COUNTY COUNCIL OF PRINCE GEORGE'S COUNTY, MARYLAND SITTING AS THE DISTRICT COUNCIL

2010 Legislative Session

Resolution No.	CR-50-2010	
Proposed by	The Chairman (by request – Planning Board)	
Introduced by	Council Members Harrison and Olson	
Co-Sponsors		
Date of Introduction	June 1, 2010	

RESOLUTION

A RESOLUTION concerning

The Central US 1 Corridor Sector Plan and Proposed Sectional Map Amendment

For the purpose of approving with amendments, as an Act of the County Council of Prince

George's County, Maryland, sitting as the District Council, the Central US 1 Corridor Sector

Plan and Sectional Map Amendment (SMA), thereby defining long-range land use and

development policies, and setting forth and adopting detailed zoning proposals in Planning Area

66 for the area generally comprised of the properties bounded by the city boundaries of College

Park to the north, the southern limit of mixed-use properties south of Guilford Road to the south,

and commercial, mixed-use, vacant and related properties fronting or oriented to US 1 to the east

and west, including established residential areas along Guilford Drive, Knox Road, and Cherry

Hill Road, and commercial and residential properties located in the Hollywood community at the

intersection of Rhode Island Avenue and Edgewood Road and all properties inclusive of 47th

Place West between Lackawanna Street and 48th Place

WHEREAS, upon approval by the District Council, this Sector Plan will amend portions of the 1989 Approved Master Plan for Langley Park-College Park-Greenbelt and Vicinity, and 1990 Adopted Sectional Map Amendment for Planning Areas 65, 66 and 67, 2001 Approved Sector Plan and Sectional Map Amendment for the Greenbelt Metro Area, 2002 Approved College Park US 1 Corridor Sector Plan and Sectional Map Amendment (Portion of Planning Area 66), the 2002 Prince George's County Approved General Plan, the 1983 Functional Master Plan for Public School Sites, the 1992 Prince George's County Historic Sites and

Districts Plan, the 2005 Countywide Green Infrastructure Functional Master Plan, the 2008 Approved Public Safety Facilities Master Plan, and the 2009 Master Plan of Transportation; and

WHEREAS, the District Council adopted CR-96-2008 on October 28, 2008, initiating an amendment to the 1989/1990 Approved Master Plan for Langley Park-College Park-Greenbelt and Vicinity and Adopted Sectional Map Amendment for Planning Areas 65, 66 and 67, 2001 Approved Sector Plan and Sectional Map Amendment for the Greenbelt Metro Area, and 2002 Approved College Park US 1 Corridor Sector Plan and Sectional Map Amendment (Portion of Planning Area 66) in order to develop a comprehensive approach to implement the recommendations of the 2002 General Plan, and to ensure that future development is consistent with County policies; and

WHEREAS, on October 28, 2008, the District Council endorsed the Goals, Concepts, Guidelines and Public Participation Program as approved by the Planning Board, and established the Plan boundaries for portions of Planning Area 66 pursuant to Sections 27-641 and 27-643 of the Zoning Ordinance; and

WHEREAS, the Planning Board staff, as part of the plan's Public Participation Program, held more than 30 meetings with community and agency stakeholders including civic association discussions, a stakeholder information meeting, a 6-day community design charrette, a community post-charrette, municipal briefings with the mayors and councils of College Park and University Park, meetings with transportation agencies, the University of Maryland, and environmental stakeholders, and a community briefing on the contents and organization of the preliminary sector plan and proposed sectional map amendment; and

WHEREAS, the Planning Board granted permission to print the Preliminary Central US 1 Corridor and Proposed Sectional Map Amendment on June 18, 2009; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to Section 27-645(b) of the Zoning Ordinance, the County Executive and the District Council reviewed the public facilities element of the preliminary plan and endorsed the inclusion of the proposed public facilities in the preliminary plan; and

WHEREAS, the District Council and the Planning Board held a duly-advertised joint public hearing on the Preliminary Central US 1 Corridor Sector Plan and Proposed Sectional Map Amendment on September 15, 2009; and

WHEREAS, the Planning Board held two worksession to consider the public hearing testimony on November 19, 2009 and December 3, 2009; and

WHEREAS, on December 10, 2009, the Planning Board, in response to the public hearing testimony, adopted the sector plan and endorsed the sectional map amendment with revisions, as described in Prince George's County Planning Board Resolution PGCPB No. 09-170, and transmitted the plan and sectional map amendment to the District Council on January 4, 2010; and

WHEREAS, on February 16, 2010, the District Council held a worksession, to review the Planning Board's recommendations on the public hearing testimony; and

WHEREAS, on March 2, 2010, the District Council decided to propose amendments to the adopted Sector Plan and endorsed Sectional Map Amendment and to hold a second joint public hearing to allow public comment; and

WHEREAS, on April 6, 2010, the District Council and the Planning Board held a duly advertised joint public hearing on the proposed amendments contained in CR-18-2010, and received comments on the proposed amendments and other elements of the adopted sector plan and endorsed sectional map amendment; and

WHEREAS, on April 29, 2010, the Planning Board reviewed the digest of testimony from the April 6, 2010 public hearing and transmitted written comments on the proposed amendments to the District Council on May 5, 2010 in accordance with Section 27-646(a)(3) of the Zoning Ordinance; and

