| 1 | THE PRINCE GEORGE'S COUNTY PLANNING BOARD OF |
|----|------------------------------------------------------------|
| 2 | THE MARYLAND-NATIONAL CAPITAL PARK AND PLANNING COMMISSION |
| 3 | |
| 4 | |
| 5 | 7. DSP-21032 5801 ARBOR STREET PROPERTY |
| 6 | Regular Meeting, PPS DSP-21032 |
| 7 | |
| 8 | TRANSCRIPT |
| 9 | O F |
| 10 | PROCEEDINGS |
| 11 | |
| 12 | COUNTY ADMINISTRATION BUILDING |
| 13 | Upper Marlboro, Maryland |
| 14 | April 27, 2023 |
| 15 | VOLUME 1 of 1 |
| 16 | DEEODE • |
| 17 | BEFORE: |
| 18 | PETER A. SHAPIRO, Chairman |
| 19 | DOROTHY F. BAILEY, Madam Vice-Chair |
| 20 | MANUEL R. GERALDO, Commissioner |
| 21 | A. SHUANISE WASHINGTON, Commissioner |
| 22 | WILLIAM M. DOERNER, Commissioner |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |

| 1 | OTHER: | |
|----|-------------------------------|----------------------------|
| 2 | DOMINIQUE LOCKHART, Staff | |
| 3 | THOMAS BURKE, Staff | |
| 4 | KENNETH FLANAGAN, Staff | |
| 5 | JAMES HUNT, Division Chief, D | evelopment Review Division |
| 6 | DELISA COLEMAN, Senior Counse | :1 |
| 7 | NATHANIEL A. FORMAN, Attorney | for Applicant |
| 8 | | |
| 9 | | |
| 10 | <u>C O N T E N</u> | T S |
| 11 | <u>SPEAKER</u> | PAGE |
| 12 | Dominique Lockhart | 4 |
| 13 | Nathaniel Forman | 6 |
| 14 | Nicole Nies | 8 |
| 15 | | |
| 16 | | |
| 17 | | |
| 18 | | |
| 19 | | |
| 20 | | |
| 21 | | |
| 22 | | |
| 23 | | |
| 24 | | |
| 25 | | |
| | и | |

<u>PROCEEDINGS</u>

CHAIRMAN: We move on to our next item, Item 7, on our agenda. This is DSP-21032 5801 Arbor Street Property.

This was continued from March 16th, 2023, Planning Board Meeting. This is a request for a continuance again.

We'll turn to Ms. Lockhart who will give the staff presentation.

 $\,$ And I also want to note that Mr. Forman will be representing the applicant.

We did have one or two folks who signed up to speak. I'm not sure they're aware that this is a request for continuance, but after we hear from staff and the applicant, we'll turn to them to afford them the opportunity to speak. But again, this is a request for a continuance.

Ms. Coleman?

MS. COLEMAN: I just wanted to remind the Chair that he needs to swear in any persons who are going to testify today if the people who signed up to speak want to actually speak.

CHAIRMAN: So is that in -- all right. I just want to make sure. So this is -- even though it's a request for a continuance, Ms. Coleman, advise me, do they have any opportunity to speak on the merits of the case if this is just a request for a continuance?

MS. COLEMAN: No, it would still be based upon the

- continuance, but if you're giving them the opportunity to

 speak, and it's kind of part of the process of whether or

 not the Board is going to grant the continuance, you should

 swear them in.
 - CHAIRMAN: Okay. I don't have my swear-in language from the evidentiary hearing, so I think it's safe to say if I do the traditional swear or affirm to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth; is that adequate?
 - MS. COLEMAN: That would work.

- CHAIRMAN: Okay. Great. All right. So if we get to that, we'll get to that. So we'll start -- thank you for that, Ms. Coleman.
- So we'll start with Ms. Lockhart for staff presentation. Take it away. The floor is yours.
- MS. LOCKHART: Okay. Thank you. Again, for the record, I am Dominique Lockhart with the Zoning Section.

 Item number 7 on the agenda is a request for a continuance of Detailed Site Plan DSP-21032, titled 5801 Arbor Street.

 The applicant for this case has requested a continuance to the June 29th planning board agenda to allow the town of Cheverly Planning Board to make a recommendation on the proposed Detailed Site Plan.
- Staff recommends approval of this request for continuance. Thank you.

