

COUNTY COUNCIL OF PRINCE GEORGE'S COUNTY, MARYLAND
1998 Legislative Session

Resolution No. CR-4-1998

Proposed by Council Members Russell, Del Giudice, Bailey, Estepp, Gourdine,
Hendershot, Maloney, Scott and Wilson

Introduced by Council Members Russell, Del Giudice, Bailey, Estepp, Gourdine,
Hendershot, Maloney, Scott and Wilson

Co-Sponsors _____

Date of Introduction January 13, 1998

RESOLUTION

1 A RESOLUTION concerning

2 Regulations to Analyze the Development Impact on Public School Facilities

3 For the purpose of approving the Regulations to Analyze the Development Impact on Public
 4 School Facilities.

5 WHEREAS, the Prince George's County Council adopted CB-3-1997 (DR-6), an Act
 6 concerning adequate school facilities, on November 18, 1997; and

7 WHEREAS, Section 3 of CB-3-1997 (DR-6) requires the Office of Management and
 8 Budget, the Department of Environmental Resources and the Planning Board, in consultation
 9 with the Superintendent of Schools, to prepare and submit to the County Council, for its
 10 amendment and/or approval, regulations for the implementation of the Act; and

11 WHEREAS, on January 6, 1998, Planning Board staff presented a draft of the Regulations
 12 to the Committee-of-the-Whole; and

13 WHEREAS, the Regulations include methodology for determining pupil yield factors,
 14 projected enrollment, affected schools and methods for application of the school adequacy test at
 15 the time of preliminary plat and building permit; and

16 WHEREAS, the Committee-of-the-Whole voted a favorable recommendation with minor
 17 amendments to the Regulations; and

18 NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the County Council of Prince George's
 19 County, Maryland, that the Regulations to Analyze the Development Impact on Public School
 20 Facilities attached hereto and incorporated by reference are hereby approved; and

1 BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that Planning Board staff is directed to administratively
2 amend Appendices A, B and C of the Regulations no later than January 1 for use in the ensuing
3 calendar year.

Adopted this 13th day of January, 1998.

COUNTY COUNCIL OF PRINCE
GEORGE'S COUNTY, MARYLAND

BY: _____
Ronald V. Russell
Chairman

ATTEST:

Joyce T. Sweeney
Clerk of the Council

REGULATIONS TO ANALYZE THE DEVELOPMENT IMPACT ON PUBLIC SCHOOL FACILITIES

INTRODUCTION

The Prince George's County Council has adopted the following regulations to analyze the development impact on public school facilities. These regulations were developed through a cooperative effort between The Maryland-National Capital Park and Planning Commission, the Office of Management and Budget and the Department of Environmental Resources. The regulations show the manner in which information will be presented to the Planning Board. Revisions to the regulations will be made on an annual basis to reflect current school enrollments, effective January 1 of each calendar year. Revisions will be submitted by the Planning Director in November to the County Council for their review and approval. Information may be administratively updated as new data becomes available.

These regulations were created as directed by the County Council in CB-3-1997, which mandated the establishment of an adequate school facilities test for schools at the time of Preliminary Plat of Subdivision and building permits. These regulations shall apply to certain Preliminary Plats of Subdivision and certain building permits for residential development. See Figures 1 and 2.

DEFINITIONS

1. BOE -- Board of Education.
2. PGPS -- Prince George's County Public Schools .
3. Preferred Operational Capacity -- School capacity based on the number of actual classrooms in the school facility. In some cases the Preferred Operational Capacity is different from the State-rated capacity.
4. Preliminary Plat of Subdivision Approval -- The date of the adoption of the resolution of approval by the Planning Board.
5. Pupil Yield Factor -- The number of elementary, middle or high school students expected to be generated by a single residential dwelling unit. These factors are developed by the Planning Department using historical information provided by the Board of Education.
6. Redevelopment Project -- The demolition of an existing dwelling unit(s) and replacement of said dwelling unit(s) and does not increase the number of previously existing dwelling units.
7. Staff -- Staff shall refer to the staff of the Prince George's County Planning Department.
8. Subdivision -- Preliminary Plat of Subdivision.

PRELIMINARY PLAT OF SUBDIVISION ADEQUACY TEST

Attendance Area Determination

The Planning Department shall ascertain the current affected elementary, middle and high school assignments for the proposed development from information provided by the Prince George's County Public Schools, Office of Pupil Accounting. These school assignments are for the usual attendance area of the particular development and do not reflect Magnet or other special educational programs developed by the Prince George's County Public Schools. In some cases a proposed Preliminary Plat of Subdivision may be located in the attendance area of more than one school. In these cases all affected schools will be subject to the test.

School Capacity

The staff, in cooperation with the Prince George's County Public Schools, shall establish the Preferred Operational Capacity for each school on July 1 of each calendar year. All Capital Improvement Program (CIP) projects fully funded with State construction dollars for the current fiscal year will be taken into account in determining the Preferred Operational Capacity. School capital improvements projects may include the construction of a new school or a permanent addition to a given school. Appendix A contains a list of all current CIP projects.

Five-Year Enrollment Projection

The Planning Department, in consultation with the Prince George's County Public Schools, will publish a five-year enrollment projection per school on January 1 of each calendar year. The five-year projection is the anticipated number of students in each particular elementary school, middle or high school. This is the projection that will be used to determine adequate school facilities for the 12-month period until the following January. The five-year projections are contained in Appendix B of these regulations.

