COUNTY COUNCIL OF PRINCE GEORGE'S COUNTY, MARYLAND SITTING AS THE DISTRICT COUNCIL

2010 Legislative Session

Resolution No.	CR-100-2010	
Proposed by	The Chairman (by request – Planning Board)	
Introduced by	Council Members Olson and Harrison	
Co-Sponsors		
Date of Introduction	October 5, 2010	

RESOLUTION

A RESOLUTION concerning

The Adopted Central Annapolis Road Sector Plan and

Endorsed Sectional Map Amendment

For the purpose of approving the Adopted Central Annapolis Road Sector Plan and Endorsed Sectional Map Amendment.

WHEREAS, the County Council of Prince George's County, Maryland, sitting as the District Council, adopted CR-50-2009, to initiate the preparation of a Sector Plan and Sectional Map Amendment by The Maryland-National Capital Park and Planning Commission, for the properties fronting on or within one quarter mile of Annapolis Road (MD 450), including land bounded by the rear property line of Capital Plaza (6100 and 6200 Annapolis Road), Webster Street, Fairlawn Elementary School (southern boundary), Glenoak Road, Greendale Parkway, 71st Avenue, Marywood Street, Gallatin Street, Glenridge Shopping Center (7520 Annapolis Road, rear property line), Veterans Parkway (MD 410), Chesapeake Road, Buchanan Street, 72nd Avenue, Allison Street, 68th Avenue, the 6600 through 6800 Blocks of Annapolis Road (rear property lines), Cooper Lane, the 6400 and 6500 Blocks of Annapolis Road (rear property lines), 64th Avenue, Columbia Avenue (paper street), 62nd Avenue, Quincy Street (paper street), and Baltimore-Washington Parkway (MD 295); and

WHEREAS, the Prince George's County Planning Board of The Maryland-National Capital Park and Planning Commission examined existing land use patterns, existing zoning, pending zoning petitions, zoning requests received as part of the sector planning process, existing and proposed subdivisions of land, and the recommendations and policies in the 1994 *Approved*

Master Plan and Sectional Map Amendment for Bladensburg-New Carrollton and Vicinity (Planning Area 69), the 2002 Prince George's County Approved General Plan, and the 2009 Master Plan of Transportation; and

WHEREAS, the proposed Central Annapolis Road Sector Plan sets out mandatory regulations and requirements, to control the use and development of land within the endorsed Sectional Map Amendment; and

WHEREAS, the District Council and the Planning Board held a duly advertised joint public hearing on May 4, 2010, and the Planning Board held a work session on July 8, 2010, to review comments contained in the hearing record and staff recommendations thereon; and

WHEREAS, on July 22, 2010, the Planning Board adopted resolution PGCPB No. 10-81, transmitting to the District Council the Central Annapolis Road Sectional Map Amendment and accompanying Sector Plan, with the recommendation that the Council approve the proposals with the revisions described in the resolution; and

WHEREAS, the District Council held a work session on September 21, 2010, to consider public hearing testimony, the recommendations of the Planning Board, and amendments addressing errors, omissions, and clarifications consistent with the plan's vision, policies, goals, and strategies, and decided to propose amendments to the *Adopted Central Annapolis Road Sector Plan and Endorsed Sectional Map Amendment*; and

WHEREAS, on October 5, 2010 in CR-100-2010, the council approved and filed a petition to amend the adopted Central Annapolis Road Sector Plan and endorsed Sectional Map Amendment; and

WHEREAS, the petition in CR-100-2010 has been reviewed in public hearing before the District Council, after public notice required by law.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the County Council of Prince George's County, Maryland, sitting as the District Council for that portion of the Maryland-National Washington Regional District in Prince George's County, that the Central Annapolis Road Sector Plan and Sectional Map Amendment are hereby approved with the following amendments:

