a private drive connecting to 20 Parcel 5, the gas station to the east. Staff expressed concerns with the access drives along Bell Station Road. 21 22 Staff also expressed concerns with the safety of the loading areas due to the inability, inability for trucks to make 23 adequate turning maneuvers. A condition of approval has 24 25 been recommended by Staff and revised by the Applicant to

remove the access onto Bell Station Road directing across
 from Judicial Drive and restrict the deliveries to Building
 D by truck classification during certain hours. Next slide,
 please.

This slide shows the Landscape Plan. The
Landscape Plan provided with the subject DSP demonstrates
conformance with the Landscape Manual. Next slide, please.
This slide shows the Type 2 Tree Conservation
Plan. Next slide, please.

10 This slide shows the truck turning exhibit which 11 demonstrates how trucks will circulate throughout the site. 12 Next slide, please.

13 This slide shows the architectural elevations for proposed Building A. The proposed building will consist of 14 15 a combination of an interior installation finishing, concrete block, stone veneer and brick that will be neutral 16 17 in color in white, red, brown and black. The building is 18 approximately 33-feet tall. The Applicant has included the 19 addition of Condition J and Condition K in the back-up, and 20 provided an exhibit for the architectural elevations on Building A. Red block show, red brick shall be used 21 22 exclusively on all building surfaces where building is 23 proposed and the architecture for Building A shall be 24 revised to provide brick on the rear elevation and provide a 25 pitched roof in the rear of the elevation shown in the

Applicant's revised Building A elevations in the additional
 back-up. Next slide, please.

This slide shows architectural elevations for a proposed Building B. Building B will consist of similar building materials as Building A and will be approximately 33 feet tall. Next slide, please.

7 This slide shows architectural elevations for 8 Building C. Building C will consist of similar building 9 materials as Building A and Building B, and will also be 10 approximately 33 feet tall. Next slide, please.

This last architectural elevation slide shows the proposed Building D. Building D will consist of similar building materials as Building A, B and C, and it will be approximately 26.5 feet tall. Next slide, please.

15 This slide shows the sign details of the site proposed as one free-standing sign at the access of MD-193, 16 17 and at the access of, access point from Bell Station Road in 18 the middle of the site. The proposed signs will be 20.5 feet tall and will feature an individual tenant handle below 19 20 the shopping center name. The site is also proposing 21 building that signage for future tenants that will be 22 detailed at the time of permitting. Next slide, please. 23 And with that, Urban Design Staff recommend that

24 the Planning Board adopt the findings of this report and 25 approve Detailed Site Plan DSP-21031; and Type 2 Tree

Conservation Plan, TCP2-094-97-05, or Bell Station Center,
 Parcel B subject to the following conditions found on page
 13, 14 and 15 of the Staff Report.

4 The Applicant has met with the Gabriel One Homeowner's Association in an effort to address their 5 concerns and as a result, the Applicant has provided revised 6 7 conditions, revised elevations for Building A, view shed photographs and analysis prepared by their traffic 8 consultant, and a truck turning exhibit as part of the back-9 Staff is in agreement with revised conditions, with the 10 up. exception of the revised Condition 1F. Staff has worked 11 with the Applicant to revise the language for the condition 12 13 for 1F and Staff is now in agreement. And this concludes Staff's presentation. 14 15 MR. CHAIR: Thank you, Ms. Butler. MS. BUTLER: Uh-huh. 16 17 MR. CHAIR: Commissioners, are there questions for 18 Staff? 19 COMMISSIONER DOERNER: I have a question. Is, is 20 the revision that was made to condition, revised Condition 21 1F, is that in our back-up? 22 MS. BUTLER: It is not. The, I mean the Applicant 23 is going to read that into the record.

24COMMISSIONER DOERNER: Okay. Thank you.25MR. CHAIR: Thank you for that. Other questions

1 for Staff?

2 (No affirmative response.) 3 MR. CHAIR: Okay. Thank you. Thank you, Ms. 4 Butler. We may have questions for you later on. Let me 5 turn to the Applicant. Mr. Gibbs, take it away. You may want to --6 7 MR. GIBBS: Yes. MR. CHAIR: -- introduce your team and, and, yeah, 8 9 the floor is yours. 10 Thank you very much. Good morning, MR. GIBBS: Mr. Chairman. Nice to see you this morning. Members of the 11 Planning Board, nice to see each of you as well. 12 For 13 purposes of the record, Edward Gibbs, an attorney with offices in Largo, and I'm pleased to be here this morning 14 15 representing Broglin, LLC, as well as their principles, Richard Palumbo and Vincent Palumbo. 16 17 Dan Palumbo is here. He is an attorney. He's 18 here really representing the interests of both Richard and 19 Vincent, and has worked with me on this application. Ι, Ι 20 know that Richard Palumbo was registered. I don't know 21 whether Judge Palumbo has made it on or not; but, but if he 22 is, he is here just for questions, as is Dan Palumbo. 23 MR. PALUMBO: Both Dan Palumbo and Richard Palumbo 24 are here. 25 MR. GIBBS: Okay. Thank you very much. From our

consultant team, we have our civil engineer from KCI, 1 2 Jennifer Leonard. She is on. She may be required to make a few comments, but it will be in response to any questions 3 4 the Board may have, or in response to comments made by any 5 of the individuals who are registered to speak on this case other than our team. And then, finally, Michael Lenhart of 6 7 Lenhart Traffic Consulting, our traffic engineer, is with us as well. Both Mr. Lenhart and Ms. Leonard have been 8 extensively involved in numerous conversations that we have 9 had with your staff getting us to this point. 10

11 Let me, let me say that, you know, I appreciate Ms. Butler's presentation. It was very thorough. A couple 12 13 of comments I'd like to make in addition to what she has 14 said. So, this property was rezoned from the CM Zone to the 15 CSC Zone back in, back in 2009. The application was filed, the approval occurred ultimately in 2010. That approval 16 17 included a condition as a result of our extensive meetings 18 with Gabriel's Run, which is located immediately, 19 immediately across Bell Station Road from this property. 20 They had voiced items of, of concern that they wanted to 21 make sure were addressed. So, we agreed upon a limited 22 Detailed Site Plan condition that was attached to the 23 rezoning of the property.

You know, the Palumbo's rezoned the propertybecause they didn't think that given the development that

had occurred and was occurring in the area, including 1 2 Gabriel's Run, Fairwood across the street, you know, Mr. William Chesley's office buildings, they didn't think that 3 4 development of the property in the CM Zone with heavy 5 commercial uses was really appropriate for the neighborhood; and so, basically, they determined on their own to file a 6 7 rezoning request to essentially down-zone the property so that they could bring about a development that would be more 8 9 compatible with the area than CM highway commercial type 10 uses.

11 So, we got that approved in 2010 and then we went forward. We did a Preliminary Subdivision Plan that divided 12 13 this 8.99 acres conceptually, preliminarily, into four different parcels; and those four parcel lines are being 14 observed in this Detailed Site Plan. The Preliminary 15 Subdivision Plan was approved; and then more recently, you 16 17 will all recall, undoubtedly, about a month ago you 18 considered and approved a one-year extension to this 19 Preliminary Subdivision Plan.