Pupil Yield

The staff shall determine the projected number of elementary, middle and high school students expected to be generated by the proposed development. The projected number of students is calculated by multiplying the number of dwelling units (by type) by the County average pupil yield factor (by dwelling unit type). The product shall not be rounded. The pupil yield factors are listed below:

Unit Type	Elementary Students	Middle Students	High Students
Single-Family Dwelling	0.22	0.08	0.13
Townhouse	0.23	0.06	0.11
Multifamily	0.23	0.05	0.10
Multifamily Condominium	0.17	0.05	0.05
Factors are students per dwelling unit.			

Adjusted Projected Enrollment and Total Projected Enrollment

All Preliminary Plats of Subdivision located in the affected school attendance area which have received their approval after the annual publication of the five-year projections will be added to the five-year enrollment projections, as necessary, to create the Adjusted Projected Enrollment.

For each school, the staff will add the total number of elementary, middle and high school students expected to come from the proposed development to the five-year Adjusted Projected Enrollment for the subject school(s). The result will be the Total Projected Enrollment (i.e., total number (not rounded) of projected students that are expected to attend a given school) .

Adequacy Test

The Total Projected Enrollment is divided by the Preferred Operational Capacity and a projected percentage of capacity calculated. If the projected percentage of capacity of all affected schools is 105 percent of capacity or less, then the Planning Board may make a finding of school adequacy and the Preliminary Plat of Subdivision may be approved.

If the projected percentage of capacity of any affected school is greater than 105 percent but less than or equal to 130 percent, the Planning Board may make a finding of adequate public facilities with the payment of a fee based on the pupil yield factor, type of school and the number of students generated by the proposed subdivision.

If any affected elementary school, middle school or high school is greater than 130 percent of capacity, the Planning Board may make a finding of adequate public facilities with the payment of a fee based on the pupil yield factor, type of school and the number of students generated by the proposed subdivision and no permits may be issued for the development until capacity is equal to or less than 130 percent in the affected school(s) or four years have elapsed since the time of the approval of the Preliminary Plat of Subdivision. For purposes of these guidelines, the time of the approval of the Preliminary Plat of Subdivision is defined as the date of the adoption of the resolution of approval by the Planning Board.

Building permits may be issued and fees collected at any time during the four-year waiting period if the projected percentage of capacity at the affected school(s) falls below 130 percent of capacity. Once the affected school has been found to be operating at less than 130 percent of capacity, all permits for the Preliminary Plat of Subdivision may be issued and all fees collected.

School Facilities Surcharge

When an APF fee is collected from a subdivision, it shall be offset by any surcharge that is collected from the subdivision.

Examples

The following are examples only. The figures are not real, but only used to demonstrate how the test is conducted.

Example A

Subdivision consisting of 42 single-family dwelling units.

1. **Attendance Area Determination:**

The affected schools are Seabrook Elementary School, William Wirt Middle School and Duval High School.

2. **School Capacity:**

Seabrook Elementary School capacity = 318
William Wirt Middle School capacity = 800
Duval High School capacity = 1,796

3. **Five-Year Enrollment Projection:**

Seabrook Elementary School = 349
William Wirt Middle School = 972
Duval High School = 1,619

4. **Percentage of Capacity:**

Seabrook Elementary School = $349/318 = 109.74\%$
William Wirt Middle School = $972/800 = 121.50\%$
Duval High School = $1,619/1,796 = 90.14\%$

5 **Pupil Yield Determination:**

Elementary School Students -- $42 \times .22$ (yield factor) = 9.24
Middle School Students -- $42 \times .08 = 3.36$
High School Students -- $42 \times .13 = 5.46$

6. **Adjusted Projected Enrollment:**

One previously approved subdivision since the school projection was calculated, which generated 12.13 elementary school students, 4.78 middle school students and 6.02 high school students.

Seabrook Elementary School -- $349 + 12.13 = 361.13$
William Wirt Middle School -- $972 + 4.78 = 976.78$
Duval High School -- $1,619 + 6.02 = 1,625.02$

7. Adequacy Test:

Seabrook Elementary School -- $361.13 + 9.24 = 370.24$ -- Total Projected Enrollment
 $370.24/318 = 116.42\%$ Projected Percentage of Capacity

Elementary School Fee per Building Permit Calculation:
 $1 \text{ SF DU} \times 0.22 \times \$9,000 = \$1,980.00$ fee per dwelling unit

William Wirt Middle School -- $976.78 + 3.36 = 980.14$ Total Projected Enrollment
 $980.14/800 = 122.51\%$ Projected Percentage of Capacity

Middle School fee per Building Permit Calculation:
 $1 \text{ SF DU} \times 0.08 \times \$12,000 = \$960$

Duval High School -- $1,625.02 + 5.46 = 1,630.48$ -- Total Projected Enrollment
 $1,630.48/1,796 = 90.78\%$ Projected Percentage of Capacity
No fee for high school students because projected percentage of capacity is below 105%.

Total APF Fee: Fees due prior to issuance of building permits.

APF fee for elementary school + APF fee for middle school less Surcharge Fee = Total APF fee

$\$1,980 + \$960 = \$2,940 - \$2,500(\text{surcharge}) = \text{APF fee } \$440 \text{ per building permit}$

APF Fee Per Dwelling Unit

$9.24 \times \$9,000 = \$83,160/42 = \$1,980$ per unit (elementary)
 $3.36 \times \$12,000 = \$40,320/42 = \$960$ per unit (middle)
 $\$1,980 + \$960 = \$2,940$

Elementary fee $\$1,980 / \$2,940 = .6735 \times \$440 = \296 per d.u.
Middle fee $\$960/\$2,940 = .3265 \times \$440 = \144 per d.u.

