1	THE PRINCE GEORGE'S COUNTY PLANNING BOARD OF								
2	THE MARYLAND-NATIONAL CAPITAL PARK AND PLANNING COMMISSION								
3									
4									
5	5801 ARBOR STREET PROPERTY								
6	Public Hearing, DSP-21032								
7									
8	TRANSCRIPT								
9									
10	PROCEEDINGS								
11									
12	COUNTY ADMINISTRATION BUILDING								
13	Upper Marlboro, Maryland								
14	June 29, 2023								
15	VOLUME I of I								
16	BEFORE:								
17	PETER A. SHAPIRO, Chair								
18	DOROTHY F. BAILEY, Vice-Chair								
19	SHUANISE A. WASHINGTON, Commissioner								
20	MANUEL R. GERALDO, Commissioner								
21	WILLIAM M. DOERNER, Commissioner								
22									
23									
24									
25									

1	OTHERS PRESENT:	
2	NATHANIEL A. FORMAN, Attorney for Applicant	
3	DOMINIQUE LOCKHART, Staff, Zoning Section	
4	NICOLE NIES, Resident, Cheverly West HOA Presider	nt
5	DYLAN GALLOWAY, Town of Cheverly Administrator	
6		
7	CONTENTS:	PAGE
8	DOMINQUE LOCKHART	1
9	NATHANIEL A. FORMAN	9, 21
10	DYLAN GALLOWAY	17
11	NICOLE NIES	18
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

PROCEEDINGS

MR. CHAIR: And we have our final item on our regular agenda. This is item seven, DSP-21032, detailed site plan 5801 Arbor Street Property. This is continued from April 27, 2023. We have Ms. Lockhart with giving staff presentation. And we have Mr. Forman, again. I believe we do have somebody who signed up in opposition to speak on this one as well.

Let's start with the staff. Ms. Lockhart, take it away.

MS. LOCKHART: All right. Okay.

Good morning, Mr. Chair and members of the planning board. For the record again, I am Dominique Lockhart with the zoning section. Item number seven on the agenda is detailed site plan DSP-21032 titled 5801 Arbor Street Property.

This is an application to add a use to the table of uses in the Cheverly Sector Plan Overlay. The zoning ordinance allows the District Council to approve a change in the list of allowed uses in a D-D-O Zone, otherwise known as a Development District Overlay Zone. When making a request to add a use to the table of uses, the applicant is required to submit either a Detailed Site Plan or a Conceptual Site Plan. The planning board is then required to make a recommendation to the District Council on the request to

change the list of allowed uses. The proposed use in this
instance is wholesaling or distribution of food and beverage
materials. The additional backup includes a memo from the
town of Cheverly planning board dated May 2nd in support of
the subject application.

Next slide, please.

The subject property shown in red is in Planning Area 69 in Council District 5.

Next slide, please.

More specifically, the subject site outlined in red is located on the south side of Arbor Street approximately 250 feet west of its intersection with 59th Avenue. In addition, the site is less than a half mile from the town of Cheverly limits to the east.

Next slide, please.

The image to the left shows that the subject site is located in the Local Transit Oriented Core, or LTO-C zone. The image to the right shows the subject site was previously located in the Mixed-Use Infill or M-U-I zone.

Next slide, please.

The subject application is utilizing the prior ordinance. And as such, the property is in the Mixed-Use Infill D-D-O Zone within the Cheverly Sector Plan in SMA.

Next slide, please.

The topography map shows the site contains

moderate slopes throughout the property.

Next slide.

This slide shows the master plan rights of way in the vicinity of the site. To the north is Arbor Street, which is an industrial roadway. To the south is John Hanson Highway, which is a master-planned freeway. Further east of the site is Belleview Avenue and Columbia Park Road, which are classified as primary and collector roadways.

Next slide.

The aerial photograph shows a closer view of the site. The site is currently operating with a wholesaling and distribution warehouse along with a contractor's office with outdoor storage.

Next slide, please.

