Reference No: CR-25-1991 AGENDA ITEM SUMMARY Draft No: 1 Prince George's Meeting Date: 3/26/91 County Council Requester: College Pk. Item Title: A Resolution to approve the enactment of Section 26-9L of the City of College Park concerning off-street parking Sponsors MC P в с Date Presented Executive Action Committee Referral(1) 3/26/91 P&Z Effective Date Committee Action (1) 4/25/91 HELD Date Introduced 3/26/91 Pub. Hearing Date (\_) \_\_/\_\_/\_\_ \_:\_\_ :\_\_ \_ Council Action Council Votes Pass/Fail Remarks

Ralph E. Grutzmacher Resource

Drafter: Legislative Officer Personnel:

## LEGISLATIVE HISTORY

## PLANNING AND ZONING COMMITTEE REPORT

Held in Committee.

This resolution would require any off-street parking of vehicles in the City of College Park to be on a surface completely covered by asphalt, brick, block, concrete, contained gravel, contained crushed stone, or structurally sound porous materials. The Mayor of College Park, Director of Public Services, and several City Councilmembers spoke in support of the resolution. Approximately eighteen people spoke in opposition to the legislation, and numerous letters and petitions were submitted.

DATE: 4/25/91

The Committee agreed to hold the resolution, and requested that the City of College Park work with Council staff on resolving the three main concerns of the Committee. These three concerns are: the definition of "contained", the definition of "completely covered", and the inconsistency between these regulations and the County's lot coverage requirements.

## BACKGROUND INFORMATION/FISCAL IMPACT (Includes reason for proposal, as well as any unique statutory requirements)

Pursuant to Article 28, Section 8-112.1 of the Maryland Annotated Code, municipalities within the Maryland-Washington Metropolitan District are permitted to enact certain ordinances affecting parking in residential and commercial zones subject to the approval of the District Council. The proposed City of College Park ordinance proposes off-street parking regulations which are not in conflict with and are at least as restrictive as County laws.