AMENDMENT 1: $1 \parallel$ 2 Add the following staff to the Acknowledgments section under the header "Project Team 3 Resource Members-Community Planning: "Jeanette Silor, Planner, Community Planning North 4 Division." 5 **AMENDMENT 2:** 6 Add a disclaimer statement to all illustrative concept drawings in the plan to read: "This drawing 7 is for illustrative purposes only." 8 **AMENDMENT 3:** 9 Adjust the project boundary to include the northern tip of Tax Account 3988649. 10 **AMENDMENT 4:** 11 Amend the Existing Land Use map on pages 2.11 and 8.136 to reflect current land use 12 categories. 13 **AMENDMENT 5:** 14 Amend the Existing Zoning map on pages 2.12 and 8.132 to reflect the split-zoning of the 15 Landover Hills Shopping Center. 16 **AMENDMENT 6:** 17 Amend the Bicycle section on page 2.20 to read: "The 2009 Approved Countywide Master Plan 18 of Transportation currently recommends a sidepath – a buffered multi-purpose path open to 19 bikers, pedestrians, and other non-motorized users – as the preferred treatment for Annapolis 20 Road and also identifies several low-volume neighborhood streets as potential shared-lane 21 bicycle facilities that could serve as alternatives to traveling on Annapolis road (see Figure 2.6)." 22 **AMENDMENT 7:** 23 Amend the second bullet in the Corridor Vision section on page 4.41 to read: "Capital Plaza 24 continues as an enhanced pedestrian-friendly, landscaped retail center, home to an expanded mix 25 of large-scale national retailers and neighborhood-focused businesses, such as sit-down 26 restaurants, oriented [to a new interior street] toward Annapolis Road." 27 **AMENDMENT 8:** 28 Amend the second and third sentences in the Area D: Retail Center around Capital Plaza section 29 on page 5.45 to read: "It creates a pedestrian-friendly retail center, oriented toward [a new 30 interior street] Annapolis Road. The [new street] center accommodates a mix of retailers and

neighborhood-oriented businesses such as sit-down restaurants." Revise the Plan Concept map on page 5.44 consistent with this amendment.

AMENDMENT 9:

Revise the duration of the phasing stages in the plan strategies as follows: "Short-term = 1-6 years; Medium-term = 7-15 years; and Long-term = 16 + years".

AMENDMENT 10:

Add a new strategy in the Roadway section on pages 6.50-6.54 to read: "The illustrative roadway cross section for the MD 450/MD 410 intersection will be revised as part of the preliminary engineering design work for the proposed Annapolis Road overpass for the future Purple Line station."

AMENDMENT 11:

Replace all references to "[HAWK]" signals with "pedestrian activated" signals. The affected pages include 6.51, 6.55, 6.58, 6.59, 6.60, 6.84, 7.117, 7.121, and 7.129.

AMENDMENT 12:

Amend the second strategy in the Roadway section on page 6.51 to read: "In the short-term (by [2015] 2016), implement recommended pedestrian improvements including [additional] pedestrian-activated [High-Intensity Activated CrossWalk (HAWK)] signals [at Varnum Street and the existing uncontrolled pedestrian crossing at St. Mary's School].

AMENDMENT 13:

Amend the fifth strategy in the Roadway section on page 6.51 to read: "In the long-term ([2030] 2025 and beyond), construct the multiway boulevard segments along Annapolis Road at the locations specified in the plan to consist of two travel lanes, a bike track, [and] a landscape strip on a raised service lane median, a service lane with one moving lane and a parking lane, and widened sidewalks. The service lanes and sidewalks would be outside of the public right-of-way and maintained privately while the proposed bike tracks would be incorporated into the right-of-way maintained by SHA (see Table 6.1 [and Roadway Figure on pages 6.6 and 6.7])." Amend supporting tables, graphics and cross-sections consistent with the text above.

AMENDMENT 14:

Amend the first strategy in the Pedestrian Mobility, Trails and Bikeways section on page 6.55 to read: "Install new pedestrian-activated [crosswalk (high-intensity activated, HAWK)] signals along Annapolis Road at Varnum Street and at the mid-block school crossing located between

<u>Decatur Street and Ardwick Ardmore Road for Saint Mary's Catholic School</u> contingent upon the completion of required signal warrant studies."

AMENDMENT 15:

Add new policies from the Approved Countywide Master Plan of Transportation to the Pedestrian Mobility, Trails and Bikeways section on page 6.55 to read:

- "• Provide standard sidewalks along both sides of all new road construction within the sector plan.
- All road frontage improvements and road capital improvement projects within the sector plan shall be designed to accommodate all modes of transportation. Continuous sidewalks and on-road bicycle facilities should be included to the extent feasible and practical."

AMENDMENT 16:

Amend the sixth strategy in the Pedestrian Mobility, Trails, and Bikeway section on page 6.55 to read: "In the [short] mid term (by 2025), replace the curb lane in each direction between 65th Avenue and Gallatin Street with an at-grade bike track with paint-stripped buffer separating it from the two remaining travel lanes." Revise the Pedestrian, Bikeway, and Transit: Composite of Recommendation on page 6.60, supporting cross-sections, and Strategy 2.8 on page 7.117 consistent with this amendment.