The proposed development plan shows the removal of the existing contractor's office with the outdoor storage from the property. The building housing the wholesaling and distribution use will remain as is.

Next slide, please.

This is a photograph showing the front of the existing building.

Next slide.

This is a photograph showing the side of the building.

Next slide, please.

This is a photograph showing the rear of the building where the outdoor storage yard currently exists.

Next slide, please.

The site is currently -- okay. First, let me start. My apologies.

Staff is recommending disapproval of the requested use and correspondingly denial of the detailed site plan for the following reasons.

The site is currently operating as a wholesaling and distribution warehouse along with a contractor's office with outdoor storage. Per the Prince George's County Department of Permitting Inspections and Enforcement, there are no prior permits issued that allow these uses. Therefore, the existing uses are operating without approval or authorization. The applicant has pursued using occupancy permits to validate the existing uses. These permits are not approved and are currently on hold due to noncompliance with the Development District Overlay standards, as the uses are not permitted. The requested use of wholesaling and distribution of food and beverage materials is also not permitted in the underlying M-U-I base zone. For comparison, the use is as well not permitted in the current LTO-C zone.

The District Council, the planning board, and the community invested a significant amount of time creating the

D-D-O zone standards and uses for the greater Cheverly sector plan and SMA area. Ignoring these strategies and permitted uses as adopted would go against the community's wishes and land use vision for the area. All sector plans go through an intensive public process which helps promote a clear vision and plan that can be implemented for the benefit of the community. The approved desired uses in building standards were inserted to obtain the plan's vision over time. Staff cannot support uses that are contrary to the plan's vision as stated.

In addition, the applicant's request to add the wholesaling and distribution warehouse of food and beverage materials eliminates the opportunity to attract mixed-use development to the property as recommended and outlined in the sector plan.

In conclusion, staff recommends that the planning board adopt the findings of the staff report and recommend to the Prince George's County District Council disapproval of the applicant's request to permit wholesaling, distribution, and related storage of food and beverage materials and disapprove the corresponding detailed site plan.

This concludes staff's presentation. Thank you.

MR. CHAIR: Thank you, Ms. Lockhart.

Commissioners, any questions for staff?

```
1
              No questions. Thank you, Ms. Lockhart.
2
              We will turn to the applicant, Mr. Forman.
    is a -- this case -- this is an evidentiary hearing as well.
 3
 4
    So we'll be requiring those intending to provide testimony
 5
    to take an oath.
 6
              Before you go, Mr. Forman, I am going to do this.
7
    If there are members of your team -- I don't know if there
    are any members of your team that were set to speak.
 9
    believe we also have representatives from the Town of
10
    Cheverly. And we may have somebody signed up to speak as
    well. Nicole Nies.
11
12
              Are you folks here or online? If so, can you
13
    either speak or bring yourself up on camera?
              MS. NIES: Nicole Nies is online.
14
15
              MR. CHAIR: Okay. Thank you, Ms. Nies.
16
              Anyone else? Do we have the representative from
17
    the Town of Cheverly?
18
              MR. GALLOWAY: Yes.
19
              MR. CHAIR: We do. Mr. Galloway. Great.
20
              Anyone else we have to speak on this?
21
              Mr. Forman, anyone else from your team?
22
              MR. FORMAN: It's just me from my team this
    morning for the record.
23
24
              MR. CHAIR: Thank you, Mr. Forman. Thank you.
25
              So Mr. Galloway, Ms. Nies, if you could both raise
```

your right hands.

2 (Dylan Galloway and Nicole Nies sworn)

MR. CHAIR: Okay. Consider yourself both sworn

4 in.

And now I will turn to Mr. Forman. The floor is yours.

7 MR. FORMAN: Thank you, Mr. Chair.

Good morning, again. For the record, Nate Forman with the Offices of O'Malley, Miles, Nylen & Gilmore in Greenbelt. It's my pleasure to be here again and speak on behalf of the applicant.