As we indicated during that hearing, the Palumbo brothers are not developers. You know, Judge Palumbo, you know, obviously, was a member of the bench. Vincent Palumbo was an oral surgeon. They're, they're not developers by practice. They've had the property under contract a number of times and, and those contracts, for one reason or

another, with really national commercial developers just 1 2 didn't go forward to settlement; and so, based with only having a year left to get the record plat with the extension 3 4 that you were so kind to grant a month ago, we have pushed 5 forward with this Detailed Site Plan. It is, we believe, 6 sensitively designed; it is a Site Plan which very well can 7 work. The architecture, we believe, is, is interesting. We think it's traditional in nature. It blends a mix of high-8 9 quality materials for the buildings. All the buildings are oriented so that they face more or less internal to the site 10 and the parking is located generally in the center of the 11 site. So, we think it's a plan that, that can work; that 12 13 may very well be the plan that gets developed; but the Palumbo brothers will not be developing it themselves since 14 15 that's not their trade.

So, we do have four buildings out there of the exact sizes that Ms. Butler talked about; and, and so, that's the plan that we're seeking to have approved today; and once we have this approved, we will be able to, to go forward with our final plat of subdivision.

I would note that the zoning condition requires not just the Planning Board to review this Site Plan, but also the District Council. So, we'll be going through the District Council because of the wording of the condition attached to the rezoning.

1 I want to, you know, I want to thank Ms. Butler 2 and the Transportation Staff members, Mr. Capers, Mr. Ryan. We have had the most interaction with them. Ms., Ms. Butler 3 4 in particular has been always available. She has organized 5 meetings. She has reached out to get responses, and, and 6 so, I just want to congratulate her and thank her for making 7 this a better process for us because she's done a wonderful 8 job.

9 Now in terms of our citizen outreach because it bears upon the conditions, so we have met two times with the 10 11 Gabriel's Run Civic Association. I made a presentation to I, I contacted a board 12 them after reaching out to them. 13 chair and I made a presentation to them on April 4th; and 14 then our full team met with them again on May 19th. And so, 15 their overriding concern was, if you're looking at the 16 aerial photograph that's up on the screen right now, their 17 overriding concern was not any opposition to the development 18 proposal, but rather their concern that we not have a 19 driveway onto Bell Station Road directly opposing their 20 driveway, Judicial Drive, coming out in their community. 21 They were concerned about conflicts with turning lanes. 22 On the other hand, DPIE wanted it that way. Now 23 our Site Plan shows two driveway connections to Bell Station

24 Road; and so, after our meeting with Gabriel's Run on the, 25 on the 19th, and a point of fact, when we did our

Preliminary Plan, we, we, we tried to offset that driveway up near their entrance road and, and when we did that, DPIE said, no, you know, you need to put an opposing their, their drive.

5 So, after our meeting with Gabriel's Run on May 19th, we sort of got together as our team the next morning 6 7 and, you know, I said to my client, I said, listen, why don't we look and see if, if traffic-wise we could simply do 8 9 away with that access driveway that is directly across from Judicial Drive. And Mr. Lenhart looked at that; he prepared 10 an analysis which is part of the back-up that I submitted; 11 and he came to the conclusion that it would not be a 12 13 problem. So, one of our revised conditions is that we propose to simply delete that driveway connection onto Bell 14 15 Station Road that was shown on our Detailed Site Plan; and we will, we will live just with the single western, there's 16 17 another driveway connection a little bit to the west, we 18 would like to just have a single connection; and, of course, 19 we have a right-in, right-out that has been approved by the 20 State Highway Administration; the design has been approved and now we're ready to go. So, so, that's going to be one 21 22 of the conditions I will read to you.

In addition, I called a representative of the Glenn Dale Civic Association in late March of this year, Ms. Vondrak, and I explained to her what our proposal was. I, I

had worked with Ms. Vondrak earlier. I never became 1 2 involved in this case until 2009, but I had worked with her and with Mrs. Wixon on a Landscape Plan around the 3 4 stormwater pond and along the rear of the property; and, and 5 so, I called her and I, I explained to her what we were doing. I said, listen, I'd like to meet with the Glenn Dale 6 7 Civic Association; and then I didn't hear anything further. So, I, I actually called her again on or about April 28th. 8 9 I sent her, or excuse me, I sent her a letter on April 29th, once again asking to meet; and as a result of that, I, I got 10 an email from Mr. Wixon. And so, we met with the Glenn Dale 11 12 Civic Association. Our team met with them and that was, 13 that was on May 17th, we, we met with their board; and some 14 other members, I quess, were also on the virtual.

So, anyhow, we, we listened to their concerns. Mr. Wixon was concerned about the view shed form Marietta looking particularly at Building A. He also indicated that he would like it if the brick on all the buildings would be red in, in the, in the center. So, and he, and he asked for a pitched roof at Building A. So, we went ahead and, and made those changes in order to address his concern.

Now he wanted us to move Building A further internal to the site. Yeah, there's a Site Plan. Building A is up in the northwest corner, or the upper left corner, the long, rectangular building is 10,000 square feet. He wanted us to move the building internal to the site, but we just can't do that. We, you know, we can't put parking behind the building and we got to maintain our required parking; and then with doing away with the eastern-most driveway opposing the Gabriel's Run access, it just puts the building too close to the main, the only drive we're going to have on Bell Station Road.

8 So, and in point of fact, it's just, you know, 9 Staff has looked at it and your Historic Planning Section 10 looked at it, and, and it's, they just, no one feels it's 11 necessary, with all due respect to Mr. Wixon. So, anyhow, 12 so that's sort of the background for the changes to the 13 conditions. So, I would like to go through the conditions 14 if I could.

15 The, we have no problem with Conditions 1A, B, C, D and E. Condition F, again, we did, we did want to strike 16 17 that entire condition as it appears in the Staff Report; and 18 we had proposed -- can I have admitted my letter to you, 19 Chairman Shapiro, dated May 24th, which contains the revised 20 conditions that we were proposing; and perhaps Mr. Flanagan, 21 or his, or his co-worker could bring those up on the screen? 22 MR. CHAIR: Yes. Is this what you're looking for, 23 Mr. Gibbs?

24 MR. GIBBS: Yes, sir, that is the, I sent you two 25 letters; but this is the first one and I wanted to get that

admitted, along with the, as back-up the proposed revised
 conditions on the second page.

MR. CHAIR: Okay.

3

25

MR. GIBBS: Okay. There we go. Okay. So, you get to 1F. We proposed just 1F as it was drafted was meant to address two access drives onto Bell Station Road; and since we were getting rid of the one, we didn't need to have F in its, in its original iteration.

9 So, what I had proposed was the language that you see in red and underlined in red which says, and I quote, 10 11 "Remove the easternmost proposed driveway access onto Bell 12 Station Road, directly across from Judicial Drive, and 13 provide a single driveway access to Bell Station Road at the 14 western-most driveway subject to the written approval of 15 MNCPPC Transportation Division and the Department of 16 Permitting, Inspections and Enforcement. That was my 17 language. Ms., Ms. Butler took that back to Mr. Capers and 18 Mr. Ryan, and they proposed a change via email to me 19 relative to the wording of that condition; and so, 20 obviously, this was afternoon on Tuesday; and, and so I, it 21 couldn't get into the record. But I, I would like to, I 22 would like to read their revised language and a, a minor 23 modification I made to the final sentence of that revision. 24 So, this would be new F.

MR. CHAIR: Go ahead. Read it in.