WHEREAS, on May 11, 2010, the District Council reviewed the digest of testimony from the April 6, 2010 public hearing and directed Technical Staff to prepare a resolution of approval incorporating amendments.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the County Council of Prince George's County, Maryland, sitting as the District Council for that part of the Maryland-Washington Regional District in Prince George's County, Maryland, that the Central US 1 Corridor Sector Plan and Sectional Map Amendment as adopted and endorsed on December 10, 2009, by PGCPB No. 09-170, are hereby approved, with the following amendments and revisions:

AMENDMENT ONE: Delete all references and text in the adopted sector plan (consisting of the preliminary plan document and PGCPB 09-170) dealing with "future walkable nodes" and "proposed walkable nodes." Revise the captions for images on pages 68 and 69 to appropriately

	describe the images without referencing these terms. Delete text referencing designation of future corridor nodes on page 50 accordingly.
;	AMENDMENT TWO: Revise street cross-section drawings included in the adopted sector plan and development district standards as necessary to clearly indicate the preferred cross-section dimensions for US 1 based on continued stakeholder coordination with the State Highway Administration, Department of Public Works and Transportation, and City of College Park.
-	AMENDMENT THREE: Ensure the preferred ultimate/long-term cross-section dimensions for US 1 contain bicycle side-paths/buffered bicycle lanes along the majority of US 1. These dedicated bicycle facilities shall be designed to maximize convenience and safety to encourage use of the bicycle as a true alternate to the automobile. The continuation of side-path/buffered bicycle lane materials across curb cuts whenever possible should be encouraged.
,	AMENDMENT FOUR: Retain Capital Beltway as the boundary line between the Developed Tier and the Developing Tier. Delete all references and revise all maps that indicate a revision to the General Plan tier boundaries in this area.
	AMENDMENT FIVE: Add the following text to page 50: "Corridor nodes located north of MD 193 shall not be considered for future application of Subtitle 27A of the County Code."
1	AMENDMENT SIX: Add a new policy and strategies to the Corridor Infill Policies section on pages 72-73 as follows, and revise the Development District Standards as appropriate to implement the strategies: "Policy 4 Establish appropriate residential densities within the corridor infill areas to ensure preservation of existing single-family neighborhoods. Strategies 1. Limit residential density by reducing the maximum number of dwelling units per acre permitted in the M-U-I Zone.

2. Require acquisition of at least one and a half acres of property under single ownership to permit rezoning to the M-U-I Zone through the Detailed Site Plan process detailed under Section 27-548.26 of the Zoning Ordinance."

AMENDMENT SEVEN: Revise the land use and urban design discussions on Seven Springs Village on pages 96-97 to place emphasis on minimizing impacts on the floodplain, preserving open space, directing density and height to the center of the property with smaller-scale buildings along the northern and southern boundaries, providing a trail dedication along the northern portion of the property, and maximizing attention to innovative stormwater management techniques to preserve and enhance the Paint Branch stream valley. Revise the land use and urban design discussions on the Autoville community on page 96 to address the desire to encourage senior housing, townhome, and professional office development; to preclude big-box retail stores, fast food restaurants, convenience stores, and gas stations; to preclude any future connection to the end of Kiernan Road; to preclude future vehicular connections between Autoville Drive North and Autoville Drive South or US 1 and encourage roundabouts along Autoville Drive North; and to discourage through traffic from Cherry Hill Road. See Attachment One.

AMENDMENT EIGHT: Replace the detailed illustrative concept drawings and the "general recommendations" text box featured on page 97 with a detailed illustrative concept drawing that reflects the testimony from the public hearings. See Attachment One.

AMENDMENT NINE: Revise the Uptown land use and urban design discussions on page 98 to discourage big-box retail stores, emphasize the desire and potential for high-intensity office uses, and clearly indicate the desired pattern of development, while allowing for tall office buildings as the market evolves, should be compatible with the smaller-scale, mixed retail and office uses north of the Ikea entrance drive on the Camden/Roadside property. See Attachment One.

AMENDMENT TEN: Indicate in the sector plan text that while the need for land reservation for a trail on the northern side of Cherry Hill Road exists, the preferred alignment of the continuation of the Paint Branch Stream Valley Park Trail is along the southern side of Cherry

1 Hill Road, through the northern edge of the Seven Springs property, and across the Capital 2 Beltway on the western side of Cherry Hill Road into the Subregion I Master Plan area. See 3 Attachment One. Revise the trails maps to reflect the revised alignment of the trail. 4 5 **AMENDMENT ELEVEN:** Add the following text on page 157 after Table 11: 6 "Pupil Yield 7 Table 12 shows the current pupil yield rates for each dwelling unit type. The pupil yield is the 8 estimated number of elementary, middle, and high school students per dwelling unit. The current 9 pupil yield rates are based on 2008 enrollment numbers. It is important to note that the current pupil yield rates are for single-family detached dwelling units, single-family attached, multi-10 11 family garden-style, as well as multi-family dwelling units with structured parking. The Planning 12 Department observed a decrease in household size as a result of the 2000 census figures, which could affect the pupil yield. The current elementary pupil yield for each dwelling unit type is 13 significantly lower than the previously used elementary rate developed in 2001. Prior to the 14 15 update, the pupil yield rates for all housing types were 0.24, 0.06, and 0.12 for elementary, middle and high schools respectively. See Appendix Five for more information on the pupil yield 16 17 methodology used in this sector plan." 18 19 Add the following text as new Appendix Five after page 424: 20 "Pupil Yield Methodology 22 Development of Pupil Yield for Single-Family Dwelling Units 23 The Planning Department used a listing of all single-family dwelling units in Prince George's 24 County as of October 24, 2006. From this listing, the department determined the total number of 25 addresses needed to represent a five percent sample of attached and detached single-family 26 dwelling units in each Subregion of the county. The Maryland State Tax Assessors File was 27 queried and ten percent of the properties classified as single-family detached or townhouses in 28 Prince George's County were returned. The department then sorted the addresses by Subregion 29 and dwelling unit type. To achieve the five percent sample size, the department selected one 30 dwelling unit for each street represented in the ten percent sample, then manually selected