I want to begin by talking about the sector plan. Ms. Lockhart had mentioned that approval of this detailed site plan would be against the intent and the purposes of the D-D-O-Z. However, unfortunately, the existing situation has shown that, while a noble goal, the actual intent and the situation has maybe not necessarily been carried forward or been successful. This property was resound N-U-I D-D-O through the 2005 Tuxedo Road Sector Plan. And the planning goals and principles were supported and carried again forward in 2018 through the Greater Cheverly Sector Plan. Since the adoption of the Tuxedo Road Sector Plan nearly 18 years ago, there has been one detailed site plan for development in this whole area. And that was actually just to -- for an existing use to continue as it did under the

existing zoning -- the prior zoning before the enactment of the N-U-I D-D-O. So while there was a lot of time, expense, and energy put towards the production of the N-U-I D-D-O, I think there is some concerns and issues with how it actually is working to benefit this area. And that perhaps the mixed-use vision that was espoused by the original 2005 Tuxedo Road Plan and the continued forward with the 2018 Greater Cheverly Plan is still noble and should be achievable, but perhaps it needs to have more flexibility and to what that actually means in today's market.

So I do respect the time and effort that Mr.

Lockhart had put in her staff report, but I have to disagree with the recommendation and would request that this board approve this detailed site plan, which would allow wholesaling or distribution of food or beverage materials used or produced on the premises.

When this matter was first scheduled for a hearing in December of 2022, our DSP then sought to approve uses that would allow the two existing tenants to remain on 5801 Arbor Street: Sodibar Systems, and Chiaramonte Construction.

Sodibar is a small business that has been operating in the Washington metropolitan area since 1948 and produces and distributes beverage dispensing systems, equipment, bag and box syrups for sodas and juices.

Chiaramonte Construction uses the property for office space and the storage of vehicles, and construction materials, and equipment.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Since that first December hearing and four continuances later, the revised application before you today is the result of extensive negotiations and communications with the Town of Cheverly including but not limited to: three meetings with the mayor and city council for the Town of Cheverly; three presentations before the Town of Cheverly Planning Board; and numerous informal meetings with the Town of Cheverly representatives. And through these discussions and meetings, a few things have become clear. Perhaps most importantly, a contractor's office with outdoor storage is incongruent with the type of development that the town wished to see on Arbor Street and the visions espoused by the sector plans for the Arbor Street Tuxedo Road area. Hence, in the revised application, that use has been removed. So we're no longer requesting contractor's office with outdoor storage.

Second, Sodibar, specifically, in wholesaling or distribution of food or beverage materials used or produced on the premises generally do not -- in general, does not impair the development of Arbor Street into a pedestrian-oriented main street. Sodibar brings a mixture of office and production workers to the area every day -- something

that, in today's climate, is not necessarily true of general offices or brick-and-mortar retail stores. Thus, allowing Sodibar to continue to operate would contribute to the number of people along Arbor Street during any given workday or weekday.

Third, as I mentioned, Sodibar is a small business. And usually, small businesses usually help bolster the main street feel of an area, and specifically, in this case, Arbor Street. And not to mention its continued operation will contribute to the overall character of the area compared to a vacant or boarded-up building which is unproductive. Moreover, given its operations, it has little impact in the area in terms of traffic or when viewed from the street. As Ms. Lockhart showed on the slide, a lot of the outdoor storage and clutter was from Chiaramonte Construction, which will be removed.

And finally, if Sodibar were to vacate the premises, approval of this DSP for this use would allow potential future other users such as a coffee shop -- sorry, as a coffee roastery, a bakery, distillery, or microbrewery to occupy the premises. And I bring up these potential future uses not just as mere puffery, but actually, because in order to obtain the town support, my client agreed and has executed a covenant with the Town of Cheverly that mandates the sale of the property upon him receiving a bona

fide offer to purchase the property for its fair market 2 value. As I said, this covenant has been signed by both the town and my client, and is ready to be filed among the land 4 records. And this covenant is a promise from my client to the town and to the county that approving this detailed site plan would not obstruct any future redevelopment of the property or forfeit changes to the area. It's a hold actually on the redevelopment that no other property has. And in the meantime, it will remain a productive business that does not impair the 2005 Tuxedo Road Arbor Street's Cheverly Metro Sector Plan or the 2008 Greater Cheverly 12 Sector Plan.