1 CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Ms. Lockhart. 2 Commissioners, questions for staff on the request for continuance? 3 4 COMMISSIONER DOERNER: Yeah, I have a quick 5 question. So I don't think this was in the town of 6 Cheverly's jurisdiction. I just wanted to verify if it was. CHAIRMAN: You mean within the boundaries of the 7 town itself? 8 9 COMMISSIONER DOERNER: Yeah, like, I don't this property is actually annexed within the city limits. 10 11 could be wrong, so I just wanted to verify that. 12 CHAIRMAN: Do you have that Ms. Lockhart, or 13 perhaps Mr. Forman will be able to get to that? 14 MR. HUNT: Mr. Chair, this is James Hunt with the 15 Development Review Division. I believe the -- it may not be 16 within the town's jurisdiction. However, since it's within 17 one mile radius of the town, that's the reason why we have 18 to reach out to the town of Cheverly. 19 COMMISSIONER DOERNER: Okay. And did they -- so 20 my subsequent question would be, like, why didn't we have that already in the staff report? Because we didn't have 21 22 anything in there about recommendations or whether or not we had reached out to the Town if they didn't provide any 23 24 recommendations.

MS. LOCKHART: They haven't provided any comments

25

1 to us as of yet. It was referred out to them, but they
2 haven't given us any documentation.

COMMISSIONER DOERNER: Okay. So it might be useful just going forward if we do have cases that are within a jurisdiction of a municipality or that's within that one-mile limit, if they just haven't responded, that it would be helpful, I think, to have that in the staff report just to kind of cross all of our t's and dot all of our i's. But that's it for me.

MR. HUNT: Yeah, absolutely.

CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Commissioner.

Any other questions for staff?

I'll turn to Mr. Forman. If you could introduce yourself for the record?

MR. FORMAN: Good morning, Mr. Chair and members of the Planning Board. My name is Nate Forman, and I am the attorney here on behalf of the applicant in this case. We are in agreement with Park and Planning staff about the continuance. I do want to thank them for their continued assistance in trying to give us patience while we do work with the town of Cheverly on this project.

And Commissioner Doerner, if I can maybe answer your question about why the Town has not provided any recommendations yet, in that we have been working with both the town of Cheverly, mayor, and city council, and their

planning board which serves as an advisory body on planning and zoning matters that are of interest to the town. And we've had time to make sure that when they do respond that they are going to be recommending support. So I think they haven't made any final decisions yet, and that's why there's been no -- anything introduced in the record.

We've had issues with getting everyone to common ground. The Town only meets once a month, and the planning board only meets once a month. So when we don't get into a meeting in time, we kind of miss the window and a whole month goes by before we can actually get forward with any sort of discussion or debates among the whole planning board or among the whole mayor and town council.

It is my understanding that after having met with the mayor and town council at least twice, the town of Cheverly planning board at least three times to talk about concerns and modifications to our request, that we will, when we come back in June, we will have, not only the recommendation of support from the town of Cheverly, but a revised detailed site plan that we think would be more in line, or at least more appropriate for what would be aligned with the planning goals and principals in this property.

CHAIRMAN: Mr. Forman, let me -- let's not get anywhere close to the merits of it, though, because this is just a request for a continuance.

```
1
              MR. FORMAN: Okay. My apologies, Mr. Chair.
2
    yeah, yes. So I hope that provides a little bit of
 3
    background as to why we haven't heard from the town of
 4
    Cheverly yet.
 5
              CHAIRMAN:
                        Thank you, Mr. Forman. Anything else
 6
    you want to bring to us, Mr. Forman?
7
              MR. FORMAN: No. Just thank you very much for
    your time and opportunity to speak this morning, and we are
9
    in favor of the continuance request.
10
              CHAIRMAN:
                         Thank you.
              Commissioners, any questions for the applicant?
11
12
              All right. Seeing none, I have two folks on the
13
    sign-up sheet by the name of Nicole Nies and Sabino Epiceno.
14
              Are you both of you on the line? Are either of
15
    you on the line? If so, can you either make your voice
16
    heard or show up on camera?
17
              MS. NIES: Yes, I'm on the line. This is Nicole
18
    Nies.
19
              CHAIRMAN: Okay. Mr. -- I think it's a Mr. --
20
    Epiceno, Sabino?
21
              Okay. So just Ms. Nies.
22
              Ms. Nies, you do know that what is before is only
    a request for a continuance. Is that what you wish to speak
23
24
    to?
```

MS. NIES: Yes.

25

CHAIRMAN: Okay. All right. Then I'm going to swear you in. Do you solemnly swear or affirm that the testimony you will give will be the whole truth and nothing but the truth?

