COUNTY COUNCIL OF PRINCE GEORGE'S COUNTY, MARYLAND SITTING AS THE DISTRICT COUNCIL

2003 Legislative Session

Bill No.	CB-42-2003	
Chapter No.	33	
Proposed and Pro	esented by Council Member Harrington	
Introduced by	Council Members Harrington, Dean and Hendershot	
Co-Sponsors		
Date of Introduc	July 8, 2003	
	ZONING BILL	
AN ORDINANCI	E concerning	
	M-U-I Zone	
For the purpose of	f authorizing the District Council to approve the M-U-I Zone (Mixed Use,	
Infill) on property	owned by a municipality, modifying the M-U-I Zone application and approval	
requirements, and	making related amendments to the Zoning Ordinance.	
BY repealing and	reenacting with amendments:	
	Sections 27-546.15, 27-546.16, 27-546.17,	
	27-546.18, and 27-546.19,	
	The Zoning Ordinance of Prince George's County, Maryland,	
	being also	
	SUBTITLE 27. ZONING.	
	The Prince George's County Code	
	(1999 Edition, 2002 Supplement).	
SECTION 1	BE IT ENACTED by the County Council of Prince George's County,	
Maryland, sitting	as the District Council for that part of the Maryland-Washington Regional	
District in Prince	George's County, Maryland, that Sections 27-546.15, 27-546.16, 27-546.17,	
27-546.18, and 27	7-546.19 of the Zoning Ordinance of Prince George's County, Maryland, being	
also Subtitle 27 of the Prince George's County Code, be and the same are hereby repealed and		
reenacted with the	e following amendments:	

	1
	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
1	6
1	7
1	8
1	9
2	0
2	1
2	2
2	3
2	4
2	5
2	6
2	7
2	8
2	9
3	0
3	1

SUBTITLE 27. ZONING.

PART 10. MIXED USE ZONES.

DIVISION 2 SPECIFIC MIXED USE ZONES.

SUBDIVISION 4. M-U-I ZONE (MIXED USE - INFILL).

Sec. 27-546.15. Purposes.

- (a) The general purpose of the M-U-I Zone is to permit, where recommended in applicable plans or requested by a municipality, a mix of residential and commercial uses as infill development in areas which are already substantially developed. The M-U-I Zone may be approved [only] on properties which adjoin developed properties or otherwise meet plan recommendations and which have overlay zone regulations requiring site plan review, or on property owned by a municipality which requests the zone.
 - (b) The specific purposes of the M-U-I Zone are:
- (1) To implement recommendations in approved Master Plans, Sector Plans, or other applicable plans by encouraging residential or commercial infill development in areas where most properties are already developed;
- (2) To simplify review procedures for residential, commercial, and mixed residential and commercial development in established communities;
 - (3) To encourage innovation in the planning and design of infill development;
 - (4) To allow flexibility in the process of reviewing infill development;
- (5) To promote smart growth principles by encouraging efficient use of land and public facilities and services; [and]
- (6) To create community environments enhanced by a mix of residential, commercial, recreational, open space, employment, and institutional uses; and
- (7) To permit redevelopment, particularly in areas requiring revitalization, of property owned by a municipality.

Sec. 27-546.16. Approval of **Zone.**

(a) The District Council may approve the M-U-I Zone in a Sectional Map Amendment, a T-D-O Zone map amendment, a D-D-O Zone map amendment, an individual map amendment requested by a municipality, or an individual site plan case, subject to the provisions in this Subdivision.