1

3

5

6

7

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And with that, I would like to reiterate my request that the planning board approve this request, the detailed site plan. And I would be more than happy to answer any questions that the chair or the board may have. Thank you.

> MR. CHAIR: Thank you, Mr. Forman.

Commissioners, any questions for Mr. Forman at this point? We certainly will have the opportunity to ask more questions as we hear from the town and from other folks.

COMMISSIONER GERALDO: Mr. Chair, I just have one. And it wasn't clear to me. So is this a situation where those businesses were operating without a permit?

MR. FORMAN: Yes, Commissioner Geraldo. So this property dates back to about 1972 when it was first constructed. You saw how it was built. It was built to be utilitarian and serve warehousing distribution uses in an area that has operated as light industry, heavy commercial basically ever since the '70s with vehicle storage, autobody shops, and kind of the like. So my client bought this property in 2015 after the roof had been burned by a fire. He bought the property, fixed up the roof, and thought he was making a good investment. He looked around at the area, and once again, caveat emptor. But he saw that all these industrial uses were around. This building was clearly meant to be for industrial use. Why should he not be able to use industrial uses or have industrial tenants? So after he fixed up the building, he then put it out for lease. He was approached by some body shops, some vehicle emissions places, and just -- he didn't want to be dealing with automotive and those type of pollutants that those cause. So he was able to bring in these two tenants that, one was basically storing materials on the outside the property and having some administrative office inside, and the other was the relocation of Sodibar after there was some family squabbles and they had to vacate the premises, which was a little bit farther north.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

So that's sort of a roundabout way, Commissioner,

```
1
    to say yes. My client has been operating this property with
2
    these tenants when he shouldn't have. But that's why we're
 3
    going through this process to try to remedy that, given all
 4
    these other facts and situations. And basically we've
 5
    been -- we started this process before the pandemic, but
 6
    things really got put on hold with communications between
 7
    the Town of Cheverly, my client, DPIE. So that way we
    finally find ourselves here how many years -- four years
 9
    later after we started the process. So unfortunately, it's
    been much longer than we wanted it to be. But we have been
10
11
    trying to remedy the situation when we kind of -- after we
12
    got it under control. So thank you.
13
              COMMISSIONER GERALDO: Okay. Thank you.
14
              No further questions, Mr. Chair.
15
              MR. CHAIR: Thank you to Mr. Geraldo.
16
              Any other questions for Mr. Forman at this point?
17
              COMMISSIONER DOERNER: I just want to ask, what
18
    happens if there is a disapproval? If we were to go with
19
    staff recommendations, would your current tenants then have
20
    to move out immediately because of DPIE enforcement? Or
    would they be allowed somehow to continue within there, and
21
22
    then whenever they where to change over you would have to
    find different kind of use and occupancy?
23
24
              MR. FORMAN: Thank you, Commissioner Doerner.
25
    Disapproval would mean the tenants would have to be evicted
```

1 immediately. DPIE has been -- I will give them credit. 2 They've been very patient as we go through this process. 3 But following this decision, we will need to take action 4 immediately -- whether we're allowed to keep one of our 5 tenants or whether one has to be removed immediately. And 6 given my client's inability to find other tenants -- because 7 if we could find a tenant that was permitted under the table of uses, we would have no problem. He would be fine going 9 The problem is, he just hasn't been able to that route. find any tenant interested in leasing the site that would be 10 11 permitted otherwise. 12 COMMISSIONER DOERNER: I assume he hasn't done any 13 kind of like market analysis to see whether or not he can 14 sell the property under one of the permitted uses? 15 MR. FORMAN: I will -- I don't know if he's done a market study. But we have had potential vendors recommended 16 17 by the City of Cheverly -- sorry, the Town of Cheverly to 18 come look at the space and see if they are interested in it. 19 And that just hasn't -- because if that happened, we could 20 withdraw this DSP and be happy and be good. 21 unfortunately, that just never went anywhere. 22 COMMISSIONER DOERNER: All right. Thank you. 23 MR. FORMAN: Thank you. 24 COMMISSIONER WASHINGTON: One follow-up question,