AMENDMENT 17:

Amend the ninth strategy in the Pedestrian Mobility, Trails, and Bikeways section on page 6.55 to read: "<u>Unless otherwise amended by this plan, [R]reaffirm</u> the trails, bikeways, and pedestrian mobility recommendations as presented in the 2009 Approved Master Plan of Transportation (see Composite Figures)."

AMENDMENT 18:

- Add new strategies from the Approved Countywide Master Plan of Transportation to the Pedestrian Mobility, Trails and Bikeways section on page 6.55 to read:
- "• Provide standard sidewalks along both sides of 71st Avenue north of MD 450 in order to improve access to Glenridge Elementary School and Glenridge Community Park.
- Complete the sidewalk network along both sides of 65th Avenue south of MD 450 to provide safe pedestrian access from an existing residential community to the MD 450 corridor.
- Complete the sidewalk network along 68th Avenue in order to improve pedestrian safety to MD 450 and to Landover Hills Park.

- Complete the sidewalk network along Buchanan Street and provide bikeway signage.
- Complete the sidewalk network along Chesapeake Road and provide bikeway signage.
- Complete the sidewalk along the west side of 72nd Avenue in order to improve access to Woodridge Elementary School, Glenridge Elementary School, and Glenridge Community Park.
- Provide standard sidewalks along both sides of Decatur Street from 71st Avenue to MD 450 in order to improve access to Woodridge Elementary School."

Update the Existing Transit and Pedestrian Conditions map on page 2.21 and the Pedestrian and Transit Recommendations Composite map on page 6.58 and revise the Composite of Key Recommendations on page 6.60 consistent with this amendment. Revise the Pedestrian section on page 2.20 to reflect the existence of the aforementioned gaps in the sidewalk network.

AMENDMENT 19:

Add a new strategy, included in the Composite of Key Recommendations on page 6.60 and Strategy 2.10 on page 7.118, in the Pedestrian Mobility, Trails, and Bikeways section on page 6.55 to read: "Complete an assessment of existing topography and traffic operations and, based on that assessment, construct an ADA-compatible trail connecting Ardwick-Ardmore Road and the New Carrollton Metrorail Station via Ellin Road. Support pedestrian and bike improvements to the Veterans Parkway—Ellin Road intersection." Update the Existing Transit and Pedestrian Conditions map on page 2.21 and the Pedestrian and Transit Recommendations Composite map on page 6.58 consistent with this amendment. Revise the Pedestrian section on page 2.20 to reflect the existence of an informal pedestrian path connecting Ardwick-Ardmore Road and the New Carrollton Metrorail Station via Ellin Road.

AMENDMENT 20:

Delete the third strategy in the Transit section on page 6.56 which reads: "In the short-term (by 2015, restripe Annapolis Road's curb lanes as bus-bike/right-turn only between Gallatin Street and Veterans Parkway, and between 65th Avenue and Baltimore-Washington Parkway to improve bus speeds and reliability and bike safety." Revise the Pedestrian, Bikeway, and Transit: Composite of Recommendation and supporting tables and graphics consistent with this amendment.

AMENDMENT 21:

Delete the fourth strategy in the Transit section on page 6.57 which reads: "In the short term (by 2015), provide bus pullout/right turn lanes at all bus stops along Annapolis Road between 65th

Avenue and Gallatin Street." Revise the Pedestrian, Bikeway, and Transit: Composite of Recommendation consistent with this amendment. **AMENDMENT 22:** Add a strategy to the Transit section on page 6.57, the Pedestrian and Bike Network and Transit Amenities section on page 6.70, and Urban Design section on pages 6.71-6.73 to read: "Coordinate with MTA in reviewing development plans that may affect planning and engineering for the future Purple Line station and related modifications to the intersection of MD 450 and MD 410." **AMENDMENT 23:** Amend the second strategy in the Circulation and Street Network on page 6.67 to read: "Eliminate the channelized right-[hand] turn[s] lane from eastbound Annapolis Road to southbound Veterans Parkway and the channelized right-turn-only lane from southbound Veterans Parkway to westbound Annapolis Road." **AMENDMENT 24:** Delete the second strategy in the Pedestrian and Bike Network and Transit Amenities section on page 6.70 which reads: "In the short-term, restripe Annapolis Road's curb lanes as busbike/right-turn only between Gallatin Street and Veterans Parkway to improve bus speeds and reliability and bike safety." **AMENDMENT 25:** Delete all references to raised crosswalks along the MD 450 corridor. The affected pages include 6.70. **AMENDMENT 26:** Revise the Long-Term/Full Built-out Illustrative on page 6.79 to show the bicycle track at the same level as Annapolis Road and not in the raised median. **AMENDMENT 27:** Amend the first strategy under the Housing section on page 6.85 and the third strategy under the Housing section on pages 6.91 to read: "Ensure that new housing is compatible with surrounding residential neighborhoods in terms of density, size, material, and design." **AMENDMENT 28:** Delete the eighth strategy of the Pedestrian and Bike Network and Transit Amenities section on page 6.89 which reads: "In the shorter-term, restripe Annapolis Road's curb lanes as