$\$2,500$ (surcharge) + $\$296$ (Seabrook Elementary School Fee) + $\$144$ (William Wirt Middle School Fee) = $\$2,940$

Example B

A Subdivision for 42 single-family dwellings.

1. Attendance Area Determination:

The affected schools are Seabrook Elementary School, William Wirt Middle School and Central High School

2. **School Capacity:**

Seabrook Elementary School capacity = 318
William Wirt Middle School capacity = 800
Central High School capacity = 1,230

3. **Five-Year Enrollment Projection:**

Seabrook Elementary School = 349
William Wirt Middle School = 972
Central High School = 1,572

4. **Percentage of Capacity:**

Seabrook Elementary School = $349/318=109.74\%$
William Wirt Middle School = $972/800=121.50\%$
Central High School = $1,572/1,230=127.80\%$

5. **Pupil Yield Determination:**

Elementary School Students $42 \times 0.22=9.24$
Middle School Students $42 \times .08 = 3.36$
High School Students $42 \times .13 = 5.46$

6. **Adjusted Projected Enrollment:**

One previously approved subdivision which generated 5.50 elementary school students, 2.00 middle school students and 3.50 high school students.

Seabrook Elementary School -- $349 + 5.50 = 354.50$
William Wirt Middle School -- $972 + 2 = 974$
Central High School -- $1,572 + 3.50 = 1,575.50$

7. **Adequacy Test:**

Seabrook Elementary School - $354.5 + 9.24 = 363.74$ Total Projected enrollment
 $363.74/318 = 114.38\%$ Percentage of Capacity

Elementary School Fee per Building Permit Calculation:
 $1 \text{ SF DU} \times 0.22 \times \$9,000 = \$1,980.00$ fee per dwelling unit

William Wirt Middle School - $974 + 3.36 = 977.36$ Total Projected Enrollment
 $977.36/800 = 122.17\%$ Percentage of Capacity

Middle School fee per Building Permit Calculation:
 $1 \text{ SF DU} \times 0.08 \times \$12,000 = \$960$ fee per dwelling unit

Central High School $-1,575.50 + 5.46 = 1,580.96$ Total Projected Enrollment
 $1,580.96/1,230 = 128.53\%$ Percentage of Capacity

High School fee per Building Permit Calculation:
 $1 \text{ DU} \times 0.13 \times \$10,000 = \$1300$

Total APF Fee:

APF fee for elementary, middle and high school less Surcharge = Total APF fee

$\$1,980 + \$960 + \$1,300 = \$4,240 - \$2,500 \text{ (surcharge)} = \$1740/\text{APF fee/building permit}$

APF Fee Per Dwelling Unit

$9.24 \times \$9,000 = \$83,160/42 = \$1,980/\text{unit}$
 $3.36 \times \$12,000 = \$40,320/42 = \$960/\text{unit}$
 $5.46 \times \$10,000 = \$58,800/42 = \$1,300/\text{unit}$
 $\$1,980 + \$960 + \$1,300 = \$4,240$

Elementary fee $\$1,980/\$4,240 = .467 \times \$1,740 = \$813/\text{unit}$
Middle School fee $\$960/\$4,240 = .226 \times \$1,740 = \$393/\text{unit}$
High School fee $\$1,300/\$4,240 = .307 \times \$1,740 = \$534/\text{unit}$

$\$2,500 \text{ (surcharge)} + \$813 \text{ (Seabrook Elementary School Fee)} + \$393 \text{ (William Wirt Middle School Fee)} + \$534 \text{ (Central High School Fee)}$

BUILDING PERMIT ADEQUACY TEST

This test applies to all building permit applications on projects where the Preliminary Plat of Subdivision was approved before January 1, 1991.

Attendance Area Determination

The Planning Department shall ascertain the current affected elementary, middle and high school assignments for the proposed development from information provided by the Prince George's County Public Schools, Office of Pupil Accounting. These school assignments are for the usual attendance area of the particular development and do not reflect Magnet or other special educational programs developed by the Prince George's County Public Schools. In some cases, a development may be located in the attendance area of more than one school. In these cases all affected schools will be subject to the test.

School Capacity

The staff, in cooperation with the Prince George's County Public Schools, shall establish the Preferred Operational Capacity for each school on July 1 of each calendar year. All Capital Improvement Program fully funded projects with State construction dollars for the current fiscal year will be taken into account in determining the Preferred Operational Capacity. School

capital improvements projects may include the construction of a new school or a permanent addition to a given school. Appendix A contains a list of all current CIP projects.

Actual Enrollment

The actual enrollment for each school is based on the student enrollment as of September 30 of each year as reported by PGPS. The Planning Department will publish the actual enrollment on January 1 of each year. This number will be considered the actual enrollment for purposes of this test. The actual enrollment figures are shown in Appendix C.

Pupil Yield

The staff shall determine the projected number of elementary, middle and high school students expected to be generated by the number of permits. The projected number of students are calculated by multiplying the number of dwelling units (by type) by the County average pupil yield factor (by dwelling unit type). The product shall not be rounded. The pupil yield factors are shown below:

Unit Type	Elementary Schools	Middle Students	High Students
Single-Family Dwelling	0.22	0.08	0.13
Townhouse	0.23	0.06	0.11
Multifamily	0.23	0.05	0.10
Multifamily Condominium	0.17	0.05	0.05
Factors are students per dwelling unit.			

Total Projected Enrollment

For each school the staff will add the total number of students expected to come from the proposed development to the actual enrollment for the subject school(s). This will be the total number of students that could be expected to attend a given school or the total projected enrollment. The total projected enrollment shall not be rounded.

