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RECORDING: Recording in progress.
HEARING EXAMINER MCNEIL: Thank
you all. So where we left off --
Ms. McCall, I believe you have some exhibits that you want to introduce, and I'm going to try to pull them up when it comes time for you to testify, and you'll have to explain what they are; and then, if there's no objection, they'll also become part of the record. Okay.

So, Mr. Biase, you may start the hearing.

VINCE BIASE: Thank you,
Madam Examiner. We would call Ms. Victoria Ballesteros.

HEARING EXAMINER MCNEIL:
Ms. Ballesteros, you're still -- no. You may not be under oath. I'm trying to remember.

Do you swear or affirm, under the penalties of perjury, that the testimony you shall give will be the truth and
nothing but the truth?
VICTORIA BALLESTEROS: I do.
HEARING EXAMINER MCNEIL: Okay.
EXAMINATION
BY VINCE BIASE:
Q Okay. Good morning,
Ms. Ballesteros. Can you please state your
full name and business address for the record?

A Victoria Ballesteros, 9500 Medical Center Drive, Suite 370, Largo, Maryland, 20774 .

Q And where are you currently employed?

A I am employed at ATCS PLC, which does business as ATCS.

Q What is your current position with ATCS?

A I am a vice president and operations manager.

Q What are some of your duties in your current role as a vice president and
operations manager at ATCS?
A In 2018, I was hired at ATCS to open our Largo office. Though the company has had a presence in Maryland since opening in 1994, there was desire to open a Largo office to better serve our Metro Maryland clients.

I opened the Lagoe office in January of 2019 and have been responsible for managing the office since that time. In my role as vice president and operations manager, I manage a team of engineers, engineers-in-training, designers, land surveyors, and subconsultants to engineer and entitle land development projects in Prince George's County and surrounding Metro Maryland counties.

I'm responsible for project management, quality control management, client and contract management, and on some projects I'm the engineer of record.

Q And are you a licensed engineer in
the state of Maryland? And, if so, how long have you been licensed as a professional engineer?

A I am a licensed engineer in the state of Maryland. I sat for the professional engineering exam in Arlington, Virginia, in spring 2018 and earned my license in Virginia in June of that year. I applied for reciprocity in Maryland and earned my license in Maryland in September of 2018. I have been continuously licensed in both Virginia and Maryland since 2018.

Q And can you please briefly describe your educational background?

A My formal education includes a bachelor's of science in civil engineering from Clarkson University and a master's of business administration, also from Clarkson University. As required by the Code of Maryland Regulations, I also participate in annual continuing education to retain my professional licensure.

Q Is Exhibit 72, in the ZHE's updated record, a copy of your resume? And, if so, does it accurately reflect your educational background and professional experience?

A Yes, that is my resume, and it accurately reflects my education and professional experience as a professional engineer.

Q In your role as a professional engineer, your resume describes extensive experience working in the state of Maryland. Can you speak to some of your experience as a professional engineer within the state of Maryland and specifically Prince George's County?

A Sure. I first began interning in Maryland land development engineering in 2009. In 2012, I began working in Maryland land development engineering part time, and I began working in Maryland land development engineering full time in 2014. I was
licensed in Maryland in 2018 and have been working in the state as a licensed professional engineer since that time.

In that time, I've worked on dozens of projects all over Maryland, in Baltimore County, Baltimore City, Anne Arundel, Montgomery, Frederick, Prince George's, Charles Cecil Calvert, and also in Washington, D.C. and Virginia.

Since opening ATCS's office, I've been the project manager, engineer of record, and quality control manager for a number of residential, mixed use, commercial, industrial, and institutional projects in Prince George's County.

My responsibilities have included performing and overseeing land development engineering work, including planning, site layouts, wet utility design, roadway design, site grading, and drainage design as well as stormwater management design and modeling, erosion and sediment control design, bond
estimates, permit processing, scheduling, construction documents, entitlements, and multidisciplinary coordination.

Relevant to my familiarity with the Alexander property and this project, I am the project manager and engineer of record for the Heppe property and for the Spirit of God Deliverance Church property which both front Westphalia Road and neighbor the Alexander Property to the west.

Q In your role as a professional engineer, your resume also describes experience offering and preparing civil engineering plans that are associated with development applications. Are these plans typically relied on by various state, county, municipal, and/or federal agencies in issuing permits for the development of real property?

A Yes. The plans I have prepared have been approved by various government agencies for the development of property.

Q And have you ever qualified as an expert witness before any court or administrative agency in your professional career?

A I have not previously qualified as an expert witness before any court or administrative agency.

Madam Examiner, at this time we would move to have Ms. Ballesteros qualified as an expert witness in the field of civil engineering for this hearing.

HEARING EXAMINER MCNEIL:
Mr. Brown, do you have any questions?
ANDREW BROWN: Yes. One or two. VOIR DIRE

Good morning, Ms. Ballesteros.
How are you?
VICTORIA BALLESTEROS: I'm great, thank you. Good morning.

ANDREW BROWN: Good. I have reviewed your resume, which is what, 10 or 11, 12, 13 pages? And just some of the
basic questions are -- ATCS. What does that acronym stand for?

VICTORIA BALLESTEROS: It stands
for A Total Consulting Service. It was going to be TCS, but when the company opened in 1994, phone books were still relevant; so the founders put an $A$ in front of TCS so that they would come up first in the phone book.

ANDREW BROWN: Okay. That's basic enough. And looking at your resume, you've got a master's of business administration from Clarkson University and a bachelor of science and civil engineering.

Any other educational accomplishments other than those two?

VICTORIA BALLESTEROS: No. No, sir. Those are the two degrees that I hold. ANDREW BROWN: The educational requirements for maintaining your license in the state of Maryland and Virginia -- what are those educational requirements if any?

VICTORIA BALLESTEROS: In
Maryland, folks are required to complete eight hours of continuing education annually; and in Virginia, it's 12 hours.

ANDREW BROWN: And you have taken those courses; correct?

VICTORIA BALLESTEROS: Yes, sir.
We have to certify to that when we renew our license every two years.

ANDREW BROWN: You also testified a moment ago that you've never been qualified in the field in which you're being proffered today. Have you ever sought to be qualified --

What was it, Mr. Biase, you're proffering her as? Civil --

VINCE BIASE: Civil engineering.
ANDREW BROWN: Civil engineering.
Have you ever sought to be
qualified as a civil engineer in any case, administrative or judicial, prior to today?

VICTORIA BALLESTEROS: I have not
sought to be qualified in any case prior to today.

ANDREW BROWN: I'm just curious. Why not?

VICTORIA BALLESTEROS: I haven't had the opportunity even though I've worked on various site plans for development applications similar to this one.

ANDREW BROWN: And you are the engineer of record on this case?

VICTORIA BALLESTEROS: I am not the engineer of record. Andrew Brown, who is a project manager that reports directly to me, is the engineer of record on this case.

ANDREW BROWN: So the Statement of Justification in support of a variance for the removal of specimen trees which was apparently electronically signed by you -who prepared that document?

VICTORIA BALLESTEROS: I prepared
the Statement of Justification for the
variance of specimen trees.
ANDREW BROWN: And that's
something you plan to testify on today?
VICTORIA BALLESTEROS: Yes, sir.
ANDREW BROWN: The Alexander
Landing Special Exception Statement of Justification -- which, in my copy, it's not signed by anyone, but as I recall at the last hearing, you had some role in preparing that.

Who prepared the Alexander Landing Special Exception Statement of Justification?

VICTORIA BALLESTEROS: The Statement of Justification was prepared, to my understanding, by the offices of CL Hatcher, and I reviewed that document. ANDREW BROWN: All right. But that was not prepared by you; correct?

VICTORIA BALLESTEROS: Correct.
ANDREW BROWN: And in a document
filed over the last week concerning Special

Exception 4856, Alexander Landing, applicant's response to People's Zoning Council, there is a comment in here that you plan to address. I will read it on the last page.

Any relevant conclusions of law that Park and Planning Environmental Planning staff has supported.

You don't plan to testify on any conclusions of law, do you?

VICTORIA BALLESTEROS: I do not.
ANDREW BROWN: I don't have any objection to Ms. Ballesteros being accepted as an expert in the field of civil engineering, but I just want to qualify she is not being offered as an expert in land planning, nor is she a legal expert.

And so her testimony, I think, Madam Examiner, should be limited to the field of civil engineering in which she is being proffered.

HEARING EXAMINER MCNEIL: I agree.

And she will be accepted as an expert in the area of civil engineering.

VINCE BIASE: Thank you,
Madam Examiner.
BY VINCE BIASE:
Q Ms. Ballesteros, are you familiar with the property which is the subject of this application?

A I am familiar with it.
Q And did the applicant engage you to prepare the site plan for this special exception application?

A Yes. I was engaged to prepare the site plan and all related civil engineering plans.

Q Are you familiar with the necessary requirements for preparation of a special exception site plan?

A Yes, I am familiar with the requirements for preparation of a special exception site plan as described in

Subtitle 27, Part IV, of the Prince

George's County Code.
Q And are you familiar with the Prince George's County Environmental

Technical Manual?
A Yes, I'm familiar with the Prince
George's County Environmental Technical Manual.

Q Do you participate in the planning and engineering design capacity of this application as well?

A Yes, I participated in the planning and engineering design capacity of the site plan and special exception application. Ms. and Mr. Alexander's relative, Ms. Rochelle Brown (phonetic), emailed me in December of 2020 regarding the Alexander's property.

In January 2021, I introduced the Alexander's to Mr. Burke, and in March 2021, I laid out a schematic sketch for the Age Restricted Villa Project using GIS data. The plan was drafted by an engineer-in-
training in my office.
Through spring 20- -- spring and
summer of 2021, the layout was refined as we obtained land surveying data and environmental data from the Natural

Resources Inventory. By fall of 2021, the layout that is included in this application was largely conceived. The layout included feedback that we received from meetings that the applicant and I and other folks on my team had with Park and Planning Staff, WSSC, and DPIE. Findings from the Natural Resources Inventory and field run topography greatly influenced the layout that you -- is included in this application.

The biggest influences were to prioritize the preservation of specimen trees along the southern property line and to avoid impacts to the pocket wetlands on the southeast property corner rather than pushing lots and development to the
perimeter of the site, which is how the site was originally laid out, based on GIS topography.

The site is configured to be
further north and is a much more compact design to cluster development along

Westphalia Road and preserve environmental features along the southern property lines.

In January 2022, I began coordination with the consulting team, including Dave Nelson regarding the special exception application for this project, and in February 2022, I mailed notices for the special exception and Specimen Tree Variance Request.

I oversaw the development of the site development concept plan for the application, which was submitted in March 2022 and later that month the first special exception draft plan and Specimen Tree Variance Requests were -- and the Statement of Justification were mailed, through the
project, to the project team, and those documents were directly prepared by me or under my professional supervision.

Shortly thereafter, Mr. Andrew Brown, who is also a professional engineer and a project manager on my team, took over the day-to-day project management of the engineering and entitlements for the project. I've continued to remain involved with the project as a quality control manager, reviewing plans, reports, and supporting documents for engineering and entitlements' applications and participating in meetings with the applicant, subconsultants, and applicable review agencies as requested.

Q Are you familiar with the required findings for approval of a variance from the Prince George's County Woodland Conservation Ordinance?

A Yes, I am familiar with the required findings for a variance from the
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Woodland Conservation Ordinance. An applicant may request a variance from the Woodland Conservation Ordinance as part of the review of a TCP or owning to special features of the site or other circumstances, implementation of the ordinance would result in unwarranted hardship to an applicant.

Q And I know you just mentioned your involvement in the project, but just for the record, are any waivers or variances for impacts to regulated environmental features associated with this special exception application?

A Yes. The applicant has requested a variance from Section 25-122(b)(1)(G) of the Prince George's County Woodland Conservation Ordinance for the removal of 25 on-site specimen trees.

Q What environmental preservation, restoration, and/or mitigation strategies are employed with this application?

A Per the Environmental Technical

Manual, regulated environmental features are to be preserved in and/or restored to a natural state to the fullest extent possible. The determination of fullest extent possible, as described in the Environmental Technical Manual, is a three-step process that starts with avoidance, minimization, and mitigation.

As I described, the site layout has incorporated the idea of avoidance as we've clustered development closer to Westphalia Road to avoid conflict with specimen trees on the southern property lines, and we've also met extensively with the Environmental Planning section to discuss, you know, how we can further avoid impacts to specimen trees and how, where we do have impacts, we can minimize those impacts.

Q And just for the record, again, did you prepare the Statement of Justification in support of a variance from

Subtitle 25 for the removal of specimen trees which is included as Exhibit 3?

A Yes, I prepared the Letter of Justification.

Q And can you please speak to how the Statement of Justification, in support of the variance, was prepared and what specific criteria was analyzed?

A I evaluated the subject property special exception site plan and the TCP2-006-2023 against the applicable requirements outlined in the Prince George's County Zoning Ordinance, the Environmenta Technical Manual, and Woodland Conservation Ordinance.

In drafting the Statement of Justification, I specifically analyzed the criteria for approval of a variance from the Woodland Conservation Ordinance which require that special conditions peculiar to the property have caused the unwarranted hardship; enforcement of these rules will
deprive the applicant of rights commonly enjoyed by others in similar areas; granting the variance will not confer on the applicant a special privilege that would be denied to other applicants; the request is not based on conditions or circumstances which are the result of the actions by the applicant; the request does not arise from a condition relating to land or building use, either permitted or nonconforming on a neighboring property; and granting the variance will not adversely affect water quality.

Q Do you -- do you further
incorporate and adopt, as your testimony here today, that Letter of Justification?

A Yes, I do.
Q Does the Maryland-National Capital Park and Planning Commission's Environmental Planning staff and Development Review staff support the Statement of Justification in support of a variance from Subtitle 25?

A Yes. EPS and Development Review support the request for the removal of on-site specimen trees. Technical staff supported the removal of 23 of the 25 requested specimen trees, finding that the steep slopes and the isolated wetland restrict the development envelope which are special conditions peculiar to the property. The locations of the trees proposed to be removed would deprive the applicant of the extent of use of the developable area of the property for a permitted use, which is commonly enjoyed by others; that other applicants with properties with similar circumstances would be similarly considered; that the request is solely based on the locations of the trees; that the request does not arise from conditions of neighboring properties; and that the granting variance will not adversely affect water quality.

You know, however, for specimen
trees 7 and 41, staff suggested a deferral of their evaluation until future development review with a preliminary plan of subdivision. It's important to note that specimen tree 41 was originally proposed to be preserved in our original TCP2 submittal. At the time, staff was skeptical that it could be saved, and we ultimately changed it to be removed, and we have correspondence back and forth with staff discussing this. In my expert opinion, the recommendation of holding off on these two trees might be problematic because the special exception site plan represents the plan on which permits must be based. If further evaluation of the two trees requires adjustments of improvements, the special exception site plan would not -- would need to be revised.

I believe it might be possible to
save the two trees in question. Specimen
tree 7 isn't in development areas. It's
along the property line. It does have substantial critical root zone impacts proposed. We have proposed it as being to be removed because of the condition that was noted in the Natural Resources Inventory of that tree.

Specimen tree 41 may also be able to be retained, but due to off-site topographic conditions, we are proposing that it be removed. I would recommend that the requested variance for the removal of all 25 trees be approved with this special exception application. This action allows for their ultimate removal, if that proves appropriate, but would also still allow future revisions of the Tree Conservation Plan which could be accomplished at a staff level or by the Planning Board as appropriate to the stage of future development review. This would allow for preserving the trees if the future evaluation deems that they are definitively
savable without requiring adjustment of the improvements on the special exception site plan.

Q And given your involvement in preparing the Statement of Justification in support of a variance from Subtitle 25, have you reviewed the Tree Conservation Plan Type 2, TCP2-006-2023, associated with the subject application?

A Yes, I have reviewed and extensively analyzed TCP2-006-2023.

Q And in your capacity as an expert
in civil engineering, does the proposed site plan conform with the Type 2 Tree Conservation Plan?

A Yes, the proposed site plan conforms with the TCP2.

Q Did you assist in preparing the Letter of Justification for impacts to environmental features which is included as Exhibit 45 in this matter?

A Yes, I assisted in preparing the

Letter of Justification through a review of the letter.

Q And do you further incorporate and adopt, as your testimony here today, that Letter of Justification?

A Yes, I do.
Q In your capacity as an expert in civil engineering, does the proposed site plan demonstrate the preservation and/or restoration of the regulated environmental features on site in a natural state to the fullest extent possible?

A Yes, the proposed site plan
demonstrates the preservation and/or restoration of the regulated environmental features on site in a natural state to the fullest extent possible. Looking at the tree conservation plan, the specimen trees that are preserved and retained in Woodland Preservation areas along the southern property line are very extensive. The pocket wetlands that are on
the southeast corner of the site are not impacted at all because of the design being clustered around Westphalia Road and, where possible, you know, additional reforestation has been proposed on site and, you know, impacts for specimen trees -- and impacts to specimen trees and the wetland buffer have been limited to that which is required for stormwater management outfall and utility crossings.

Q Have you reviewed the applicant's Statement of Justification for approval of a special exception which is identified as Exhibit 4?

A Yes, I have reviewed the Statement of Justification. Do you further incorporate and adopt as your testimony here today that Statement of Justification?

A Yes, I do.
Q Are you familiar with the Technical Staff Report as amended by Development Review Staff's April 19th
clarifying memo?
A Yes, I am.
Q And do you agree with technical --
the Technical Staff Report's findings of
fact and proposed conditions of approval as amended by Development Review Staff's April 19th clarifying memo?

A Yes, I do.
Q In your capacity as an expert in civil engineering, will the approval of this application cause any adverse impact upon the adjacent properties or surrounding neighborhood?

A No, it will not.
Q And in your capacity as an expert in civil engineering, will the approval of this application have any detrimental effect on the health, safety, or welfare of residents in the surrounding neighborhood?

A No, it will not. VINCE BIASE: Thank you,

Ms. Ballesteros.

Those are all the questions we have for Ms. Ballesteros, Madam Examiner. HEARING EXAMINER MCNEIL: Before I turn to People's Zoning Council, I wanted to point out the same issue occurred with the tree conservation plan. We did have it as Exhibit 670, I believe --

VINCE BIASE: I think it was --
HEARING EXAMINER MCNEIL: -- and now it's Exhibit 76. I just wanted to clarify that for the record.

VINCE BIASE: Yeah. I think it was 69 in --

HEARING EXAMINER MCNEIL: 69, right. And now it's --

VINCE BIASE: -- the August 2nd hearing.

HEARING EXAMINER MCNEIL: -- 76.
And, Ms. Ballesteros, I just have one quick question. And mine is are you familiar with Section 25-119(d)(2) which requires that a notice of this variance be
sent to the State Department of Natural
Resources? And are you familiar with staff's letter to me about that, dated June 13th? Are you familiar with either of those?

THE WITNESS: I am familiar with the section of code referenced. I'm not familiar with staff's letter that was submitted to you.

HEARING EXAMINER MCNEIL: Well, staff's letter says that that is not enforced by the department -- by the state department. And I just wondered. Has that been your experience with these variance requests that we don't send them anymore to the state? Because I guess the state was overcome with so many variances to look at.

THE WITNESS: Yes, that is my understanding.

HEARING EXAMINER MCNEIL: Okay.
Mr. Brown, do you have any
questions?

ANDREW BROWN: Just two or three.
Ms. Ballesteros, what is the acreage of this property? Is it 10 plus acres?