21

31

random dwelling units using a number of techniques. The techniques used included sorting the

entire table by street number and selecting, the first, third, fifth, etc., line, and selecting random lines until a five percent sample was achieved. This sample was submitted to Prince George's County Public Schools (PGCPS) in order to determine the pupil yield for each dwelling unit type. Development of Pupil Yield for Multifamily Dwelling Units The Planning Department used a listing of every multifamily housing unit in the county as of November 8, 2006. From this the total number of addresses needed to represent a five percent sample in each Subregion was determined. Because this file drew from a number of sources, including the county permits database, city permits databases, the Department of Housing and Urban Development, and the Maryland Department of Assessments and Taxation, and was crosschecked against Census and postal data, it is considered to be the best source of information about multifamily dwelling units in the county. The multifamily sample was then provided to PGCPS and they submitted their results. Development of Pupil Yield for Multifamily Dwelling Units in Centers and Corridors The 2002 General Plan directs intensified growth around designated centers and corridors. Residential development around activity nodes in centers and corridors are to include significant numbers of mid- to high-rise buildings. In the past, the Planning Department has integrated such structures with a general pupil yield factor that encompasses all apartments. However, in recognition of the diversity of housing types in these communities, as well as to attract development to these nodes, it is important to look at them separately from the garden apartments that are more prevalent in the county's multifamily housing stock. Montgomery, Arlington, and Fairfax Counties all have considerably more transit-oriented or transit-adjacent residential development than does Prince George's County. High-rise multifamily housing stock in the county tends to be located away from transit services and outside designated centers and corridors. The department contacted each of these counties to determine their pupil yield factors for mid- and high-rise development surrounding transit stations. The range for each county's pupil yield was approximately the same. After consulting with Montgomery County and comparing their multifamily housing stock and planning efforts

1 |

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

around centers and corridors to that of Prince George's County, the department decided to go with Montgomery County's pupil yield factors until such point in the future where Prince George's County has enough mid- to high-rise housing stock in centers and corridors to conduct a full survey." **AMENDMENT TWELVE:** Revise policy 2 on page 159 to read: "Preserve, retain, and support existing public school facilities, existing and former school sites, and properties owned by the Board of Education." Add a new strategy to policy 2 on page 159 to read: "Consider reuse of the Calvert Road School as a public choice school." **AMENDMENT THIRTEEN:** Revise references to the acquisition of property for a playground to serve the College Park Youth and Family Service Building from the sector plan and Appendix One to indicate that this would be an interim use, and add text to recommend the construction of a community center in the Hollywood Commercial District over the short-term (0-10 years) to the Development Pattern, Parks and Recreation, and Implementation elements and to Appendix One. See Attachment Two. **AMENDMENT FOURTEEN:** Add a new Corridorwide phasing recommendation in the shortterm on page 210 as follows: "Establish a tax increment financing (TIF) strategy for the corridor to support the construction of public improvements. Evaluate additional implementation techniques simultaneously with the discussion of TIF districts to fully explore all implementation options available for the Central US 1 Corridor." **AMENDMENT FIFTEEN:** Add a new Corridorwide phasing recommendation in the shortterm on page 210 as follows: "Establish a corridor-wide Transportation Demand Management (TDM) District and a self-sustaining Transportation Management Association (TMA) to manage it. In addition to the overall goals of TDM districts to manage traffic, the corridor-wide TDM district may include specific elements such as a coordinated transit system for the Central US 1 Corridor, a trolley line from the south to the Uptown Walkable Node/Beltsville Agricultural Research Center, shuttle service to and from the College Park/University of Maryland and Greenbelt Metro stations and nearby proposed Purple Line stations, bike-sharing programs, and

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2324

25

26

27

28

29

30

shuttle service to and from designated parking structures to serve University of Maryland commuter and special event traffic." Add similar language on the establishment of a TDM and TMA to Table 15 on pages 205-206, with the following potential parties identified: Prince George's County government, Revenue Authority, SHA, DPW&T, City of College Park, University of Maryland, WMATA, Maryland Department of Transportation, and developers.

AMENDMENT SIXTEEN: Replace the second sentence in the 6th paragraph on page 225 with the following wording: "All new development and redevelopment of existing structures within the DDOZ shall comply with the Development District Standards and the general intent and goals of the Central US 1 Corridor Sector Plan."

AMENDMENT SEVENTEEN: Delete the third bullet on page 242 and replace the fourth bullet with the following language: "Within a public parking district established by a public entity, required parking may be waived if a fee-in-lieu is paid on a per space basis to the public entity that manages the parking district, at a rate to be determined by the public entity and based on a preliminary engineering cost estimate for the parking facility, provided that public parking is available within one quarter mile of the development."

AMENDMENT EIGHTEEN: Revise the parking requirements on page 242 as follows:

- Revise bullet one to read: "The number of parking spaces permitted in the Central US 1 Corridor sector plan area is specified in this section for residential, lodging, office, and retail (including eating or drinking establishments) uses. Any deviation from this standard shall require a modification."
- Revise bullet two to read: "The number of parking spaces permitted for uses not listed here shall be reduced fifty percent from the number of required off-street parking spaces in accordance with Section 27-568(a) of the Zoning Ordinance. Any deviation from this standard shall require a modification."
- Add the phrase "(INCLUDING EATING OR DRINKING ESTABLISHMENTS)" after "RETAIL" on both the second and third tables on the right-hand column.