- (b) The M-U-I Zone may be approved [only] on property which has proposed development subject to site plan review and is in the Transit District Overlay Zone or the Development District Overlay Zone, or on property owned by a municipality which requests the zone.
- (1) Property in the T-D-O Zone may be reclassified from its underlying zone to the M-U-I Zone by [a primary] <u>an</u> amendment to the Transit District Development Plan (TDDP) through the process in Section 27-548.09.01. In the [primary] amendment [application and review] process, the owner shall [meet all TDDP primary amendment requirements and] show that the proposed rezoning and development will meet TDDP goals and objectives and will be compatible with existing or approved future development on adjacent properties.
- (2) Property in the D-D-O Zone may be reclassified from its underlying zone to the M-U-I Zone through the property owner application process in Section 27-548.26(b). In the review process, the owner shall [meet all requirements in the Section and] show that the proposed rezoning and development will be compatible with existing or approved future development on adjacent properties.
- (3) Property owned by a municipality may be reclassified to the M-U-I Zone under the following procedures:
- (A) As to notice and hearing procedures in general, the Planning Board and District Council shall follow the requirements in Part 3, Division 9, for site plan cases. The processing of applications filed by municipalities shall be expedited, and the Planning Board must file its recommendation with the Council not later than 50 days after the municipality's application is accepted for filing.
- (B) The municipality's application shall include all materials required in Part 3, Division 9, for Conceptual Site Plan cases, with a statement which enumerates proposed uses on the site, demonstrates how the proposed mix of uses meets M-U-I Zone purposes, and shows how proposed development will promote redevelopment and revitalization in the vicinity of the municipality's property.
- (C) A municipality shall file its application with Planning Board staff, which after acceptance must prepare a report and recommendation. The Planning Board shall hold a public hearing on the application, prepare its recommendation, file its decision with the Clerk of the Council, and send copies to persons of record.

- (D) Within 30 days of the mailing of the Planning Board decision, any person of record may file with the Clerk of the Council comments on the application or a request for oral argument, or both. Oral argument must be held prior to final action on the application, if ordered by the Council or requested by a person of record.
- (E) Before taking final action, the Council may refer the case to the Zoning Hearing Examiner, for review of specific issues. The Examiner shall give priority in scheduling to all such cases. After hearing, the Examiner shall address and make recommended findings on the issues in the referral order and the standards given below. A person who was not a party of record when the Planning Board closed the record may become one after the referral to the Examiner.
- (F) The District Council may take final action approving the municipality's application for the M-U-I Zone, with or without conditions, if it finds that the mix of uses proposed in the application will meet the purposes of the M-U-I Zone and that the proposed development will be compatible with existing and approved future development on adjacent properties, will not be inconsistent with an applicable Master Plan or the General Plan, as amended, and will enhance redevelopment or revitalization in the vicinity of the municipality's property.
- (c) [The] <u>Unless requested by a municipality, the M-U-I Zone may be approved only on</u> property which adjoins existing developed properties for 20% or more of its boundaries, adjoins property in the M-U-I Zone, or is recommended for mixed-use infill development in an approved Master Plan, Sector Plan, or other applicable plan. Adjoining development may be residential, commercial, industrial, or institutional but must have a density of at least 3.5 units per acre for residential or a floor area ratio of at least 0.15 for nonresidential development.
- (d) If the M-U-I Zone is approved on property in a Sectional Map Amendment (SMA), permitted uses in existence at the time of SMA approval shall not be deemed nonconforming. Sec. 27-546.17. Uses.
- (a) All uses permitted by right or by Special Exception in the C-S-C Zone, as provided in Section 27-461(b), are permitted by right in the M-U-I Zone, except as follows:
- (1) For the uses in Sections 27-461(b)(3), Miscellaneous, and 27-461(b)(6), Residential/Lodging, the uses allowed are those permitted in Section 27-441(b)(3) and (6) for the R-18 Zone, except that hotel and motel uses are permitted as in the C-S-C Zone.

- (2) Use restrictions may be imposed on a property when the M-U-I Zone is approved, whether the approval is in a Sectional Map Amendment, a T-D-O Zone map amendment, a D-D-O Zone map amendment, a municipality-owned property case, or an individual site plan case. Use restrictions in conditions imposed at rezoning may limit or prohibit uses otherwise allowed in the M-U-I Zone. If use restrictions are imposed in an individual map amendment or site plan case, the District Council and the owner shall follow the conditional zoning procedure in Part 3, Division 2.
- (b) Residential and commercial uses may be placed with a horizontal or vertical mix on property in the M-U-I Zone, subject to approval of a Detailed Site Plan.