1 MR. GIBBS: And Ms. Butler has this, of course, 2 because they suggested it; but, but here, here it goes. And I know this will be a little bit awkward when you, when you 3 4 at the last second read revised conditions into the record, 5 but I, there's just no other way to handle it. Quote, 6 "Modify the site access connections along Bell Station Road 7 to remove the eastern-most proposed driveway access onto Bell Station Road (directly across from Judicial Drive) and 8 9 provide a single driveway access to Bell Station Road at the western-most driveway. A modification of the single 10 driveway access shall include an operational analysis for an 11 12 unsignalized intersection per the transportation review 13 guidelines and any other analysis for access management 14 determined by the Transportation Planning Section. The 15 design modification and supplemental analysis shall be accepted by the Transportation Planning Section. If the 16 17 single access driveway configuration is not feasible, then 18 the current access driveway configuration shall remain."

Now here's the last sentence, and this what your Staff proposed. "A site driveway access connections shall be constructed in accordance with the certified Detailed Site Plan, unless modified by the operating agencies with written correspondence." And we have proposed a minor revision, more of a clarification to that last sentence, which would be as follows. This would be inserted in lieu

of the last sentence in the Staff iteration. Quote, "A site driveway access connection(s) on Bell Station Road shall be constructed in accordance with the certified Detailed Site Plan, unless modified by DPIE, with written correspondence". So, that's the revised 1F.

Mr. Lenhart did, in fact, already prepare an 6 7 operational analysis in the form of a memo and it is one of 8 the attachments to my second letter to you, Mr. Chairman, 9 also dated May 24th which I filed prior to noon on Tuesday, and I'd actually like that letter, along with all the 10 attachments, added to the back-up as well. So, I, I, 11 suffice it to say, we believe that DPIE is going to be fine 12 13 with the single access. Transportation has tentatively indicated it's fine with the single access. 14 It's more 15 actually along the lines of what they wanted in the first 16 place. They just want to get through this little bit of 17 review and we, we just have to give a shout out to DPIE in 18 the condition because they're obviously the ultimate, they 19 have ultimate control of granting the access permit. Thev 20 are, in fact, the agency.

So, I'd like to go ahead and get the Staff's feeling on that revision. I think they're fine with it since we discussed it; but they do need to weigh in.

24 MADAM VICE CHAIR: Mr. Chairman, I was just 25 getting ready to ask Ms. Butler to respond to that, okay?

MR. CHAIR: Thank you, Vice Chair Bailey. 1 2 MADAM VICE CHAIR: And to the record because, 3 obviously, reading it into the record, I could not follow it. 4 MR. CHAIR: Right. 5 MADAM VICE CHAIR: So --6 MR. CHAIR: I'm in the same place. 7 MADAM VICE CHAIR: I'd like to hear from Ms. 8 Butler. 9 MS. BUTLER: Yes. All right, I'm sorry, I, I apologize. I was just, just talking to James. What, what 10 11 was the question you, you wanted to see if Staff was in support of the revised condition, or, or you need me to 12 13 restate the final approved condition? MADAM VICE CHAIR: Final approved condition and 14 15 your reaction to, well, if you approve it, obviously, we 16 need your reaction, yes. 17 MS. BUTLER: Yes. We are in support of it. And 18 if you would just give me a moment, I can, I can pull up 19 the, the final of what we agreed on. Just, just give me one 20 moment. I apologize. I'm, I'm, I wasn't prepared for that. 21 Give me, give me one second. 22 MADAM VICE CHAIR: And neither was I, so I 23 understand. 24 That's going to be definitely MR. CHAIR: Yes. 25 necessary for us to see this in writing.

MADAM VICE CHAIR: Uh-huh.

1

2 MR. CHAIR: Mr. Gibbs, do you want to, we'll, 3 we'll come back to the new F. Is there, do you have other 4 things you want to present to us as well? 5 MR. GIBBS: Yes, please. Moving on to Staff recommended conditions G and H. In conditions G and H, 6 7 Staff raised concerns relative to the circulation for loading efforts that would occur in the center. And, and 8 9 so, we had an extensive conversation with, with Staff, including Mr. Capers and Mr. Ryan in that conversation, as 10 11 well as Ms. Butler; and we also prepared a revised truck turning movement template for every turning movement and 12 13 every loading space. That, too, is part of the back-up of my second letter which I'd like to add, enter it into the 14 record. 15 16 And so, during the course of the -- we had two different meetings. Staff agreed that everything was 17 18 satisfactory --19 MR. CHAIR: Did you say he wants it entered into 20 the record? 21 MR. GIBBS: -- with the exception of their concern 22 that the large --23 MR. CHAIR: He already listed it as a 24 (indiscernible). 25 MR. GIBBS: -- tractor trailer delivery if it were 1 to occur to Building D, which is in the lower left corner of 2 the Site Plan. Now Building D is 4,500 square feet. We 3 indicated --

MR. CHAIR: But it's in the record already.

4

12

25

5 MR. GIBBS: -- (indiscernible) and we don't think 6 that anything other than a box truck, truck is going to make 7 a delivery to a building of that size; but Mr. Capers 8 indicated that, well, you know, we can't be sure of that. 9 And so, so, I said, well, listen, what if we, we both 10 discussed the possibility of simply limiting the hours of 11 deliveries --

MR. CHAIR: Already in the record.

13 MR. GIBBS: -- of any truck greater in size than a 14 box truck to that, that building. I've been involved in 15 many cases where we've done this, in particular, at eating 16 and drinking establishments with drive throughs where we've 17 limited delivery times with a condition; and so, so what we 18 were able to agree on was the language in G that appears on 19 the exhibit on the screen which says, "Deliveries to 20 Building D by trucks with a classification greater than SU30 21 shall be restricted to the period from 9:00 p.m. to 9:00 22 a.m." And so, I, I believe Staff is fine with that 23 condition and Ms. Butler could respond to that as well. 24 So, then H gets deleted. And then if you just, if

Mr. Flanagan could just scroll down for us onto the next

1 page of the conditions, thank you, there we go. So, then we 2 wanted to add two new conditions in our effort to respond to 3 Mr. Wixon's concerns.

4 So, we added a condition that said red brick shall 5 be used exclusively on all building surfaces where brick is 6 proposed; so not just on Building A, but he wanted a 7 consistent red brick theme throughout all the, the buildings to sort of match up with Marietta; and so, we agreed to 8 9 that. And then we changed the architecture for the rear of Building A and, and so Condition K says the architecture for 10 Building A shall be revised to provide brick on the rear 11 elevation and to provide a pitched roof on the rear of the 12 13 elevation as shown on Applicant's revised Building A elevation. 14

Mr. Chairman, that too, that revised building elevation is one of the attachments to the second May 24th letter that I filed in this case Tuesday morning. So now, those are all of our revisions and additions to the conditions. Ms. Butler can speak for herself, of course, but, but in terms of Staff, you know, we believe we are one at this point with respect to all the conditions.