25

Mr. Chairman, to Mr. Forman.

```
1
              Just to clarify, you said if our action -- and
2
    this is my question -- that our action would not impact or
 3
    require immediate eviction. Because all we're doing is
 4
    recommending an action to the District Council. So do you
    mean Planning Board action or District Council action?
5
 6
              MR. FORMAN: My apologies. Thank you. Yes.
7
    District Council action. Yes.
              COMMISSIONER WASHINGTON:
                                        Thank you.
8
9
              MR. FORMAN: Resolution of this entire detailed
    site plan. But yes. Thank you.
10
11
              COMMISSIONER WASHINGTON:
12
              MR. FORMAN: I think I'm just hold over from my
13
    earlier meeting where that was going to be like most of the
    final action or felt like it.
14
15
              MR. CHAIR: Fair enough.
16
              Other questions for Mr. Forman?
17
              All right. Let me go to the speakers. Let me
18
    start with the Town of Cheverly. We have Mr. Galloway.
19
              MR. GALLOWAY: Yes.
20
              MR. CHAIR: All right. If you could introduce
    yourself for the record. And the floor is yours.
21
22
              MR. GALLOWAY: Will do.
              Good afternoon, everyone. My name is Dylan
23
24
    Galloway. I am the town administrator for the Town of
25
    Cheverly.
```

1 Yeah, Mr. Forman has been correct. He has done 2 extensive -- he's met extensively with the planning board, 3 the green infrastructure committee, and the Town of 4 Cheverly. So the town has no reason to oppose this. 5 fact, Mr. Forman was also correct. We have signed a 6 declaration of covenant to ensure that this matter could 7 potentially move forward. The Mayor Council voted on this in favor to support this matter moving forward. So that is 9 pretty much all I have. We do support the proposal on the 10 table right now. MR. CHAIR: Thank you, Mr. Galloway. 11 12 appreciate that. Any questions for Mr. Galloway? No questions. 13 14 We have Ms. Nies, Nicole Nies. 15 MS. NIES: Hi, thank you. 16 MR. CHAIR: If you could introduce yourself for 17 the record. And the floor is yours. 18 MS. NIES: Hi. My name is Nicole Nies. 19 resident on 59th Avenue and also the president of the 20 Cheverly West HOA, which is also in 59th Avenue and is within 0.2 miles of the business in question. 21 22 So a couple of statements. We did want to make it clear, if it hasn't been clear to the Council, that this 23

building is not within the Town of Cheverly. It's actually

located closest within 0.2 miles of the Cheverly West HOA.

24

25

We have discussed this proposal at our last HOA meeting and unanimously agreed that we would not want to see this request approved.

There's several reasons for this. Within the last year, three doors down from this business, we have seen one building that was vacant turnover become a restaurant -- the first restaurant in this area under this new zoning. And it is thriving. So for us, it is a sign of things to come. This is a metro-adjacent area. I think it's a shame that within 0.2 miles of the metro it's an industrial area and it's not the vision of community-friendly businesses that I think was the intent of the Cheverly sector plan which goes beyond the Town of Cheverly.

There are also in the last few months at least two other properties that are now for sale. If you look at their for sale links online, it states that these businesses are for sale under the new zoning. I think if we approve an adjustment to the current zoning, it's going to set a dangerous precedent where these businesses that are slowly starting to turn over to more community-friendly businesses are going to see that well, maybe I don't have to do this anymore. We can stick to the same old same old, take a cue from what's going on with this business. And we're going to continue to be storage facilities, warehouse distribution facilities, and quite frankly, facilities that aren't

attractive to community-adjacent properties that are adjacent to neighborhoods. And with the new development of Hospital Hill in Cheverly, this is going to bring more units, more people, more interest to the Cheverly area. And having community-friendly businesses adjacent to the metro is an important part of overhauling this metro area, which has sadly been lacking. The Cheverly sector plan was years in creation. So I think to start to change that after the fact because of this one business would be a terrible decision for what we want to see in this area as a whole.