30

28

AMENDMENT NINETEEN: Revise bullet one under Drive-Throughs on page 246 to read: "Drive throughs shall not be permitted in the walkable nodes, [or] existing residential areas, south of Delaware Street, or along Cherry Hill Road and Autoville Drive. However, due to the difficulties relating to the development of Parcels 1 and 19 on Block B of Cherry Hill Road, a bank with a drive-through may be permitted in this location."

AMENDMENT TWENTY: Add a new section to the building form development district standards on page 246, and re-title the page header, to address bedroom percentages as follows:

"Bedroom Percentages

Bedroom percentages for multifamily dwellings as specified in Section 27-419 of the Zoning Ordinance shall not apply within the Central US 1 Corridor development district."

AMENDMENT TWENTY ONE: Retain the properties endorsed as SMA Change 5 in the C-O (Commercial Office), and C-S-C (Commercial Shopping Center) Zones (6.3 acres, Tax Map 25, Grid E2, Baltimore Boulevard Plaza, Plat 21160080, p/o Lot 1; Tax Map 25, Grid E2, Parcel 31 and p/o Parcel 34; Tax Map 25, Grid E2, Townplace Suites, Plat 21228071, Lot 1; Tax Map 25, Grid D2, Cherry Hill, p/o Parcel 25). Delete the designation of this area as a present corridor node (as reflected on page 50), but note that it may be a viable future corridor node. Change the land use and character area designation of this location from walkable node to corridor infill. Add a new corridor node centered at the Cherokee Lane intersection, including the properties within the DDOZ boundary from Route 193, north on both sides of Route 1 up to the middle of Delaware Street. Due to the character of the area, heights should be limited to 2-6 stories, but with any 6 story fronting on US 1 with a step down towards the existing residential communities.

30

31

AMENDMENT TWENTY TWO: Revise the DDOZ (Development District Overlay Zone) boundaries specified in endorsed SMA Change 14 to exclude the residential neighborhoods of Autoville North (including the properties zoned R-55), Autoville South, Hollywood (along 47th Place from Lackawanna Street to 48th Place), and Edgewood; the Ikea, Holiday Inn, Wynfield Park, and Camden/Roadside properties north of the Capital Beltway; and the portion of the Paint Branch Stream Valley Park located north of MD 193, south of Cherry Hill Road, and west of US 1.

1 | **AMENDMENT TWENTY THREE:** Add new paragraphs two and three on page 204 to clarify 2 the need to work with all stakeholders as follows: 3 "To fully achieve the shared vision of this sector plan, greater cooperation at all levels planning, financing and bonding, construction, and operation—is necessary to implement the 4 5 recommended programs, infrastructure improvements such as streets, sidewalks, and utilities, 6 and public facilities. The public sector financing role for most jurisdictions in the United States is 7 participative, particularly with regard to transportation financing. This means that there should be 8 local policy guiding the allocation of financing in order to "steer" the funding available from all 9 sources toward the local policy goals. The General Plan and this sector plan provide the basis for 10 this policy. 11 12 Given the current economics of urban transportation, infrastructure, and public facilities improvements, increases in corridor congestion are certain and the options to improve livability 13 14 and revitalize the area are limited. If financing and implementation are not addressed 15 collaboratively, no mitigation of adequacy of public facilities or other development extraction 16 processes will be successful. It will be more important than ever that Prince George's County 17 participate in financing partnerships with federal, state, and municipal government, as well as 18 other entities, in order to secure the needed funding to support the implementation of this sector 19 plan and to evaluate and monitor progress. It is the intent of this sector plan and the Prince 20 George's County government to foster full cooperation and to ensure all potential parties with a 21 stake in implementing these recommendations are responsible for the necessary planning and 22 financial commitments to build and maintain these essential elements to the revitalization of the Central US 1 Corridor." 23 24 25 **AMENDMENT TWENTY FOUR:** Replace Strategy 8 of Policy 1 on page 64 with the 26 following language: "Encourage the State Highway Administration (SHA) to work with the City 27 of College Park, the University of Maryland, and the county Department of Public Works and 28 Transportation (DPW&T) to fully evaluate existing travel patterns (including trips to and from 29 the university) along US 1 and other nearby parallel facilities. SHA, the city, the university, and 30 DPW&T should closely coordinate to implement effective measures to encourage alternate routes for directing university-oriented traffic away from US 1 and toward other routes such as 31