22 MR. CHAIR: Thank you, Mr. Gibbs. Let's go back 23 to 1F. Ms. Butler, are you, are you prepared to read that 24 one into the record for us; or not read it in, is there, 25 what I really, what we're looking for is some --

MS. BUTLER: Yes --1 2 MR. CHAIR: Share a screen with us? 3 MS. BUTLER: Yes. 4 MR. CHAIR: It that possible? Okay. 5 MS. BUTLER: Yeah, yeah, give me a moment because 6 I actually, I have it. 7 MR. CHAIR: Yes. Just a moment so --MS. BUTLER: I have it --8 9 MR. CHAIR: -- she's prepared to share a screen. But, wait, I think we're getting some Staff support here, 10 11 Ms. Butler. Mr. Honda is here, so hold on. He may be able to help. 12 13 MS. BUTLER: Oh, Mr., okay. Yeah, I just sent it 14 to him. 15 MR. CHAIR: And, Mr. Gibbs, I just want to respond 16 to your, your comments about making sure that all the 17 information you're referring to is in the record. As far as 18 I can tell, and I checked with Staff, everything you're 19 referring to is already in the record, so we don't need to 20 admit it. It's, it's in our back-up material. 21 MR. GIBBS: Okay. Okay. That's fine. Thanks, 22 Mr. Chairman, appreciate it. 23 MR. CHAIR: Give us a second and I think we have 24 it right here. 25 MS. BUTLER: You, okay. Did you, did you want me

1 to read it into the record, or are you, you still want me to 2 read it? 3 MR. CHAIR: I don't think it's necessary to

4 actually read it, but if you can leave this, Mr. Flanagan, 5 leave this on the screen for us with older eyes, perhaps a 6 slightly larger version of it.

7 MR. FLANAGAN: Yes, okay. Yeah. I got it. 8 MR. CHAIR: Scroll that down. So, let's, so, 9 Commissioners, if we can just take a minute and read, this 10 is what we'll -- this is the new F. So, read this and see 11 if we have any concerns with it.

12 COMMISSIONER GERALDO: I don't have a problem with 13 it, but I think we do need to just mark it as the new F. 14 MR. CHAIR: Okay. So, that's noted. We'll mark 15 this as the new, the new 1F. Mr. Gibbs, this is your 16 understanding, this is the version that you're supporting as 17 well? 18 MR. GIBBS: Yes, Mr. Chairman, this is the

19 identical, verbatim language I read. So, yes, we support
20 this condition.

21 MR. CHAIR: Okay. Okay. So, without objection 22 from any Commissioners --23 MR. GIBBS: And --

24 MR. CHAIR: Mr. Gibbs, I'm sorry, you had 25 something else to say?

MR. GIBBS: No, I was just going to say, what's 1 2 highlighted in yellow is just a minor change that I made; but, but we are all 100 percent expecting and believe that 3 4 our proposal to consolidate to the one access is going to be 5 approved, yes. MR. CHAIR: Okay. Thank you. 6 So --7 MR. GIBBS: Thank you. MR. CHAIR: -- if there's no other questions, Mr. 8 9 Gibbs, do you have anything else that you want to present to 10 us from your side? 11 MR. GIBBS: No, it's just that I would like to reserve the right to, if necessary, reply to any comments 12 13 that are made as a result of people who have signed up on behalf of Glenn Dale Civic Association. Thank you very 14 15 much. 16 MR. CHAIR: Thank you. 17 THE CLERK: Mr. Chair, just for clarification 18 purposes, I know we marked that, that exhibit as 1F, but are 19 we going to actually label it as, as something like 20 Applicant Exhibit 8 so that way if there, there are any kind 21 of like legal appeals or anything, it's quite clear who proposed it and not, not Staff necessarily? 22 23 MR. CHAIR: That's fine with me. So, we'll make 24 sure that we're labeling it and we'll refer to it as the 25 Applicant's exhibit; and I'm, I'm not sure if the numbering,

you, we were, I think you're more on top of it than I am; 1 2 but it sounds like Exhibit 8 is right. Eight it is, yeah. THE CLERK: Eight? 3 4 MR. GIBBS: Mr. Chairman, if I could, just a point 5 of clarification there, we, we are certainly in conformance 6 with that condition, but I would note that the Applicant's 7 proposed revision, the 1F, be in red; and the (indiscernible 0:38:05.0) file is important. This revised language came 8 9 from your transportation and then the yellow is the only thing that we changed in what they had recommended. 10 So, it's, you know, I, it's fine if the record reflects it's 11 Applicant's exhibit; but for purposes of the record, I just 12 13 want to make that clarification. MR. CHAIR: Okav. 14 15 MR. GIBBS: Thank you, sir. 16 MR. CHAIR: Considering that you all made the last 17 change on it, that, that that's why it feels more accurate 18 to say that this is your revised condition. 19 MR. GIBBS: I'm fine with that. Thank you. 20 MR. CHAIR: Okay. Okay. So, any further 21 discussion with the Applicant on any of these conditions, 22 anything else, Mr. Gibbs, we need to hear from you before we 23 move on? 24 MR. GIBBS: No, Mr. Chairman, just as mentioned, 25 reserving the right to respond to any other things that

1 might come up. Thank you very much.

2 MR. CHAIR: Thank you. So, we have -- Vice Chair 3 Bailey?

MADAM VICE CHAIR: Hold on. I do have one other question. Mr. Gibbs mentioned meeting with the Civic Association, and then he said members; and I want, would like clarification on the meeting that was held on May 17th. Who was in attendance at that meeting? Were there simply the organization, leadership of a civic association, or other members of the civic association?

MR. GIBBS: Yes. I, you know, no one, not everyone introduced themselves; and so, I can't, I can't, I just was, it sounded as though there were some residents as opposed to just board members present in that meeting and that's why I said, made that comment. But when Mr. Wixon testifies, perhaps he can clarify that for us.

MADAM VICE CHAIR: Good, that's good enough.18 Thank you.

19 MR. GIBBS: Yes.

20 MR. CHAIR: Thank you. Okay. So, let's turn to 21 the other speakers we have. I'll start with Mr. Wixon with 22 the Glenn Dale Citizens Association. Mr. Wixon, you can 23 take it away.

24 MR. WIXON: Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and members 25 of the Board. I really appreciate the opportunity to appear before you this morning. My name is Henry Wixon, 10701
 Marietta Street, Glenn Dale, Maryland. I am the president
 of the Glenn Dale Citizens Association which is a Civic
 Association in serving the citizens of greater Glenn Dale
 and 20769 zip code, and surrounding environment since 1948.

6 We have been interacting with the owners of this 7 property for many, many years, decades actually, and we had 8 the opportunity to appear before you today to address the 9 question that was put with respect to Mr. Gibbs' presentation on the 17th. The 17th was the Glenn Dale 10 Citizens Association regular monthly meeting and so it was a 11 member, it was a, you know, it was a regular meeting of our 12 13 association and we had the presentation by Mr. Gibbs and his colleagues following the conclusion of our regular business 14 15 meeting which is our standard practice for developer presentations. And so, it was not simply the leadership of 16 17 the civic, or the citizens association; it was the 18 membership who were in attendance on that evening. So, if 19 the, the question is about, about who was in attendance, I'm 20 happy to, to answer them.

21 MR. CHAIR: Does that address the issue, Vice 22 Chair Bailey?

MADAM VICE CHAIR: That is fine. Thank you.
 MR. WIXON: Thank you very much. First of all, I
 want to thank also Ms. Butler for her outreach in the course

1 of the run-up to today's meeting and I also want to thank 2 Mr. Gibbs, of course, and other, his clients who, who made a presentation to us. Our primary concern, as Mr. Gibbs 3 4 mentioned, is protecting the view shed from Marietta Museum. 5 That is directly across 193 from the subject property. Is a National Historic -- it's registered on the National 6 7 Registry of Historic Places; and, and we were very concerned about the view shed from Marietta across 193 to the, to the 8 9 subject property.