Adequacy Test

The Total Projected Enrollment is divided by the Preferred Operational Capacity and a percentage of capacity is calculated. If the percentage of capacity of all affected schools is 105 percent or less then the permit may be issued.

If the percentage of capacity of any affected school is greater than 105 percent but less than or equal to 130 percent, the building permit may be issued with the payment of a fee based on the pupil yield factor, type of school and the total number of students expected to come from the proposed development.

If any affected elementary, middle or high school is greater than 130 percent of capacity, the Planning Board may make a finding of adequate public facilities with the payment of a fee

based on the pupil yield factor, type of school and the number of students generated by the proposed development and no permits may be issued for the development until the percentage of capacity is equal to or less than 130 percent in the affected school(s), or three years has elapsed since the time of the application for a building permit.

Exemptions

The following are exempt from the above provisions:

- All property which was the subject of a Preliminary Plat of Subdivision approved between January 1, 1991 and January 8, 1998.
- Lots within Woodview Village Subdivision, which is the only Infrastructure Finance District in the County approved prior to the effective date of CB-3-1997.
- Projects that have been the subject of a Detailed Site Plan or Specific Design Plan filed and accepted as of November 1, 1996.
- Subdivisions for which grading of the lot(s) has begun for **ANY PHASE** pursuant to a validly issued grading permit on or before January 9, 1998. If a validly issued grading permit for grading of the lot(s) cannot be located for a final plat of subdivision approved prior to January 1, 1978, the issuance of a grading permit may be implied by one lot of a subdivision having been improved with the construction of a dwelling unit in furtherance of said subdivision.
- Lots exempt from the requirement to subdivide, pursuant to Subtitle 24.
- A Preliminary Plat of Subdivision which is a redevelopment project that replaces existing dwelling units.
- A subdivision of less than 36 dwelling units which is located in a Revitalization Tax District (as provided in Subtitle 10 of this Code) and which is not part of larger Comprehensive Design or Mixed Use Zone. See Appendix D.
- A subdivision of less than 36 dwelling units which will not be served by public water and sewer. The subdivision cannot be located in a Comprehensive Design Zone or Mixed Use Zone development and the property owner/applicant or their predecessors in interest and/or title of the subject subdivision did not own land considered adjacent to the subject subdivision as of May 31, 1997. For purposes of these guidelines, land is considered adjacent if the property lines are contiguous at any point, are separated only by a public or private street, road, highway, utility right-of-way, or other public or private right-of-way at any point, or are separated only by other land of the applicant/owner, or their predecessors in interest and/or title which is not subject to these guidelines at the time the applicant submits a Preliminary Plat of Subdivision for approval.
- Land that is subdivided for use as elderly housing in accordance with State and Federal Fair Housing laws.

NOTE: The attached Figures 1 and 2 are available in hard copy only.

APPENDIX A

Funded School Construction Projects FY 1998

1. Ardmore High School -- new construction -- capacity 2,200 students
2. Dodge Park II Elementary School -- new construction -- capacity 750 students
3. Northwestern High School -- renovation -- capacity 2,275 students
4. Hillcrest Heights Elementary School -- renovation -- capacity 520
5. Francis Scott Key Elementary School -- reopening -- capacity 775 students

APPENDIX B

5-Year Enrollment Projects

Effective Date: January 1, 1997

ELEMENTARY SCHOOL ENROLLMENT AND CAPACITY
January 1, 1997
APF TEST AT PRELIMINARY PLAT

Elementary School				Preferred	Projected	%
<u>Name</u>	<u>SUB</u>	<u>PA</u>	<u>PAZ</u>	<u>Operational</u>	<u>Enrollment</u>	<u>Capacity</u>
				<u>Capacity</u>	<u>2001</u>	<u>2001</u>
Adelphi	II	65	240B	534	620	116.10%
Allenwood	VII	76B	257K	325	511	157.23%
Apple Grove	VII	76B	258C	595	679	114.12%
Ardmore	III	73	254C	380	396	104.21%
Arrowhead	VI	78	255F	572	518	90.56%
Avalon	VII	76A	258C	445	417	93.71%
Baden	VI	86B	280K	478	364	76.15%
Barnaby Manor	VII	76A	249H	520	734	141.15%
Beacon Heights	II	69	245G	478	353	73.85%
Beltsville	I	61	250C	966	918	95.03%
Benjamin D. Foulois	VII	76A	248S	784	711	90.69%
Berkshire	IV	75A	248A	480	533	111.04%
Bladensburg	II	69	244D	750	812	108.27%
Bond Mill	I	60	261A	612	673	109.97%
Bradbury Heights	IV	75A	247A	620	791	127.58%
Brandywine	V	85A	271B	666	391	58.71%
Calverton	I	61	260A	666	783	117.57%
Capitol Heights	IV	75B	247C	436	410	94.04%
Carmody Hills	IV	72A	246M	380	625	164.47%
Carole Highlands	II	65	241C	606	713	117.66%
Carrollton	II	69	245C	475	704	148.21%
Catherine T. Reed	III	70	252F	568	507	89.26%
Cherokee Lane	II	65	240E	532	628	118.05%
Chillum	II	65	241F	300	549	183.00%
Clinton Grove	V	81A	257G	544	533	97.98%
Columbia Park	IV	72A	246F	500	542	108.40%
Concord	IV	75A	247J	558	518	92.83%
Cool Spring	II	65	240B	660	634	96.06%
Cooper Lane	II	69	245H	666	707	106.16%
Deerfield Run	I	62	262C	632	565	89.40%
District Heights	IV	75A	247Q	460	491	106.74%
Dodge Park	IV	72B	246T	520	569	109.42%
Dodge Park II	IV	72B	246T	750	470	62.67%
Doswell E. Brooks	IV	75A	247C	626	613	97.92%
Edgar Allan Poe	IV	75A	248B	320	259	80.94%
Flintstone	IV	76B	249K	562	624	111.03%
Forest Heights	VII	76A	249P	260	405	155.77%
Fort Foote	VII	80	259C	562	363	64.59%