THE WITNESS: It is 10.00 acres.
ANDREW BROWN: And the
neighborhood that was identified in the Special Exception Justification Statement -- have you generally reviewed that neighborhood in terms of its acreage of the various lots?

THE WITNESS: I'm not sure I understand the question. Could you rephrase that, please?

ANDREW BROWN: Sure. Absolutely.
In this particular neighborhood, a neighborhood is required to be identified in any special exception to determine whether or not there's an adverse impact to other properties in the neighborhood identified. So my question to you is -this property is approximately 10 acres
and, on average, the other properties within the identified neighborhood, if you know, range in what acreage? From one acre to five acres? One acre to ten acres? What do you think? Or have you evaluated it?

THE WITNESS: I, transparently, do not know what the average acreage is of surrounding properties. As I mentioned, the property that abuts the Alexander property directly to the west is owned by the Spirit of God Deliverance Church which is also a client of ours.

I know that to the north of Westphalia Road on the opposite side of the street from the Alexanders, there are several single-family detached homes, and I know that there's also a property owned by another church on the north side of Westphalia Road.

To the east of the property -- you know, I've walked around the Alexander
property pretty extensively -- there are also single-family detached houses.

To the southeast of the property, there is a really nice Park and Planning park.

And then to the southwest of the Alexander's property, there is a forest conservation area -- excuse me -- woodland conservation area that's associated with the development of the Smith Home Farm property.

ANDREW BROWN: All of those properties are similar to this property in the sense that they have steep slopes. And I'll just actually read from your request for the variance for removal of the specimen trees.

You cite on page 4 as a basis for the request for the variance in terms of special features. You say, This property has unique environmental conditions, i parenthetical, steep slopes and wetlands
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for this property. Correct?
THE WITNESS: Correct.
ANDREW BROWN: And properties in
the neighborhood also have steep slopes and wetlands. Is that not correct?

THE WITNESS: I have not performed
a Natural Resources Inventory or an extensive site visit of adjacent properties. I have walked around the public park that's to the south of the property, and there are, like, flat open ball fields there. I've also walked in the woodland conservation area that's to the southwest which includes tributary and steep slopes.

ANDREW BROWN: I understand you say you haven't walked other properties in the neighborhood, but in order to justify a variance -- your comment here is that it has unique environmental conditions. Uniqueness is a comparative analysis; that is, this property is unique compared to
other properties in the neighborhood. So if you haven't reviewed other properties in the neighborhood for the conditions you've identified -- steep slopes and wetlands -how can you conclude this property is unique?

THE WITNESS: Based on -- excuse me -- GIS topography of the neighboring properties -ANDREW BROWN: Well, that's -THE WITNESS: -- they may -ANDREW BROWN: -- that's what I'm asking about. I'm not trying to trick you. THE WITNESS: Oh, no. ANDREW BROWN: That's what I you want to tell me is that, based on the GIS topography, you have identified other properties in the neighborhood that also have steep slopes and wetlands. Is that not correct? THE WITNESS: The neighboring properties, to my knowledge, do not have
the same pocket wetlands that are identified on the Alexanders' property. And, again, the slopes -- the steep slopes that may occur and are depicted in GIS topography in the neighboring development that's to the east -- they are draining to existing storm networks that are in the right-of-ways that are east of the property versus the Alexanders' steep slopes which are ultimately draining to a tributary that's south of the property. ANDREW BROWN: And concerning these unique topographical conditions, you also indicate this property has a unique shape as, I'm going to assume, comparison to other properties in the neighborhood; is that correct?

THE WITNESS: Correct.
ANDREW BROWN: You also conclude
on page 4, the last paragraph, The
applicant would be denied reasonable and significant use of the property due to the
unique features of the site.
What is the zoning for the property?

THE WITNESS: The zoning is RR, rural residential.

ANDREW BROWN: Are there not other uses permitted in the $R R$ zone that could be constructed within the same developable envelope on this property other than the use proposed?

THE WITNESS: There are other uses that can be developed.

ANDREW BROWN: The only other question $I$ had -- I guess not just a question but clarification, Mr. Biase -And I apologize, Mr. Biase. Is it Viase or Biase?

VINCE BIASE: Biase.
ANDREW BROWN: Biase. My apologies.

He asked you whether or not you adopted, as your testimony, the statement
of Justification document. That document is primarily a land planning document, not
a civil engineering document. So you do not adopt that as your testimony, but as an expert, you have reviewed it and relied upon it to reach the conclusions you have as an expert in civil engineering. Is that correct?

THE WITNESS: Correct.
ANDREW BROWN: No other questions. Thank you.

HEARING EXAMINER MCNEIL: Thanks. Is anyone here that's opposed to this request -- do any of you have questions of Ms. Ballesteros?

WANDA COLLINS: I do. Can you hear me?

HEARING EXAMINER MCNEIL: Yes.
Can you come on camera, Ms. Collins?
WANDA COLLINS: Sure. Sorry about that. Okay.

```
                                    HEARING EXAMINER MCNEIL: Okay.
```

Go ahead.
WANDA COLLINS: So I just have one question. The GIS data -- the -- did it cover just the Alexander project? Or did it cover the area of Westphalia Road that's associated with this project?

THE WITNESS: The GIS data, which comes from Prince George's County, encompasses the entirety of the county.

WANDA COLLINS: Okay. So was anything taken into consideration that the -- my project -- my property started being destroyed when Parkside was being built. And now if you come up my driveway to the right, the trees are falling. They're dead. There's animals in there, and I see them every day. Now I'm on the verge of destruction, and I don't think that anybody has taken any of this into consideration -what everybody else is going through right now in this area.

ANDREW BROWN: Ms. Collins, right
now it's time for questions, not testimony.
I don't think she can respond to your statement.

WANDA COLLINS: No problem.
ANDREW BROWN: Thank you.
HEARING EXAMINER MCNEIL: I'm
sorry, Ms. Collins. Thank you.
Okay. Thank you, Ms. Ballesteros.
Unless -- Mr. Biase, did you have redirect?
VINCE BIASE: No redirect for
Ms. Ballesteros.
HEARING EXAMINER MCNEIL: Okay. Thank you.

VINCE BIASE: We would call Mr. Devin Leary next.

HEARING EXAMINER MCNEIL:
Mr. Leary, do you swear or affirm, under
the penalties of perjury, that the testimony you shall give will be the truth and nothing but the truth?

DEVIN LEARY: Yes, I do. EXAMINATION

BY VINCE BIASE:
Q Good morning, Mr. Leary.
A Good morning. How are you?
Q I'm doing well. How are you?
A Good. Fine.
Q Can you please state your full
name and business address for the record?
A Sure. Good morning, everyone.
It's Devin Leary. I'm with Human \& Rohde landscape Architects. We're at 512 Virginia Avenue, Towson, Maryland, 21286.

Q And are you currently employed by Human \& Rohde?

A Yes, I am.
Q What's your position with Human \& Rohde?

A So I am a vice president of the company, and I am a licensed landscape architect and also run our Environmental Planning Division.

Q Can you briefly describe some of your professional duties associated with
that position?
A Sure. So I manage a range of projects -- commercial, residential, institutional, both traditional landscape architecture and then also environmental planning compliance with Forest Conservation Act or Woodland Conservation Ordinance. I'm also with, you know, wetland and waterway permitting.

Q Is Exhibit 71, in the ZHE's updated record, a copy of your resume? And, if so, does it accurately reflect your educational background and professional experience?

A Yes, that is my resume, and it does accurately represent my background and experience.

Q Are you familiar with the property which is the subject of this application?

A Yes, I am.
Q Are you familiar with the necessary requirements for preparation of a

Tree Conservation Plan Type 2.
A I -- yes, I am. I've prepared several in the past, and I was obviously involved with the preparation of this plan. It was prepared by me. But, yes, it's basically following Section 25 of the county code and then also guidance from the Environmental Technical Manual.

Q And did you prepare the Tree Conservation Plan Type 2, TCP2-006-2023, associated with the subject application?

A Yes, I did.
VINCE BIASE: Madam Examiner, ca we please show the updated TCP2 to which is identified as Exhibit 76?

HEARING EXAMINER MCNEIL: Ms. Bah
will pull it up. Exhibit 76.
VINCE BIASE: Thank you.
And, Ms. Bah, I believe this is
included in binder two.
HEARING EXAMINER MCNEIL: Okay. We -- Ms. Bah is having issues with her
computer.

So, Ms. Rawlings, do you think you'd be able to pull up Exhibit 76?

MS. RAWLINGS: Can you see my
screen?
VINCE BIASE: Not yet.
MS. RAWLINGS: Can you see it now?
VINCE BIASE: I can't see it on my end.

HEARING EXAMINER MCNEIL: And I only see your name come up.

MS. RAWLINGS: Okay. Let me try it again, advanced sharing.

VINCE BIASE: Madam Examiner, we just wanted to walk through the TCP2 and the location of on-site regulated environmental features and the specimen trees. If there are tech issues, it might be possible to describe their location generally.

MS. RAWLINGS: Yeah. I'm trying
to share my screen, and it's not working.

HEARING EXAMINER MCNEIL: Are you able to make Mr. Biase an -- whatever we call you -- administrator and see if he can pull it up?

MS. RAWLINGS: I can make him a
co-host.
HEARING EXAMINER MCNEIL: Do you
think you can try, Mr. Biasi?
VINCE BIASE: Yes.
HEARING EXAMINER MCNEIL: Okay.
VINCE BIASE: I can try to pull it
up here.
MS. RAWLINGS: I'm not sure why
it's not working.
VINCE BIASE: Mr. Leary, are you
able to see my screen?
DEVIN LEARY: Well, I could see it
for a second. Now it went blank.
VINCE BIASE: It's blank again?
DEVIN LEARY: Oh, there it is.
Oh.
HEARING EXAMINER MCNEIL: I see
your screen.
DEVIN LEARY: Interesting. It's
cutting in and out.
VINCE BIASE: Let me see if I zoom
in a bit. Is it still cutting in and out?
DEVIN LEARY: Not -- yeah.
VINCE BIASE: I'll try to reshare
it.
DEVIN LEARY: I think -- yeah. I
think we might have been good. So, yeah, go ahead and reshare it, and think we got it.

VINCE BIASE: All right.
DEVIN LEARY: This always works so seamlessly until you get in the moment.

VINCE BIASE: That's right. BY VINCE BIASE:

Q Well, if you're -- if you're able to see the screen, can you please demonstrate generally the location of onsite regulated environmental features and specimen trees?

A Yes. And thank you for bringing the plan up. So just generally, I'll walk through the site. To the north, or the top of the page, is Westphalia Road. So as you work south -- oh, I lost it again, but it's back.

So as you work south, obviously we have the cluster development and then -bear with me. I lost it again. Okay. As you move to the south -- so we have obviously the units -- the cluster units developments, and then towards the bottom of the page, which is the south, you can start to see -- and it's a little hard to read. There's a lot on this plan. There are circles, and some of them have X's in them. Some of them are kind of shaded out. Those are the specimen trees. And as you can see, there's numerous specimen trees kind of spread across the site. However, they are concentrated along that southern property
line. So there's certainly a higher density in those locations.

We also have -- if you can pan a little bit to the south fence, Mr. Biase. Thank you.

Q How's that?
A Yeah. I think that's fine. So as you go off site to the south -- and this is if you're looking at the plan to the lefthand side -- there is a small tributary
stream which is off site of our property, and there is an associated buffer with that stream or a PMA which is -- which is also off site. So we have that.

And then as you move to the right or the east of the site -- if you could pan over. Thank you. So in the southeastern corner of the site, we have a small area of isolated nontidal wetlands, and those drain
to the south to the park property and --
which is essentially where you see the
trail that extends to that off-site park.

So we have, you know, we have, you know, clusters of specimen trees on site. We have the small isolated nontidal wetlands, and then we have the off-site first order stream system.

Q Thank you. And to your knowledge, did the TCP2 change or go through several iterations prior to acceptance with this special exception application?

A Yes, it did. So the plan was
amended to retain additional woodland conservation area and specimen trees in the southwest corner of the property. So if you can pan back Over to the other side. Thank you. So this area here, in my opinion, is really the highest value of forest on site. You can see there's a really high density of specimen trees along the property line.

And then, also, as I discussed earlier, we have the stream, which is off site to the south of us. Now the forest continues off site, and that area is within
an existing woodland conservation easement. So we are essentially adding area to that existing easement area. And it's an important -- it's important to retain forest around these water resources.

So the plan was amended to retain more forests and limit impacts to specimen trees within this area.

Q And to your knowledge, has the TCP2 been updated since the Planning Board's transmittal of the special exception to the zoning hearing examiner?

A Yes. There were some minor
revisions to address staff comments. One of them was to -- as you can see, there's a lot going on on this plan -- was just readability, get these specimen trees a little more legible on the plan. So that was one of the comments.

We had to add a note about the woodland conservation easements being dedicated to the county as part of the
process. That has to be completed prior to permits. And then, also, we needed to add a note to reference the specimen tree variance which was requested.

Q And are you familiar with the updated site plan associated with this special exception which is identified as Exhibit 77-A through H.

A Yes, I am.
Q And, in your opinion, does the proposed site plan conform with the Type 2 tree conservation plan?

A Yes, it does.
Q Are you familiar with the required findings for approval of a variance from the Prince George's County Woodland Conservation Ordinance?

A Yes, I'm familiar.
Q And are you familiar with the
Prince George's County Environmental
Technical Manual?
A Yes, I am.

Q Are any waivers or variances for impacts to regulated environmental features associated with the subject special exception application?

A Yes. There is a variance request from Section 25-122 requesting removal of specimen trees on site.

Q And to your knowledge, what environmental preservation, restoration, and/or mitigation strategies are employed with this application?

A So as I previously discussed, it's the -- the environmental features on site are, you know, are obviously what we want to limit or minimize or avoid impacts to. And so that is the small area of nontidal wetlands at the southeast corner which we are not impacting.

And then we also have the off-site stream, which the PMA does not extend onto our site, but we are retaining additional forest adjacent to it.

Q Have you reviewed the Statement of Justification in support of a variance from Subtitle 25 for the removal of specimen trees which is included as Exhibit 3?

A I have reviewed that request, yes.
Q Have you reviewed the Letter of Justification for impacts to environmental features which is included as Exhibit 45?

A Yes, I did review that request or that letter.

Q Does the proposed site plan demonstrate the preservation and/or restoration of the regulated environmental
features on site, in a natural state, to the fullest extent possible?

A It does. So the environmental features are not impacted. The only -again, if you can pan over to the -- to the right again. Thank you. So the only minimal impacts is associated with the sewer connection which extends -- it essentially follows the trail that goes to the park.

And so that sewer extension will have some temporary impacts for the installation of the sewer to the 25-foot nontidal wetland buffer. It's very
minimal, but we're -- we need to -- sorry. The screen keeps cutting out; so that's why I'm a little jumbled. But the story is they connect to the off-site existing sewer that's offsite to the south.

Q And have you reviewed the applicant's Statement of Justification for approval of a special exception which is identified as Exhibit 4?

A Yes, I have.
Q Are you familiar with the Technical Staff Report as amended by Development Review Staff's April 19th clarifying memo?

A Yes, I am.
Q And do you agree with the Technical Staff Report's findings of fact and proposed conditions of approval as
amended by Development Review Staff's April 19th clarifying memo?

A I do agree.
VINCE BIASE: Thank you,
Mr. Leary.
Those are all the questions we have, Madam Examiner.

THE WITNESS: Thank you.
HEARING EXAMINER MCNEIL:
Mr. Leary, do you -- did you hear the question that was not really a question
from Ms. -- I apologize -- Ms. Collins?
And what I'm really asking is what protections are occurring along Westphalia Road that should preclude any impact on adjacent or nearby property owners? It looks like this land is draining down toward the back, right? Toward the south? THE WITNESS: It is. HEARING EXAMINER MCNEIL: I mean, not draining down, but the topography is going lower to the south?

THE WITNESS: Yeah. So the existing and the proposed topography will drain from Westphalia Road to the south.

HEARING EXAMINER MCNEIL: So -THE WITNESS: So you'll note -you'll notice that the proposed stormwater management facility is in the -- kind of the central south location of the site. That -- that's where our stormwater will be draining to.

HEARING EXAMINER MCNEIL: Do --
THE WITNESS: So it is managed on site.

HEARING EXAMINER MCNEIL: So you
don't -- do you anticipate any water problems to the north from this construction?

THE WITNESS: In my opinion, I do not anticipate any impacts, no.

HEARING EXAMINER MCNEIL: Okay.
And People's Zoning Council, if you could wait just one second.

Ms. Collins, are you still on? Do you have questions of this witness? And maybe if we could identify your property on this map?

WANDA COLLINS: I can identify the property if he comes down some.

HEARING EXAMINER MCNEIL: Can you all hear Ms. Collins?

WANDA COLLINS: Do you hear me?
VINCE BIASE: Very faint.
HEARING EXAMINER MCNEIL: So,
Mr. Biase, you might have to continue to help us --

VINCE BIASE: Yeah.
HEARING EXAMINER MCNEIL: -- with
the exhibit. Thank you.
VINCE BIASE: I'll move the screen here.

WANDA COLLINS: Move it down.
Okay. So my property is -- oh, how would I explain this? As you come out, I guess, that main driveway straight across, that's
my property.
HEARING EXAMINER MCNEIL: So
across the main entrance in? Okay.
WANDA COLLINS: Yeah.
HEARING EXAMINER MCNEIL: So on this TCP2, Mr. Biasi, is it saying the Alexanders, because they have additional property on that side, or is that really the name for the property that's being developed?

VINCE BIASE: The latter. This -HEARING EXAMINER MCNEIL: Okay. Okay.

VINCE BIASE: -- I think it's referring to this arrow here.

HEARING EXAMINER MCNEIL: Thank you.

So, Ms. McCall, now that you see where your property is and you heard what the witness was saying, do you have questions of this witness? MS. MCCALL: No, I don't.

HEARING EXAMINER MCNEIL: Okay.
Mr. Brown, do you have any
questions?
ANDREW BROWN: Yes. Mr. Biase, were you proffering Mr. Leary as an expert in either landscape, architecture, or environmental planner? I didn't hear you offer him.

VINCE BIASE: No, I'm not.
Mr. Leary's testifying to the plans he prepared.

ANDREW BROWN: Okay. I don't have any problems with Mr. Leary's testimony; so I don't have any questions. Thank you. HEARING EXAMINER MCNEIL: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Leary. DEVIN LEARY: Thank you. HEARING EXAMINER MCNEIL: So, Mr. Biase, you can take down -- am I mute? You can take down the exhibit. I mean, or Ms. Rawlings, you can take down the exhibit now. Thanks.

VINCE BIASE: The next witness we would call is Mr. David Nelson.

HEARING EXAMINER MCNEIL: Okay.
Mr. Nelson, do you swear or affirm, under the penalties of perjury, that the testimony you shall give will be the truth and nothing but the truth?

DAVID NELSON: I do.
HEARING EXAMINER MCNEIL: Okay. EXAMINATION BY VINCE BIASE:

Q Mr. Nelson, can you please state your full name and business address for the record?

A My name is David Allen Nelson. My business address is 400 Crain Highway North in Glen Burnie, Maryland, 21061.

Q And where are you currently employed?

A The firm -- with Street Traffic Studies Limited.

Q What is your current position with

Street Traffic Studies?
A I'm the president of the firm but also one of the senior transportation engineers.

Q Can you briefly describe some of your responsibilities associated with that position?