10 I will say that the, the original portion of this property to the, to the east, the gas station, we spent an 11 extensive amount of time dealing with the developer of that 12 13 property and were very pleased to have cooperation from the developer of, I'll call it BP Gas, with respect to the 14 15 architectural details of that property in order to be 16 empathetic to the, to the Marietta House Museum; and if you 17 take a look at that property, or if you're familiar with it, 18 you will see that it has a pitched roof; it is primarily red 19 brick; and it additionally has architectural details with 20 respect to the, to the facades that are, are particularly 21 good. And so, one of the reasons that we're -- what we're 22 trying to do, essentially, is to, to continue that theme 23 across the entire development; and that is one of the reasons for the request for red brick. 24

25

In addition, as Mr. Gibbs pointed out to the fact

that the, the Marietta House Museum is itself red brick, 1 2 we'd also like to see that theme that started with BP Gas proceed across the entire property. So, we very, very much 3 4 appreciate the Applicant's willingness to, to use red, red 5 brick; and we appreciate their willingness with respect to 6 Building A to, to pitch the roof on that as well so that we, 7 we have, you know, again, a view shed potential there that, that will be empathetic for the, for the site, the views. 8

9 Mr. Gibbs also mentioned that one of our desires has been to, if we can, if it's possible to shift the 10 location of Building A at least one row, one parking row 11 towards the interior of the site. I believe Mr. Gibbs said 12 13 that that wasn't feasible; and, and maybe if we could have, if we could put up a picture of the, the Site Plan, we could 14 take a look at that and see what we're talking about. 15 Ι 16 don't know if that's possible.

MR. CHAIR: Give us a second, Mr. Wixon. We'llsee if we can pull it up.

MR. WIXON: Right there. Thank you. So, if we look to the east of Building A, we have a single line of, of parking; and then a double row of parking; and so, what, you know, we, we think that even, well, even a single line of parking, if it were to shift over by a single line of parking, and that line of parking were put behind the building, would be a, a, a valuable in, in, with respect to

the view shed. So, I would ask, first of all, that the 1 2 Planning Board consider that again; and that, that Staff consider that again from a circulation perspective and 3 4 whether that is feasible. And then, additionally, given at 5 least my understanding of the amendments that have been made 6 to Condition F, although everybody is, is assuming that, 7 that the, the shift of the, of the road from judicial over to the western entrance will work, it is stated at this 8 9 point as, you know, the potential that it won't work, right? If it, if it doesn't work, if DPIE determines that it's not 10 feasible, then, then they'll revert. 11

12 In the event that they revert, as Mr. Gibbs said, 13 then, you know, indicated from his argument which is with the western entrance, it's not feasible; but if the western 14 15 entrance doesn't end up being used and the, the other one does, then it would certainly be feasible at that point. 16 17 So, I would ask that he condition address that at the least, 18 right? In the event that it's not feasible, then reconsider 19 the location of Building A because at that point the 20 argument that it's unfeasible to shift it would, would go 21 away.

One other thing, I just note from looking through the, the, the new submissions there is a provision, original Provision I, which I think is H now, which is a 6-foot high fence or masonry wall to screen the loading space adjacent

1 to Building A. If we could just clarify that that masonry 2 wall that ends up being a masonry wall, that it would be red 3 brick as well. That would be, that would be helpful.

4 And then I don't know -- I just want to point out 5 for, for your awareness that it, while Bell Station Center here, I'm sorry, it's Bell Station Road is a collector; on 6 7 the other side, across on the Marietta side, it is a, a designated, a County-designated scenic and historic road. 8 9 It's a little road. It doesn't go anywhere and, in fact, although there was a big arrow going, coming out of this on 10 one of the pictures we looked at coming out of Bell Station 11 Road onto 193, in fact, what the State Highway has done 12 13 there is they have created an intersection that was not allowed direct vehicular access from Bell Station Road, the 14 15 collector, to Bell Station Road, the designated scenic and 16 historic road. So, you can't even go straight across 193 17 there and that is another change which is helping to protect 18 the, the Marietta side of, of 193 here. So, again, I just 19 point that out because it's another thing that, that we're 20 trying to, to achieve here.

So, I don't have any, any comments or questions other than those; just would ask that with, we take a very hard look at the, at the feasibility of moving Building A toward the interior of the site, at least one, one row of parking. And with that, I will say thank you and I'm happy

1 to answer any questions.

2	MR. CHAIR: Thank you, Mr. Wixon, for your
3	thoughtful comments. Thanks for all the work that you do,
4	the Association, and your leadership as well.
5	Commissioners, any questions for Mr. Wixon? Commissioner
6	Geraldo, you're on mute.
7	COMMISSIONER GERALDO: I have no questions, thank
8	you.
9	MR. CHAIR: Okay. Other Commissioners?
10	MADAM VICE CHAIR: No questions.
11	MR. CHAIR: Okay. Mr. Wixon, thank you very much.
12	We may come back to some of these points that you've brought
13	up so, certainly, hang on the line. Let me turn it over
14	MR. WIXON: Thank you.
15	MR. CHAIR: to Mr. Stokes, Gilbert Stokes.
16	MR. STOKES: Hello. Thanks for allowing me to
17	speak today. First, let me just say thanks to Mr. Gibbs for
18	keeping Gabriel's Run community in the loop on everything
19	that's happening on Bell Station. I'm Gilbert Stokes. I'm
20	a current resident in Gabriel's Run, as well as I'm a part
21	of the board. So, I'm not going to talk too much. I know
22	Sean is going to speak for the board; but I will say that I
23	
	am a little, I feel a little better about the access points
24	am a little, I feel a little better about the access points because I know Mr. Gibbs mentioned that they are trying to

concern has always been the traffic on Bell Station Road. 1 2 We in the community deal with traffic every day 3 coming in and out of this community; and it was very 4 concerning when an entrance was going to be put across from 5 our entrance, which we only have one to get in and out. You 6 know, it's very hard early in the morning; it's very hard in 7 the afternoons. So, that, just hearing Mr. Gibbs mention that, you know, I feel a little relieved by that; and I 8 9 think that would help the community out as well. So, again, I'm not going to talk too much. I know Sean is on here to 10 speak for the community but, you know, I just wanted to make 11 12 it, make it known that, you know, one of my biggest issues 13 was the traffic and I think hopefully with the entrances 14 being cut down, that would help out, and especially help the 15 community and us getting in and out on a day-to-day basis. 16 So, I appreciate you all letting me speak and thanks again. 17 Thank you, Mr. Stokes. Thanks for MR. CHAIR: 18 having your voice heard. Questions for Mr. Stokes? And, 19 again, I think we'll hear from Mr. Suhar in just a minute. 20 (No affirmative response.) 21 MR. CHAIR: Okay. We have Ms. Hopkins as well and 22 Mr. Suhar. I'm not sure what, if, how you want to manage 23 this, Mr. Suhar? 24 MR. SUHAR: Yes. May I proceed? 25 MR. CHAIR: Uh-huh.

MR. SUHAR: -- Chairman Shapiro?