ELEMENTARY SCHOOL ENROLLMENT AND CAPACITY
January 1, 1997
APF TEST AT PRELIMINARY PLAT

Elementary School				Preferred	Projected	%
<u>Name</u>	<u>SUB</u>	<u>PA</u>	<u>PAZ</u>	<u>Operational</u>	<u>Enrollment</u>	<u>Capacity</u>
				<u>Capacity</u>	<u>2001</u>	<u>2001</u>
Fort Wash. Forest	VII	80	273B	558	464	83.15%
Francis S. Key				660	690	104.55%
Francis T. Evans	V	81A	256D	612	778	127.12%
Gaywood	III	70	252F	518	444	85.71%
Gladys N. Spellman	II	69	245K	595	788	132.44%
Glassmanor	VII	76A	249K	340	440	129.41%
Glenarden Woods	IV	72B	246U	586	596	101.71%
Glenn Dale	III	70		428	580	135.51%
Glenridge	II	69	245G	798	928	116.29%
Green Valley	VII	76A	249E	420	437	104.05%
Greenbelt	II	67	251A	660	894	135.45%
Heather Hills	III	71B	265G	400	441	100.25%
Henry G. Ferguson	V	84	273E	532	545	102.44%
High Bridge	III	71A	264G	556	403	72.48%
Highland Park				440	220	50.00%
Hillcrest Heights	VII	76A	249E	520	510	98.08%
Hollywood	II	66	243M	460	486	105.65%
Hyattsville	II	68	242J	568	500	88.03%
Indian Queen	VII	80	259C	694	283	40.78%
J. Frank Dent	VII	76B	258F	300	443	147.67%
James H. Harrison	I	62	262C	612	428	69.93%
James McHenry	III	70	253A	525	746	142.10%
James Ryder Randall	V	81A	257G	616	637	103.41%
John Carroll	IV	72A	246P	558	646	115.77%
John Eager Howard	IV	75A	247A	586	456	77.82%
John H. Bayne	IV	75A	247G	500	519	103.80%
Kenilworth	III	71B	264C	720	689	95.69%
Kenmoor	IV	72B	246V	532	483	90.79%
Kettering	III	73	255B	774	972	125.58%
Kingsford	III	74A	265B	910	1115	122.53%
Lamont	II	69	245E	704	565	80.26%
Langley Pk-McCorm	II	65	240C	662	809	122.21%
Laurel	I	99	261C	612	455	74.35%
Lewisdale	II	65	241D	532	695	130.64%
Longfields	IV	75A	247K	558	715	128.14%
Lyndon Hill	IV	75A	247E	500	457	91.40%
Magnolia	II	67	252D	586	655	111.77%
Marlton	VI	82A	270A	650	719	110.62%

ELEMENTARY SCHOOL ENROLLMENT AND CAPACITY
January 1, 1997
APF TEST AT PRELIMINARY PLAT

<u>Elementary School</u> <u>Name</u>	<u>SUB</u>	<u>PA</u>	<u>PAZ</u>	<u>Preferred</u> <u>Operational</u> <u>Capacity</u>	<u>Projected</u> <u>Enrollment</u> <u>2001</u>	<u>%</u> <u>Capacity</u> <u>2001</u>
Mattaponi	VI	82A	280B	612	598	97.71%
Matthew Henson	IV	72A	246Q	484	691	142.77%
Melwood	VI	82A	268C	612	715	116.83%
Middleton Valley	VII	76B	257K	612	723	118.14%
Montpelier	I	62	262C	612	596	97.39%
Morningside	VII	76A	248S	340	564	165.88%
Mount Rainier	II	68	242L	450	503	111.78%
North Forestville	IV	75A	247L	460	532	115.65%
Oakcrest	IV	72A	246P	586	561	95.73%
Oaklands	I	62	262D	532	281	52.82%
Overlook	VII	76A	248G	340	685	201.47%
Owens Road	VII	76B	249L	450	404	89.78%
Oxon Hill	VII	76B	258B	484	425	87.81%
Paint Branch	II	66	243P	558	518	92.83%
Panorama	VII	76A	249E	220	229	104.09%
Patuxent	VI	79	267B	525	610	116.19%
Phyllis E. Williams	III	73	255D	774	877	113.31%
Pointer Ridge	III	71B	265J	774	890	114.99%
Potomac Landing	VII	80	259E	586	494	84.30%
Princeton	VII	76B	256C	340	347	102.06%
Ridgecrest	II	65	241B	586	819	139.76%
Riverdale	II	68	244F	690	1030	149.28%
Robert Frost	II	69	245C	450	485	107.78%
Rockledge	III	71B	264F	612	568	92.81%
Rogers Heights	II	69	244E	568	823	144.89%
Rose Valley	V	81B	257B	480	536	111.67%
Samuel Chase	VII	76A	258G	444	442	99.55%
Samuel Ogle	III	71B	264F	1000	778	77.80%
Scotchtown Hills	I	99	261A	758	672	88.65%
Seabrook	III	70	253D	318	349	109.75%
Seat Pleasant	IV	72A	246H	409	684	167.24%
Shadyside	IV	75A	248D	638	535	83.86%
Skyline	VII	76A	248N	396	459	115.91%
Springhill Lake	II	67	243K	826	907	109.81%
Tayac	VII	76B	257F	480	677	141.04%
Templeton	II	69	244E	748	823	110.03%
Thomas Claggett	IV	75A	247Q	485	398	82.06%
Thomas G. Pullen				782	555	70.97%