A Yes. I'm responsible for all the engineering work. We're a pretty small firm. There's only eight of us. So I'm responsible for all the engineering and design work that we prepare. We do traffic studies throughout the state. We do design work. We've been under contract with Montgomery County as one of their key traffic engineers for the last 33 years. I've worked in Prince George's County since -- pretty much since I got out of school. I think the first projects I worked on were in the mid-'80s.

And so we do residential development, commercial, industrial. We do
a lot of school master planning, federal master planning, traffic safety studies for the Highway -- State Highway Administration. There's a broad range of open-ended contracts.

Q And have you previously been qualified as an expert in transportation engineering before the Prince George's County Zoning Hearing Examiner?

A I have.
Q Is Exhibit 63, included in the ZHE record, a copy of your resume?

A It is.
Q And, if so, does it accurately reflect your educational background and professional experience?

A I believe it does.
VINCE BIASE: Madam Examiner, we would move to have Mr. Nelson admitted as an expert in the field of transportation engineering for this hearing.

HEARING EXAMINER MCNEIL:

Mr. Brown, no questions?
ANDREW BROWN: Hello, David. How are you, man?

THE WITNESS: I am well. How are you? I was surprised to see you still doing this.

ANDREW BROWN: I was surprised to
see you as well, man. Good to see you. No objection.

HEARING EXAMINER MCNEIL: Okay.
You will be accepted as an expert in the area of transportation planning. BY VINCE BIASE:

Q Mr. Nelson, are you familiar with the property which is the subject of this application?
A Yes, I am.

Q Were you retained by the applicant to evaluate site access and transportation issues pertaining to the subject property as it relates to the development of one-family attached dwellings for the elderly?

A Yes.
Q Did you prepare the traffic statement that is submitted with the subject application and included as Exhibit 48?

A Yes, I Did.
Q Am I correct to understand that a formal traffic analysis is not required for approval of a special exception?

A That's true. We coordinated with Park and Planning's transportation staff, and the traffic studies are not required at this stage. They are required as -assuming this is approved and it goes to preliminary planning. That's when the adequate public facilities tests are completed.

Q Okay. So adequacy of transportation facilities is evaluated at the time of preliminary plan of subdivision?

A That's correct.
Q Can you please explain the proposed access points that are associated
with this application?
A Yes. Unlike a traditional residential development, this development has been designed to consolidate the access into a single point of access, and so that grades up the side street of the stop sign controlled and will be just in that grade intersection. But the key thing from our perspective is, even though there's 61 units proposed, there's only going to be one access point to Westphalia Road.

Q Thank you, Mr. Nelson. Can you clarify what the traffic statement that's included as Exhibit 48 details? Just some of the analysis that's associated with that statement.

A Yeah. The main thing we wanted to do, when we do a special exception, is what's in that change in the interests based on assuming it's approved versus what the current, by right, development would have. And in this case, we compared -- it's a ten-
acre lot. RR could generate 20 lots. So we did a traffic generation study that compared what the trips of a traditional 20-lot $R R$ subdivision would generate versus, in this case, you know, (inaudible 01:02:15) one of 65 senior housing -- attached senior housing unit we'd develop.

And the reason there's a
difference because -- as the record, I'm sure, shows that we're looking to 61. When we first started working on the project, I think we started working in 2021. The number of units has changed, and it would continually change. So $I$ would call staff and say, what about this? What about this? So what we did, we just said, well, there's not going to be more than 65 . And so we prepared the statement based on a higher number than what would more than likely be approved or built so that anything that would be less than what we analyzed would be -- you know, if we found

65 worked, the 61 certainly works.
And so the comparison in the
letter is, if we had 65 single-family
attached senior housing units, it would generate 14 trips in the morning and 18 in the evening. When you compare that to just a traditional 20-units residential subdivision, which would generate 15 and 18, this site would generate one trip less than the 20 -unit subdivision or not -- zero less than in the evening of the same comparison.

And if we were to take that one step further at 61 units, we actually find that there's actually one more trip less. So we would generate two less trips in the morning and two less trips in the evening than with 20 units a traditional subdivision would, say. There's really no net increase, and there's actually a minor decrease versus what could be built by right.

Q And that comparison was included within the traffic statement --

A Yes.
Q -- identified as Exhibit 48?
A Yes.
VINCE BIASE: Madam Examiner, can
we please pull up Exhibit 74, the local transportation network exhibit? And I'm happy to --

HEARING EXAMINER MCNEIL: Okay.
One second.
Ms. Rawlings, do -- can we -- can
we pull this up now, or do you still need to help me out?

MS. RAWLINGS: I will try again.
DAVID NELSON: Okay.
MS. RAWLINGS: I've been talking
with someone in our office about it, and he doesn't understand why we are not able to share --

HEARING EXAMINER MCNEIL: Okay.
MS. RAWLINGS: -- and Mr. Biasi
can. I'll try one more time. If not, Mr. Biasi, we may have to have you do it.

VINCE BIASE: Okay.
HEARING EXAMINER MCNEIL: And it
was Exhibit 74 you wanted?
VINCE BIASE: Yes, 74.
MS. RAWLINGS: Can you see it?
VINCE BIASE: I can.
MS. RAWLINGS: Fatima, I'm going
to try and make you co-host again, and
let's see if you can try it again. Okay.
I've made you co-host.
VINCE BIASE: Great. Thank you.
MS. RAWLINGS: Great. It worked.
BY VINCE BIASE:
Q Mr. Nelson, can you see the local transportation network exhibit?

A I can.
Q you please summarize the relevant local transportation network for the subject property?

A Sure. I think the red dot right
next to the $W$ in Westphalia is the site that accesses on the south side of Westphalia

Road. The master plan defines that as
C-626. And so the $C$ roads on the master plan are collectors. And the purpose of a collector highway is actually exactly what the name is, is that you have local residential streets, and then the purpose of the collector is to collect the traffic off the residential streets and then carry it to the higher-level classification roadways, which, in this case, would be to the north, to the arterials of White House Road, Ritchie Marlboro Road.

To the South, you get into the freeways. Route 4 is a freeway, and then you have the expressways and the beltway. So you define a network based on hierarchy of roads. So in this case, though, we don't -- the development itself doesn't connect to any subdivisions. It connects directly to the collectors, which is what
it's supposed to do, and then those collectors carry the traffic to the adjoining higher-level roadways to get people to, say, the beltway or further south on Route 4.

And so it's almost a grid, but
it's a -- and this is all based on the recent master plan work that's been done out there. But it's a very good safe road system to support the planned development.

Q In your capacity as an expert in traffic engineering or transportation engineering, how will the proposed senior housing community affect this existing transportation network?

A Actually, as we said, the traffic numbers are almost de minimis. They're less than 20 peak hour trips. So you really aren't going to even see the trip loading. But, more importantly, the design, I think, is a benefit to the collectors. You can access -- as you can
see, if you go further north on Westphalia Road, there's a number of developments that each house has a driveway to the collector, and then, if you go about 1,500 feet, there's a string of about ten of them which have individual driveways. And while it's not allowed, it's significantly better from a traffic safety perspective to consolidate your driveways into a main road and then not have any conflicts along the roadway.

So this development actually, I think, is a far superior design to what ordinarily would have been built on this property.

Q Are you familiar with the prior zoning ordinances required findings for approval of a special exception?

A Yes.
Q In your capacity as an expert in transportation engineering, will the granting of this application be consistent with the applicable standards required in the ordinance for the use in question?

A Yes.
Q Specifically, will the approval of the application cause any adverse effect upon the adjacent properties or surrounding neighborhood?

A No. In fact, as I noted, I think it reflects a benefit and an improvement over what would ordinarily be built out there. So I don't believe there would be
an adverse effect, but $I$ think there'd be a net benefit to the community by allowing this to go forward as designed.

Q And will the approval of this application have any detrimental effect on the health, safety, or welfare of pedestrians or motorists in the area?

A Not that I'm aware of.
VINCE BIASE: That'll be all the questions I have for Mr. Nelson, Madam Examiner.

> ANDREW BROWN: I have no questions. Thank you.

HEARING EXAMINER MCNEIL:
Mr. Nelson, I have one question. You're familiar with the site?

THE WITNESS: Yes.
HEARING EXAMINER MCNEIL: So if I was on Westphalia, if I had gotten on from Pennsylvania Avenue, and was traveling to this site, is that one point of access after that crazy wiggly intersection with D'Arcy with those stop signs, or is it before then?

THE WITNESS: It's just after that.

HEARING EXAMINER MCNEIL: Just after.

DAVID NELSON: So you have to drive through that intersection to get to this development.

HEARING EXAMINER MCNEIL: Okay.
And do you -- so you anticipate that everyone that lives there -- although the traffic impact will be de minimis, will you
all be looking, at the time of preliminary plan, if this is approved, at a way -- as -- at a way to ensure that all the cars can still safely come out of your site due to all the craziness that's going on along D'Arcy? I hate to call it that, but, if anybody's familiar with this area, that's a very interesting little -DAVID NELSON: Interesting. That's a good word for it. No. In fact, as we said, the next step of approval would be to go to the preliminary plan. And we already know that, at that stage, that's the primary intersection that staff is asking us to review for adequacy to determine that we won't have an adverse impact to it. That's the key one that we're asked to look at. So we will be addressing hopefully the craziness at that time.

HEARING EXAMINER MCNEIL: And do you know about how many feet you are past
that intersection with D'Arcy?
DAVID NELSON: I can actually -- I
have a Google map here. Just a second.
HEARING EXAMINER MCNEIL: Okay.
DAVID NELSON: I think it's in the staff report, but $I$ don't remember on the top of my head. It's --

HEARING EXAMINER MCNEIL: Okay.
DAVID NELSON: I think it's less than a thousand feet, but $I$ would want to measure it, and I don't know.

HEARING EXAMINER MCNEIL: Okay. And then my other question is the access point was, in your expert opinion, the best place to access this site?

DAVID NELSON: I believe it is.
But, again, at the next stage, it will go through Public Works in detail, and we will have to make sure that the site distances were -- are all adequate. We have to make sure that the additional -- the curbs, gutters are all laid down, and they're
based on their guidelines and
specifications.
So it may be -- you know, the site engineer may want to step up a little bit, but $I$ don't believe it will move, but if it does, it will be for a reason to further improve its location.

HEARING EXAMINER MCNEIL: Okay.
Ms. McCall, do you have any questions of this witness? I don't know if she's still here.

## MS. ROBINSON: Hi.

HEARING EXAMINER MCNEIL:
Ms. Robinson --
MS. ROBINSON: Yeah.
HEARING EXAMINER MCNEIL: -- do
you have questions of this witness?
MS. ROBINSON: Well, for
Ms. McCall. Hi. She's my neighbor, and she's actually a doctor. She just texted me. She's in with a patient, but she -- I have a statement she wants me to read for
her. But $I$ know that's later during
comments. So not at this time, no, she has no questions.

HEARING EXAMINER MCNEIL:
Ms. Robinson, are we able to get your
information to make sure we added you as a person of record as well?

MS. ROBINSON: Oh, I'm a person of record.

HEARING EXAMINER MCNEIL: Okay.
All right.
MS. ROBINSON: I'm already linked to you.

HEARING EXAMINER MCNEIL: Okay.
So if you don't have any redirect, I don't have any other questions for Mr. Nelson.

THE WITNESS: Thank you very much.

BY VINCE BIASE:
Q I actually have one more question, Mr. Nelson, just to clarify, based on Madame Examiner's follow up: Does the site
access -- as currently proposed, does that cause any adverse effect upon the adjacent properties or surrounding neighborhood?

A $\quad$ No.
Q And does the site access -- as currently proposed, would that have any detrimental effect on the health, safety, or welfare of pedestrians or motorists in the surrounding area?

A No.
VINCE BIASE: All right. Thank
you.
MS. ROBINSON: I -- actually --
hi. Jamala (phonetic) Robinson again. I
do have a question about the traffic. I live on Westphalia Road on -- down at 9807.

And like you said, it is -- that
intersection there at D'Arcy and Westphalia is a nightmare. Any given day, there's an accident. And I know most of our concerns are more traffic on Westphalia because everything -- you said it's a collector
road. It's not. It's a one-lane street
that you guys are using as a collector road dumping everything from Parkside, the communities coming on further down

Westphalia going towards -- going -HEARING EXAMINER MCNEIL: Okay. Ms. Robinson, one second. So let him answer --

MS. ROBINSON: So you have -HEARING EXAMINER MCNEIL: -- that part. Wait. No, no. Let him answer that part because that was more testimony from you.

MS. ROBINSON: Okay.
HEARING EXAMINER MCNEIL: So why is it you consider that a collector road? Do you disagree with Ms. Robinson that it's really a one lane -- well, two-lane street being used as a collector, Mr. Nelson?

DAVID NELSON: Well, Westphalia
Road is definitely a two-lane collector highway in the county.

MS. ROBINSON: Are you referring to two lanes each way or one lane each way?

DAVID NELSON: No. One lane in each direction.

MS. ROBINSON: Okay.
DAVID NELSON: There are -- there are different kinds of collectors. This is a rural two-lane collector.

MS. ROBINSON: Okay.
DAVID NELSON: And ultimately it could be widened, but right now it could only be widened when the traffic was there to justify it.

MS. ROBINSON: Uh-huh. And I agree. It was a rural road. It is no longer a rural road as -- especially since you are trying to change the zoning on this from a rural to a non-rural zoning. Is that not what this is trying to do?

HEARING EXAMINER MCNEIL: Well, to
clarify, the zoning is not changing, but they're requesting a special exception use
which is permitted in that zone if it's approved after these hearings.

MS. ROBINSON: Okay. Yeah. But I -- as someone who travels this street, I just -- the traffic on it is really horrendous. And I know you're saying the one way in is a better option than multiple ways into the community, but as someone who drives there multiple times a day, I don't really -- I don't -- I don't understand how one way in and out is a better option, but you're -- I guess you're the expert. But I don't -- I just -- I'm trying to understand how one way into anything is a better option than multiple ways and how more -putting more traffic onto a two lane, one lane each way, street that is already overcrowded is the best option. Okay. That's my comments on it.

HEARING EXAMINER MCNEIL: Yeah. I don't know how to make that a question; so

MS. ROBINSON: Yeah. (Crosstalk)
VINCE BIASE: (Crosstalk)
HEARING EXAMINER MCNEIL: -(crosstalk) we -- we'll have to say it again.

MS. ROBINSON: (Crosstalk)
HEARING EXAMINER MCNEIL: I was
trying, but --
MS. ROBINSON: Yeah. My question
is -- so as you're still saying it's a collector -- it's considered a collector, but it's more of -- it's still -- it's not being -- it's not being changed to be a -to handle the amount of collecting it has to do.

So I'm not sure I understand how it's actually defined as a collector with the amount of traffic that's being collected on it. It's -- that -- like you said, that intersection is not safe as it is, and I know you said that will be addressed later, but as someone who's lived
here for 15 years, I've heard that for 15 years.

So there's an accident there at least twice a week and putting more traffic, especially right there at the intersection -- because I know exactly where this is going. I walk past it every day. I go on walks. It's not safe. I know -- and I drive through it every day. I do -- that intersection is not safe and putting more traffic right there by the intersection doesn't seem safe. So I'm not sure if a different entrance would be better or what, but I -- that's -- my question is how is more traffic right there, at an already unsafe intersection, now making it safer?

HEARING EXAMINER MCNEIL: So, Mr. Nelson, if you can answer that part. Why do you believe this entrance is safe, given the -- given the peculiarities of the D'Arcy Road intersection?

DAVID NELSON: It sounds like two different questions. But one is the point that we were making about the design of the property itself. If you have -- one of the things we try, as traffic engineers, to improve capacity and safety is to control access. And the least number -- say if you're driving down Westphalia Road and this property -- I mean, just go a little bit further north. And if you just drive down Westphalia Road a little bit to the north, there's, like, 15 houses that front on Westphalia Road directly. Each one has a garage. It has a driveway.

So you can envision that, as people are traveling down it, people are coming in and out of their driveways. There's waiting to make left turns in, right turns out. Every one of those houses has that kind of a experience that the drivers have to confront versus what this plan proposes where you have the entire
frontage of the property, but then there's only one driveway and all the traffic that -- and all the turning movements are going to occur in one place. So you don't have to respond to multiple, you know, left turns, right turns, somebody's pulling in to, you know, get into their house versus backing out of their driveways. None of that will occur at this location. So from a traffic engineering perspective, the design -- it really is significantly safer than, you know, individual driveways along the entire frontage. The question about traffic -and, again, the next step in the county's requirements are very -- you know, with the system, we have to prove that the facilities are adequate to support it from a traffic perspective, and that's going to be -- again, the intersection will be something we have to show that we're not having an adverse effect to it. And if we
do, then we have to come up with a response to it. But that's not -- you know, we're not -- we're not there yet.

And as far as Westphalia Road, I think the character of the road is, you know, pretty much the way it's been for a long time. With the development to the south, there's no doubt that people are seeing an increase in traffic with that connection from the development opposite D'Arcy.

The site requirement is -- doesn't meet standards and their level of service requirements, and we'll have to analyze that and make sure that it's adequate. Is it going to be different than what it is today or what it was 15 years ago? It probably is. But the question isn't is it different. The question is is it adequate, and that's what we have to prove at the next step.

HEARING EXAMINER MCNEIL: Okay.

Thank you. One last one for me just for my edification. That entrance into -- I guess it's called Parkside which I guess is MC -is that the -- or maybe it's $\mathrm{C}-627$. Is that it?

DAVID NELSON: I would have to see the map.

HEARING EXAMINER MCNEIL: Okay. But my real point is -- well, do they have a light there now, or will they have a light for that one? Or is that still a stop sign too?

DAVID NELSON: I'm not sure where we're talking.

HEARING EXAMINER MCNEIL: The adjacent huge development that's -MS. ROBINSON: Parkside. HEARING EXAMINER MCNEIL: Yeah.

Parkside, part of the Westphalia Sector Plan Area.

MS. ROBINSON: It's a stop sign. DAVID NELSON: You mean opposite

D'Arcy Road?
HEARING EXAMINER MCNEIL: Yeah,
it's near there, and it's going all the way back to -- at some point it'll get back on Pennsylvania. Well, not -- that street
doesn't. Well, if you don't know, you don't know. That's okay.

DAVID NELSON: I don't -- I don't know.

MS. ROBINSON: It's a stop sign. HEARING EXAMINER MCNEIL: Wait, wait, wait, wait. Whoever else is talking, you can tell me when you testify, please. Thank you. Okay.

So no more questions of Mr. Nelson, Mr. Biase?

VINCE BIASE: No more questions.
HEARING EXAMINER MCNEIL: Okay.
VINCE BIASE: That will --
HEARING EXAMINER MCNEIL: Thank you, sir.

VINCE BIASE: That will conclude
the applicant's case in chief.
HEARING EXAMINER MCNEIL: Okay.
VINCE BIASE: I would like to
thank Planning staff and the ZHE staff for their consistent coordination on this application as well as --

HEARING EXAMINER MCNEIL: Wait.
Before you thank us, I think we do have some persons that might want to testify today. I'm sorry.

VINCE BIASE: Okay.
HEARING EXAMINER MCNEIL: I'm
sorry.
VINCE BIASE: Sure.
HEARING EXAMINER MCNEIL: So last
time around Wanda Collins and Dr. McCall testified.

So, Ms. Robinson or Ms. Burton, do you all want to testify or anyone else here that might be opposed to the request?

MS. BURTON: I would. This is
Dr. Burton, and I --

HEARING EXAMINER MCNEIL: Okay.
MS. BURTON: -- did speak at the last --

HEARING EXAMINER MCNEIL: Oh, you did?