 $1 \parallel$

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

bus/bike/right-turn-only between Gallatin Street and Veterans Parkway and between 65th Avenue and the Baltimore-Washington Parkway." Revise the Pedestrian, Bikeway, and Transit: Composite of Recommendation and supporting tables and graphics consistent with this amendment.

AMENDMENT 29:

Amend the second and third sentences in the Vision section of the Retail Town Center on page 6.98 to read: "It creates a pedestrian-friendly retail center, oriented toward [a new interior street] Annapolis Road. The [new street] center accommodates a mix of regional-serving retailers and neighborhood-oriented businesses."

AMENDMENT 30:

Revise the second strategy under the Retail Town Center Land Use section on page 6.100 to read: "Incorporate new commercial uses that will be oriented toward [a proposed interior, pedestrian-friendly street that is transformed into a lively restaurant promenade in the evenings]

Annapolis Road while maintaining the view shed corridors required by existing internal retail anchors." Revise the illustrative Central Annapolis Road Sector Plan: A Composite of Key Recommendations map on page 6.48 and the illustrative Land Use Plan on page 6.100 consistent with this amendment.

AMENDMENT 31:

Retain the recommendation for bicycle and pedestrian facilities along MD 410, but delete all references to MTA completing these improvements as part of the Purple Line project. These improvements will either be completed through a separate SHA road improvement project or via road frontage improvements provided by developers.

AMENDMENT 32:

Amend the fifth strategy under the Land Use section on page 6.100 to read: "Subject to the Safeway['s relocation to Capital Plaza] store's relocation or closure, redevelop the Safeway parcel to support mixed-use development consisting of retail fronting Annapolis Road and residential uses fronting Webster Street."

AMENDMENT 33:

Add a new strategy under the Retail Town Center Circulation and Street Network section on page 6.101 to read: "Create an improved drive aisle along the northern edges of the commercial pad sites that will enhance pedestrian safety and internal streetscapes through improved

landscaping and continuous sidewalks." Amend Strategies 4.7 and 4.8 on page 7.124 consistent $1 \parallel$ 2 with this amendment. 3 **AMENDMENT 34:** 4 Delete the second strategy under the Circulation and Street Network section on page 6.101 which 5 reads: "Provide an east-west connection via a new interior street through the Capital Plaza site." 6 Revise the Roadway: Composite of Key Recommendations on page 6.54 consistent with this 7 amendment. 8 **AMENDMENT 35:** 9 Delete the fourth strategy in the Parking section on page 6.101 which reads: "Provide on-street 10 parking along the new interior street." 11 **AMENDMENT 36:** 12 Amend the third strategy in the Pedestrian and Bike Network and Transit Amenities section on 13 page 6.102 to read: "Ensure pedestrian pathways through Capital Plaza follow the shortest, most 14 direct route between transit stops and the retail town center and between the Wal-Mart and any 15 future adjacent retail strip development (see Pedestrian Network)." 16 **AMENDMENT 37:** 17 Replace all references to the "relocated Safeway" with "new retail anchor". The affected pages 18 include 6.102 and 6.105. 19 **AMENDMENT 38:** 20 Revise the Pedestrian Network map on page 6.102 to include the proposed pedestrian crosswalk 21 at 65th Avenue. 22 **AMENDMENT 39:** 23 Delete the first strategy of the Pedestrian and Bike Network and Transit Amenities section on 24 page 6.102 which reads: "In the short-term, restripe Annapolis Road's curb lanes as 25 bus/bike/right-turn-only between 65th Avenue and the Baltimore-Washington Parkway to 26 improve bus speeds and reliability and bike safety." 27 **AMENDMENT 40:** 28 Delete the third strategy in the Urban Design section on page 6.103 which reads: "Incorporate a 29 green berm to provide visual relief from any surface parking fronting Annapolis Road."

30

AMENDMENT 41:

1 2

Amend the first strategy under the Urban Design section on page 6.103 to read: "[Enable the creation of "Restaurant Walk" – a new, tree-lined pedestrian promenade and internal street that ties together the proposed restaurant and retail pads at the southern edge of Capital Plaza with the neighborhood streets] Design side and rear elevations of buildings that are visible from Annapolis Road and/or the internal drive aisle to be visually appealing and consistent with the design and quality of materials used on their front elevations."