the Capital Beltway, MD 193, Kenilworth Avenue, New Hampshire Avenue, University
Boulevard, Metzerott Road, and Adelphi Road. Focus on Kenilworth Avenue and New
Hampshire Avenue as potential alternates for through traffic between the Capital Beltway and
Washington, D.C."
AMENDMENT TWENTY FIVE: Revise the caption for the bottom picture on page 88 to read:
"Proposed conditions: US 1 is transformed into a walkable parkway. Brick pavers, stamped
asphalt, or other measures that indicate a shift to a more pedestrian-oriented environment should
be used in appropriate locations. [Pavers are added to the roadway.]"
AMENDMENT TWENTY SIX: Provide a new strategy on page 129 in the walkable streets
section to read: "Provide well-designed, safe street crossings at all intersections for pedestrians to
cross US 1 and other major streets. Ensure these crossings are located for maximum
convenience, include pedestrian safety amenities such as count-down crossing lights, and allow
for sufficient crossing time."
AMENDMENT TWENTY SEVEN: Add descriptions of the Metrobus, The Bus, and
AMENDMENT TWENTY SEVEN: Add descriptions of the Metrobus, The Bus, and University of Maryland transit services to page 22. Add text mentioning the Metrobus B-30 bus
•
University of Maryland transit services to page 22. Add text mentioning the Metrobus B-30 bus
University of Maryland transit services to page 22. Add text mentioning the Metrobus B-30 bus route, which provides express service between the Greenbelt Metro Station and BWI-Thurgood
University of Maryland transit services to page 22. Add text mentioning the Metrobus B-30 bus route, which provides express service between the Greenbelt Metro Station and BWI-Thurgood
University of Maryland transit services to page 22. Add text mentioning the Metrobus B-30 bus route, which provides express service between the Greenbelt Metro Station and BWI-Thurgood Marshall Airport, on the same page.
University of Maryland transit services to page 22. Add text mentioning the Metrobus B-30 bus route, which provides express service between the Greenbelt Metro Station and BWI-Thurgood Marshall Airport, on the same page. AMENDMENT TWENTY EIGHT: Revise the paragraph on "Inadequate Transit Service" on
University of Maryland transit services to page 22. Add text mentioning the Metrobus B-30 bus route, which provides express service between the Greenbelt Metro Station and BWI-Thurgood Marshall Airport, on the same page. AMENDMENT TWENTY EIGHT: Revise the paragraph on "Inadequate Transit Service" on page 28 to read: "The Greenbelt and College Park Metro Stations, while within a comfortable
University of Maryland transit services to page 22. Add text mentioning the Metrobus B-30 bus route, which provides express service between the Greenbelt Metro Station and BWI-Thurgood Marshall Airport, on the same page. AMENDMENT TWENTY EIGHT: Revise the paragraph on "Inadequate Transit Service" on page 28 to read: "The Greenbelt and College Park Metro Stations, while within a comfortable
University of Maryland transit services to page 22. Add text mentioning the Metrobus B-30 bus route, which provides express service between the Greenbelt Metro Station and BWI-Thurgood Marshall Airport, on the same page. AMENDMENT TWENTY EIGHT: Revise the paragraph on "Inadequate Transit Service" on page 28 to read: "The Greenbelt and College Park Metro Stations, while within a comfortable distance for bicyclists, are too far away from commercial centers"

1 |

AMENDMENT THIRTY: Revise the diagram on page 46 to reflect the Paint Branch Stream Valley Park Trail and the Rhode Island Avenue Trolley Line Trail with dashed lines to distinguish them from roadways.

AMENDMENT THIRTY ONE: Revise the first bullet on page 53 to read: "Concentrate pedestrian- and bicycle-friendly, transit oriented...."

AMENDMENT THIRTY TWO: Add the following paragraph to the section entitled "Placemaking" on page 55:

"The Approved Countywide Master Plan of Transportation recognizes the importance of accommodating all modes of transportation in new road construction or improvements to existing roads. The idea of "complete streets" involves adequately accommodating all modes of transportation along roadways, including bicycles, pedestrians, and those with disabilities. It places a priority on ensuring that all users are safely, comfortably, and adequately accommodated along area roads. This concept is evolving through congressional legislation that is gaining support, and Maryland legislation that is in the process of being drafted for public review. The principles of complete streets should be incorporated into land use planning and urban design, and also utilized during the review of development applications, road frontage improvements, and for more comprehensive multimodal capital improvements, for roadways or intersections. It is crucial that all modes of transportation are incorporated into all phases of planning, design, and implementation."

AMENDMENT THIRTY THREE: Revise the second sentence of the first full paragraph on the right-hand column on page 55 to read: "This new configuration will change US 1 in College Park from an auto-oriented commercial strip to a series of walkable places served by an efficient trolley, electric bus, or shuttle system, or accessible by bicycle."

31

AMENDMENT THIRTY FOUR: Add the following sentence after the first sentence in Strategy 3 of Policy 1 on page 63: "Create an eastbound counterflow bike lane/route on the existing one-way westbound segment of Metzerott Road between the Paint Branch Trail and US

1." Revise the last sentence of Strategy 3 as follows: "Support increased bicycle use by
improving connections to the University of Maryland, providing bicycle parking [at the
beginning and end of every trip,] and offering bike racks on transit buses." Add a new sentence
at the end of Strategy 3 to read: "Investigate the expansion of the 'weBike' bike sharing program
currently offered on the university campus."
AMENDMENT THIRTY FIVE: Add illustrations/photographs in the vicinity of pages 64-65
of the pedestrian wayfinding signage currently used in the Old Town area of College Park, and
bicycle destination signage consistent with the Manual of Uniform Traffic Control Devices
(MUTCD).
AMENDMENT THIRTY SIX: Add the following text at the end of Strategy 10 of Policy 1 on
page 64: "These wayfinding signs should be designed primarily for pedestrians and bicyclists.
Wayfinding signs for bicyclists should be consistent with the standards of the Manual of
Uniform Traffic Control Devices (MUTCD)."
AMENDMENT THIRTY SEVEN: Revise the first sentence of Strategy 2 of Policy 3 on page
73 to read: "Establish pedestrian- and bicycle-friendly street connections to existing residential
neighborhoods and trails."
AMENDMENT THIRTY EIGHT: Revise the second sentence of the diagram label "RIGHT"
on page 75 to read: "Pedestrian-friendly and bikeable east-west connector streets"
AMENDMENT THIRTY NINE: Revise the bottom left diagram on page 75 to reflect the Paint
Branch Stream Valley Trail as a dashed line and to add a label for Metzerott Road. Add
Metzerott Road to the caption.
AMENDMENT FORTY: Add the following sentence to the end of Strategy 3 of Policy 4 on
page 77: "Install standard street name signs at trail/roadway intersections and install bike route
signs with destination information on the trail departure legs of trail/roadway intersections
consistent with the MITCD (e.g. 'Rike Route to University of Maryland/Rladenshurg)"