ELEMENTARY SCHOOL ENROLLMENT AND CAPACITY
January 1, 1997
APF TEST AT PRELIMINARY PLAT

<u>Elementary School Name</u>	<u>SUB</u>	<u>PA</u>	<u>PAZ</u>	<u>Preferred Operational Capacity</u>	<u>Projected Enrollment 2001</u>	<u>% Capacity 2001</u>
Thomas G. Stone	II	68	242M	682	857	125.66%
Tulip Grove	III	71B	264C	532	583	109.59%
University Park	II	66	242C	534	766	143.45%
Valley View	VII	76A	249J	652	655	100.46%
Waldon Woods	V	81A	269A	504	531	105.36%
William Beanes	IV	75A	248P	540	704	130.37%
William Paca	IV	72	246P	640	849	132.66%
Woodmore	III	74A	265A	686	742	108.16%
Woodridge	II	69	245G	428	411	96.03%
Yorktown	III	71B	264F	612	606	99.02%
				69401	73751	106.27%

January 1, 1997

<u>Middle School Name</u>	<u>SUB</u>	<u>PA</u>	<u>PAZ</u>	<u>Preferred Operational Capacity</u>	<u>Projected Enrollment 2001</u>	<u>% Capacity 2001</u>
Andrew Jackson	IV	75A	248A	775	845	109.03%
Benjamin Stoddert*	VII	76A	249B	700	472	67.43%
Benjamin Tasker	III	71B	264C	1050	1056	100.57%
Buck Lodge	II	65	240E	945	1067	112.91%
Charles Carroll	II	69	245E	850	880	103.53%
Drew-Freeman	IV	75A	247J	1025	1037	101.17%
Dwight D. Eisenhower	I	62	262D	975	998	102.36%
Eugene Burroughs*	V	84	273E	925	554	59.89%
Greenbelt	II	67	243K	750	918	122.40%
Gwynn Park	V	85A	271A	775	582	75.10%
G. Gardner Shugart*	VII	76A	249E	600	645	107.50%
Hyattsville	II	68	242H	650	877	134.92%
James Madison	VI	82A	268C	800	862	107.75%
Kenmoor	IV	72B	246V	750	843	112.40%
Kettering	III	73	255B	940	1429	152.02%
Lord Baltimore	VII	76B	257F	749	693	92.52%
Martin L. King, Jr.	I	61	260B	750	913	121.73%
Nicholas Orem	II	68	242F	787	786	99.87%
Oxon Hill	VII	80	259C	800	647	80.88%
Robert Goddard*	III	70	252F	1005	762	75.82%
Stephen Decatur	V	81A	257G	890	804	90.34%
Thomas Johnson	III	70	253A	915	703	76.83%
Thurgood Marshall				949	1017	107.17%
Walker Mill	IV	75A	247G	775	690	89.03%
William Wirt*	II	69	243A	800	972	121.50%
TOTAL				20930	21052	100.58%

January 1, 1997

<u>High School Name</u>	<u>SUB</u>	<u>PA</u>	<u>PAZ</u>	<u>Preferred Operational Capacity</u>	<u>Projected Enrollment 2001-02</u>	<u>% Capacity 2001</u>
Ardmore	III			0	0	0
Bladensburg	II	69	244E	1,775	1,534	86.42%
Bowie*	III	71B	264F	2,026	1,946	96.05%
Central	IV	75A	247H	1,230	1,572	127.80%
Crossland	VII	76B	258C	1,971	1,501	76.15%
DuVal*	III	70	252F	1,796	1,657	92.26%
Eleanor Roosevelt*	II	67	252C	2,413	2,320	96.15%
Fairmont Heights	IV	72A	246C	1,230	1,413	114.88%
Forestville	IV	75A	248R	1,007	1,063	105.56%
Frederick Douglass	VI	82A	270B	1,349	1,713	126.98%
Friendly	V	81B	257B	1,640	1,655	100.91%
Gwynn Park	V	85A	271A	1,240	1,297	104.60%
High Point	I	61	250B	2,480	2,179	87.86%
Largo*	III	73	255C	2,028	2,053	101.23%
Laurel	I	99	261B	1,990	2,105	105.78%
Northwestern	II	68	242D	2,275	2,702	118.77%
Oxon Hill	VII	76B	258A	2,237	2,187	97.76%
Parkdale	II	69	243A	2,024	2,104	103.95%
Potomac	VII	76A	249L	1,378	1,211	87.88%
Suitland	IV	75A	248D	2,598	2,101	80.87%
Surrattsville	V	81A	269A	1,335	1,295	97.00%
TOTAL				36,022	35,608	98.85%

*indicate utilization adjustment due to Ardmore HS.