Let me see if there's anything else. I think to say that the planning for the Tuxedo Area Cheverly Sector Plan -- to call that a failure is premature. And when we just got our first restaurant within the timeframe of when this was first proposed and seeing that there's other businesses that are slowly turning over, I think we'd be taking a step in the wrong direction to approve this proposal.

So I will pause there and see if there's any questions. But we unanimously disapprove this. We are adjacent to this building. I can literally see this building from my window right now as I'm speaking. And we just hope that the Council would take the staff recommendation into consideration and disapprove this approval.

MR. CHAIR: Thank you, Ms. Nies. Appreciate that.

Commissioners, any questions for Ms. Nies?

Okay. Thank you very much. We have no one else who has signed up to speak for this. I will turn to the applicant for the final word.

Any rebuttal and close, Mr. Forman?

MR. FORMAN: Thank you, Mr. Chair.

Just real brief. In response to one of the comments Ms. Nies made about the restaurant, if she's referring to -- I believe it's the Oasis Restaurant, which is more on Tuxedo Road, that actually had been a restaurant previously. So that was sort of continued over as a nonconforming use. It wasn't really the establishment of a restaurant in a former industrial building. It was the establishment of a restaurant in a former restaurant building.

Otherwise, I do want to say I think this is just very unique and peculiar to this property and the type of tenant that we're looking to see and the request we're making of this board. I don't think it's going to open the floodgates, especially with the new processes under the zoning ordinance which don't really allow amendments to table of uses. So I think this is going — this is very isolated to this one property and basically to this one tenant. And I also don't think that this type of tenant and

```
1
    this type of use that we're requesting does significantly
2
    impair the intent of the Tuxedo Road sector plan or the
 3
    Greater Cheverly Sector Plan, because I don't believe that
 4
    this type of business is inherently against what could be a
 5
    Main Street property, a Main Street area.
 6
              Thank you very much. I do request approval.
 7
              MR. CHAIR: Thank you, Mr. Forman.
              With that, I will close the public hearing
 8
 9
    portion. Hopefully, this is the only time for this case.
    And under deliberation -- let me start, Commissioners, just
10
11
    to say that I have some sympathy for the property owner
12
    here. And the bottom line is for me is that our
13
    recommendation has to find that the requested use conforms
14
    to the purposes and recommendations of the sector plan and
15
    the development district plan. I don't see allowing this
16
    use would do that. So I certainly don't think it's time to
17
    give up on the development District Overlay Cheverly Sector
18
    Plan. So for -- as we start our deliberation, let me just
19
    say I'm inclined to follow staff recommendation on this,
20
    even if I have some sympathy for the property owner.
21
              So let me turn it to you all, fellow
22
    Commissioners, and see what your thoughts are and where you
    are with this.
23
24
              COMMISSIONER GERALDO: So Mr. Chair --
```

COMMISSIONER WASHINGTON: I feel that -- I'm

25

sorry. Go ahead, Mister --1 2 COMMISSIONER GERALDO: No. I concur. I concur 3 with the chair. I mean, this is just the beginning of 4 development of that area. And they have a vision. And I 5 know that staff and the community was very much involved. 6 And I feel sympathy for the property owner as well. But we 7 have to take -- personally I think we need to take a long term view. And I know Cheverly has been wanting to have 9 those types of facilities, walk-in restaurants, some pedestrian friendly. And this would just be counter to it. 10 So I concur in the chairman's comments. 11 12 COMMISSIONER WASHINGTON: And I was only going to 13 add -- and I will now just associate myself with both of 14 your comments, and also extending my empathy to Mr. Forman 15 and your client. But I just didn't hear anything that was 16 not as convincing as, quite frankly, the very thoughtful and 17 thorough analysis I thought staff did. 18 MR. CHAIR: Thank you, Commissioners. 19 Any other comments, questions under deliberation? 20 Commissioner Doerner? 21 Vice-Chair Bailey? Anything else? 22 COMMISSIONER DOERNER: I align with the other

commissioners, with what the other three have said so far.