1

2 MR. CHAIR: Are we going to hear from Ms., from 3 Ms. Hopkins as well, or just you?

MR. SUHAR: I don't, Ms. Hopkins is, is free to speak if she'd like. I'm going to make comments on behalf of the Board of Directors for the Gabriel's Run Homeowner's Association. So --

8 MR. CHAIR: Okay. All right. Take it away, Mr.9 Suhar, and then we'll come back to Ms. Hopkins.

10 MR. SUHAR: Okay. Thank you very much. And I want to say good morning to Dan, Chairman Shapiro, the 11 12 Planning Board and everyone in attendance of this meeting. 13 My name is Sean Suhar. For the record, my name is Sean Suhar with the firm of Nagle & Zaller; and our firm 14 15 represents the Gabriel's Run Homeowner's Association, and I'm here making comments regarding the proposed commercial 16 17 development of Bell Station Center; and I'm specifically 18 speaking on behalf of the Board of Directors, which is the 19 governing body and, and representative body for Gabriel's 20 Run Homeowner's Association. I want to thank Mr. Stokes for 21 his comments and I want to state that I support those 22 comments; and thank you for allowing us to be heard this 23 morning.

The property which is known as Bell Station Center in here, which is being proposed, is located, as Mr. Stokes

had said, across Bell Station Road from the Association; and 1 2 we understand that the Applicant is attempting to obtain approval from the Planning Board for commercial development 3 4 on the property. The Board of Directors has previously met 5 with the developer, Mr. Ed Gibbs, and with members of his team, as he stated earlier this morning. I apologize for 6 7 repeating some of what has already been said, but I'll try and make this, streamline this a bit. 8

9 So, but the Board of Directors and I met with Mr. 10 Gibbs to learn more about their plans and also to express 11 our concerns about the impacts upon Gabriel's Run 12 Homeowner's Association, which is, as we said, in the 13 immediate area right across the street from Bell Station, or 14 right across from, right across Bell Station Road.

15 So, Mr. Gibbs presented to the Association the 16 original proposed Site Plan. It contained three access 17 points, specifically, the Applicant proposed having one 18 access point on State Route 193, which has apparently 19 already been approved by the state for right-in and right-20 out only access; and two access points on Bell Station Road, 21 the eastern access point which is located directly across 22 from Judicial Drive; and the, and the other western access 23 point located at about the center point of the property. However, the Association objected to the proposed access 24 25 point on Bell Station Road, which is located directly across

1 from Judicial Drive. We maintain that opposition still to 2 today. Since Judicial Drive serves the Association, this 3 proposed access point directly across the street from Bell 4 Station Road would cause negative impacts upon the traffic 5 volume and speeds in the Association.

After speaking with his engineers, Mr. Gibbs said that the Applicant, his client, had agreed to revise and/or state a condition to their application which would keep the, which keeps the western-most access point on Bell Station Drive where it is, but eliminates the second eastern access point on Bell Station Road, which is immediately across from Judicial Drive in the Association.

13 In summary, we understand that the Applicant is now proposing one access point on Bell Station Road, pull in 14 15 and pull out access, eliminating the proposed access point across from Judicial Drive, which is that easternmost access 16 17 point; and they proposed one access point on State Route 193, right in, right out only. Mr. Gibbs advised that the 18 19 Transportation Division has accepted this proposal. Mr. 20 Gibbs and the Board of Directors have worked well together 21 to get to this point and feel that him and his client have 22 tried to address our concerns, and we appreciate that.

23 Mr. Gibbs did file a revision to the Site Plan 24 with the Planning Board to reflect the elimination of the 25 eastern access, easternmost access point on Bell Station

Road, which is directly across from Judicial Drive, and we 1 2 appreciate that. There are, and, and my comments and concerns on behalf of the Board of Directors do reflect many 3 4 lot owners in the Gabriel's Run Homeowner's Association. On 5 behalf of the Board of Directors of the Association, I advised Mr. Gibbs, and would inform the, the Planning Board 6 7 this morning, that the Gabriel's Run Homeowner's Association 8 does not oppose the proposed development of Bell Station 9 Center so long as the Applicant is permitted only one access point on Bell Station Road in the center of the project as 10 11 modified. Gabriel's Run Homeowner's Association opposes two access points on Bell Station Road and any proposed access 12 13 point which is proposed to be located directly across from Judicial Drive which serves its community. 14

15 We submitted written comments before noon on 16 Tuesday which reflected the comments that I'm making this 17 morning; and I do want to state that, and I understand that 18 other homeowner's may be wanting to make comments and would 19 welcome those as well, homeowners within the Gabriel's Run 20 Homeowner's Association. But I, after listening to comments 21 about trying to protect the, wanting to protect the museum, 22 Marietta Museum, I certainly respect that, those comments; 23 but we're, my comments are specifically directed toward the development, it impacts upon this development, upon my 24 25 client which is immediately across from Bell Station Road,

1 and so --

2

3

MR. CHAIR: Can I jump in, Mr. Suhar? MR. SUHAR: Go ahead.

MR. CHAIR: So, I just want to make sure so, the Commissioners and I'm understanding; and, first of all, I appreciate your clients' advocacy on this issue and, and your able representation of them. You've seen Condition 1F, which I believe directly addresses your issue. Are you comfortable with the language in 1F?

MR. SUHAR: I am, yes, so long as it, you know, I mean I think it reflects what I've said this morning.

MR. CHAIR: I think so, too. I just wanted to make sure. So --

14 MR. SUHAR: Yeah.

MR. CHAIR: -- it sounds like, bottom line, it sounds like you all are getting what you want on this based on the condition?

18 MR. SUHAR: Yes.

19 MR. CHAIR: Okay.

20 MR. SUHAR: Yeah. Yeah.

21 MR. CHAIR: That's what I --

22 MR. SUHAR: And from what I've heard and read from 23 the other conditions that were included in there, they're 24 not objectionable either.

25 MR. CHAIR: Okay.

1 MR. SUHAR: But any attempt to try and, you know, 2 I heard some comments this morning about, you know, still 3 wanting some kind of access on Judicial, you know, across 4 from Judicial Drive. The Association would, would oppose 5 that. 6 MR. CHAIR: I appreciate that. 7 MR. SUHAR: So, thank you very much. MR. CHAIR: No, thank you, Mr. Suhar, very much. 8 9 Commissioners, any questions for Mr. Suhar? 10 (No affirmative response.) Thank you. Thank you very much 11 MR. CHAIR: No? for, for your comments and your able representation for the 12 13 HOA as well. 14 MR. SUHAR: Thank you very much. 15 MR. CHAIR: Okay. So, let's see if Ms. Hopkins has something to, to say as well. We have a Ruthenia 16 17 Hopkins on the line? 18 MS. HOPKINS: Good morning, everybody. Well, Mr. 19 Suhar, he asked everything that I was going to say, so I'm 20 not going to repeat it. 21 MR. CHAIR: Okay. 22 MS. HOPKINS: But just to say it one more time, 23 it's just the access road; and I am still concerned with 24 even the new statement because it said, it has the unless 25 modified by DPIE with written documentation. So, sometimes