MS. BURTON: -- meeting hearing as well, and I think Mr. Biase -- he had a meeting with some of our community. He addressed some concerns, but $I$ just want to go back to that issue of Westphalia Road. And I'm so happy (crosstalk) --

HEARING EXAMINER MCNEIL: Wait -wait one second.

Do you swear or affirm, under the penalties of perjury, that the testimony you shall give will be the truth and nothing but the truth?

MS. BURTON: I do. EXAMINATION

BY HEARING EXAMINER MCNEIL: Okay. Thank you.

THE WITNESS: So I just want to go
back to the issues that my neighbor,
Ms. Robinson, raised, and they're the same concerns with -- that Dr. McCall had as well and about that road. For clarity -HEARING EXAMINER MCNEIL: I'm so sorry.

THE WITNESS: Can you hear me?
HEARING EXAMINER MCNEIL: You're Dr. Burton as well? You're a doctor?

THE WITNESS: I am Dr. Ramona
Burton.
HEARING EXAMINER MCNEIL: Okay.
Okay.
THE WITNESS: And just for the
record --
Q Dr. Burton, could you state your -

- yeah. Could you state your address for the record, though, and then tell me?

A Okay. Sure.
Q Okay.
A 3214 Valley Forest Drive, Upper Marlboro, Maryland, 20772. And I'll put a
question out first. Right? Because my concern is over that one entry, and I did hear his explanation about, you know, how many driveways. And I'm not saying I don't want this neighborhood. Right? I think it's a great idea.

Ms. Alexander, I want to say that to you. Okay? But, also, I just want to make sure that -- we all who live there know how busy the road has gotten. I drove my son to school this morning, and it was just traffic trying to come off Valley Forest Drive, that next road down, and because of all the development around.

So my question is, as we are meeting to approve the zoning to increase the number of houses in this community, right, this community to be built, well, shouldn't it be contingent upon changing the zoning for that road that he's referring to as rural -- it's one lane in each direction. Considering what we've
seen -- and I'm not sure of the name of the townhome community that was built down Sansbury by the Royal Farms.

Q Okay.
A With the limited parking that will -- is planned inside of this community, there's a lot of overflow on the -- or what was the shoulder. So with it being just one lane in each direction -- and there is currently a shoulder there -- my concern is what happens when the -- there aren't enough spaces in the new owner's driveway and their guests or their -- I'm not going to say their guests because it's the same cars parked along Sansbury overflow because of limited space inside.

So it's more about the road. It's more about Westphalia and it being improved to accommodate this new community and make it safe for everyone, including the residents that will be turning in and out. One driveway or not, they need to be safe
coming in and out of that traffic.
HEARING EXAMINER MCNEIL: Thank
you. So --
THE WITNESS: There is no light at
that busy street, that intersection you were referring to. There is not a light there. There's a four-way stop. There's a two-way stop sign because the traffic on Westphalia continues. So you can sit there for a very long time, and if you're coming from D'Arcy, the cars coming from the new community, Parkside, you've equally been sitting there a long time. It's a -- it's a hazard that needs to be addressed. There needs to be a light there. Maybe if there was a traffic light put there, as this community was -- is being built, it would slow down the traffic and give them an opportunity to get out in the traffic.

We're also talking about, you know, older residents -- okay -- driving. I mean, we want to consider that too.

HEARING EXAMINER MCNEIL: I'm not taking any offense -- don't worry --

MS. BURTON: Listen. I --
HEARING EXAMINER MCNEIL: -- since
I know I could live there.
MS. BURTON: I can too. I said
that the last time. Oh, I can too. I can't because of my kid. I have to wait until it gets out of school, but definitely, between my husband and I, we qualify and might be looking to move there but don't want to get caught into that traffic coming out. It is dangerous. So I --

HEARING EXAMINER MCNEIL: Yeah. MS. BURTON: -- it is, again, not about the community but about the improvements to that road. It is -- we do not live in a rural town. There's nothing rural about Westphalia, period. HEARING EXAMINER MCNEIL: Okay. Mr. Brown, do you have any questions that
might also answer Ms. Burton's questions?
ANDREW BROWN: Well, probably, I
guess I do have a little bit of a comment.
I actually used to own the property
adjacent to this property on Westphalia Road which is on both sides of the $\mathrm{C}-267$ south of the intersection of D'Arcy Road. And the seller of this property to the Alexanders, Mr. and Ms. Kenneth Moran, sold that adjacent property to me, and I sold it -- I think it was in 1995. I had it for about ten years.

So I'm very familiar with the intersection and everything Dr. Burton has testified to as well as some of the other persons. They are correct about the nature of the intersection and the volume of traffic that has increased, but the problem is many of these issues will be addressed at the time of preliminary plan of subdivision.

And, Mr. Nelson, you can correct
me if I'm wrong, but I believe Westphalia Road is designated as some type of historic roadway, is it not? Mr. Nelson or Ms. Robinson, either one of you, go ahead. DAVID NELSON: I don't know the correct one, but it's either a historic or a scenic road.

ANDREW BROWN: Or a scenic road. And as a result of that, there are some constraints on the authority, if you will, for the county to widen that one-way road in each direction. There are a number of developments that are going to occur on Westphalia Road between Ritchie Marlboro Road and the D'Arcy Road/Westphalia Road intersection. And there will be improvements to the curb and gutter, but it's not going to be widened.

However, Mr. Nelson is absolutely correct that the fact that this project is proposing only one access point on Westphalia Road is actually a benefit as
opposed to having multiple ingress and egress points which would cause more conflict with the rise and volume between Ritchie Marlboro Road and Pennsylvania Avenue on Westphalia Road. And as Dr. Burton just indicated, the additional traffic that will be coming from Parkside going up to Sansbury Road to access the Beltway or the Morgan Boulevard subway station.

So, yeah, traffic will increase, but given what is proposed by the applicant, $I$ think this is a best-case scenario in terms of traffic. And all that having been said, this is really not the appropriate forum to deal with those issues, and I would just suggest that everyone who has participated, not necessarily as opposition, but in terms of offering comments, you should participate at the time of the preliminary plan of subdivision so that these issues can be
mitigated as best they can.
HEARING EXAMINER MCNEIL: And they
will be notified because they were all
persons of record in this hearing; correct?
ANDREW BROWN: That is correct.
MS. BURTON: I do have one more
question, please. Hello?
HEARING EXAMINER MCNEIL: It's of
Mr. Nelson? Who's your question for?
MS. BURTON: It may be,
Mr. Nelson. I'm not exactly sure.
HEARING EXAMINER MCNEIL: He did.
He talked about transportation.
MS. BURTON: So -- okay. I'll
make it a transportation question. The entrance to the Alexander property
currently where it is, it is easier for me and my other neighbors to get out because it's not exactly in front of it. It's -they're spread out. If I'm not mistaken, from the first time that $I$ saw the drawings to now, the entrance has moved closer to my
driveway, and that's (crosstalk) --
HEARING EXAMINER MCNEIL: Okay.
Wait a minute. Let's stop right there.
So, Mr. Nelson, are you still on?
DAVID NELSON: I am. The --
HEARING EXAMINER MCNEIL: Do you
or --
DAVID NELSON: I would suggest the
civil --
HEARING EXAMINER MCNEIL: Okay.
DAVID NELSON: -- because I wasn't
involved with placing the driveway.
HEARING EXAMINER MCNEIL: Okay.
So that's Mr. -- Wait a minute.
VICTORIA BALLESTEROS: So I can
speak to that. The original --
HEARING EXAMINER MCNEIL: Okay.
Ms. Ballesteros --
VICTORIA BALLESTEROS: Yes. The original layouts that were developed for the property included two points of access along Westphalia Road, one on the east side
of the property and one on the west side of the property. Based on the recommendation of the councilperson for this area, we -the applicant met with the community, and we heard the community's concern about traffic and reduced the access to one centrally located access point on the frontage of the site, and a sight distance analysis was performed at the time of the Site Development Concept Plan which has been approved by DPIE.

MS. BURTON: So it is closer to my driveway. That's my question.

VICTORIA BALLESTEROS: I believe it is closer to your -MS. BURTON: Yes, it is. Yes, it is.

HEARING EXAMINER MCNEIL: Okay. Okay. Thank you, Ms. Ballestero.

Ms. Collins, do you want to
testify now?
WANDA COLLINS: I got so much on
my mind. This is really bothering me. But I think that --

HEARING EXAMINER MCNEIL: Wait, wait, wait. Before you testify, I have to swear you as a witness and then just tell us -WANDA COLLINS: Oh, I --

HEARING EXAMINER MCNEIL: -- your address.

WANDA COLLINS: Okay.
HEARING EXAMINER MCNEIL: So do
you swear or affirm, under the penalties of
perjury, that the testimony you shall give
will be the truth and nothing but the
truth?
WANDA COLLINS: I do.
HEARING EXAMINER MCNEIL: Okay.
So just state your name and address and
tell us what you would like to about this.
THE WITNESS: Wanda Collins, and I
live at 9400 Westphalia Road, Upper
Marlboro, Maryland, 20774.

I am directly impacted by what's going on. Most of the construction and trucks that are going to come down

Westphalia will be turning into that area, and it -- I think it would definitely affect my in and out of my driveway. That's one.

The second thing is it's going to be at street level, and it's going to have a curve and I'm thinking water drainage. I don't know how that drainage really is going to be, but $I$ don't have a curb to block water from coming into my driveway. It's only on -- it's only going -- that's the only -- I think that may -- yeah. The only curbs that we have are on that side of the street. We don't have any on this side of the street.

So the drainage would probably end up in our property on this side of the street because we are at street level, and then it drops down here. I don't think
anybody really took the people on this side of the street into consideration when they put this plan together, and I think that -I think they should look at it a little bit more.

The traffic has increased already, and it's not just from Westphalia traffic. It's traffic off of Ritchie Road that now they know they have a shortcut to Pennsylvania Avenue. They have had more accidents at this D'Arcy intersection connecting Parkside to it than I've seen since I've lived here in over 30 years. And I think it -- some more -- some more studying should be -- I am a project manager, and my thing is assess, assess, assess. You have to assess a lot of things, not just that one piece of property, the people around you and how it's affecting them.

And I know everybody can't get on here because a lot of people are at work,
but $I$ think that they should at least be contacted. I never got the notification and it was because of a name change; so I got into this late. But now that $I$ am in it, I mean, I do have things that $I$ want to say and want to do. I tried to get other neighbors to come on and say something, and I think a couple of them might have been here today, I believe -- Ms. Thomas.

So -- and I -- she looks like she has a hand raised. So I'm going to go and let her say what she has to say. Thank you.

KIM THOMAS: So I --
HEARING EXAMINER MCNEIL: So wait a second. Does anyone have questions of Ms. Collins?

ANDREW BROWN: Ms. Collins, I just wanted -- two very quick questions: I received the email from the examiner's office with four or three photographs that you wanted placed in the record; is that
correct?
THE WITNESS: Yes, it is.
ANDREW BROWN: I don't know,
Fatima, whether or not we can put up those three photographs. Can we?

HEARING EXAMINER MCNEIL: Thanks for remembering that because we were having problems with trying to copy them or -- so we might have to have them resubmitted.

But if Fatima or Susie could pull up those three photos, and then Ms. Collins could identify what they are.

Thank you, Mr. Brown, for that.
MS. RAWLINGS: Fatima, are you
still able to share? Thank you.
THE WITNESS: I know my pictures aren't very clear, but I'm going to try to explain this. If you turn up my driveway
to the right, coming towards the house, this is one of the pictures that -- since the animals have been driven on this side of the street, and now it's considered
preserved land, it's being torn down. It's
-- the trees are falling. The ground -- I can't even explain what the ground looks like.

ANDREW BROWN: All right.
Ms. Collins, let me just ask you one or two questions so we can authenticate the photographs and possibly offer them into evidence.

THE WITNESS: Okay.
ANDREW BROWN: This is a
photograph of what property? Your property?

THE WITNESS: Mine, yes.
ANDREW BROWN: Excuse me?
THE WITNESS: Mine.
ANDREW BROWN: Your property. And
the other two photographs are also photographs of your property; correct?

THE WITNESS: Yes. Yes, they are.
ANDREW BROWN: And these -- and
these photographs were taken when? What
date?
THE WITNESS: The 20- -- 24th, I believe.

ANDREW BROWN: Of this month?
THE WITNESS: Yeah.
ANDREW BROWN: And all three
photographs are a fair and accurate representation of the condition of the property today as it was on the day you took it; correct?

THE WITNESS: Correct.
ANDREW BROWN: All right. So, Mr. Biasi, unless you have any objection, I have no objection to the admission of these three photographs.

VINCE BIASE: No objection.
HEARING EXAMINER MCNEIL: Okay. Before we move, what we're seeing now should be Exhibit 78-A. I don't know how you all are going to mark this, but we're going to have to -- Ms. Collins, we might have to get back with you and get the
pictures resent.
THE WITNESS: Okay.
HEARING EXAMINER MCNEIL: But for now, Ms. Rawlings, are you able to make that 78-A somehow?

MS. RAWLINGS: Yes, ma'am.
(Exhibit 78-A was marked for
identification.)
HEARING EXAMINER MCNEIL: Okay, so now can you pull up 78-B?

THE WITNESS: This is also the destruction that's going on in there. They hop across my driveway. Well, deer hop across the driveway, and this is the destruction that's going on, but you can see it coming up the driveway.

The other day I tried to get a picture, but $I$ couldn't. There's a fox living in there, and there's deer living down in there. And, if you can see, the greenery is going away, like at the top of the picture. It's dying out.

HEARING EXAMINER MCNEIL: Okay. And then can you show Exhibit 78-C?

And what is this showing us?
THE WITNESS: This is showing how it's dying off. Trees are falling.

ANDREW BROWN: So, Ms. Collins, I mean, just to sort of wrap up your testimony, you're not suggesting that this current situation, concerning these three photographs, is a result of the applicant's proposed development, are you? Or are you suggesting that it will exacerbate this problem?

THE WITNESS: It would make this problem even bigger.

ANDREW BROWN: Why do you say that?

THE WITNESS: Well, this was Parkside. This was -- this was -- this was a result of them building in Parkside. This is where the animals decided they were going to come and stay, and this is what
has been done to it since then.
ANDREW BROWN: All right. So
ultimately, what you're suggesting is that any new development is destroying the
forest land and, therefore, the animals are running onto your properties and others; correct?

THE WITNESS: Yeah. Yes, I am.
ANDREW BROWN: All right. Thank
you. I don't have any other questions.
THE WITNESS: Thank you.
HEARING EXAMINER MCNEIL: Thank
you, ma'am.
Okay. So you said someone else wanted to testify? I can't see you.

KIM THOMAS: Yes, I wanted to go on record and testify.

HEARING EXAMINER MCNEIL: Okay.
KIM THOMAS: My name is Kimberly
Thomas. I live at -- oh, I'm sorry. I guess you need to swear me in first. So -HEARING EXAMINER MCNEIL: Yes.

KIM THOMAS: -- let me step back. HEARING EXAMINER MCNEIL:

Ms. Thomas, do you swear or affirm, under the penalties of perjury, that the testimony you shall give will be the truth and nothing but the truth?

KIM THOMAS: I do.
EXAMINATION
BY HEARING EXAMINER MCNEIL:
Q Okay. So give us your address and go ahead and tell us what you'd like to about this.

A Yes. My address is 9503 Westphalia Road, Upper Marlboro, Maryland, 20774 .

I happen to be one house away from Ms. Alexander's property. And so I am also a homeowner that is going to be directly impacted by not only what's proposed to take place on the Alexander property but also the church, that's proposed to be built, is directly across from where I
currently live.
I have been a member of the Westphalia community for going on 21 years, and I have greatly seen how the traffic has increased and how the neighborhood has changed over these past 21 years. When I
first moved on Westphalia Road, I could still hear the cows mooing because it was basically farmland, very rural land, and all of that has been diminished and taken away.

And my concern is the
infrastructure is not built or designed to handle the numerous housing developments that are taking place in -- on Westphalia Road and the surrounding community. As has already been testified, that intersection
is a nightmare. I think people have forgotten driving rules. You can be at the intersection first, waiting to turn; and, you know, while you're trying to make a left turn, which $I$ have to do to get to my
property, someone can come down from the Parkside, you know, and I'm already there with my signal light on waiting to turn. And as I'm turning, they will zoom across the street, and I've almost run into cars several times when I've been there waiting to turn, and it's my right-of-way, but they decide they don't want to wait, and they zoom across the highway while I'm, in fact, trying to make a left turn. And I've had to slam on brakes numerous times to keep from running in someone's driver's side door.

So there does need to be some type of traffic mitigation there. It's desperately needed. That's one issue. The second issue, as I said, the infrastructure. Again, if anyone has driven up and down Westphalia Road, it's a windy, narrow, curvy road. I happen to live on a section where it's flat, and out of a two-week pay period, I work from home
seven days out of ten. Where I -- my office is directly faces Westphalia Road. I've seen $I$ don't know how many accidents nearly happen because I constantly see cars speeding down and passing on the double lane. That concerns me now that school is back in session. This can be five o'clock in the morning, because $I$ start my workday at six, and I see this happen over and over and over again when cars -- drivers are impatient, and, as soon as they get on this flat part, they're zooming past other cars who are doing the speed limit. You know, so that concerns me.

You know, I -- again, I've seen accidents happen not only from the D'Arcy road intersection, which someone testified to, but I've seen near misses just sitting out -- you know, looking out my window because there's been such a significant increase in traffic now with people cutting
through Westphalia Road, and that was a direct impact when they were sending people through Westphalia Road when Ritchie Marlboro Road was shut down for that year or so for whatever was happening on Ritchie Marlboro Road.

So now that people know, oh, I can cut through Westphalia to get to Ritchie Marlboro, that's what's happening. And, you know, so I'm concerned about the lack of infrastructure that's not in place and the significant increase in traffic.

And as Ms. Collins also testified, yeah, I come outside and see the wildlife all the time. I mean, you know, came outside the other day. There's a raccoon sitting on my step looking at me. Okay. Never had -- never seen raccoons in my -on my property before. But, yeah, there's a doe that cuts through my yard, and it's funny because he seems to know the layout of my land, because he actually cuts
through and runs out my driveway, and my yard is fenced in.

So the deer actually now know the
layout of my land and know how to get in and out of my gate. And, yes, I have seen them cross the street going over to that empty property where the church is proposed to be built which is right beside Ms. Collins' property.

So I have seen an increase in wildlife because the more -- of course, the wildlife doesn't have anywhere to go. The more development comes, the more it takes away from their natural habitat. So they're looking for places to go.

My other comment was -- and this
was related to the traffic, in terms of -and I'm sorry, not necessarily the traffic but the one way in and the one way out proposed for the Alexander property. While that may make sense in terms of traffic, how does that make sense in terms of a
natural disaster and people have to quickly exit to get somewhere? How do how does that make sense with that -- and if they only have one way in and one way out?

So I'd like to hear someone respond to that. That's about all my comments. Thank you so much.

HEARING EXAMINER MCNEIL: Thank
you, ma'am. Any questions of Ms. Thomas? VINCE BIASE: No questions, Madam Examiner. HEARING EXAMINER MCNEIL: Any other citizens wish to testify that have not testified already?

MS. ROBINSON: Hi. This is Jamala Robinson.

HEARING EXAMINER MCNEIL: Ms.
Robinson, do you swear -- do you swear or affirm, under the penalties of perjury, that the testimony you shall give will be the truth and nothing but the truth? MS. ROBINSON: Yes, I do.