AMENDMENT 42:

Amend bullet (d) under the Retail Town Center: Composite of Key Recommendations section on page 6.105 to read as follows: "(d) [Restaurant Walk" with well-articulated facades and outdoor dining to facilitate walkability and pedestrian access within Capital Plaza] Side and rear elevations of buildings that are visible from Annapolis Road and/or the internal drive aisle are designed to be visually appealing and consistent with the design and quality of materials used on their front elevations." Update the supporting photo consistent with this amendment.

AMENDMENT 43:

Amend the first bullet in Phase 2 on page 6.108 to read: "[Relocation of Safeway] <u>Phased</u> development of new secondary retail anchor at [to] Capital Plaza"

AMENDMENT 44:

Amend the third bullet in Phase 2 on page 6.108 to read: "[Construction of new interior street] Enhancements to drive aisle at Capital Plaza"

AMENDMENT 45:

Amend the fourth bullet in Phase 2 on page 6.108 to read: "[Development of "Restaurant Walk] <u>Infill of pad sites along the north side of Annapolis Road</u>"

AMENDMENT 46:

Amend the second strategy under the Water Resources section on page 6.111 to read: "<u>In</u> accordance with Subtitle 32 of the Prince George's County Code, [I]implement pervious paving, bioretention areas, rain gardens, and other environmental site design features that can function as public amenities and reduce stormwater runoff from impervious surfaces such as parking lots (see photo on page 66)." Revise the page number to accurately reflect the location of the photo.

AMENDMENT 47:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

31

Amend Strategy 1.3 on page 7.116 to read: "Include a high-priority request in Prince George's County's Annual Priority Letter for the state to p[P]repare a corridor-level [feasibility] project planning study—prescribing [the desired] appropriate rights-of-way and acceptable cross[-] sections— and phased implementation plan for improving Central Annapolis Road consistent with the sector plan's vision."

AMENDMENT 48:

Add Strategy 1.7 on page 7.116 to read: "As redevelopment occurs, overhead utilities shall be relocated so as to be compatible with the design of the site and, ideally, located underground." The lead actor will be developers while the associate actors will be SHA, DPW&T, and utility companies. The implementing timeframe will be long-term, as development occurs.

AMENDMENT 49:

Remove the references to a bike and pedestrian coordinator under SHA District 3 on pages 7.117 and 7.124.

AMENDMENT 50:

Amend Strategy 2.1 on page 7.117 to list SHA's Office of Traffic and Safety as the lead actor and District 3 Traffic as an associate actor.

AMENDMENT 51:

Amend Strategy 2.2 on page 7.117 to remove the reference to District 3 Traffic as an associate actor.

AMENDMENT 52:

Amend Strategy 2.6 on page 7.117 to remove the reference to 2009 ARRA funds as a potential funding source.

AMENDMENT 53:

Amend Strategies 2.6 and 2.7 on page 7.117 and Strategy 2.10 on page 7.118 to remove the references to Transportation Enhancement Funds as a potential source of funding.

AMENDMENT 54:

- Add a new Strategy 2.12 on page 7.118 to read:
- 29 "Implement the following sidewalk improvements consistent with the 2009 Approved
- 30 Countywide Master Plan of Transportation:
 - "• Provide standard sidewalks along both sides of 71st Avenue north of MD 450

_	
2	• Complete
3	• Complete
4	• Complete
5	• Complete
6	• Provide st
7	<u>450</u> " List DPW
8	funding, and sh
9	recommended i
10	AMENDMEN
11	Amend Strateg
12	associate actor
13	AMENDMEN
14	Amend Strateg
15	associate actor;
16	<u>AMENDMEN</u>
17	Amend Strateg
18	associations an
19	<u>AMENDMEN</u>
20	Amend Strateg
21	source.
22	<u>AMENDMEN</u>
23	Add a new stra
24	recreation facil
25	Recreation, Pri
26	List the timefra
27	Recreation, De
28	<u>AMENDMEN</u>
29	Amend Strateg
30	
31	

- Complete sidewalks along both sides of 65th Avenue south of MD 450
- sidewalks along 68th Avenue
- sidewalks along Buchanan Street and provide bikeway signage
- sidewalks along Chesapeake Road and provide bikeway signage
- sidewalk along the west side of 72nd Avenue
- tandard sidewalks along both sides of Decatur Street from 71st Avenue to MD

7&T as the lead actor, the federal Safe Routes To School program as a source of nort- to medium-term as the implementing timeframe for each of the improvements.