AMENDMENT FORTY ONE: Revise the diagram on page 77 to improve legibility by
ensuring text labels are appropriately sized and located, remove trail connections that pass
through the golf course west of US 1, and remove the east-west trail connection just north of Erie
Street leading west from US 1 through the golf course.
Zueen remaining meet are the end of this end got to water
AMENDMENT FORTY TWO: Add the following sentence at the end of the first full
paragraph on the right hand column on page 82: "Install appropriate bicycle racks along the
sidewalks, space permitting."
AMENDMENT FORTY THREE: Revise the last sentence of the first paragraph on the left
hand column of page 86 to read: "The introduction of a new transit line to this area will have the
dramatic effect of reducing automobile dependence and encouraging new levels of walkability
and bikeability in downtown and at the University of Maryland."
AMENDMENT FORTY FOUR: Add the following sentence at the end of the first paragraph
on the left hand column on page 89: "Furthermore, there are options for selecting functional
bicycle racks that double as works of civic art."
AMENDMENT FORTY FIVE: Delete the third sentence from the third paragraph on the left
hand column on page 89: "[Sidewalks are widened and partitioned to create a designated path for
bicyclists separate from US 1's travel lanes.]"
AMENDMENT FORTY SIX: Revise the diagram and text box on page 90 to locate a new "P"
as follows: "Create a two-way bikeway through the placement of sharrows and an eastbound
bicycle contra-flow lane on the one-way westbound portion of Metzerott Road between the Paint
Branch Trail and US 1." Add dashed lines and text labels to reflect the Paint Branch Stream
Valley Park Trail.
AMENDMENT FORTY SEVEN: Delete the word "bicyclists" from the third sentence of the
first paragraph in the left-hand column on page 101, as bicyclists should not be relegated to the
service lanes of a roadway

AMENDMENT FORTY EIGHT: Revise the second goal on page 124 as follows: "Enhance
the existing trail networks in the Central US 1 Corridor to meet the [recreational] needs of
existing and future users.
AMENDMENT FORTY NINE: Revise the fourth bulleted strategy at the top of page 128 to
read: "Provide marked bike lanes and multiuse paths where appropriate."
AMENDMENT FIFTY: Revise the plan text on pages 129-132 to be consistent with the new
street cross-sections proposed for US 1.
For Post of the Control of the Contr
AMENDMENT FIFTY ONE: Delete the second sentence under the header "Upper Midtown"
on page 132: "[The proposed redesign of this section with accompanying increased in intensity
(see rendering on page 131).]"
(see rendering on page 131).]
AMENDMENT EIETV TWO. Davigs the last gentance on page 122 of fellows: "The
AMENDMENT FIFTY TWO: Revise the last sentence on page 132 as follows: "The
recommended section is shown on the following page, and includes the addition of [a] bicycle
lanes and street trees."
AMENDMENT FIFTY THREE: Insert the following sentence after the first sentence of the
second paragraph on page 133: "The provision of appropriate bicycle parking facilities at transit
hubs and bicycle racks on all transit buses and within Purple Line train cars will increase the
utility of both transit and bicycling."
AMENDMENT FIFTY FOUR: Revise the street network diagram on page 136 to reflect the
Paint Branch Stream Valley Park Trail and Rhode Island Avenue Trolley Line Trail alignments
as dashed lines.
AMENDMENT FIFTY FIVE: Revise Policy 1 on page 137 as follows: "[Provide greater
connectivity using pedestrian and bicycle connections and walkable street designs with
appropriate design speeds no greater than 25 to 30 miles per hour on the local street network.]
Improve bicycle and pedestrian accessibility throughout the internal street network

1	and to US 1 and Rhode Island Avenue by filling in m
2	network is bicycle- and pedestrian-friendly through a
3	techniques."
4	
5	AMENDMENT FIFTY SIX: Add two new strategie
6	" Create an east-west bikeway connection alo
7	existing one-way westbound segment between
8	Trail and US 1 by adding sharrow pavemen
9	the eastbound direction, while retaining the
10	motor vehicles.
11	 Improve the safety of the Rhode Island Ave
12	Paint Branch Parkway for bicyclists and per
13	
14	AMENDMENT FIFTY SEVEN: Revise the second
15	page 138 as follows: "Consider techniques such as co
16	roadway signage, consistent with the Manual of Unifo
17	
18	AMENDMENT FIFTY EIGHT: Change the titles of
19	"Proposed Master Plan Trail and Bicycle Facilities No
20	
21	AMENDMENT FIFTY NINE: Revise the legend su
22	to read: "Proposed Facility Type."
23	AMENDMENT SIXTY: Replace the top photograph
24	better illustrates an example similar to the recommend
25	
26	AMENDMENT SIXTY ONE: Revise the first sente
27	[other than US 1] use of the shared lane marking or "s
28	share] are expected to ride within the lane [is recomm
29	
30	AMENDMENT SIXTY TWO: Revise the third sent
31	[should] may be used on [residential and business] str
	II