APPENDIX C

Actual Enrollment *(as of September 30, 1997)*

ELEMENTARY SCHOOLS
January 1, 1998

Elementary School				9/97 %	Preferred Operational	9/97
<u>Name</u>	<u>SUB</u>	<u>PA</u>	<u>PAZ</u>	<u>Capacity</u>	<u>Capacity</u>	<u>Enrollment</u>
Adelphi	II	65	240B	124.16%	534	663
Allenwood	VII	76B	257K	132.92%	325	432
Apple Grove	VII	76B	258C	136.84%	475	650
Ardmore	III	73	254C	133.68%	380	508
Arrowhead	VI	78	255F	96.68%	572	553
Avalon	VII	76A	258C	92.81%	445	413
Baden	VI	86B	280K	76.36%	478	365
Barnaby Manor	VII	76A	249H	133.46%	520	694
Beacon Heights	II	69	245G	74.69%	478	357
Beltsville	I	61	250C	99.38%	966	960
Benjamin D. Foulois	VII	76A	248S	97.96%	784	768
Berkshire	IV	75A	248A	102.71%	480	493
Bladensburg	II	69	244D	102.67%	750	770
Bond Mill	I	60	261A	102.29%	612	626
Bradbury Heights	IV	75A	247A	121.13%	620	751
Brandywine	V	85A	271B	78.98%	666	526
Calverton	I	61	260A	110.81%	666	738
Capitol Heights	IV	75B	247C	94.72%	436	413
Carmody Hills	IV	72A	246M	156.58%	380	595
Carole Highlands	II	65	241C	88.78%	606	538
Carrollton	II	69	245C	142.11%	475	675
Catherine T. Reed	III	70	252F	85.39%	568	485
Cherokee Lane	II	65	240E	125.94%	532	670
Chillum	II	65	241F	172.00%	300	516
Clinton Grove	V	81A	257G	104.04%	544	566
Columbia Park	IV	72A	246F	104.60%	500	523
Concord	IV	75A	247J	110.93%	558	619
Cool Spring	II	65	240B	95.61%	660	631
Cooper Lane	II	69	245H	86.49%	666	576
Deerfield Run	I	62	262C	91.93%	632	581
District Heights	IV	75A	247Q	120.65%	460	555
Dodge Park	IV	72B	246T	74.23%	520	386
Dodge Park II	IV	72B	246T	0.00%	750	0
Doswell E. Brooks	IV	75A	247C	110.38%	626	691
Edgar Allan Poe	IV	75A	248B	83.13%	320	266
Flintstone	IV	76B	249K	100.71%	562	566
Forest Heights	VII	76A	249P	138.08%	260	359
Fort Foote	VII	80	259C	70.46%	562	396
Fort Wash. Forest	VII	80	273B	100.90%	558	563
Francis Scott Key	IV			0.00%	775	0
Francis T. Evans	V	81A	256D	118.30%	612	724

Gaywood	III	70	252F	100.39%	518	520
Gladys N. Spellman	II	69	245K	131.09%	595	780
Glassmanor	VII	76A	249K	97.65%	340	332
Glenarden Woods	IV	72B	246U	97.61%	586	572
Glenn Dale	III	70		125.70%	428	538
Glenridge	II	69	245G	96.62%	798	771
Green Valley	VII	76A	249E	108.33%	420	455
Greenbelt	II	67	251A	105.30%	660	695
Heather Hills	III	71B	265G	117.25%	400	469
Henry G. Ferguson	V	84	273E	118.05%	532	628
High Bridge	III	71A	264G	86.69%	556	482
Hillcrest Heights	VII	76A	249E	85.58%	520	445
Hollywood	II	66	243M	98.70%	460	454
Hyattsville	II	68	242J	89.44%	568	508
Indian Queen	VII	80	259C	48.27%	694	335
J. Frank Dent	VII	76B	258F	127.67%	300	383
James H. Harrison	I	62	262C	71.41%	612	437
James McHenry	III	70	253A	98.86%	525	519
James Ryder Randall	V	81A	257G	83.77%	616	516
John Carroll	IV	72A	246P	107.53%	558	600
John Eager Howard	IV	75A	247A	68.09%	586	399
John H. Bayne	IV	75A	247G	104.20%	500	521
Kenilworth	III	71B	264C	98.75%	720	711
Kenmoor	IV	72B	246V	83.83%	532	446
Kettering	III	73	255B	85.78%	612	525
Kingsford	III	74A	265B	99.45%	910	905
Lamont	II	69	245E	86.08%	704	606
Langley Pk-McCormic	II	65	240C	96.53%	662	639
Laurel	I	99	261C	78.59%	612	481
Lewisdale	II	65	241D	130.08%	532	692
Longfields	IV	75A	247K	105.56%	558	589
Lyndon Hill	IV	75A	247E	84.40%	500	422
Magnolia	II	67	252D	118.09%	586	692
Marlton	VI	82A	270A	86.62%	650	563
Mattaponi	VI	82A	280B	100.16%	612	613
Matthew Henson	IV	72A	246Q	109.92%	484	532
Melwood	VI	82A	268C	117.81%	612	721
Middleton Valley	VII	76B	257K	115.52%	612	707
Montpelier	I	62	262C	86.93%	612	532
Morningside	VII	76A	248S	165.29%	340	562
Mount Rainier	II	68	242L	98.89%	450	445
North Forestville	IV	75A	247L	85.22%	460	392
Oakcrest	IV	72A	246P	113.65%	586	666
Oaklands	I	62	262D	84.40%	532	449
Overlook	VII	76A	248G	191.76%	340	652
Owens Road	VII	76B	249L	87.33%	450	393
Oxon Hill	VII	76B	258B	96.49%	484	467