T 55:

ies 1.7 and 1.11 on pages 7.119 and 7.120, respectively, to list SHA as an rather than a lead actor.

T 56:

y 1.1 on page 7.119 by identifying MTA as the lead actor and DPW&T as an also, add the Planning Department as an associate actor.

T 57:

y 2.7 on page 7.121 to list property owners as the lead actors and civic d SHA as associate actors. Change the timetable entry to medium-term.

T 58:

ies 3.1 and 3.2 on page 7.123 to include developer contributions as a funding

T 59:

tegy under Part 3.1 on page 7.125 to read: "Construct a new community lity". List "Landover Hills Learning Center Coalition, Department of Parks and ince George's County" as the lead actors and "Developers" as associate actors. ame as "medium-term" and sources of funding as "Department of Parks and

eveloper, foundation, and private contributions". T 60:

y 3.1 on page 7.126 by changing the timetable to medium- to long-term.

AMENDMENT 61: 1 2 Amend the last table row under Roadway Facilities on page 7.129 by deleting SHA as an 3 implementing agency. 4 **AMENDMENT 62:** 5 Under Comprehensive Rezoning Changes on page 8.135, amend the following sentence in the 6 fourth paragraph to read: "The uses permitted in an M-U-I Zone are the same as those permitted 7 by right or by Special [exception] Exception in the Commercial Shopping Center (C-S-C) Zone." 8 **AMENDMENT 63:** 9 Under Comprehensive Rezoning Changes on page 8.135, amend the following paragraph to 10 read: 11 "Under the DDOZ for Central Annapolis Road, new development plans or redevelopment plans 12 are reviewed through the detailed site plan process for their compliance with development 13 standards in the sector plan and SMA. [If the proposed development reflects the development 14 standards recommended for each of the character areas, the review can take place in the permit 15 review process; however, there will be no walk-through permits in the framework character areas 16 (see figure 8.9). For developments of four or more acres and for view terminus sites, detailed site 17 plans are reviewed and approved by the Planning Board.]" 18 **AMENDMENT 64:** 19 Designate the boundaries of the new Corridor Node on the Proposed Land Use map on page 20 8.137, and use an appropriate symbol to identify the likely location of the potential future 21 Corridor Node. 22 **AMENDMENT 65:** 23 Amend the Proposed Land Use map on page 8.137 to reflect the future land use categories used 24l in the Planning Department's county future land use map. 25 **AMENDMENT 66:** 26 Amend the Proposed Zoning map on page 8.138 to reflect the existing split-zoning of the 27 Landover Hills Shopping Center. 28 **AMENDMENT 67:** 29 Amend the second sentence under **Consistency with the General Plan** on page 8.144 to read:

Approved General Plan is amended to designate the intersection of Veterans Parkway (MD 410)

"Consistent with the Central Annapolis Road Sector Plan, the 2002 Prince George's County

30

and Annapolis Road (MD 450) as a [center] Corridor Node simultaneous [to] with the adoption of the sectional map amendment. The plan also recommends consideration of a future Corridor Node to be located in the vicinity of the intersection of Annapolis Road and the Baltimore—Washington Parkway (MD 295)."

AMENDMENT 68:

Under **Exemptions from the Development District Standards** on page 8.145, modify the second paragraph to read:

"Until a site plan is submitted, active shopping centers with freestanding commercial uses on perimeter pod sites are also exempt from the DDOZ standards and from site plan review and are not nonconforming. [However, the issuance of a Building Permit or a Use and Occupancy Permit for a change in ownership for any property with frontage along a public street shall require restoration or installation of landscape strips, buffering, and screening in accordance with Sections 4.2 and 4.4 of the Landscape Manual, as modified by the streetscape standards of this DDOZ, or as determined under an Alternative Compliance procedure per Section 1.3 of the Landscape Manual. The plan recommends that shopping center owners consider developing plans for the phased redevelopment of their properties to new mixed-use urban places.]"

AMENDMENT 69:

Under **Exemptions from the Development District Standards** on page 8.145, modify the second paragraph to read:

"Additions to single-family residential dwellings are exempt from the development district standards and site plan review[, if the residential use continues]."

AMENDMENT 70:

Under **Exemptions from the Development District Standards** on page 8.145, modify the second paragraph under Section 7 to read:

"Except for improvements listed in <u>section</u> 8. General below, a property may not expand a certified nonconforming use [or a use or a structure that was lawful on the date of the SMA approval but does not conform to the development district standards,] unless a detailed site plan is approved with findings that the expansion is compatible with adjacent uses and meets the goals of the sector plan."