## EXAMINATION

BY HEARING EXAMINER MCNEIL:
Q Okay. Give us your address again, please.

A 9807 Westphalia Road, Upper Marlboro, Maryland.

Q Okay. You may testify.
A Thank you. So I would like to say I do agree with what Ms. Thomas said, especially with the natural disaster or emergency things. Having one way in or out, I don't, from a traffic perspective, think that's the best way. But as someone whose neighborhood was under siege a few years ago and we only have one way in or out, it was a nightmare because people couldn't get out to get children, go to work, do anything, and we're stuck in our neighborhood for however many hours.

But I do -- Ms. -- Dr. McCall -she -- still she's currently working, and she did ask if $I$ would read her statement.

Am I able to read her statement she sent me for her concerns?

Mr. Brown and Mr. Biasi, do you have any --

ANDREW BROWN: Yeah. No. I don't have any objection. You can read it.

HEARING EXAMINER MCNEIL: Okay.
ANDREW BROWN: But I ask that you also submit it to the Examiner so she has it for the record file.

THE WITNESS: Okay. Yeah. I will email it to her.

HEARING EXAMINER MCNEIL: Wait, wait.

And, Mr. Biasi?
VINCE BIASE: I would also request that it's submitted into the record so that we can review it.

THE WITNESS: Okay. No problem.
So this is from Dr. Anna McCall. She's at 3214 -- no. Sorry. No -- 3208 Valley Forest Road -- Valley Forest Drive in Upper

Marlboro which is right next to me. But she says, I, first, want to state for the record I'm against rezoning this property. The infrastructure does not support a more densely populated neighborhood. We cannot continue with destruction of forest canopy and wetlands in Prince George's County in order to develop poorly-constructed, overpriced homes.

With that said, understand that -with that said, understand that our voices may not carry much weight in this hearing, but I implore you all to consider that. Decisions about zoning should first address preservation of natural habitat, wildlife, and reforestation. It should be about making neighborhoods walkable and traffic patterns more safe.

The development is being promoted as senior living. However, there are no real amenities in the area that would be
accessible to many seniors that don't have access to cars. The Westphalia Park that is to be connected to the development is dilapidated and has not had any upgrades in years. Consider requiring the developer to enhance this park for all neighbors to enjoy. Consider requiring that the developers be committed in connecting this development to adjacent areas with sidewalks and trails.

Finally, Westphalia has been plagued with speeding and accidents. Prior to rezoning and any new construction, the county should commit to making Westphalia and D'Arcy intersections safe. This area should be made into a traffic circle or another suitable traffic calming design. Signed, Dr. Anna McCall.

So that was her statement she'd like on the record.

HEARING EXAMINER MCNEIL: Okay.
And you know how to email it to us?

THE WITNESS: I sure do. I have the -- I can just respond to my personal record email that $I$ was sent; correct?

HEARING EXAMINER MCNEIL: Yes.
THE WITNESS: Perfect.
HEARING EXAMINER MCNEIL: Thank you. I guess you all can't ask questions of Ms. Robinson. Do you have any -- I mean, about Dr. McCall's testimony?

ANDREW BROWN: No questions.
VINCE BIASE: No questions.
HEARING EXAMINER MCNEIL: Okay. Thank you, ma'am. Okay.

So, Mr. Biasi, if you don't have anything more.

VINCE BIASE: No further testimony today, Madam Examiner. I would like to take this opportunity to thank the Planning staff and the ZHE staff for their consistent coordination on this application as well as everyone for their attendance and participation in the hearing. I think
we would submit, on the evidence that's been presented in testimony as well as the exhibits that have been provided into the record, that the applicant has demonstrated that it meets the criteria of approval for a special exception.

Accordingly, we would submit and respectfully request the approval of this special exception-associated alternative compliance in TCP2 based upon the substantial evidence that has been provided.

HEARING EXAMINER MCNEIL: You know, $I$ think it would be helpful if you could -- I'd like to leave the record open to at -- to ask you. Well, I'm leaving -I'm leaving it open briefly for Dr. McCall's letter, but do you think you could submit a few photos of the surrounding area marking where, you know, where they are, the addresses for them. And I ask that because, I mean, I have some
familiarity, but we've got 11
councilmembers that you may appeal in a decision to, or they may call it up, and I'm not sure they all do.

So since Section 27-317 had your witnesses address whether or not it'll be detrimental to the use or development of adjacent properties, it would be great to see a few of them because I -- even I -I'm familiar with the area, and I had a hard time envisioning where Ms. Collins' property -- you know, like, if you could take a picture across from this property, by Parkside, the church, some of the things you noted. If we could put a picture in the record, that would be very helpful.

You think you'd be able to do
that?
VINCE BIASE: I think we can enter that into the record, but we just would probably want a little bit more instruction on what photos specifically would be
helpful.
HEARING EXAMINER MCNEIL: Well,
you said the general neighborhood.
Mr. Ferguson delineated the neighborhood.
So just any in that neighborhood and maybe say the distance it is from the property generically --

VINCE BIASE: Yeah.
HEARING EXAMINER MCNEIL: -- just a few of them. And then the record would close at that time.

VINCE BIASE: We can enter those into the record.

HEARING EXAMINER MCNEIL: Okay. Thank you so much for that. I thank everyone for appearing today and at the other hearings, and the record will be closed once we get that information, and a decision will be forthcoming shortly thereafter. And anyone, that's a person of record in this case, has an opportunity to appeal that decision to the county council
sitting as the district council.
I would like to say one more time
for the record -- I was hoping that
Mr. Brown would say it, but there's been a discussion that this is a rezoning, and I know that everyone here doesn't understand about zoning, but it is not a rezoning of the property. It is requesting a use that the council allows in that $R R$ zone if certain criteria are met.

And that was the purpose of the hearing. Mr. Biase was trying to show that the criteria was met.

Okay. So I thank you all for being here today and for putting up with our little glitches.

VINCE BIASE: Thank you.
HEARING EXAMINER MCNEIL: Have a great day, everyone.
(End of proceedings.)

CERTIFICATE OF TRANSCRIBER
I, Jennifer Candela-Alvarez, do hereby certify that this transcript was prepared from the digital audio recording of the foregoing proceeding; that said transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings to the best of my knowledge, skills, and ability; and that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties to the case and have no interest, financial or otherwise, in its outcome.


JENNIFER CANDELA-ALVAREZ SEPTEMBER 9, 2023

Transcript of Recorded Hearing
Conducted on August 30, 2023

| A | 104:7, 125:2 | 69:15, 69:20, | adjustments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ability | accesses | 72:6, 73:16, | 25:17 |
| 131:7 | 72:2 | 74:11, 78:2, | administration |
| able | accessible | 79:20, 80:20, | 5:18, 10:12, |
| 26:7, 46:3, | 125:1 | 81:13, 85:17, | 64:3 |
| 47:2, 47:16, | accident | 99:4, 100:22, | administrative |
| 48:18, $70: 19$, | 81:20, 86:3 | 119:22, 120:3 | 9:3, 9:7, 11:21 |
| 80:5, 109:15, | accidents | add | administrator |
| 112:4, 123:1, | 107:11, 118:4, | 52:20, 53:2 | 47:3 |
| 128:17 | 118:17, 125:12 | added | admission |
| about | accommodate | 80:6 | 111:15 |
| $32: 3,37: 13$, | 96:19 | adding | admitted |
| 40:20, 52:20, | accomplished | 52:2 | 64:19 |
| 68:15, 70:18, | 26:17 | additional | adopt |
| 74:4, 74:5, | accomplishments | 29:4, 51:11, | 23:15, 28:4, |
| 77:22, 81:15, | 10:16 | 54:21, 60:7, | 29:17, 40:4 |
| 87:3, 88:14, | accordingly | 78:21, 101:6 | adopted |
| 94:4, 95:3, | 127:7 | address | 39:22 |
| 96:17, 96:18, | accurate | 3:8, 14:4, | advanced |
| 97:20, 98:17, | 111:7, 131:6 | 43:7, 52:14, | 46:13 |
| 98:20, 99:12, | accurately | 62:13, 62:16, | adverse |
| 99:16, 102:13, | 6:3, 6:7, | 94:17, 105:9, | 30:11, 33:19, |
| 104:5, 105:19, | 44:12, 44:16, | 105:18, 115:10, | 75:3, 75:10, |
| 115:12, 119:10, | 64:14 | 115:13, 122:3, | 77:16, 81:2, |
| 121:6, 124:15, | acre | 124:15, 128:6 | 88:22 |
| 124:17, 126:9, | 34:3, 34:4, | addressed | adversely |
| 130:7 | 68:1 | 85:22, 93:9, | 23:12, 24:20 |
| absolutely | acreage | $97: 14, \quad 99: 19$ | affect |
| 33:15, 100:19 | 33:3, 33:10, | addresses | 23:12, 24:20, |
| abuts | 34:3, 34:8 | 127:21 | 73:14, 106:6 |
| 34:10 | acres | addressing | affecting |
| ac | 33:4, 33:5, | 77:19 | 107:19 |
| 1:8 | $33: 22,34: 4$ | adequacy | affirm |
| acceptance | acronym | 66:17, 77:15 | 2:20, 42:17, |
| 51:8 | 10:2 | adequate | 62:5, 93:14, |
| accepted | across | 66:15, 78:20, | 105:12, 115:3, |
| 14:13, 15:1, | 49:21, 59:22, | 88:18, 89:15, | 121:19 |
| 65:11 | 60:3, 112:13, | 89:19 | after |
| access | 112:14, 115:22, | adjacent | 76:9, 76:12, |
| 65:19, 66:22, | 117:4, 117:9, | $30: 12,36: 8,$ | $76: 15, \quad 84: 2$ |
| 67:4, 67:5, | 128:13 | $54: 22, \quad 57: 16,$ | again |
| 67:11, 73:22, | act | $75: 4,81: 2,$ | 21:20, 38:3, |
| 76:8, 78:13, | 44:7 | $90: 16, ~ 99: 5,$ | 46:13, 47:19, |
| 78:15, 81:1, | action | $99: 10,125: 9,$ | 49:5, 49:9, |
| 81:5, 87:7, | 26:13 | $128: 8$ | 55:18, 55:19, |
| 100:21, 101:8, | actions | adjoining | 70:15, 71:10, |
| 103:21, 104:6, | $23: 7$ | $73: 3$ | $71: 11,78: 17$ |
|  | actually | adjustment $27: 1$ | $81: 14, \quad 85: 5,$ |

Transcript of Recorded Hearing
Conducted on August 30, 2023


Transcript of Recorded Hearing
Conducted on August 30, 2023

| ```75:14, 79:9, 80:15, 80:16, 81:2, 81:6, 81:19, 98:2, 98:22, 106:17, 111:13, 114:4, 114:10, 121:9, 121:12, 123:4, 123:6, 125:4, 125:13, 126:8, 129:5, 131:9 anybody 41:18, 107:1 anybody's 77:7 anymore 32:15 anyone 13:8, 40:13, 92:19, 108:16, 117:18, 129:20 anything 41:11, 68:21, 84:14, 122:17, 126:15 anywhere 120:12 apologies 39:20 apologize 39:16, 57:12 apparently 12:19 appeal 128:2, 129:22 appearing 129:16 applicable 19:15, 22:11, 74:21 applicant 15:10, 17:10, 19:14, 20:2, 20:7, 20:14, 23:1, 23:4, 23:8, 24:10, 38:21, 65:18, 101:13, 104:4,``` | ```127:4 applicant's 14:2, 29:11, 56:11, 92:1, 113:10 applicants 23:5, 24:14 application 15:8, 15:12, 16:10, 16:14, 17:7, 17:16, 18:12, 18:18, 20:13, 20:21, 26:13, 27:9, 30:11, 30:17, 44:19, 45:11, 51:9, 54:4, 54:11, 65:16, 66:4, 67:1, 74:20, 75:3, 75:14, 92:6, 126:20 applications 8:15, 12:8, 19:13 applied 5:9 appropriate 26:15, 26:19, 101:16 approval 19:18, 22:18, 29:12, 30:5, 30:10, 30:16, 53:15, 56:12, 56:22, 66:8, 74:16, 75:2, 75:13, 77:11, 127:5, 127:8 approve 95:16 approved 8:21, 26:12, 66:13, 67:20, 68:20, 77:2, 84:2, 104:11 approximately 33:22``` | ```april 29:22, 30:6, 56:17, 57:1 architect 43:19 architects 43:10 architecture 44:5, 61:6 area 15:2, 24:11, 35:8, 35:9, 36:13, 41:5, 41:21, 50:18, 51:12, 51:15, 51:22, 52:2, 52:3, 52:8, 54:16, 65:12, 75:16, 77:7, 81:9, 90:20, 104:3, 106:4, 124:22, 125:15, 127:20, 128:10 areas 23:2, 25:22, 28:20, 125:9 aren't 73:19, 96:11, 109:17 arise 23:8, 24:18 arlington 5:6 around 29:3, 34:22, 36:9, 52:5, 92:16, 95:14, 107:19 arrow 60:15 arterials 72:13 arundel 7:7 asked 39:21, 77:18 asking 37:13, 57:13,``` | 77:15 <br> assess <br> 107:16, 107:17 <br> assist <br> 27:18 <br> assisted <br> 27:22 <br> associated <br> 8:14, 20:12, <br> 27:8, 35:9, <br> 41:6, 43:22, <br> 45:11, $50: 12$, <br> 53:6, 54:3, <br> 55:20, 63:6, <br> 66:22, 67:15 <br> assume <br> 38:15 <br> assuming <br> 66:13, 67:20 <br> atcs <br> 3:15, 3:16, <br> $3: 18,4: 1,4: 2$, <br> 10:1 <br> atcs's <br> 7:10 <br> attached <br> 65:22, 68:6, <br> 69: 4 <br> attendance <br> 126:21 <br> audio <br> 131: 4 <br> august <br> 1:10, 31:16 <br> authenticate <br> 110:7 <br> authority <br> 100:10 <br> avenue <br> 43:11, 76:7, <br> 101:5, 107:10 <br> average <br> 34:1, 34:8 <br> avoid 17:20, 21:12, <br> 21:16, 54:15 <br> avoidance <br> 21:8, 21:10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Transcript of Recorded Hearing
Conducted on August 30, 2023


Transcript of Recorded Hearing
Conducted on August 30, 2023

| 70:22, 71:2, 111:13, 123:3, <br> 123:15, 126:14 <br> bigger <br> 113:15 <br> biggest <br> 17:17 <br> binder <br> 45:20 <br> bit <br> 48:5, 50:4, <br> 79:4, 87:10, <br> 87:11, 99:3, <br> 107:4, 128:21 <br> blank <br> 47:18, 47:19 <br> block <br> 106:13 <br> board <br> 26:18 <br> board's <br> 52:10 <br> bond <br> 7:22 <br> book <br> 10:9 <br> books <br> 10:6 <br> both <br> 5:12, 8:9, <br> 44:4, 99:6 <br> bothering <br> 105:1 <br> bottom <br> 49:12 <br> boulevard <br> 101:9 <br> brakes <br> 117:11 <br> briefly <br> 5:13, 43:21, <br> 63:5, 127:17 <br> bringing <br> 49:1 <br> broad <br> 64:4 <br> brown $9: 13,9: 14$ |  | burton $\begin{aligned} & 92: 18, \quad 92: 21, \\ & 92: 22, ~ 93: 2, \\ & 93: 6,93: 18, \\ & 94: 9, \quad 94: 11, \\ & 94: 16, ~ 98: 3, \\ & 98: 6, \quad 98: 16, \\ & 99: 14, \quad 101: 6, \\ & 102: 6, \quad 102: 10, \\ & 102: 14, \quad 104: 12, \\ & 104: 16 \\ & \text { burton's } \\ & 99: 1 \\ & \text { business } \\ & 3: 8, \quad 3: 16, \\ & 5: 18,10: 12, \\ & 43: 7,62: 13, \\ & 62: 16 \\ & \text { busy } \end{aligned}$  | ```131:15 cannot 124:6 canopy 124:7 capacity 16:9, 16:12, 27:12, 28:7, 30:9, 30:15, 73:11, 74:18, 87:6 capital 23:18 career 9:4 carry 72:10, 73:2, 124:13 cars 77:3, 96:14, 97:11, 117:5, 118:5, 118:11, 118:13, 125:2 case 11:20, 12:1, 12:10, 12:15, 67:22, 68:5, 72:12, 72:19, 92:1, 129:21, 131:9 caught 98:12 cause 30:11, 75:3, 81:2, 101:2 caused 22:21 cecil 7:8 center 3:11 central 58:8 centrally 104:7 certain 130:10 certainly 50:1, 69:1``` |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Transcript of Recorded Hearing
Conducted on August 30, 2023

| certificate | 103:9 | collecting | 99:3, 120:16 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 131:1 | cl | 85:14 | comments |
| certify | 13:17 | collector | 52:14, 52:19, |
| 11:8, 131:3 | clarification | 72:6, 72:9, | 80:2, 84:19, |
| change | 39:15 | 74:3, 81:22, | 101:20, 121:7 |
| 51:7, 67:19, | clarify | 82:2, 82:16, | commercial |
| 68:14, 83:17, | 31:11, 67:13, | 82:19, 82:21, | 7:14, 44:3, |
| 108:3 | 80:21, 83:21 | 83:8, 85:11, | 63:22 |
| changed | clarifying | 85:17 | commission's |
| 25:8, 68:13, | 30:1, 30:7, | collectors | 23:19 |
| 85:13, 116:6 | 56:18, 57:2 | 72:5, 72:22, | commit |
| changing | clarity | 73:2, 73:22, | 125:14 |
| 83:21, 95:19 | $94: 4$ | 83:7 | committed |
| character | clarkson | collins | 125:8 |
| 89:5 | 5:17, 5:18, | 40:16, 40:19, | commonly |
| charles | 10:13 | 40:20, 41:2, | 23:1, 24:13 |
| 7:8 | classification | 41:10, 41:22, | communities |
| chief | 72:11 | 42:4, 42:7, | 82:4 |
| 92:1 | clear | 57:12, 59:1, | community |
| children | 109:17 | 59:5, 59:8, | 73:14, 75:11, |
| 122:17 | client | 59:9, 59:19, | 84:8, 93:8, |
| church | 4:20, 34:13 | 60:4, 92:16, | 95:17, 95:18, |
| 8:8, 34:12, | clients | 104:20, 104:22, | 96:2, 96:6, |
| 34:19, 115:21, | 4:7 | 105:7, 105:10, | $96: 19, \quad 97: 12,$ |
| 120:7, 128:14 | close | $\begin{array}{ll} 105: 16, & 105: 20, \\ 108: 17, & 108: 18, \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 97: 17, & 98: 17, \\ 104: 4, & 116: 3, \end{array}$ |
| circle | $129: 11$ | $\begin{aligned} & 108: 17, \\ & \text { 109:11, } \\ & \text { 110: } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 104: 4, \quad 116: 3, \\ & 116: 16 \end{aligned}$ |
| $125: 16$ | closed | $\begin{aligned} & 109: 11, \\ & 110: 6, \\ & 111: 21, \\ & 113: 6, \end{aligned}$ | $116: 16$ |
| circles | $129: 18$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 111: 21, & 113: 6, \\ 119: 13, & 120: 9, \end{array}$ | community's |
| $49: 16$ | closer | $\begin{aligned} & 119: 13,120: 9, \\ & 128: 11 \end{aligned}$ | $104: 5$ |
| circumstances | 21:11, 102:22, | 128:11 <br> come | compact |
| 20:5, 23:6, | $104: 12, \quad 104: 15$ | come $10: 8, \quad 40: 19,$ | $18: 5$ |
| $24: 15$ | cluster | $41: 14,46: 11,$ | company |
| cite $35: 18$ | $\begin{aligned} & 18: 6, \quad 49: 8, \\ & 49: 11 \end{aligned}$ | 59:21, 77:4, | 4:3, 10:5, $43: 18$ |
| $35: 18$ <br> citizens | 49:11 <br> clustered | 89:1, 95:12, | comparative |
| 121:13 | $21: 11,29: 3$ | $\begin{aligned} & 106: 3, \quad 108: 7, \\ & 113: 22, \quad 117: 1, \end{aligned}$ | $36: 21$ |
| city | clusters | 119:14 | compare |
| $\begin{aligned} & 7: 6 \\ & \text { civil } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 51: 2 \\ & \text { co-host } \end{aligned}$ | comes | $69: 6$ <br> compared |
| 5:16, 8:13, | 47:6, 71:10, | $\begin{array}{ll} 2: 7, & 41: 8, \\ 59 \cdot 6 & 120 \cdot 13 \end{array}$ | 36:22, 67:22, |
| 9:10, 10:14, | $71: 12$ | coming | $68: 2$ <br> comparison |
| 11:16, 11:17, | code | $82: 4,87: 17,$ | $38$ $00=-1$ |
| $\begin{array}{ll}11: 18, & 11: 20, \\ 14: 14, ~ 14: 20, ~\end{array}$ | 5:19, 16:1, | $97: 1, \quad 97: 10,$ | 38:15, 69:2, |
| 14:14, 14:20, $15: 2,15: 14,$ | 32:7, 45:7 collect | $\begin{array}{ll} 97: 11, & 98: 13, \\ 101: 7, & 106: 13 \end{array}$ | complete |
| $27: 13,28: 8,$ | $72: 9$ | $109: 19,112: 16$ | $11: 2$ |
| $30: 10, \quad 30: 16,$ | collected | comment | completed |
| 40:3, 40:7, | 85:19 | 14:3, 36:19, | 53:1, 66:16 |