Paint Branch	II	66	243P	90.68%	558	506
Panorama	VII	76A	249E	125.45%	220	276
Parkland	IV	75A		0.00%	500	0
Patuxent	VI	79	267B	93.90%	525	493
Phyllis E. Williams	III	73	255D	95.22%	774	737
Pointer Ridge	III	71B	265J	145.10%	612	888
Potomac Landing	VII	80	259E	76.79%	586	450
Princeton	VII	76B	256C	131.18%	340	446
Ridgecrest	II	65	241B	126.28%	586	740
Riverdale	II	68	244F	98.26%	690	678
Robert Frost	II	69	245C	70.44%	450	317
Rockledge	III	71B	264F	99.51%	612	609
Rogers Heights	II	69	244E	141.90%	568	806
Rose Valley	V	81B	257B	112.08%	480	538
Samuel Chase	VII	76A	258G	102.93%	444	457
Samuel Ogle	III	71B	264F	82.80%	1,000	828
Scotchtown Hills	I	99	261A	94.06%	758	713
Seabrook	III	70	253D	111.95%	318	356
Seat Pleasant	IV	72A	246H	143.28%	409	586
Shadyside	IV	75A	248D	111.29%	638	710
Skyline	VII	76A	248N	115.91%	396	459
Springhill Lake	II	67	243K	108.13%	664	718
Tayac	VII	76B	257F	110.42%	480	530
Templeton	II	69	244E	130.03%	586	762
Thomas Claggett	IV	75A	247Q	74.85%	485	363
Thomas G. Pullen	IV			91.18%	782	713
Thomas G. Stone	II	68	242M	122.14%	682	833
Tulip Grove	III	71B	264C	108.65%	532	578
University Park	II	66	242C	120.97%	534	646
Valley View	VII	76A	249J	76.23%	652	497
Waldon Woods	V	81A	269A	117.26%	504	591
William Beanes	IV	75A	248P	105.00%	540	567
William Paca	IV	72	246P	118.13%	640	756
Woodmore	III	74A	265A	107.00%	686	734
Woodridge	II	69	245G	88.55%	428	379
Yorktown	III	71B	264F	94.44%	612	578
				99.93%	68808	68760

MIDDLE SCHOOLS
January 1, 1998

<u>Middle School Name</u>	<u>SUB</u>	<u>PA</u>	<u>PAZ</u>	<u>9/97 Enroll</u>	<u>Preferred Operational Capacity</u>	<u>9/97 % Capacity</u>
Andrew Jackson	IV	75A	248A	655	775	84.52%
Benjamin Stoddert	VII	76A	249B	626	700	89.43%
Benjamin Tasker	III	71B	264C	1017	1050	96.86%
Buck Lodge	II	65	240E	880	945	93.12%
Charles Carroll	II	69	245E	635	850	74.71%
Drew-Freeman	IV	75A	247J	820	1025	80.00%
Dwight D. Eisenhower	I	62	262D	823	975	84.41%
Eugene Burroughs	V	84	273E	713	925	77.08%
Greenbelt	II	67	243K	871	750	116.13%
Gwynn Park	V	85A	271A	576	775	74.32%
G. Gardner Shugart	VII	76A	249E	604	600	100.67%
Hyattsville	II	68	242H	662	650	101.85%
James Madison	VI	82A	268C	901	800	112.63%
Kenmoor	IV	72B	246V	768	750	102.40%
Kettering	III	73	255B	1324	940	140.85%
Lord Baltimore	VII	76B	257F	745	749	99.47%
Martin L. King, Jr.	I	61	260B	870	750	116.00%
Nicholas Orem	II	68	242F	688	787	87.42%
Oxon Hill	VII	80	259C	647	800	80.88%
Robert Goddard	III	70	252F	660	1005	65.67%
Stephen Decatur	V	81A	257G	630	890	70.79%
Thomas Johnson	III	70	253A	646	915	70.60%
Thurgood Marshall				657	949	69.23%
Walker Mill	IV	75A	247G	578	775	74.58%
William Wirt	II	69	243A	811	800	101.38%
TOTAL				18807	20930	89.86%

HIGH SCHOOLS
January 1, 1998

<u>High School Name</u>	<u>SUB</u>	<u>PA</u>	<u>PAZ</u>	<u>9/97 Enroll</u>	<u>Preferred Operational Capacity</u>	<u>9/97 % Capacity</u>
Ardmore	IV	72		0	2,200	0
Bladensburg	II	69	244E	1,327	1,775	74.76%
Bowie*	III	71B	264F	1,935	2,026	95.51%
Central	IV	75A	247H	1,211	1,230	98.46%
Crossland	VII	76B	258C	1,471	1,971	74.63%
DuVal*	III	70	252F	1,231	1,796	68.54%
Eleanor Roosevelt*	II	67	252C	2,405	2,413	99.67%
Fairmont Heights	IV	72A	246C	1,089	1,230	88.54%
Forestville	IV	75A	248R	957	1,007	95.03%
Frederick Douglass	VI	82A	270B	1,596	1,349	118.31%
Friendly	V	81B	257B	1,554	1,640	94.76%
Gwynn Park	V	85A	271A	1,289	1,240	103.95%
High Point	I	61	250B	2,248	2,480	90.65%
Largo*	III	73	255C	1,794	2,028	88.46%
Laurel	I	99	261B	1,895	1,990	95.23%
Northwestern	II	68	242D	2,194	2,275	96.44%
Oxon Hill	VII	76B	258A	2,158	2,237	96.47%
Parkdale	II	69	243A	2,110	2,024	104.25%
Potomac	VII	76A	249L	1,086	1,378	78.81%
Suitland	IV	75A	248D	2,560	2,598	98.54%
Surrattsville	V	81A	269A	1,117	1,335	83.67%
TOTAL				33,227	38,222	86.93%

APPENDIX D

Revitalization Tax Credit Areas

NOTE: The attached Revitalization Tax Credit Areas map is available in hard copy only.