AMENDMENT 71:

24l

Under **Site Plan Submittal Requirements** on page 8.146, modify the third paragraph to read:

"Other pertinent information required for detailed site plan submittals as per Section 27-282(e)(20) shall include:

- Architectural elevations of all sides of all buildings in color
- Street and streetscape sections
- [• Setbacks]
- [• Parking schedule and plan]
- Supporting documentation where requested in the development district standards [Applicants shall provide a]
- A list of all applicable standards from this document that have been used in the design, as well as a list of standards that have not been fulfilled and explanations as to why they have not been fulfilled[.]"

AMENDMENT 72:

Amend the section under **Public Improvements** on page 8.146 to read:

"Within the Central Annapolis Road DDOZ, the developer/ property owner (including the developer and the applicant's heirs, successors and assignees) is required to construct (or contribute funds toward the construction of) all new development-related streetscape improvements outside of the public right-of-way maintained by the Maryland State Highway Administration (SHA), the county's Department of Public Works and Transportation (DPW&T), or the Town of Landover Hills. Developer/property owners shall also be required to maintain streetscape improvements outside of the public right-of-way. New development projects with a gross floor area (GFA) of less than 10,000 square feet or less than 30 linear feet of street frontage shall be exempt from the public improvement requirements of the Central Annapolis Road DDOZ."

AMENDMENT 73:

Under the Exemptions from the Development District Standards on page 8.146, modify Part 8 to read: "The following are exempt from the development district standards and site plan review if the existing or proposed use is permitted:

- a. Permits for alternation or rehabilitation, with no increase of the existing gross floor area
- b. Canopies

- c. Fences of six feet in height or less [for] <u>within</u> rear and side yards <u>on non-residential</u> <u>properties</u> [and] <u>which are</u> made of <u>pressure-treated</u> wood, <u>composite</u>, <u>decorative aluminum</u> or masonry (not concrete block) are exempt
- d. <u>Fences on residential properties six feet in height or less within rear and side yards and four feet in height or less within front yards</u>
 - [d.] e. Decks
 - [e.] <u>f</u>. Ordinary maintenance
 - [f.] g. Changes in use and occupancy
 - [g.] h. Changes in ownership"

AMENDMENT 74:

Under **Modification of the Development District Standards** on page 8.147, amend the last sentence in the first paragraph to read: "[Three] <u>Two</u> types of amendments are required to be heard and approved by the District Council: changes to the boundary of the DDOZ[;] <u>and</u> changes in the underlying uses and to the list of permitted uses[; and changes in any other specifically designated standards]. <u>Changes to any other specifically designated standards may be heard and approved by the Planning Board.</u>"

AMENDMENT 75:

Amend the Understanding the Development District Overlay Zone (DDOZ) section on page 8.147 to read:

"The DDOZ provides standards for the development of each property [or lot] and illustrates how each relates to the adjacent properties and street(s). All public streets (i.e., streets and alleys in the public right-of-way) and private roadways (i.e., frontage access roads on private parcels[, internal shopping center streets], etc.) shall be designated as one of the frontage types established below.

Each [parcel] <u>property</u> is identified by its development character and street frontage. Regulations are subsequently linked to character type or frontage type where applicable. More specific regulations may apply at focal intersections that serve as centers of activity or major neighborhood nodes.

All [development parcels] <u>properties</u> shall lie within one of the character areas hereby established below, and front on a <u>roadway with a</u> designated frontage type as described within each character area."

3

4

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

AMENDMENT 76:

Revise the Character Areas and Frontage Designations map on page 8.148 to reflect that the extensions of 62nd Avenue and 65th Avenue north of Annapolis Road and the existing drive aisle at Capital Plaza, identified as Commercial Pedestrian Streets, are drive aisles.

AMENDMENT 77:

Define "street types" and "frontage types" discussed on pages 8.148-8.150 and pages 8.180-8.183 in the glossary in Volume II.

AMENDMENT 78:

Add a new standard to the Development District Overlay Zone Standards on pages 8.152, 8.160, 8.164, and 8.172 to read: "b. Maximum Setback Requirements and Conflicts with Public Utility Easements: The maximum setback required may not be sufficient to accommodate a 10-footwide public utility easement between the building and the right-of-way line in all instances. Where the maximum setback does not accommodate the 10-foot-wide public utility easement adjacent to the right-of-way, the applicant should attempt to negotiate an alternative location or width of the public utility easement. Where an alternative location or width cannot be negotiated, the maximum setback may be increased by the minimum width necessary to accommodate the public utility easement."