that unless can be, be still a little shaky. I just want to 1 2 make sure that it's understood that, I know they're doing transportation analysis, but unless you live in our 3 4 neighborhood and deal with the traffic that we see every 5 day, trying to get in, trying to get out around certain hours, it's, it's, you can't even, even if you leave at 5 6 7 o'clock in the morning, there's always a car turning, coming down the street. So, it's hard for us getting out every 8 9 day; and Mr. Stokes said it, you only have one way in and one way out. So, we just want to, that is just our biggest 10 11 concern. 12 Thank you, Ms. Hopkins. MR. CHAIR: 13 MS. HOPKINS: (Unintelligible.) MR. CHAIR: No, clear, clear as day and I 14 15 appreciate you taking the time to, to come online and to 16 make your voice heard, very helpful. 17 Okay. Any questions for Ms. Hopkins, Commissioners? 18 19 (No affirmative response.) 20 MR. CHAIR: Thank you very much. So, we have 21 heard from the proponents; we've heard from the opponents; 22 we've heard from the others. Any final thoughts or 23 questions from Commissioners; and if not -- sorry? Oh, Mr. Gibbs, I'm sorry, you wanted to, you, you said you want to 24 25 reserve the right as you, as you should to have any final

thoughts or comments too. So, let me turn it back to you,
 Mr. Gibbs.

Thank you very much. Appreciate 3 MR. GIBBS: Yes. 4 it, Mr. Chairman. So, first of all, with, with respect to 5 the traffic, I certainly appreciate everyone's comments from Gabriel's Run relative to traffic out there. You know, I, 6 7 I, I would, I mean, look, you, an application can pass the adequate public facilities test for traffic and folks that 8 9 live in the vicinity can still feel fairly that there's traffic. You know, so, so, obviously, no one can address 10 the, the desire that everyone would have to make sure 11 there's never traffic on a road; but I, I simply would note 12 13 that this project did go through and pass the adequate 14 public facilities test when we went through the Preliminary Subdivision Plan. 15

16 The other thing I would say is this. The, the, we 17 are proposing, as we did on the approved Preliminary 18 Subdivision Plan, we initially proposed two access points on the Bell Station Road and one onto 193. All of those were 19 20 shown on the approved Preliminary Subdivision Plan which was 21 certified. The State Highway Administration approved the 22 access onto 193 back at that time, as did the Planning 23 Board.

We 100 percent are in unison with Gabriel's Run that the easternmost driveway will not be there. We believe

very, we feel very confident that not just transportation is 1 2 okay with this, but that DPIE is going to be okay with this. We simply had to include them in the condition because 3 4 they're the entity that will have to, have to approve our 5 access permit since Bell Station Road is a County 6 thoroughfare; but we, we feel very confident that's going to 7 happen; we just, you know, you always have to plan for every 8 potential issue that may come up.

9 Now Mr. Wixon's comment, and I appreciate his comments, and it seems like the only thing we're down to 10 11 here is moving Building A. And Mr. Wixon's comments are, they, they, they represent sort of a misapprehension 12 13 because if you look at Condition F, it does not say, as Mr. Wixon posited, that if DPIE doesn't agree, then we'll just 14 15 have the access point across from Gabriel's Run's Judicial Drive. That is not what the condition says. The condition 16 17 said that there could conceivably have to be both if DPIE 18 didn't agree; but we would never, never agree to a situation 19 where we would delete the westernmost driveway because it 20 doesn't work for the layout; but even more so, it would 21 visit an intolerable transportation situation on Gabriel's 22 Run to have all the traffic from this, this, this center 23 come out directly across from Judicial Drive. So, the westernmost driveway is not going to be closed; and, and, 24 25 and that just bears upon the comment about moving the

1 building.

2	So, if you look at the Site Plan, you, you know,
3	Mr. Wixon basically is saying move it, one set of parking
4	spaces. That's 9 1/2 feet. And at this point, just ever so
5	briefly, I'd like to have Mr. Flanagan, if he could, bring
6	up the exhibit that we submitted into the record which is,
7	which is titled, Applicant's View Shed Photographs.
8	MR. CHAIR: Is that what you're looking for, Mr.
9	Gibbs?
10	MR. GIBBS: No, that's Mr. Lenhart's analysis that
11	Transportation wants in new 1F.
12	MR. CHAIR: All right. He'll, he, he's still
13	going.
14	MR. GIBBS: That's a revised yeah, that, that's
15	a truck turning exhibit. These are all things that we just
16	wanted to get into the record because we had gone over them
17	with staff. I think
18	MR. CHAIR: There we go.
19	MR. GIBBS: it's the very next exhibit. There
20	we go. All right. Now there we go. Okay. So, looking at
21	this photograph, the property is, is outlined in blue on the
22	east side of Maryland 193, Glenn Dale Boulevard. So, that
23	main thoroughfare which is a dual-laned median divided
24	highway separates my client's property, or the Marietta
25	

yeah, so, you'll see on Bell Station Road, which is a very, very narrow thoroughfare, as Mr. Wixon quite accurately described, runs westerly from 193 back into the woods there. And, and you'll see a series of numbers on this aerial photograph, 1 through 6; and those numbers represent where the following six photographs present a view shed from, okay?

So, how you see that number one is right up by the 8 9 intersection, and this is number one. So, if you were on, not at Marietta Museum, but all the way out at the stop sign 10 looking across 193, across all those lanes of traffic, 11 you'll see Bell Station Road on the left there on the other 12 13 side; and then all that treed area, which is going to remain, that is our site. So, that's the view shed not from 14 15 Marietta, but from the traffic signal on 193 looking east 16 over toward the Bell Station Center property. That's it.

Now if you can move to the, Mr. Flanagan, to the next photograph?

Okay. Now this is a, a, this is a Google Earth photograph that we took off of the Internet that's dated August of 2019; and this is just pulling the view back, you know, the first picture was way up at the intersection; and this, this picture is a Google Earth photographic image from further back on the, the 2-lane section of Bell Station Road west of 193. So, as you can see, it becomes more and more 1 difficult to see the Bell Station property which is way off
2 in the distance.

MR. CHAIR: Mr., Mr. Gibbs, let me jump in for a second because the, the purpose of this, I think this is, you know, to help us make sense of what the view shed impact is going to be. The problem is I don't have a sense of what the building elevations are behind those trees, so it's not clear to me what's going to be visible.

9 MR. GIBBS: Well, the, the, the trees are going to be, I mean, excuse me, the, it's only Building A. As your, 10 11 Jennifer Stabler in a referral memo dated April 12th of this year in this case where she analyzed all historic issues 12 13 associated with this Detailed Site Plan application; and in paragraph 3 of that memorandum, and in the, and in the 14 15 conclusions section, excuse me, not so much paragraph 3, but in her conclusions, she indicates that for the most part any 16 17 view shed issue would be associated with the northwest 18 corner of the Bell Station site, which is where Building A 19 is located; and Building A is located directly across behind 20 the trees that you would see.

The, the height of Building A is shown on the elevations and I'll get that for you; but it is well below the height of the trees.