issing linkages and ensuring the internal opropriate design including traffic calming es to Policy 1 on page 137 as follows: ng Metzerott Road by converting the een the Paint Branch Stream Valley Park at markings and a contraflow bike lane in one-way only traffic flow restriction for nue Trolley Line Trail where it crosses destrians." sentence of the first bulleted strategy on olor, style, and iconography to enhance orm Traffic Control Devices (MUTCD)." of Maps 13 and 14 on pages 139-140 to orth/South." bheading "Trail Type" on Maps 13 and 14 h on page 141 with a different image that ded future conditions of US 1. ence on page 141 as follows: "On roads, sharrow" to indicate where cyclists [should ended] should be considered." ence on page 141 to read: "The sharrow reets where motor vehicle traffic volumes

1	and speeds warrant marked bike lanes but there is insufficient room for them. [flanking the entire
2	length of the corridor to serve as connectors to major trails and buffered bike lanes or shared use
3	paths on the corridor.] The creation of on-road bikeways featuring sharrows, combined with bike
4	lanes and shared use paths, will provide a bikeway network for the sector plan area."
5	
6	AMENDMENT SIXTY THREE: Revise the third bulleted strategy at the top of page 143 to
7	read: "[Consider bicycle and pedestrian signalization at] Review existing signalized intersections
8	along US 1 to ensure the needs of bicyclists and pedestrians (including pedestrians with
9	disabilities) are being met and make any needed adjustments accordingly in order to facilitate
10	these modes of [transportation on] travel along the corridor."
11	
12	AMENDMENT SIXTY FOUR: Revise Strategy 1 of Policy 2 on page 143 to read: "Provide
13	bicycle parking, including bicycle racks and lockers, to encourage and facilitate bicycle travel."
14	
15	AMENDMENT SIXTY FIVE: Replace Strategy 3 of Policy 2 on page 143 with the following
16	language: "Study new bicycle facility types and programs, such as bike stations and shared use
17	bicycle programs, and if appropriate, consider applying them in the sector plan area."
18	
19	AMENDMENT SIXTY SIX: Revise the second sentence of the second paragraph on page 186
20	as follows: "Many streets do not have sidewalks; however, Rhode Island Avenue's shoulders are
21	[paved for bicycle paths] designated bike lanes."
22	
23	AMENDMENT SIXTY SEVEN: Revise Table 15 on page 205 for clarity by providing
24	abbreviations and numbers for each line item to allow for easier reference in the future.
25	
26	AMENDMENT SIXTY EIGHT: Add "DPW&T" as one of the "Potential Parties Involved" to
27	the sixth line item of Table 15 on page 205.
28	
29	
30	
31	
	I .

AMENDMENT SIXTY NINE: Add two new action steps to Table 15 on page 205 as follows:

Objective	Proposed Action Steps	Potential Parties Involved	Timeframe
Transportation	Improve the level of safety and service at the intersection of the Rhode Island Avenue Trolley Line Trail and Paint Branch Parkway.	City of College Park; DPW&T	Short-term
	Convert the one-way westbound- only segment of Metzerott Road between US 1 and the Paint Branch Stream Valley Park Trail to a two-way bikeway by adding sharrow marking and a contraflow bike lane in the eastbound direction.	City of College Park; DPW&T SHA; M-NCPPC	Short-term

AMENDMENT SEVENTY: Add a new standard to the section on Drive-Throughs on page 246 to read: "Drive throughs should provide service to bicyclists, and to both bicyclists and pedestrians if access to the drive through window is the only way to be served."

AMENDMENT SEVENTY ONE: Revise definition 8 on page 276 to read: "Bicycle Lane: A [dedicated lane for bicycle use demarcated by striping] portion of a roadway that has been designated by signs and/or pavement markings for preferential or exclusive use by bicyclists."

AMENDMENT SEVENTY TWO: Add the following new definition to page 276: "Complete Streets: A street designed and operated to enable safe access for all users. Pedestrians, bicyclists, motorists, and public transportation users of all ages and abilities are able to safely move along and across a complete street."

1	AMENDMENT SEVENTY THREE: Add the following new definition to page 279: "Shared-
2	Use Path: A bikeway outside the traveled way and physically separated from motorized
3	vehicular traffic by an open space or barrier and either within the highway right-of-way or within
4	an independent alignment. Shared-use paths are also used by pedestrians (and skaters, users of
5	manual or motorized wheelchairs, and joggers) and other authorized and non-motorized users."
6	
7	AMENDMENT SEVENTY FOUR: Add the following new definition to page 279: "Shared-
8	Use Bicycle Program: A program which provides rental bicycles to members and has a fee
9	structure that encourages quick turnover of the bicycles by users so as to increase the chances of
10	availability to others (e.g. no use charge for the first 30 minutes)."
11	
12	AMENDMENT SEVENTY FIVE: Change Map 23, Map 25, and the diagrams on pages 63,
13	67, and 72 to:
14	 Reflect the changes to the four lots southeast of Knox Road and Yale Avenue to the
15	corridor infill development character
16	Reflect the walkable node development character for the properties located west of the
17	Friends School between US 1, Calvert Road, and Guilford Road
18	 Reflect the walkable node development character for the properties located north of
19	Knox Road in the "Knox Boxes" area which are rezoned to the M-U-I Zone by the
20	approved sectional map amendment
21	
22	AMENDMENT SEVENTY SIX: Amend the table added by Planning Board Resolution of
23	Adoption PGCPB 09-170 as item IV.27 on page 12 to remove the on-street parking references in
24	Downtown, University of Maryland, and Lower Midtown.
25	
26	AMENDMENT SEVENTY SEVEN: Add a new statement to Exemption 6 on page 226 to
27	read: "New required or provided parking areas that result in the addition of between one and five
28	parking spaces are exempt from the Development District Standards and site plan review, but
29	shall comply with any applicable parking and landscaping regulations of the Zoning Ordinance
30	and the Landscape Manual."