It kind of pains me to like do that in some way, because I

don't like to see the small business, particularly the local

23

24

25

businesses do that. And I like the idea of having a brewery or coffee shop, whatever, because I've got both of those right down the street from me. And I know that they're not like eyesores once they get started. They're fantastic ways to spur economic development. And our other sort of examples of local entrepreneurs kind of making successes along the way. But I think it is problematic that we have the maps that have been approved and the plans have been approved in the new zoning ordinance. And we made great strides to kind of go that way. It just -- I wish there was a different condition under which we were finding ourselves so I could support this. Because I would absolutely want to have the tenants remain and do these kinds of things so that we don't lose jobs and have other people hurt in different ways. I just find it difficult to go against what the staff has said and the caller who testified as well. And they just made very good-pointed remarks on the issue. unfortunately, it just doesn't go the way that like my heart would want it to go for when I hear Mr. Forman, because when I hear the other people it's like well, my heart goes the other way. And the things that we're bound by are just different from which I would sometimes out of emotion would want to vote in that direction.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

MR. CHAIR: Thank you. Appreciate that,
Commissioner.

Vice-Chair Bailey, anything to add?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MADAM VICE-CHAIR: I don't have anything to add. I just want to associate myself with the comments made by Mr. Chair and my colleagues.

MR. CHAIR: I appreciate that. I would say that I, as we wrap up, I would agree that I think that Mr. Forman had a pretty thoughtful, articulate, full-throated endorsement for this and rationale for it. And I was taken by that. And I'm also mindful that the Town of Cheverly was open to compromise on this, too. But I think staff's rationale for this was crystal clear. And I think that Ms. Nies actually helped as well to hear her view on that. And one thing she mentioned that really caught my attention, too, is the new Cheverly Hospital site development, which -- that's a significant enough development and close enough to this where it really could help change the market a bit. So I'm mindful of that as well. But the bottom line is I think staff's recommendation makes sense to me. it sounds like that's where we are. So if there's no further deliberation, Commissioners, I would look for a motion.

COMMISSIONER WASHINGTON: Mr. Chairman, I move that we adopt the findings of staff and recommend to the District Council disapproval of the applicant's request to permit wholesaling, distribution, and related storage of

1	food and beverage materials products and as well as
2	subsequently disapprove DSP-21032.
3	COMMISSIONER GERALDO: Second.
4	MR. CHAIR: A motion by Commissioner Washington
5	Second by Commissioner Geraldo. Any discussion on the
6	motion?
7	I see none. I will call the roll.
8	Commissioner Washington?
9	COMMISSIONER WASHINGTON: Vote aye.
10	MR. CHAIR: Commissioner Geraldo?
11	COMMISSIONER GERALDO: Vote aye.
12	MR. CHAIR: Commissioner Doerner?
13	COMMISSIONER DOERNER: Vote aye.
14	MR. CHAIR: Vice-Chair Bailey?
15	MADAM VICE-CHAIR: Vote aye.
16	MR. CHAIR: I vote aye as well.
17	The ayes have it 5-0 for disapproval.
18	(Whereupon, the proceedings were concluded.)
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

DIGITALLY SIGNED CERTIFICATE

ESCRIBERS, LLC, hereby certified that the attached pages represent an accurate transcript of the electronic sound recording of the proceedings before the Prince George's County Planning Board in the matter of:

5801 ARBOR STREET PROPERTY
Public Hearing, DSP-21032

Ву:	Justin kim	Date:	August	8,	2023
 Justin	Kim, Transcriber	_			