24MR. CHAIR: That's all I'm looking for --25MR. GIBBS: And now --

MR. CHAIR: -- because if there's, if the tree 1 2 height is --3 MR. GIBBS: Okay. 4 If the tree height is such that you MR. CHAIR: 5 don't have to see Building A from any of these points, then, then, you know, you've made your point. 6 7 MR. GIBBS: Okay. I mean I can, I just wanted to real quickly go through the rest of these photographs --8 9 MR. CHAIR: Sure. 10 MR. GIBBS: -- just to -- Ms. Stabler came to the conclusion no further screening was necessary; and she's the 11 Historic Preservation analyst. This is the way the view 12 13 from the driveway into Marietta Museum looked, Google Earth, 14 July of 2008. You can see the trees that were present on 15 the Marietta site at that time, and the parking lot had not 16 yet been installed. Please scan to number four. 17 Number four is the Google Earth image from August 18 of 2019. As you see the mailboxes in the center there are 19 the same mailboxes that you were looking in 2008 image. 20 Marietta has installed a parking lot and, as you can see, 21 trees are missing from the end of the Marietta property. 22 And then go, please to number five. This is the 23 view in November 2021 at the stop sign on 193 looking back 24 toward Marietta Museum. And then number six, this is a 25 photograph that I took on May 23rd in from the driveway on

Marietta Museum looking out toward 193. So, I guess the 1 2 only point of all these photographs is, is, is to represent that the view shed really is not going to be impacted. 3 I'm 4 not going to say that if you go out to 193 in the middle of 5 the winter, you're not going to be able to see some 6 semblance of the building over there; but we are adding more 7 deciduous trees and evergreens behind Building A on our 8 Landscape Plan, and Ms. Stabler comes to the conclusion that 9 all of those things together provide the necessary protection for Marietta Museum. So, I'm sorry to be a 10 little windy on that, but it was important to get that to 11 you. So --12 13 MR. CHAIR: Thank you. MR. GIBBS: -- thank you very much, and, and 14 15 that's all we have; and, and I appreciate your time and consideration. 16 17 Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Gibbs. MR. CHAIR: So, 18 Commissioners, further discussion on this issue, questions 19 that you have for --20 COMMISSIONER GERALDO: No. 21 MR. CHAIR: -- Staff, Applicants, anyone? 22 (No affirmative response.) 23 MR. CHAIR: Okay. 24 COMMISSIONER GERALDO: No questions. 25 MR. CHAIR: Thank you all for your participation.

Mr. Wixon, do you have, do you have a question? 1 2 MR. WIXON: Yeah. I just wanted to, I don't know 3 if it's appropriate or not to, to respond to Mr. Gibbs' 4 comments. I just had a couple of questions. 5 MR. CHAIR: Mr. Wixon, actually, the, Mr. Gibbs 6 gets the last word, so we need to leave it at that. 7 MR. WIXON: I'm happy. I'm happy to give him another word after this; but he's raised a number of issues 8 that, that weren't raised to begin with. So, whatever the, 9 the Board's pleasure is, I appreciate it. 10 11 MR. CHAIR: I mean take a, if you want to take a quick minute if there's a point or two that you want to 12 13 make; and we'll give Mr. Gibbs the last word after that. 14 MR. WIXON: Thank you. First of all, with respect 15 to new F, I appreciate Mr. Gibbs clarifying that because I, 16 I had not appreciated that the western drive would stay in 17 any event; so, maybe it should be more clear in, in F; but 18 thanks for that. 19 With respect to the view shed from Marietta, I 20 will point out that Marietta runs a number of programs that 21 do take place in that parking area that was shown in, in Mr. 22 Gibbs' photographs; and including weekly farmer's markets 23 and the like. So, that, that portion of the property is, is

25 Gibbs' comment that the trees would stay. I had, I didn't

24

in use very frequently; and I was also interested in Mr.

have the impression that the trees that are there, the 1 2 existing trees were going to stay; rather, they were going to be torn out and replaced with, you know, with new 3 4 plantings; and we appreciate the, the, the Applicant's 5 desire or intent to plant deciduous and, and evergreen trees; but I'm not sure those trees that are there now are 6 7 going to remain there; and if they are, that would be a good 8 thing to, to be clear on in, with respect to this Detailed 9 Site Plan.

MR. CHAIR: Thank you, Mr. Wixon. Mr. Gibbs, you have, I'll give you the final word again. Do you have any response to Mr. Wixon's comments or anything else you want to add?

MR. GIBBS: Okay. Very good. Mr. Chairman, no, I have nothing further to add to what Mr. Wixon said. You know, I'll stand on Ms. Stabler's referral and on the photographs that we submitted. And I just would like to have Ms. Leonard confirm that we're not taking out any trees over there that we saw.

20 MR. CHAIR: Ms. Leonard, do you want to comment on 21 that?

MS. LEONARD: Sure. The trees that are shown in Mr. Gibbs' photos are within the right-of-way, and we are not removing any of those trees as part of our proposal, with the exception of the few that are in the vicinity of

1 the entrance that has been approved at 193.

2 MR. CHAIR: Understood. Okay. Thank you. Thanks 3 for that. Okay.

4 MR. GIBBS: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 5 MR. CHAIR: Thank you, Mr. Gibbs; and thanks, everybody. Commissioners, what is your pleasure? 6 7 COMMISSIONER GERALDO: Mr. Chair, I would move that we adopt the findings the Staff, and the conditions set 8 9 forth in the Staff Report as modified by Applicant's Exhibit 1, and further modified by a new condition 1F as set forth 10 11 in the new Applicant's Exhibit No. 8; and that we approve DSP-21031 and Type 2 Tree Conservation Plan TCP2-094-97-05. 12 13 MADAM VICE CHAIR: I think, a clarification wasn't read into the record, as well as, that's Item No. F. 14 15 MR. CHAIR: 1F? MADAM VICE CHAIR: I didn't hear --16 17 MR. CHAIR: The new 1F. 18 COMMISSIONER GERALDO: Item 1F was the new Item 1F 19 and it was set forth in the new Exhibit 8. 20 MADAM VICE CHAIR: Okay. Great. Thank you. COMMISSIONER GERALDO: Uh-huh. 21 22 MADAM VICE CHAIR: And a second. 23 MR. CHAIR: So, we have a motion by Commissioner 24 Geraldo; a second by Vice Chair Bailey. Discussion on the 25 motion? Under discussion, the only thing I would say is

1	just to, to thank Mr. Gibbs, your, your clients and Mr.
2	Wixon, Mr. Suhar, all the homeowner's associations. This is
3	a good example of where this kind of community engagement
4	leads a better project. So, I'm, I'm happy to see all the
5	changes that have been made and I'm looking forward to the
6	successful completion of this one. So, if there's no
7	further discussion, I will call the roll.
8	Commissioner Geraldo?
9	COMMISSIONER GERALDO: I vote aye.
10	MR. CHAIR: Vice Chair Bailey?
11	MADAM VICE CHAIR: Aye.
12	MR. CHAIR: Commissioner Doerner?
13	COMMISSIONER DOERNER: I vote aye.
14	MR. CHAIR: I vote aye as well. The ayes have it,
15	4-0. Thank you, everybody, for your time.
16	MR. GIBBS: Thank you very much.
17	MS. LEONARD: Thank you very much.
18	(Whereupon, the proceedings were concluded.)
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

DIGITALLY SIGNED CERTIFICATE

DEPOSITION SERVICES, INC., hereby certifies that the attached pages represent an accurate transcript of the electronic sound recording of the proceedings before the Prince George's County Planning Board in the matter of:

> BELL STATION CENTER, PARCEL B Detailed Site Plan, DSP-21031

racy Hahn Date: August 5, 2022 By:

Tracy Hahn, Transcriber