Transcript of Recorded Hearing
Conducted on August 30, 2023

| compliance | conforms | constructed | 13:20, 36:1, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 44:6, 127:10 | 27:17 | 39:8 | $36: 2,36: 5$, |
| computer | confront | construction | 37:20, 38:17, |
| 46:1 | 87:21 | 8:2, 58:17, | 38:18, 40:8, |
| conceived | connect | 106:2, 125:13 | 40:9, 66:6, |
| 17:8 | 56:8, 72:21 | consulting | 66:20, 99:16, |
| concentrated | connected | 10:4, 18:10 | 99:22, 100:6, |
| 49:22 | 125:3 | contacted | 100:20, 102:4, |
| concept | connecting | 108:2 | 102:5, 109:1, |
| 18:17, 104:10 | 107:12, 125:8 | contingent | 110:19, 111:10, |
| concern | connection | 95:19 | 111:11, 114:7, |
| 95:2, 96:10, | $55: 21, \quad 89: 10$ | continually | $126: 3$ |
| 104:5, 116:12 | connects | $68: 14$ | correspondence $25: 9$ |
| concerned | 72:21 | continue | $25: 9$ |
| 119:10 | conservation | 59:12, 124:6 | could |
| concerning | 19:19, 20:1, | continued | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{ll} 25: 8, & 26: 17, \\ 33: 13, & 39: 7, \end{array}\right.$ |
| 13:22, 38:12, | 20:3, 20:17, | $19: 9$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 33: 13, & 39: 7, \\ 47: 17, & 50: 16, \end{array}$ |
| 113:9 | $22: 14, ~ 22: 19$, $26: 16, ~$ | continues | $\begin{aligned} & 47: 17, \quad 50: 16, \\ & 58: 21, \quad 59: 3, \end{aligned}$ |
| concerns $81: 20,93: 9$, | $\begin{array}{lll}26: 16, & 27: 7, \\ 27.15, & 28: 18,\end{array}$ | 51:22, 97:9 | $68: 1, \quad 69: 21$ |
| 81:20, 93:9, 94:3, 118:6, | $27: 15, ~ 28: 18$, $31: 6,35: 8$, | continuing | $83: 11, \quad 94: 16 \text {, }$ |
| 94:3, 118:6, 118:15, 123:2 | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{ll} 31: 6, & 35: 8, \\ 35: 9, & 36: 13, \end{array}\right.$ | 5:21, 11:3 continuously | $94: 17, \quad 98: 5$ |
| conclude | $44: 6,44: 7,$ | $5: 11$ | 109:10, 109:11, |
| 37:5, 38:19, | 45:1, 45:10, | contract | $\begin{aligned} & 116: 7, \quad 127: 15, \\ & 127: 19, \quad 128: 12, \end{aligned}$ |
| 91:22 | 51:12, 52:1, | $4: 20, \quad 63: 14$ | $\begin{aligned} & 127: 19, \quad 128: 12, \\ & 128: 15 \end{aligned}$ |
| conclusions | 52:21, 53:12, | contracts | couldn't |
| 14:6, 14:10, | 53:16 consider | 64:5 | 112:18, 122:16 |
| condition | 82:16, 97:22, | control $4: 19,7: 12$, | council |
| 23:9, 26:4, | 124:14, 125:5, | 7:22, 19:10, | 14:3, 31:4, |
| 111:8 | 125:7 | 87:6 | 58:21, 129:22, |
| conditions | consideration | controlled | 130:1, 130:9 |
| 22:20, 23:6, | 41:11, 41:19, | 67:7 | councilmembers |
| 24:8, 24:18, | 107:2 | coordinated | 128:2 <br> councilperson |
| 26:9, 30:5, | considered | $66: 9$ | councilperson \|104:3 |
| 35:21, 36:20, | $\begin{aligned} & 24: 15, ~ 85: 11, \\ & 109 \cdot 2 ? \end{aligned}$ | coordination | counsel |
| 37:3, 38:13, | $109: 22$ | 8:3, 18:10, | 131:8 |
| $56: 22$ <br> confer | considering $95: 22$ | 92:5, 126:20 | counties |
| $23: 3$ | consistent | copy | 4:17 |
| configured | 74:20, 92:5, | $44: 11,64:$ | county |
| 18:4 | 126:20 | 109:8 | 4:16, 6:16, |
| conflict | consolidate | corner | $7: 6,7: 15,8: 17$, |
| 21:12, 101:3 | 67:4, 74:8 | 17:21, 29:1, | 16:1, 16:3, |
| conflicts | constantly | $50: 18, \quad 51: 13,$ | 16:6, 19:19, |
| 74:10 | 118:5 | $54: 17$ | 20:16, 22:13, |
| conform | constraints | correct | 41:8, 41:9, |
| 27:14, 53:11 | 100:10 | 11:6, 13:19, | $45: 6,52: 22$, |

Transcript of Recorded Hearing
Conducted on August 30, 2023


Transcript of Recorded Hearing
Conducted on August 30, 2023

| designated | 18:6, 18:16, | 34:11, 72:22, | down |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 100:2 | 18:17, 21:11, | 87:13, 106:1, | 57:17, 57:21, |
| designed | 23:20, 24:1, | 115:18, 115:22, | 59:6, 59:19, |
| 67:4, 75:12, | 24:7, 25:2, | 118:2 | 61:19, 61:20, |
| 116:13 | 25:22, 26:20, | disagree | 61:21, 78:22, |
| designers | 29:22, 30:6, | 82:17 | 81:16, 82:4, |
| 4:13 | 35:10, 38:5, | disaster | 87:8, 87:11, |
| desire | 49:8, 56:17, | 121:1, 122:10 | 87:16, 95:13, |
| 4:5 | 57:1, 63:22, | discuss | 96:2, 97:18, |
| desperately | 65:21, 67:3, | 21:16 | 106:3, 106:22, |
| 117:16 | 67:21, 72:20, | discussed | 110:1, 112:20, |
| destroyed | 73:10, 74:11, | 51:19, 54:12 | 117:1, 117:19, |
| 41:13 | 76:18, 89:7, | discussing | 118:5, 119:4 |
| destroying | 89:10, 90:16, | 25:10 | dozens |
| 114:4 | 95:14, 104:10, | discussion | 7:5 |
| destruction | 113:11, 114:4, | 130:5 | dpie |
| 41:18, 112:12, | 120:13, 124:20, | distance | $17: 12, \quad 104: 11$ |
| 112:15, 124:7 | $\begin{aligned} & 125: 3, \quad 125: 9, \\ & 128: 7 \end{aligned}$ | 104:8, 129:6 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { dr } \\ & 92.16 . \quad 92.22 \end{aligned}$ |
| detached | 128:7 <br> developments | distances | $\begin{aligned} & 92: 16, \quad 92: 22, \\ & 94: 3.94: 9 . \end{aligned}$ |
| 34:17, 35:2 | developments $49: 12,74: 2$ | $78: 19$ | $\begin{aligned} & 94: 3, \quad 94: 9, \\ & 94: 10, \quad 94: 16 . \end{aligned}$ |
| detail |  | district | 94:10, 94:16, |
| $78: 18$ | 100:13, 116:14 <br> devin | 130:1 | $\begin{aligned} & 99: 14, \quad 101: 6, \\ & 122: 20, \quad 123: 20, \end{aligned}$ |
| details | 42:15, 42:21, | division $43: 20$ | $125: 18, \quad 126: 9$ |
| determination | 43:9, 47:17, | doctor | 127:18 |
| 21:4 | 47:20, 48:2, | 79:20, 94:9 | draft |
| determine | 48:6, 48:9, | document | 18:20 |
| $33: 18,77: 16$ | difference | 12:20, 13:17, | drafted |
| detrimental | difference $68: 9$ | 13:21, 40:1, | $\begin{aligned} & 16: 22 \\ & \text { drafting } \end{aligned}$ |
| $30: 17,75: 14$ | different | $40: 2, \quad 40: 3$ | $22: 16$ |
| develop | 83:7, 86:13, | documents | drain |
| 68:7, 124:9 | $\begin{aligned} & 87: 2, \quad 89: 16, \\ & 89: 19 \end{aligned}$ | 19:12 | 50:19, 58:3 |
| developable | digital | doe | drainage $7: 20,106: 10 \text {, }$ |
| 24:11, 39:8 developed | $131: 4$ | $\begin{aligned} & 119: 20 \\ & \text { doing } \end{aligned}$ | $106: 11, \quad 106: 19$ |
| 39:12, 60:10, | dilapidated | 43:4, 65:6, | draining |
| 103:20 | 125: 4 | 118:14 | 38:6, 38:10, |
| developer | diminished | done | 57:17, 57:21, |
| 125:5 | 116:10 | 73:8, 114:1 | $58: 10$ |
| developers | dire | door | drawings |
| 125:8 | 9:15 <br> direct | 117:13 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 102:21 } \\ & \text { drive } \end{aligned}$ |
| development | 119:2 | dot | drive |
| 4:15, 6:18, | direction | 71:22 | $\begin{array}{ll} 3: 11, & 76: 17, \\ 86: 9, & 87: 10, \end{array}$ |
| 6:20, 6:21, | $83: 4, \quad 95: 22,$ | double | $94: 21, \quad 95: 13,$ |
| 7:17, 8:15, | $96: 9, \quad 100: 12$ | 118:6 | $123: 22$ |
| $8: 18,8: 22$ | directly | doubt 89.8 | driven |
| 12.7, 17:22, | 12:13, 19:2, | 89.8 | 109:21, 117:19 |

Transcript of Recorded Hearing
Conducted on August 30, 2023

| driver's | earned | employed | 88:10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 117:12 | 5:7, 5:10 | 3:14, 3:15, | engineers |
| drivers | easement | 20:21, 43:12, | 4:12, 63:4, |
| 87:21, 118:11 | 52:1, 52:3 | 54:10, 62:19, | 63:16, 87:5 |
| drives | easements | 131:9 | engineers-in-tra- |
| 84:9 | 52:21 | empty | ining |
| driveway | easier | 120:7 | 4:13 |
| 41:14, 59:22, | 102:17 | encompasses | enhance |
| 74:3, 87:14, | east | 41:9 | 125:6 |
| 88:2, 96:12, | 34:21, 38:6, | end | enjoy |
| 96:22, 103:1, | 38:8, 50:16, | 46:9, 106:19, | 125:7 |
| 103:12, 104:13, | 103:22 | 130:20 | enjoyed |
| 106:6, 106:13, | edification | enforced | 23:2, 24:13 |
| 109:18, 112:13, | 90:2 | 32:12 | enough |
| 112:14, 112:16, | education | enforcement | 10:11, 96:11 |
| 120:1 | 5:15, 5:21, | 22:22 | ensure |
| driveways | 6:7, 11:3 | engage | 77:3 |
| 74:6, 74:9, | educational | 15:10 | enter |
| 87:17, 88:8, | 5:14, 6:4, | engaged | 128:19, 129:12 |
| 88:13, 95:4 | 10:15, 10:19, | 15:13 | entire |
| driving | 10:22, 44:13, | engineer | 87:22, 88:13 |
| 87:8, 97:21, | $64: 15$ | 4:14, 4:21, | entirety |
| 116:19 | effect | 4:22, 5:3, 5:4, | 41:9 |
| drops | 30:17, 75:3, | 6:9, 6:11, 6:14, | entitle |
| 106:22 | 75:10, 75:14, | 7:3, 7:11, 8:6, | 4:15 |
| drove | 81:2, 81:7, | 8:12, 11:20, | entitlements |
| 95:10 | 88:22 | 12:10, 12:12, | 8:2, 19:8, |
| due | egress | 12:14, 19:5, | 19:13 |
| 26:8, 38:22, | 101:2 | 79:4 | entrance |
| 77:4 | eight | engineer-in | $60: 3, \quad 86: 13,$ |
| dumping | 11:3, 63:10 | 16:22 | $86: 20, \quad 90: 2,$ |
| 82:3 | either | engineering | 102:16, 102:22 |
| during | $23: 10,32: 4$ | $5: 6, \quad 5: 16,$ | entry |
| $80: 1$ | 61:6, 100:4, | 6:18, 6:20, | 95:2 |
| duties | 100:6 | 6:22, 7:18, | envelope |
| $3: 21,43: 22$ | elderly | $8: 14,9: 11,$ | $24: 7,39: 9$ |
| dwellings | $65: 22$ | $10: 14,11: 17,$ | environmenta |
| 65:22 | electronically | 11:18, 14:15, | $22: 13$ |
| dying | 12:19 | 14:20, 15:2, | environmental |
| 112:22, 113:5 | else | 15:14, 16:9, | $14: 7,16: 3,$ |
| E | 41:20, 91:12, | 16:12, 19:8, | $16: 6,17: 5,$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { each } \\ & 74: 3, \quad 83: 2, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 92: 19, & 114: 14 \\ \text { email } & \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 19: 12, \quad 27: 13, \\ & 28: 8, \quad 30: 10, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 18: 7, \quad 20: 11, \\ & 20: 19, \quad 20: 22, \end{aligned}$ |
| $83: 4,84: 17,$ | 108:20, 123:12, | 30:16, 40:3, | 21:1, 21:6, |
| 87:13, 95:22, | 125:22, 126:3 | $40: 7,63: 9,$ | 21:15, 23:19, |
| 96:9, 100:12 | emailed | $64: 21, \quad 73: 12$ | 27:20, 28:10, |
| earlier $51: 20$ | $\begin{aligned} & 16: 16 \\ & \text { emergency } \end{aligned}$ | $73: 13, \quad 74: 19,$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 28: 15, & 35: 21, \\ 36: 20, & 43: 19 \end{array}$ |
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| 53:18, 53:19, | 17:13, 64:20 | foregoing | fullest |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 56:15, 65:14, | fields | 131:5 | 21:3, 21:4, |
| 74:14, 76:3, | 36:12 | forest | 28:12, 28:17, |
| 77:7, 99:13, | file | 35:7, 44:6, | 55:15 |
| 128:10 | 123:10 | 51:16, 51:21, | funny |
| familiarity | filed | 52:5, 54:22, | 119:21 |
| 8:4, 128:1 | 13:22 | 94:21, 95:13, | further |
| far | finally | 114:5, 123:22, | 18:5, 21:16, |
| 74:12, 89:4 | 125:11 | 124:7 | 23:14, 25:16, |
| farm | financial | forests | 28:3, 29:16, |
| 35:10 | 131:10 | 52:7 | 69:14, 73:4, |
| farmland | find | forgotten | 74:1, 79:6, |
| 116:9 | 69:14 | 116:19 | 82:4, 87:10, |
| farms | finding | formal | 126:16 |
| 96:3 | 24:5 | 5:15, 66:7 | future |
| fatima | findings | forth | 25:2, 26:16, |
| 71:9, 109:4, | 17:12, 19:18, | 25:10 | 26:19, 26:21 |
| 109:10, 109:14 | 19:22, 30:4, | forthcoming | G |
| features | 53:15, 56:21, | 129:19 | garage |
| 18:8, 20:5, | 74:15 | forum | $87: 14$ |
| 20:11, 21:1, | fine | 101:16 | gate |
| 27:20, 28:11, | 43:5, 50:7 | forward | $120: 5$ |
| 28:16, 35:20, | firm | $75: 12$ | general |
| 39:1, 46:17, | 62:20, 63:2, | found | 129:3 |
| 48:21, 54:2, | $63: 10$ | $68: 22$ | generally |
| $54: 13,55: 8$, | first | founders | 33:9, 46:20, |
| $55: 14,55: 17$ | 6:17, 10:8, | 10:7 | 48:20, 49:2 |
| february | 18:19, 51:5, | four | generate |
| $18: 13$ | 63:19, 68:11, | 108:21 | 68:1, 68:4, |
| federal | 95:1, 102:21, | four-way | 69:5, 69:8, |
| 8:17, 64:1 | 114:21, 116:7, | 97:7 | 69:9, 69:16 |
| feedback | 116:20, 124:2, | fox | generation |
| 17:9 | 124:15 | 112:18 | 68:2 |
| feet | five | frederick | generically |
| 74:4, 77:22, | $34: 4, \quad 118: 8$ | $7: 7$ | $129: 7$ |
| $78: 10$ | flat | freeway | george's |
| fence | 36:11, 117:21, | 72:16 | 4:16, 6:15, |
| $50: 4$ | 118:12 | freeways | $7: 8,7: 15,16: 1$, |
| fenced | folks | 72:16 | 16:3, 16:6, |
| 120:2 | 11:2, 17:10 | front | 19:19, 20:16, |
| ferguson | follow | 8:9, 10:7, | 22:12, 41:8, |
| 129:4 | 80:22 | 87:12, 102:19 | 53:16, 53:20, |
| few | following | frontage | $63: 17,64: 8,$ |
| 122:14, 127:19, | 45:6 | 88:1, 88:14, | $124: 8$ |
| 128:9, 129:10 | follows | $104: 8$ | gis |
| field | $55: 22$ | full | 16:21, 18:2, |
| 9:10, 11:12, | foot | 3:8, 6:22, | $37: 8,37: 16$, |
| 14:14, 14:20, | 56:3 | 43:6, 62:13 |  |
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| issuing | kenneth | 120:3, 120:4, | 98:7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8:18 | 99:9 | 125:22, 127:14, | late |
| it'll | key | 127:20, 128:12, | 108: 4 |
| 91:4, 128:6 | 63:15, 67:8, | 130:6 | later |
| iterations | 77:17 | knowledge | 18:19, 80:1, |
| 51:8 | kid | 37:22, 51:6, | 85:22 |
| itself | 98:8 | 52:9, 54:8, | latter |
| 72:20, 87:4 | kim | 131:7 | 60:11 |
| iv | 108:14, 114:16, | L | law |
| 15:22 | 114:19, 115:1, | lack | 14:6, 14:10 |
| J | 115:7 | 119:10 | layout |
| jamala | kimberly | lagoe | 17:3, 17:7, |
| 81:14, 121:15 | 114:19 | 4:8 | 17:8, 17:14, |
| january | kind | laid | 21:9, 119:21, |
| 4:9, 16:18, | $49: 17,49: 20,$ | $16: 20,18: 2,$ | $120: 4$ |
| 18:9 ${ }^{\text {18, }}$ | $58: 7, \quad 87: 20$ | $78: 22$ | layouts |
| jennifer | kinds | land | 7:19, 103:20 |
| 1:22, 131:2, | $83: 7$ | 4:13, 4:15, | leary |
| 131:15 | know | 6:18, 6:20, | $42: 15,42: 17$ |
| job | 20:8, 21:16, | 6:21, 7:17, | 42:21, 43:2, |
| 1:20 | 24:22, 29:4, | 14:16, 17:4, | 43:9, 47:15, |
| judicial | 29:5, 34:3, | 23:9, 40:2, | 47:17, 47:20, |
| 11:21 | 34:8, 34:14, | 57:17, 110:1, | 48:2, 48:6, |
| jumbled | $34: 18,34: 22$, | 114:5, 116:9, | 48:9, 48:14, |
| 56:7 | 44:8, 51:1, | 119:22, 120:4 | 57:5, 57:10, |
| june | 51:2, 54:14, | landing | 61:5, 61:16, |
| $5: 8, \quad 32: 3$ | 68:5, 68:22, | 13:6, 13:11, | 61:17 |
| justification | 77:13, 77:22, | 14:1 | leary's |
| $12: 17,12: 22,$ | 78:11, 79:3, | landscape | 61:10, 61:13 |
| $13: 7,13: 13,$ | $79: 10,80: 1$, $81: 20,84: 6$, | 43:10, 43:18, | least |
| $13: 15,18: 22,$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 81: 20, & 84: 6, \\ 84: 21, & 85: 21, \end{array}$ | 44:4, 61:6 | $86: 4, \quad 87: 7,$ |
| 21:22, 22:4, | 86:6, 86:9, | lane $82: 18,83: 2,$ | leave |
| $\begin{array}{rlr}22: 6, ~ 22: 17, ~ \\ 23: 16, ~ & 23: 21,\end{array}$ | 88:5, 88:7, | $82: 18,83: 2$, $83: 3,84: 16$, | 127:15 |
| 27:5, 27:19, | 88:12, 88:16, | 84:17, 95:21, | leaving |
| $28: 1, \quad 28: 5$ | 89:2, 89:6, | 96:9, 118:6 | 127:16, 127:17 |
| 29:12, 29:16, | 91:6, 91:7, | lanes | left |
| $29: 18, \quad 33: 8$ | 91:9, 95:3, | 83:2 | 2:3, 50:9, |
| 40:1, 55:2, | $\begin{aligned} & 95: 10, \quad 97: 21, \\ & 98: 5, \quad 100: 5, \end{aligned}$ | largely | 87:18, 88:5, |
| 55:7, 56:11 | $106: 11, \quad 107: 9,$ | 17:8 | 116:22, 117:10 |
| justify | $106: 11, ~ 107: 9$, $107: 21, ~ 109: 3$, | largo | legal |
| 36:18, 83:13 | 109:16, 111:19, | 3:11, 4:3, 4:5 | $14: 17$ <br> legible |
| K | 116:21, 117:2, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { last } \\ & 13: 9.13: 22 \end{aligned}$ | $52: 18$ |
| keep | 118:3, 118:14, | $14: 5, \quad 38: 20,$ | less |
| 117:11 | 118:16, 118:20, | $63: 16, \quad 90: 1$ | 68:21, 69:9, |
| keeps | 119:7, 119:10, | $\begin{array}{ll}  \\ 92: 15, & 93: 3, \end{array}$ | 69:11, 69:15, |
| 56:6 | 119:15, 119:21, | 92.15, 93.3, | 69:16, 69:17, |