Sec. 27-546.18. Regulations.

- (a) Except as provided in Subsection (b), the regulations governing location, setbacks, size, height, lot size, density, and other dimensional requirements in the M-U-I Zone are as follows:
 - (1) R-18 Zone regulations apply to all uses in Section 27-441(b)(3), Miscellaneous;
- (2) R-18 Zone regulations apply to all uses in Section 27-441(b)(6), Residential/Lodging, except hotels and motels;
 - (3) C-S-C Zone regulations apply to hotels and motels and all other uses; and
 - (4) Multifamily residential densities up to 48 units per acre are permitted.
- (b) Where an owner proposes a mix of residential and commercial uses on a single lot or parcel in the M-U-I Zone, the site plan as approved shall set out the regulations to be followed. The approved regulations may reduce parking requirements by 30%, where evidence shows that proposed parking will be adequate, notwithstanding provisions in Part 11.

Sec. 27-546.19. Site Plans for Mixed Uses.

- (a) An owner proposing mixed residential and commercial development on the same lot or parcel in the M-U-I Zone may not obtain permits before a Detailed Site Plan is approved in accordance with this Section.
- (b) The owner shall file a Detailed Site Plan application which meets the requirements of Part 3, Division 9, and includes:
 - (1) Architectural elevations;
- (2) A statement showing how the proposed uses on the subject property are compatible with one another; and

- (3) A statement showing how the proposed uses are compatible with existing or approved future uses on adjacent properties.
 - (c) A Detailed Site Plan may not be approved unless the owner shows:
 - (1) The site plan meets all approval requirements in Part 3, Division 9;
- (2) All proposed uses meet applicable development standards approved with the Master Plan, Sector Plan, Transit District Development Plan, or other applicable plan;
 - (3) Proposed uses on the property will be compatible with one another;
- (4) Proposed uses will be compatible with existing or approved future development on adjacent properties and [the] an applicable Transit or Development District; and
- (5) Compatibility standards and practices set forth below will be followed, or the owner shows why they should not be applied:
- (A) Proposed buildings should be compatible in size, height, and massing to buildings on adjacent properties;
- (B) Primary facades and entries should face adjacent streets or public walkways and be connected by on-site walkways, so pedestrians may avoid crossing parking lots and driveways;
- (C) Site design should minimize glare, light, and other visual intrusions into and impacts on yards, open areas, and building facades on adjacent properties;
- (D) Building materials and color should be similar to materials and color on adjacent properties and in the surrounding neighborhoods, or building design should incorporate scaling, architectural detailing, or similar techniques to enhance compatibility;
- (E) Outdoor storage areas and mechanical equipment should be located and screened to minimize visibility from adjacent properties and public streets;
- (F) Signs should conform to applicable Development District Standards or to those in Part 12, unless the owner shows that its proposed signage program meets goals and objectives in applicable plans; and
- (G) The owner or operator should minimize adverse impacts on adjacent properties and the surrounding neighborhood by appropriate setting of:
 - (i) Hours of operation or deliveries;
 - (ii) Location of activities with potential adverse impacts;
 - (iii) Location and use of trash receptacles;

1	(iv) Location of loading and delivery spaces;
2	(v) Light intensity and hours of illumination; and
3	(vi) Location and use of outdoor vending machines.
4	SECTION 2. BE IT FURTHER ENACTED that this Ordinance shall take effect forty-five
5	(45) calendar days after its adoption.
	Adopted this 29th day of July, 2003.
	COUNTY COUNCIL OF PRINCE GEORGE'S COUNTY, MARYLAND, SITTING AS THE DISTRICT COUNCIL FOR THAT PART OF THE MARYLAND-WASHINGTON REGIONAL DISTRICT IN PRINCE GEORGE'S COUNTY, MARYLAND
	BY: Peter A. Shapiro Chair
	ATTEST:
	Redis C. Floyd Clerk of the Council
	KEY: <u>Underscoring</u> indicates language added to existing law. [Brackets] indicate language deleted from existing law. Asterisks *** indicate intervening existing Code provisions that remain unchanged.