COUNTY COUNCIL OF PRINCE GEORGE'S COUNTY, MARYLAND 2025 Legislative Session

Bill No.	CB-107-2025
	sented by Council Member Oriadha
Introduced by	
G G	
_	ion
ANI ACT	BILL
AN ACT concernin	_
	County Personnel
For the purpose of o	clarifying the County's personnel policy regarding progressive discipline;
revising the ground	s for employee dismissal; providing for immediate dismissal for low
performing employ	ees; and generally regarding County personnel law.
BY repealing and re	eenacting with amendments:
	SUBTITLE 16. PERSONNEL.
	Sections 16-192, 16-193 and 16-194,
	The Prince George's County Code
	(2023 Edition; 2024 Supplement).
SECTION 1.	BE IT ENACTED by the County Council of Prince George's County,
Maryland, that Sect	ions 16-192, 16-193 and 16-194 of the Prince George's County Code be and
the same are hereby	repealed and reenacted with the following amendments:
	SUBTITLE 16. PERSONNEL.
	DIVISION 13. DISCIPLINE.
Sec. 16-192. Discip	plinary policy.
It shall be the	policy of Prince George's County to insure that all appointing authorities and
supervisors discipli	ne employees under their respective jurisdictions in a fair, reasonable, and
equitable manner. I	n that regard, it shall be the general policy of Prince George's County to
follow a pattern of p	progressive discipline which provides employees with notice of deficiencies
and an opportunity	to improve both performance and conduct problems. All appointing

1	authorities an	d supervi	sors shall b	e encourag	ged to initiat
2	actions agains	st their en	nployees w	henever su	ch disciplina
3	violations of	standards	of conduct	or behavio	or or failures
4	Progressive d	iscipline	does not red	quire an ap	pointing aut
5	in a particular	r order or	to always b	egin with	the least sev
6	misconduct o	r a violati	on of polic	y or proced	lure, an appo
7	bypass progre	essive disc	cipline and	dismiss the	e employee
8	action. The jo	b perforn	nance and c	onduct of	each employ
9	in governmen	nt and on	the County'	s ability to	achieve its
10	employees are	e, therefo	re, responsi	ble for adh	nering to the
11	Sec. 16-193.	- Conduc	t related d	isciplinary	actions.
12	*	*	*	*	*
13	(c) Ger	neral Crite	eria. Whene	ver an emp	oloyee comm
14	grounds for d	isciplinar	y action, as	provided i	n Subsectio
15	authority or s	upervisor	, as the case	e may be, s	hall adhere
16	deciding wha	t type of a	authorized o	disciplinary	y action, if a
17	such employe	ee.			
18	(1)	Dismiss	sal. The disi	missal of a	n employee
19	all authorized	l disciplin	ary actions	. The dism	issal of an e
20	act or acts of	the emplo	yee which	constitute	the grounds
21	judgment of t	he emplo	yee's appoi	nting autho	ority, serious
22	or acts of the	employee	e are of a su	fficiently s	serious natur
23	appointing au	thority sh	all rely upo	on any one	(1) of the fo
24	taking of a di	smissal ad	ction agains	st an emplo	yee:
25	*	*	*	*	*
26		(D) W	here the em	ployee cor	nmits an act
27	serious questi	on the en	nployee's tr	ustworthin	ess <u>, compete</u>
28	performance	of the em	ployee's ass	signed duti	es and respo
29	*	*	*	*	*
30	Sec. 16-194.	Performa	ance-relate	d disciplin	ary actions
31	*	*	*	*	*

e and/or take authorized disciplinary ary actions are warranted by virtue of to maintain satisfactory performance. thority or supervisor to apply discipline vere penalty. In some cases involving ointing authority or supervisor may or take another more severe disciplinary yee impacts directly on the public's trust mission of service to the public. All standards of performance and conduct. * nits an act or acts which constitute on (a), above, the employee's appointing to the general criteria set forth below in my, should be initiated and taken against shall constitute the most severe type of mployee shall be taken only when the for disciplinary action are, in the s in nature. In deciding whether any act re to warrant dismissal, the employee's ollowing criteria as justification for the or a series of acts which call into ence and/or integrity in the continued onsibilities;

- (c) General Criteria. Whenever any appointing authority or supervisor makes a determination, in accordance with the grounds set forth in Subsection (a), above, that an employee's performance has become "less than satisfactory," the employee's appointing authority or supervisor, as the case may be, shall adhere to the general criteria set forth below in deciding what type of authorized disciplinary action, if any, should be initiated and taken against such employee.
- (1) Dismissal. The dismissal of an employee shall constitute the most severe of the three (3) types of performance-related, disciplinary actions authorized under Subsection (b), above. After an employee's appointing authority or supervisor has determined that the employee's performance constitutes grounds for disciplinary action, as set forth in Subsection (a), above, the employee's appointing authority shall be justified in causing the employee to be dismissed, provided that:

* * * * * * * * *

(B) The employee's appointing authority has made a reasonable determination that the employee will be unable, incapable and/or unwilling to perform satisfactorily in another position in a class with a lower grade; provided, however, that where the appointing authority has made a reasonable determination that the employee will be capable and able to perform satisfactorily in another position in a class with a lower grade, nothing in this subparagraph shall be construed to prevent the appointing authority from causing the employee to be dismissed notwithstanding such a determination if the appointing authority has made a reasonable effort to demote the employee and such demotion cannot be effectuated due to the unavailability of an appropriate vacant position, or due to the fact that the proposed demotion does not meet the conditions stipulated in Section 16-148(a)(6)(B).

Notwithstanding any other provision in this subsection, an employee of the County Council shall be eligible for immediate dismissal if the employee: (i) receives an annual performance rating of "Does Not Meet Expectations" or equivalent rating or (ii) commits an act or a series of acts which call into serious question the employee's trustworthiness, competence and/or integrity in the continued performance of the employee's assigned duties and responsibilities.

(2) Demotion. The demotion of an employee shall constitute a performance-related disciplinary action less severe in nature than a dismissal. After an employee's appointing authority or supervisor has determined that the employee's performance constitutes grounds for

disciplinary action, as set forth in Subsection (a), above, the employee's appointing authority shall be justified in causing the employee to be demoted, provided that:

SECTION 2. BE IT FURTHER ENACTED that the provisions of this Act are hereby declared to be severable; and, in the event that any section, subsection, paragraph, subparagraph, sentence, clause, phrase, or word of this Act is declared invalid or unconstitutional by a court of competent jurisdiction, such invalidity or unconstitutionality shall not affect the remaining words, phrases, clauses, sentences, subparagraphs, paragraphs, subsections, or sections of this Act, since the same would have been enacted without the incorporation in this Act of any such invalid or unconstitutional word, phrase, clause, sentence, paragraph, subparagraph, subsection, or section.

SECTION 3. BE IT FURTHER ENACTED that this Act shall take effect forty-five (45) calendar days after it becomes law.

Adopted this day of	, 2025.
	COUNTY COUNCIL OF PRINCE GEORGE'S COUNTY, MARYLAND
	BY: Edward P. Burroughs III Chair
ATTEST:	
Donna J. Brown	
Clerk of the Council	APPROVED:
DATE:	Aisha N. Braveboy
KEY:	County Executive

Underscoring indicates language added to existing law.

*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	