Transcript of Recorded Hearing
Conducted on August 30, 2023

| 73:18, 78:9 | 52:18, 56:7, | 110:3 | making |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| let's | 77:8, 79:4, | lost | 86:17, 87:3, |
| 71:11, 103:3 | 87:9, 87:11, | 49:5, 49:9 | 124:18, 125:14 |
| letter | 99:3, 107:4, | lot | man |
| 22:3, 23:16, | 128:21, 130:16 | 49:15, 52:15, | 65:3, 65:8 |
| 27:19, 28:1, | live | 64:1, 68:1, | manage |
| 28:2, 28:5, | 81:16, 95:9, | 68:3, 96:7, | 4:12, 44:2 |
| 32:3, 32:8, | 98:5, 98:19, | 107:17, 107:22 | managed |
| 32:11, 55:6, | 105:21, 114:20, | lots | 58:12 |
| 55:10, 69:3, | 116:1, 117:21 | 17:22, 33:11, | management |
| 127:18 | lived | 68:1 | 4:19, 4:20, |
| level | 85:22, 107:13 | lower | 7:21, 19:7, |
| 26:18, 89:13, | lives | 57:22 | 29:9, 58:7 |
| 106:9, 106:21 | 76:21 | M | manager |
| license | living | ma'am | $3: 20,4: 1$, |
| 5:8, 5:10, | 112:19, 124:21 | $112: 6,114: 13,$ | 4:12, 7:11, |
| 10:20, 11:9 | llc | $\text { 121:9, } 126: 13$ | 7:12, 8:6, |
| licensed | 1:8 | madam | 12:13, 19:6, |
| $4: 22,5: 2,5: 4$, | loading | $2: 14,9: 8,$ | $19: 11,107: 16$ |
| 5:11, 7:1, 7:2, | $73: 20$ | $14: 19, \quad 15: 4$ | managing |
| $43: 18$ | local | $31: 2,45: 13$ | $4: 10$ |
| licensure | $70: 7, \quad 71: 16,$ | 46:14, 57:7, | manual |
| $5: 22$ | $71: 20, \quad 72: 7$ | 64:18, 70:6, | $16: 4, \quad 16: 7,$ |
| light | located | 75:19, 121:11, | 21:1, 21:6, |
| 90:10, 90:11, | 104:7 | 126:17 | 22:14, 45:8, |
| 97:4, 97:6, | location | madame | 53:21 |
| 97:15, 97:16, | 46:16, 46:19, | 80:22 | many |
| 117:3 | 48:20, 58:8, | made | 32:17, 77:22, |
| likely | 79:7, 88:9 | 71:12, 125:16 | 95:4, 99:19, |
| 68:20 | locations | mailed | 118:3, 122:19, |
| limit | $24: 9, \quad 24: 17,$ | $18: 13,18: 22$ | $125: 1$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 52: 7, \quad 54: 15, \\ & 118: 14 \end{aligned}$ | $50: 2$ | main | map <br> 59.4, 78.3 |
| 118:14 <br> limited | long $\text { 5:1, } 89: 7,$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 59: 22, & 60: 3, \\ 67: 17 & 74: 9 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 59: 4, \quad 78: 3, \\ & 90: 7 \end{aligned}$ |
| 14:19, 29:8, | $97: 10, \quad 97: 13$ | maintaining | march |
| $\begin{aligned} & 62: 21, \quad 96: 5, \\ & 96: 16 \end{aligned}$ | longer | $10: 20$ | $16: 19, \quad 18: 18$ |
| $96: 16$ <br> line | $\begin{aligned} & 83: 16 \end{aligned}$ | make | mark |
| line <br> 17:19, 26:1, | look | $47: 2,47: 5,$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & 111: 20 \\ & \text { marked } \end{aligned}\right.$ |
| $\begin{array}{lll} 17: 19, & 26: 1, \\ 28: 21, & 50: 1, \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 32: 17, \quad 77: 18, \\ & 107: 4 \end{aligned}$ | $71: 10,78: 19$ $78: 20,80: 6$ | marked |
| 51:18 | looking | $84: 21,87: 18,$ | marking |
| lines | 10:11, 28:17, | $89: 15, \quad 95: 9,$ | $127: 20$ |
| 18:8, 21:14 linked | 50:9, 68:10, | $96: 19,102: 15,$ | marlboro |
| linked | 77:1, 98:11, | 112:4, 113:14, | 72:14, 94:22, |
| 80:12 | 118:20, 119:17, | 116:21, 117:10, | 100:14, 101:4, |
| listen | 120:15 | 120:21, 120:22, | 105:22, 115:14, |
| $98: 3$ | looks | 121:3 | $119: 4,119: 6,$ |
| 49:14, 50:4, | 57.17, 108.10, |  | 119.9, 122.6, |
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| 124:1 <br> maryland $\begin{aligned} & 3: 11, \quad 4: 4, \quad 4: 6, \\ & 4: 17, \quad 5: 1, \quad 5: 5, \\ & 5: 9, \quad 5: 10, \quad 5: 12, \\ & 5: 20, \quad 6: 12, \\ & 6: 15, \quad 6: 18, \\ & 6: 19, \quad 6: 21, \quad 7: 1, \\ & 7: 5, \quad 10: 21, \\ & 11: 2, \quad 43: 11, \\ & 62: 17, \quad 94: 22, \\ & 105: 22, \quad 115: 14, \\ & 122: 6 \\ & \text { maryland-national } \end{aligned}$ $23: 18$ <br> master $64: 1,64: 2,$ $72: 3,72: 4,73: 8$ <br> master's $5: 17,10: 12$ <br> matter $27: 21$ <br> maybe $59: 3,90: 4,$ $97: 15,129: 5$ <br> mc $90: 3$ mccall $2: 4,60: 18,$ $60: 22,79: 9,$ $79: 19, \quad 92: 16,$ $94: 3,122: 20$ $123: 20,125: 18$ mccall's $126: 9,127: 18$ <br> mean <br> 57:20, 61:20, <br> 87:9, 90:22, <br> 97:22, 108:5, <br> 113:7, 119:15, <br> 126:9, 127:22 <br> measure <br> 78:11 <br> medical $3: 10$ <br> meet $89: 13$ $93: 6,93: 8 \text {, }$ | 95:16 meetings $17: 9, \quad 19: 14$ <br> meets $127: 5$ <br> member 116:2 <br> memo $30: 1, \quad 30: 7$ $56: 18,57: 2$ <br> mentioned $20: 8, \quad 34: 9$ <br> met $21: 14,104: 4$ $130: 10, \quad 130: 13$ <br> metro $4: 6,4: 16$ mid-'s $63: 20$ <br> might $25: 13,25: 20$ $46: 18,48: 10,$ $59: 12, \quad 92: 9$ $92: 20, \quad 98: 11$ $99: 1,108: 8$ $109: 9,111: 21$ <br> mind <br> 105:1 <br> mine $31: 20,110: 14$ $110: 16$ <br> minimal <br> 55:20, 56:5 <br> minimis <br> 73:17, 76:22 <br> minimization <br> 21:8 <br> minimize <br> 21:18, 54:15 <br> minor $52: 13,69: 20$ <br> minute $103: 3, \quad 103: 14$ <br> misses <br> 118:19 <br> mistaken <br> 102:20 <br> mitigated $102: 1$ | mitigation <br> 20:20, 21:8, <br> 54:10, 117:15 <br> mixed <br> 7:13 <br> modeling <br> 7:21 <br> moment <br> 11:11, 48:15 <br> montgomery <br> 7:7, 63:15 <br> month <br> 18:19, 111:4 <br> mooing <br> 116:8 <br> moran <br> 99:9 <br> more <br> 18:5, 52:7, <br> 52:18, 68:17, <br> 68:19, 69:15, <br> 71:1, 73:20, <br> 80:20, 81:21, <br> 82:12, 84:15, <br> 84:16, 85:12, <br> 86:4, 86:11, <br> 86:15, 91:15, <br> 91:17, 96:17, <br> 96:18, 101:2, <br> 102:6, 107:5, <br> 107:10, 107:14, <br> 120:11, 120:13, <br> 124:5, 124:19, <br> 126:15, 128:21, <br> 130:2 <br> morgan <br> 101:9 <br> morning <br> 3:6, 9:16, <br> 9:19, 43:2, <br> 43:3, 43:8, <br> 69:5, 69:17, <br> 95:11, 118:8 <br> most <br> 81:20, 106:2 <br> motorists <br> 75:16, 81:8 <br> move $9: 9,49: 10,$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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| ```nearby 57:16 nearly 118:4 necessarily 101:19, 120:18 necessary 15:17, 44:22 need 25:18, 56:5, 70:13, 96:22, 114:21, 117:14 needed 53:2, 117:16 needs 97:14, 97:15 neighbor 8:10, 79:19, 94:1 neighborhood 30:13, 30:19, 33:7, 33:10, 33:16, 33:17, 33:20, 34:2, 36:4, 36:18, 37:1, 37:3, 37:18, 38:16, 75:5, 81:3, 95:5, 116:5, 122:14, 122:18, 124:6, 129:3, 129:4, 129:5 neighborhoods 124:18 neighboring 23:11, 24:19, 37:8, 37:21, 38:5 neighbors 102:18, 108:7, 125:6 neither 131:8 nelson 18:11, 62:2, 62:4, 62:8, 62:12, 62:15, 64:19, 65:14,``` |  | ```none 88:8 nontidal 50:19, 51:3, 54:16, 56:4 north 18:5, 34:14, 34:19, 49:3, 58:16, 62:16, 72:12, 74:1, 87:10, 87:12 note 25:4, 52:20, 53:3, 58:5 noted 26:5, 75:6, 128:15 nothing 3:1, 42:20, 62:7, 93:17, 98:19, 105:14, 115:6, 121:21 notice 31:22, 58:6 notices 18:13 notification 108:2 notified 102:3 number 7:13, 68:13, 68:19, 74:2, 87:7, 95:17, 100:12 numbers 73:17 numerous 49:20, 116:14, 117:11 O o'clock 118:8 oath 2:18 objection 2:9, 14:13,``` | ```65:9, 111:14, 111:16, 123:6 obtained 17:4 obviously 45:3, 49:7, 49:11, 54:14 occur 38:4, 88:4, 88:9, 100:13 occurred 31:5 occurring 57:14 off-site 26:8, 50:22, 51:4, 54:19, 56:8 offense 98:2 offer 61:8, 110:8 offered 14:16 offering 8:13, 101:20 office 4:3, 4:6, 4:8, 4:10, 7:10, 17:1, 70:18, 108:21, 118:2 offices 13:16 offsite 56:9 oh 37:14, 47:20, 47:21, 49:5, 59:20, 80:8, 93:4, 98:7, 105:7, 114:20, 119:7 okay 2:10, 3:3, 3:6, 10:10, 32:20, 40:21, 40:22, 41:10, 42:8, 42:12, 45:21,``` |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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| over | 50:20, 50:22, | peculiarities | 129:20 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7:5, 13:22, | 55:22, 66:10, | 86:21 | personal |
| 19:6, 50:17, | 125:2, 125:6 | pedestrians | 126:2 |
| 51:14, 55:18, | parked | 75:16, 81:8 | persons |
| 75:8, 95:2, | 96:15 | penalties | 92:9, 99:16, |
| 107:13, 116:6, | parking | 2:21, 42:18, | 102:4 |
| 118:10, 120:6 | 96:5 | 62:5, 93:15, | perspective |
| overcome | parkside | 105:12, 115:4, | 67:9, 74:8, |
| 32:17 | 41:13, 82:3, | 121:19 | 88:11, 88:19, |
| overcrowded | 90:3, 90:17, | pennsylvania | 122:12 |
| 84:18 | 90:19, 97:12, | 76:7, 91:5, | pertaining |
| overflow | 101:7, 107:12, | 101:4, 107:10 | 65:20 |
| 96:7, 96:15 | 113:19, 113:20, | people | phone |
| overpriced | 117:2, 128:14 | 73:4, 87:16, | 10:6, 10:8 |
| 124:9 | part | 89:8, 107:1, | phonetic |
| oversaw | 2:10, 6:20, | 107:19, 107:22, | 16:15, 81:14 |
| 18:16 | 15:22, 20:3, | 116:18, 118:22, | photograph |
| overseeing | 52:22, 82:11, | 119:2, 119:7, | 110:12 |
| 7:17 | 82:12, 86:19, | 121:1, 122:16 | photographs |
| own | 90:19, 118:12 | people's | 108:21, 109:5, |
| 99:4 | $\begin{aligned} & 5: 20,16: 8, \\ & 101: 20 \\ & \text { participated } \end{aligned}$ | 14:2, 31:4, | $110: 8, \quad 110: 18,$ |
| owned |  | 58:21 | $110: 19, \quad 110: 22,$ |
| 34:11, 34:18 |  | perfect | 111:7, 111:15, |
| owner's |  | $126: 5$ | $113: 10$ |
| 96:12 | $16: 11, \quad 101: 18$ | performed | photos |
| owners | participating | 36:6, 104:9 | 109:11, 127:19, |
| 57:16 | 19:13 | performing | 128:22 |
| owning | participation | 7:17 | picture |
| 20:4 | 126:22 | perimeter | 112:18, 112:22, |
| P | particular $33: 16$ | 18:1 | 128:13, 128:15 |
| page | parties$131: 9$ | period $98: 20,117: 22$ | 109:16, 109:20, |
| $38: 20,49: 4$ |  | perjury | $112: 1$ |
| $49: 13$ | passing | 2:21, 42:18, | piece |
| pages | 118: 6 | 62:5, 93:15, | 107:18 |
| $1: 21,9: 22$ |  | 105:13, 115:4, | place |
|  | past $45: 3,77: 22 \text {, }$ | 121:19 | 78:15, 88:4, |
| $50: 3,50: 16,$ | $\text { 45:3, } 77: 22, ~$ | permit | 115:20, 116:15, |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 86: 7,116: 6, \\ & 118: 13 \end{aligned}$ | $\text { \| } 8: 1$ | $\begin{aligned} & 119: 11 \\ & \text { olaced } \end{aligned}$ |
| 51:14, 55:18 paragraph | patient | $8: 18,25: 15$ | $108: 27$ |
| $38: 20$ <br> parenthetical | $79: 21$ | $53: 2$ | places |
| parenthetical $35: 22$ | 124:19 | permitted | 120:15 |
| park | pay | 23:10, 24:12, | placing |
| 14:7, 17:11, | $117: 22$peak | 39:7, 84:1 | 103:12 |
| 23:19, 35:4, |  | permitting | plagued |
| 35:5, 36:10, | peculiar | $44: 9$ | $\begin{aligned} & 125: 12 \\ & \text { olan } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 22:20, 24:8 | 80:7, 80:8, | 13:3, 14:4, |
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|  | ```plc 3:15 please 3:7, 5:13, 22:5, 33:14, 43:6, 45:14, 48:19, 62:12, 66:21, 70:7, 71:19, 91:13, 102:7, 122:4 plus 33:3 pma 50:13, 54:20 pocket 17:20, 28:22, 38:1 point 31:5, 67:5, 67:11, 76:8, 78:14, 87:2, 90:9, 91:4, 100:21, 104:7 points 66:22, 101:2, 103:21 poorly-construct- ed 124:9 populated 124:5 position 3:17, 43:15, 44:1, 62:22, 63:7 possible 21:4, 21:5, 25:20, 28:12, 28:17, 29:4, 46:19, 55:15 possibly 110:8 preclude 57:15 preliminary 25:3, 66:14, 66:19, 77:1, 77:12, 99:20,``` | 101:21 preparation <br> 15:17, 15:20, 44:22, 45:4 <br> prepare <br> 15:11, 15:13, <br> 21:21, 45:9, <br> 63:12, 66:2 <br> prepared <br> 8:20, 12:20, <br> 12:21, 13:11, <br> 13:15, 13:19, <br> 19:2, 22:3, <br> 22:7, 45:2, <br> 45:5, 61:11, <br> 68:18, 131:3 <br> preparing <br> 8:13, 13:10, <br> 27:5, 27:18, $27: 22$ <br> presence $4: 4$ <br> presented $127: 2$ <br> preservation $\begin{aligned} & 17: 18, \quad 20: 19, \\ & 28: 9, \quad 28: 14, \\ & 28: 20, \\ & 54: 9, \\ & 5: 12, \\ & 124: 16 \end{aligned}$ <br> preserve $18: 7$ <br> preserved $21: 2,25: 6$ $28: 19, \quad 110: 1$ <br> preserving $26: 21$ <br> president ```3:19, 3:22, 4:11, 43:17, 63:2 pretty 35:1, 63:9, 63:18, 89:6 previously 9:5, 54:12, 64:6 primarily 40:2``` |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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| ```46:21, 47:5, 47:13, 61:21, \(70: 12,70: 15\), \(70: 17,70: 22\), 71:7, 71:9, 71:14, 109:14, 112:4, 112:6 reach 40: 6 read 14:4, 35:15, 49:15, 79:22, 122:22, 123:1, 123: 6 readability 52:17 real 8:19, 90:9, 124:22 really 35:4, 51:16, 51:17, 57:11, 57:13, 60:8, 69:19, 73:19, 82:18, 84:5, 84:10, 88:11, 101:15, 105:1, 106:11, 107:1 reason 68:8, 79:6 reasonable 38:21 recall 13:8 received 17:9, 108:20 recent 73:8 reciprocity 5:9 recommend 26:10 