AMENDMENT SEVENTY EIGHT: Remove the Mandatory Shop Frontage requirement for
the southwest quadrant of the intersection of Edgewood Road and Rhode Island Avenue. Amend
the maps on pages 231 and 232 to reflect this change.
AMENDMENT SEVENTY NINE: Add a new bullet under "Site Plan Submittal
Requirements" on page 227 as follows: "A LEED® (Leadership Through Energy and
Environmental Design) scorecard as developed by the U.S. Green Building Council to illustrate
how the proposed development addresses issues of sustainability."
AMENDMENT EIGHTY:
Change the zoning of the Lin-Roy LLC, Gamber Properties LLC, Dunn, Chang, Kong,
Buchheister, and Burke properties located east of US 1 along Guilford and Calvert Roads from
the M-U-I (Mixed-use Infill) Zone and the R-18 (Muntifamily Medium Density Residential)
Zone to the R-55 (One Family Detached Residential) Zone to implement the sector plan policies
and recommendations for existing residential development (1.28 acres, Tax Map 33, Grid C4,
College Park Homes Subdivision, Plat A21-0632, Lots 1-3; College Park – Changes Addition,
Plat 21191051, Lots 1 and 2; College Park Homes Subdivision, Plat A-21-0638 Lots 10 and 11).
Map 25 on page 233 shall indicate these properties in the existing residential character area.
Revise Map 25 on page 233 and the diagrams on page 67 and 74 to reflect these properties in the
existing residential character area. Retain the properties zoned M-U-I between Calvert Road and
Guilford Road in the Downtown Walkable Node character area.
AMENDMENT EIGHTY ONE:
Make technical amendments to the Development District Overlay Zone Table of Uses Permitted
to ensure consistency with revisions to the Zoning Ordinance for Eating and Drinking
Establishments pursuant to CB-19-2010.
AMENDMENT EIGHTY TWO:
Change the zoning for the Shaban properties from the C-S-C (Commercial Shopping Center) Zone to
the O-S (Open Space) Zone (0.72 acres, Tax Map 25, Grid F1, Hollywood Addition, Parcel A3 and
Lot at SE corner of Parcel A).

1 |

AMENDMENT EIGHTY THREE:

Place the ZH Investments LLC property in the M-U-I (Mixed-Use-Infill) Zone (1.0 acre, Tax Map 25, Grid E1, Hollywood Station, Plat 13 01228073, Parcel A). Add text to provide the following: "This site is a key entranceway to College Park, therefore, high quality development is critical. It is challenged by being undersized, at a difficult intersection, and surrounding residents are opposed to significant density on the property. No drive-through use shall be permitted. The property should develop predominantly as retail or office. Residential uses above the ground floor may be permitted, but density is limited to 12 units to the acre."

AMENDMENT EIGHTY FOUR:

Retain the C-S-C (Commercial Shopping Center) Zone for the Hollywood Commercial District as recommended by the Planning Board, but revise the language on pages 100-101 to better describe how the residents desire to redevelop the Hollywood Commercial District to better serve the surrounding community. See Attachment Two.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the planning staff is authorized to make appropriate text and map revisions to correct identified errors and inconsistencies, reflect updated information and revisions, and incorporate the zoning map changes reflected in this Resolution.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that this Sectional Map Amendment is an amendment to the Zoning Ordinance and to the official Zoning Map for the Maryland-Washington Regional District in Prince George's County. The zoning changes approved by this Resolution shall be depicted on the official Zoning Map of the County.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the approval of this Sectional Map Amendment shall repeal and readopt with amendments that portion of the Zoning Map encompassed by the Amendment, and that the conditions and findings attached to previously approved zoning applications are considered part of this Sectional Map Amendment where the previous zoning category has been maintained and noted on the Zoning Map.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the provisions of this Resolution are severable. If any provision, sentence, clause, section, zone, zoning map, or part thereof is held illegal, invalid, unconstitutional, or unenforceable, such illegality, invalidity, unconstitutionality, or unenforceability shall not affect or impair any of the remaining provisions, sentences, clauses, sections, zones, zoning maps, or parts hereof or their application to other zones, persons, or

circumstances. It is hereby declared to be the legislative intent that this Resolution would have 1 | 2 been adopted as if such illegal, invalid, unconstitutional, or unenforceable provision, sentence, 3 clause, section, zone, zoning map, or part had not been included therein. Adopted this 1st day of June, 2010. COUNTY COUNCIL OF PRINCE GEORGE'S COUNTY, MARYLAND, SITTING AS THE DISTRICT COUNCIL FOR THAT PART OF THE MARYLAND-WASHINGTON REGIONAL DISTRICT IN PRINCE GEORGE'S COUNTY, **MARYLAND** BY: Thomas E. Dernoga Chair ATTEST: Redis C. Floyd Clerk of the Council

NOTE: ATTACHMENTS AVAILABLE AS AN INCLUSION FILE IN LIS