MS. NIES: Yes.

CHAIRMAN: Okay. Thank you. Consider yourself sworn in, and you have up to three minutes to speak, and the floor is yours.

MS. NIES: All right. Thank you. My name is -CHAIRMAN: I need you to identify yourself on the record formally, by the way, Ms. Nies.

MS. NIES: Sounds good. My name is Nicole Nies. I'm the Cheverly West 828 President, which is neighboring to the property in question. In regard to the continuance, this has been on the agenda monthly since December, and the applicant did have the opportunity to meet with the town of Cheverly more than once. So I do have a concern in issuing this continuance that the intention behind it is that we keep punting it down the road so that neighbors who have signed up to speak in the past and address their comments maybe miss the meeting, like has happened with one of our neighbors, Sabino Epiceno, to voice their opposition to it and to present their testimony. So it has been monthly since December, and I know that they have already met with the Town.

1 And we have talked with the lawyer Nate Forman 2 ourselves in discussion with our concerns, so we would just 3 hope that if this is continued again, maybe that we could 4 put a firm deadline on this, just because we've been attending these meetings monthly since December, and they 5 6 have had a chance to meet with the Town. And we live in 7 closer proximity than the Town. Thank you, Ms. Nies. I appreciate you CHAIRMAN: 9 taking the time to come testify. Some of this is -- the procedures are such that their request for continuance can 10 11 be made, and we consider them at the time. I would 12 certainly hope that this June 29th is ample time for the 13 applicant so that this will be a final, as you suggest. 14 There's things that are not quite in our control around 15 that, but we hear you loud and clear. So thank you, again, 16 for taking the time and coming to speak with us. 17 Commissioners, any other questions for Ms. Nies? 18 Okay. There's no one else who signed up to speak, 19 so I'll close this hearing such as it is, and it's just a 20 request for continuance. And what is your pleasure? 21 COMMISSIONER WASHINGTON: Mr. Chairman, I move 22 that we approve the request for continuance to June 29th for

MADAM VICE CHAIR: Second.

23

24

25

DSP-21032.

CHAIRMAN: I've got a motion by Commissioner

```
1
    Washington with second by Commissioner Bailey.
              Any discussion on the motion for continuance?
2
 3
              COMMISSIONER DOERNER: Yeah, discussion. Do we
 4
    need the applicant to waive the action limit date, and --
 5
              COMMISSIONER WASHINGTON: It's already been waived
 6
    according to the staff report.
7
              COMMISSIONER DOERNER: Well, was it waived until
    to -- was it waived until that particular date?
8
9
              COMMISSIONER WASHINGTON: There's no date on it.
10
              CHAIRMAN: (Indiscernible).
11
              MS. LOCKHART: My understanding it was waived
12
    indefinitely previously.
13
              CHAIRMAN: Well, (indiscernible).
14
              COMMISSIONER DOERNER: Okay. Okay.
15
              CHAIRMAN: Excellent. Thank you for asking. All
16
    right. If there's no further discussion, did I begin to
17
    call the roll already?
18
              COMMISSIONER WASHINGTON:
19
              MADAM VICE CHAIR: You did not.
20
              CHAIRMAN: Okay. Great. I will now.
21
              Commissioner Washington?
22
              COMMISSIONER WASHINGTON: Vote aye.
23
              CHAIRMAN: Commissioner Bailey?
24
              MADAM VICE CHAIR: Vote aye.
25
              CHAIRMAN: Commissioner Geraldo?
```

| 1 | COMMISSIONER GERALDO: I vote aye. |
|----|-------------------------------------------------|
| 2 | CHAIRMAN: Commissioner Doerner? |
| 3 | COMMISSIONER DOERNER: Vote aye. |
| 4 | CHAIRMAN: I vote aye as well. The ayes have it, |
| 5 | 5-0. |
| 6 | (Whereupon, the proceedings were concluded.) |
| 7 | |
| 8 | |
| 9 | |
| 10 | |
| 11 | |
| 12 | |
| 13 | |
| 14 | |
| 15 | |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |

DIGITALLY SIGNED CERTIFICATE

ESCRIBERS, LLC, hereby certified that the attached pages represent an accurate transcript of the electronic sound recording of the proceedings before the Prince George's County Planning Board in the matter of:

7. DSP-21032 5801 ARBOR STREET PROPERTY 4-27-2023

Regular Meeting, PPS DSP-21032

By: Stacy L. Grate Date: August 6, 2023

Stacy L. Grate, Transcriber