recommendation 25:12, 104:2 record 2:10, 3:9, 4:21, 6:2, 7:12, 8:7, 12:10,``` | ```12:12, 12:14, 20:10, 21:20, 31:11, 43:7, 44:11, 62:14, 64:12, 68:9, 80:7, 80:9, 94:15, 94:18, 102:4, 108:22, 114:17, 123:10, 123:17, 124:3, 125:20, 126:3, 127:4, 127:15, 128:16, 128:20, 129:10, 129:13, 129:17, 129:21, 130:3, 131:6 recorded 1:9 recording 2:1, 131:4 red 71:22 redirect 42:9, 42:10, 80:15 reduced 104: 6 reference 53:3 referenced 32:7 referring 60:15, 83:1, 95:21, 97:6 refined 17:3 reflect 6:3, 44:12, 64:15 reflects 6:7, 75:7 reforestation 29:4, 124:17 regarding 16:16, 18:11 regulated 20:11, 21:1, 28:10, 28:15,``` | ```46:16, 48:21, 54:2, 55:13 regulations 5:20 related 15:14, 120:17, 131: 8 relates 65:21 relating 23:9 relative 16:15 relevant 8:4, 10:6, 14:6, 71:19 relied 8:16, 40:5 remain 19:9 remember 2:19, 78:6 remembering 109:7 removal 12:18, 20:17, 22:1, 24:2, 24:4, 26:11, 26:14, 35:16, 54:6, 55:3 removed 24:10, 25:9, 26:4, 26:10 renew 11:8 rephrase 33:14 report 29:21, 56:16, 78:6 report's 30:4, 56:21 reports 12:13, 19:11 represent 44:16 representation 111:8``` | ```represents 25:14 request 18:15, 20:2, 23:5, 23:8, 24:2, 24:16, 24:17, 35:15, 35:19, 40:14, 54:5, 55:5, 55:9, 92:20, 123:16, 127:8 requested 19:16, 20:14, 24:5, 26:11, 53:4 requesting 54:6, 83:22, 130:8 requests 18:21, 32:15 require 22:20 required 5:19, 11:2, 19:17, 19:22, 29:8, 33:17, 53:14, 66:7, 66:11, 66:12, 74:15, 74:21 requirement 89:12 requirements 10:20, 10:22, 15:17, 15:20, 22:12, 44:22, 88:16, 89:14 requires 25:16, 31:22 requiring 27:1, 125:5, 125:7 resent 112:1 reshare 48:7, 48:11 residential 7:13, 39:5, 44:3, 63:21,``` |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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| 67:3, 69:7, | retaining | rights | roadways |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 72:8, 72:10 | 54:21 | 23:1 | 72:11, 73:3 |
| residents | review | rise | robinson |
| 30:19, 96:21, | 19:15, 20:4, | 101:3 | 79:12, 79:14, |
| 97:21 | 23:20, 24:1, | ritchie | 79:15, 79:18, |
| resources | 25:3, 26:20, | 72:14, 100:14, | 80:5, 80:8, |
| 17:6, 17:13, | 28:1, 29:22, | 101:4, 107:8, | 80:12, 81:13, |
| 26:5, 32:2, | 30:6, 55:9, | 119:3, 119:5, | 81:14, 82:7, |
| 36:7, 52:5 | 56:17, 57:1, | 119:8 | 82:9, 82:14, |
| respectfully | 77:15, 123:18 | road | 82:17, 83:1, |
| 127:8 | reviewed | 8:9, 18:7, | 83:5, 83:9, |
| respond | 9:21, 13:17, | 21:12, 29:3, | 83:14, 84:3, |
| 42:2, 88:5, | 27:7, 27:10, | 34:15, 34:20, | 85:1, 85:6, |
| 121:6, 126:2 | 29:11, 29:15, | 41:5, 49:4, | 85:9, 90:17, |
| response | 33:9, 37:2, | 57:15, 58:3, | 90:21, 91:10, |
| 14:2, 89:1 | 40:5, 55:1, | 67:11, 72:3, | 92:18, 94:2, |
| responsibilities | 55:5, 55:6, | 72:13, 72:14, | 100:4, 121:15, |
| 7:16, 63:6 | 56:10 | 73:9, 74:2, | 121:16, 121:18, |
| responsible | reviewing | 74:9, 81:16, | 121:22, 126:8 |
| 4:9, 4:18, | 19:11 | 82:1, 82:2, | rochelle |
| 63:8, 63:11 | revised | 82:16, 82:21, | 16:15 |
| restoration | 25:19 | 83:15, 83:16, | rohde |
| 20:20, 28:10, | revisions | 86:22, 87:8, | 43:9, 43:13, |
| 28:15, 54:9, | 26:16, 52:14 | 87:11, 87:13, | 43:16 |
| 55:13 | rezoning | 89:4, 89:5, | role |
| restored | 124:3, 125:13, | 91:1, 93:10, | 3:22, 4:11, |
| 21:2 | 130:5, 130:7 | 94:4, 95:10, | 6:10, 8:11, 13:9 |
| restrict | right | 95:13, 95:20, | root |
| 24:7 | 13:18, 31:15, | 96:17, 98:18, | 26:2 |
| restricted | 41:15, 41:20, | 99:6, 99:7, | route |
| 16:21 | 41:22, 48:13, | $\begin{array}{ll} 100: 2, & 100: 7, \\ 100 \cdot 8 & 100: 11 \end{array}$ | $72: 16, \quad 73: 5$ |
| resubmitted | 48:16, 50:15, | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{ll} 100: 8, & 100: 11, \\ 100: 14, & 100: 15, \end{array}\right.$ | royal |
| 109:9 | $55: 19, \quad 57: 18,$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 100: 14, & 100: 15, \\ 100: 22, & 101: 4, \end{array}$ | $96: 3$ |
| result | $67: 21, \quad 69: 22,$ | 100:22, 101:4, 101:5, 101:8, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { rr } \\ & 39: 4 . \quad 39: 7 \end{aligned}$ |
| $20: 6, \quad 23: 7,$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 71: 22, & 80: 11, \\ 81: 11 . & 83: 11 . \end{array}$ | 103:22, 105:21, | $\begin{array}{ll} 39: 4, & 39: 7, \\ 68 \cdot 1 & 68 \cdot 3 \end{array}$ |
| $100: 9, \quad 113: 10$ | $\begin{aligned} & 81: 11, \quad 83: 11, \\ & 86: 5, \quad 86: 11, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 103: 22, ~ 105: 21, \\ & 107: 8, \quad 115: 14, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 68: 1, \quad 68: 3, \\ & 130: 9 \end{aligned}$ |
| resume | 86:15, 87:19, | 116:7, 116:16, | rules |
| 6:2, 6:6, 6:11, | 88:6, 95:1, | $\begin{aligned} & 117: 19, ~ 117: 20, \\ & 118: 2,118: 18, \end{aligned}$ | 22:22, 116:19 |
| 8:12, 9:21, | 95:5, 95:18, | 119:1, 119:3, | run |
| 10:11, 44:11, | 103:3, 109:19, | 119:4, 119:6, | 17:13, 43:19, |
| 44:15, 64:12 | $\begin{array}{ll} 110: 5, & 111: 12, \\ 114: 2, & 114: 9, \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 119: 4, & 119: 6, \\ 122: 5, & 123: 22 \end{array}$ | $117: 5$ |
| $5: 21.51: 11$ | $120: 8, \quad 124: 1$ | roads | $114: 6, \quad 117: 12$ |
| $52: 4,52: 6$ | right-of-way $117: 7$ | 72:4, 72:19 roadway | runs |
| retained | right-of-way | 7:19, 74:10, | \| 120:1 |
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| 83:15, 83:16, | 68:15, 69:19, | sector | sent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 83:18, 95:21, | 73:4, 85:4, | 90:19 | 32:1, 123:1, |
| 98:19, 98:20, | 87:7, 95:7, | sediment | 126:3 |
| 116:9 | 96:13, 108:6, | 7:22 | september |
| S | 108:7, 108:12, | see | 5:10, 131:16 |
| safe | 113:16, 122:8, | 41:16, 46:4, | serve |
| 73:9, 85:20, | 129:6, 130:2, | 46:7, 46:8, | 4:6 |
| 86:8, 86:10, | 130:4 | 46:11, 47:3, | service |
| 86:12, 86:20, | saying | 47:16, 47:17, | 10:4, 89:13 |
| 96:20, 96:22, | 60:6, 60:20, | 47:22, 48:4, | session |
| 124:19, 125:15 | 84:6, 85:10, | 48:19, 49:14, | 118:7 |
| safely | 95:4 | 49:19, 50:21, | seven |
| 77:4 | says | $51: 17, \quad 52: 15$ | $118: 1$ |
| safer | $32: 11,124: 2$ | $60: 18,65: 5$ | several |
| 86:17, 88:12 | scenario | 65:8, 71:7, | $34: 17,45: 3$ |
| safety | 101:14 | 71:11, 71:16, | 51:7, 117:6 |
| 30:18, 64:2, | scenic | 73:19, 74:1, | sewer |
| $74: 8,75: 15$ | 100:7, 100:8 | 90:6, 112:16, | 55:20, 56:1, |
| $81: 7, \quad 87: 6$ | scheduling | 112:20, 114:15, | 56:3, 56:8 |
| said | 8:1 | 118:5, 118:10, | shaded |
| 68:16, 73:16, | schematic | 119:14, 128:9 | 49:17 |
| 77:11, 81:17, | 16:20 | seeing | shall |
| 81:22, 85:20, | school | 89:9, 111:18 | 2:22, 42:19, |
| 85:21, 98:6, | 63:19, 64:1, | seem | 62:6, 93:16, |
| 101:15, 114:14, | 95:11, 98:9, | 86:12 | 105:13, 115:5, |
| 117:17, 122:9, | 118:7 | seems | 121:20 |
| 124:11, 124:12, | science | 119:21 | shape |
| 129:3, 131:5 | $5: 16,10: 14$ | seen | 38:15 |
| same | screen | 96:1, 107:12, | share |
| 31:5, 38:1, | 46:5, 46:22, | 116:4, 118:3, | 46:22, 70:20, |
| 39:8, 69:11, | 47:16, 48:1, | 118:16, 118:19, | 109:15 |
| 94:2, 96:14 | 48:19, 56:6, | 119:18, 120:5, | sharing |
| sansbury | 59:17 | 120:10 | 46:13 |
| $96: 3, \quad 96: 15$ | se | seller | she'd |
| 101:8 | 1:8 | 99:8 | $125: 19$ |
| sat | seamlessly | send $32: 15$ | shortcut |
| 5:5 | $\begin{array}{\|l} 48: 15 \\ \text { second } \end{array}$ | $\text { \| } 32: 15$ | 107:9 |
| savable | second | $119: 2$ | shortly |
| 27:1 | $\begin{aligned} & 47: 18, \quad 58: 22, \\ & 70: 11, \\ & 78: 3, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 119: 2 \\ & \text { senior } \end{aligned}$ | $19: 4,129: 19$ |
| save 25:21 | 82:7, 93:13, | 63:3, 68:6, | $14: 19, \quad 57: 15,$ |
| saved | 106:8, 108:16, | 69:4, 73:13, | $101: 20, \quad 107: 4,$ |
| 25:8 | 117:17 | 124:21 | 107:15, 108:1, |
| saw | section | seniors | 111:19, 124:15, |
| 102:21 | $\begin{array}{ll} 20: 15, & 21: 15, \\ 31: 21, & 32: 7, \end{array}$ | $125: 1$ sense | $124: 17,125: 14$ |
| say | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & 31: 21, \quad 32: 7, \\ & 45: 6,54: 6, \end{aligned}\right.$ | sense $35: 14, \quad 120: 21,$ | $\begin{aligned} & 125: 16 \\ & \text { shoulder } \end{aligned}$ |
| 35:20, 36:17, | $117: 21,128: 5$ | $120: 22, \quad 121: 3$ | shoulder $96: 8,96: 10$ |
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| ```shouldn't 95:19 show 45:14, 88:21, 113:2, 130:12 showing 113:3, 113:4 shows 68:10 shut 119:4 side 34:15, 34:19, 50:10, 51:14, 60:8, 67:6, 72:2, 103:22, 104:1, 106:16, 106:17, 106:20, 107:1, 109:21, 117:12 sides 99:6 sidewalks 125:10 siege 122:14 sight 104:8 sign 67:6, 90:12, 90:21, 91:10, 97:8 signal 117:3 signature-p1kal 131:13 signed 12:19, 13:8, 125:18 significant 38:22, 118:21, 119:12 significantly 74:7, 88:12 signs 76:10 similar 12:8, 23:2,``` | ```24:14, 35:13 similarly 24:15 since 4:4, 4:10, 5:12, 7:3, 7:10, 52:10, 63:18, 83:16, 98:4, 107:13, 109:20, 114:1, 128:5 single 67:5 single-family 34:17, 35:2, 69:3 sir 10:18, 11:7, 13:4, 91:21 sit 97:9 site 7:18, 7:20, 12:7, 15:11, 15:14, 15:18, 15:21, 16:13, 18:1, 18:4, 18:17, 20:5, 21:9, 22:10, 25:14, 25:18, 27:2, 27:13, 27:16, 28:8, 28:11, 28:13, 28:16, 29:1, 29:5, 36:8, 39:1, 48:21, 49:3, 49:21, 50:8, 50:11, \(50: 14,50: 16\), 50:18, 51:2, 51:16, 51:21, 51:22, 53:6, 53:11, 54:7, 54:13, 54:21, 55:11, 55:14, 58:8, 58:13, 65:19, 69:9, 72:1, 76:3, 76:8, 77:4,``` | ```78:15, 78:19, 79:3, 80:22, 81:5, 89:12, 104:8, 104:10 sitting 97:13, 118:19, 119:17, 130:1 situation 113:9 six 118:9 skeptical 25:7 sketch 16:20 skills 131:7 slam 117:11 slopes 24:6, 35:14, 35:22, 36:4, 36:15, 37:4, 37:19, 38:3, 38:9 slow 97:18 small 50:10, 50:18, 51:3, 54:16, 63:9 smith 35:10 sold 99:9, 99:10 solely 24:16 some 2:4, 3:21, 4:20, 6:13, 9:22, 13:9, 43:21, 49:16, 49:17, 52:13, 56:2, 59:6, 63:5, 67:14, 91:4, 92:9, 93:8, 93:9, 99:15, 100:2,``` | ```100:9, 107:14, 117:14, 127:22, 128:14 somebody's 88:6 somehow 112:5 someone 70:18, 84:4, 84:8, 85:22, 114:14, 117:1, 118:18, 121:5, 122:13 someone's 117:12 something 13:3, 88:21, 108:7 somewhere 121:2 son 95:11 soon 118:12 sorry 40:20, 42:7, 56:5, 92:10, 92:13, 94:6, 114:20, 120:18, 123:21 sort 113:7 sought 11:14, 11:19, 12:1 sounds 87:1 south 36:10, 38:11, 49:5, 49:7, 49:10, 49:13, 50:4, 50:8, 50:20, 51:21, 56:9, 57:18, 57:22, 58:3, 58:8, 72:2, 72:15, 73:5, 89:8, 99:7``` |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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| southeast | 18:20, 20:18, | start | 89:21, 115:1, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 17:21, 29:1, | 21:13, 21:17, | 2:11, 49:14, | 119:17 |
| 35:3, 54:17 | 22:1, 24:3, | 118:9 | still |
| southeastern | 24:5, 24:22, | started | 2:17, 10:6, |
| 50:17 | 25:5, 25:21, | 41:12, 68:11, | 26:15, 48:5, |
| southern | 26:7, 28:18, | 68:12 | 59:1, 65:5, |
| 17:19, 18:8, | 29:6, 29:7, | starts | 70:13, 77:4, |
| 21:13, 28:20, | 35:17, 46:17, | 21:7 | 79:10, 85:10, |
| 49:22 | 48:22, 49:18, | state | 85:12, 90:11, |
| southwest | 49:20, 51:2, | 3:7, 5:1, 5:5, | 103:4, 109:15, |
| 35:6, 36:14, | 51:12, 51:18, | 6:12, 6:14, 7:2, | 116:8, 122:21 |
| 51:13 | 52:7, 52:17, | 8:16, 10:21, | stop |
| space | $53: 3,54: 7,55: 3$ | 21:3, 28:11, | 67:6, 76:10, |
| 96:16 | speed | 28:16, 32:1, | 90:12, 90:21, |
| spaces | 118:14 | $32: 12,32: 16$, | 91:10, 97:7, |
| 96:12 | speeding | 43:6, 55:14, | 97:8, 103:3 |
| speak | 118:5, 125:12 | $62: 12,63: 13,$ | storm |
| 6:13, 22:5, | spirit | 64:3, 94:16, | 38:7 |
| 93:2, 103:16 | 8:8, 34:12 | 94:17, 105:18, | stormwater |
| special | spread | 124:3 | 7:21, 29:9, |
| 13:6, 13:12, | 49:20, 102:20 | statement | 58:6, 58:9 |
| 13:22, 15:11, | spring | 12:16, 12:22, | story |
| 15:18, 15:20, | 5:7, 17:2 | 13:6, 13:12, | 56:7 |
| 16:13, 18:11, | staff | 13:15, 18:21, | straight |
| 18:14, 18:19, | $14: 8, \quad 17: 11,$ | $21: 21,22: 6,$ | $59: 22$ |
| 20:4, 20:12, | $23: 20,24: 3$ | 22:16, 23:21, | strategies |
| 22:10, 22:20, | $25: 1, \quad 25: 7$ | $27: 5,29: 12$ | $20: 20,54: 10$ |
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