AMENDMENT 79:

Amend Table 8.6, Glenridge Transit Village Bulk Table, on page 8.152 to read:

PRIMARY	TOD ARTERIAL	MIXED-USE	VILLAGE MIXED-USE	
FRONTAGE TYPE		TRAN[I]S <u>I</u> T	STREET	
		ARTERIAL		
Front Building Placer	nent Line			
Minimum	[60'] <u>65'</u> *	[80'] <u>75'</u> *	5' for residential <u>use</u> -only	
			buildings, otherwise 0'	
Maximum	[65'] <u>75'</u> *	85'*	20' for residential <u>use</u> -only	
			buildings, otherwise [5] <u>10'</u>	
Corner Side Yard				
Minimum	0'	0'	5' for residential <u>use</u> -only	
			buildings, otherwise 0'	
Maximum	5'	5'	10' [for residential-only	
			buildings, otherwise 5']	
Interior Side Yard				
Minimum	0'	0'	5'	
Maximum	Aggregate of both interior side yard setbacks not to exceed 20% of lot			
	width, excluding the width of an access drive to the primary street			
Rear Yard				

Minimum	0', unless against a residential <u>use</u> -only 5'		5'
	area, then 20'		
Building Height			
Maximum	[70'] <u>6 stories</u>	[70'] <u>6 stories</u>	[70'] <u>6 stories</u>
Ground-Floor Height			
Minimum	12'		
	*Note: Front Build	ling Placement Lines o	along the mixed-use arterial
	frontage shall be measured from the Annapolis Road centerline which is on file with the Maryland State Highway Administration (SHA). All other required setbacks are to be measured from the corresponding [parcel] property lines.		
AMENIDMENT QO.	· - · · ·		

AMENDMENT 80:

Amend the Parking and Access Management section on page 8.154 to read: "(5) Curb cut access from the primary frontage street should be minimized wherever possible through shared curb cut access and cross-access between commercial [tenants] <u>properties</u>. For all lots with access to a <u>public alley or rear public street</u>, access to parking should be provided first from the alley or rear <u>public street</u>, then from a side street, and finally from a primary street only if necessary.

- (a) For lots with less than 200 feet of frontage and no alley <u>or rear public street</u>, one curb cut is permitted from a public street. On interior lots, this may be the primary street. On corner lots, a curb cut is only permitted from the side street (see figure 8.11a).
- (b) For lots with 200 feet or more of frontage, with or without an alley or rear public street, one additional curb cut, above and beyond what is permitted otherwise, is permitted from the primary street (see figure 8.11b).
- (c) For lots with access to a public alley or rear public street, no curb cut from the primary street is permitted, unless the lot frontage equals or exceeds 200 feet. Then, one curb cut is permitted as per item (b) above (see figure 8.11c).
- [(d) For all lots with access to a public alley or rear public street, access to parking should be provided first from the alley or rear public street, then from a side street, and finally from a primary street only if necessary.]"

AMENDMENT 81:

Amend the seventh strategy under the Parking and Access Management section on page 8.155 to read: "[Multiple uses – whether located on property or properties held by one owner or on properties held by multiple owners – are encouraged to establish shared parking agreements and/or construct cross-access parking areas as alternatives to providing dedicated on-site parking for each use.] To foster shared parking in this area, Section 27-570, Multiple Uses, and Section

Washington, D. C., 1983

27-572, Joint Use of a Parking Lot, shall be waived. The following regulations will apply instead.

(a) For any property under one ownership and used for two or more uses, the number of spaces shall be computed by multiplying the minimum amount of parking required for each land use, as stated under section (6) above, by the appropriate percentage as shown in the shared parking requirements by time period (see Table 8.7). The number of spaces required for the development is then determined by adding the results in each column. The column totaling the highest number of parking spaces becomes the minimum off-street parking requirement."

(b) For two or more uses under separate ownership, the total off-street parking requirement may be satisfied by providing a joint parking facility, and the minimum requirements may be reduced in accordance with the procedure outlined in section (a) above for shared parking for single ownership. The Planning Board shall determine that shared parking is appropriate for the proposed uses and location if:

i. The shared parking facility is within 500 linear feet, measured along the most appropriate walking routes between the shared parking facility and the entrances to all establishments being served; and

ii. The applicant provides a recorded shared-use parking agreement signed by all owners involved that ensures the shared parking facility will be permanently available to all current and future uses and also contains a provision for parking facility maintenance.

Table: Shared-Parking Reduction Percentage Multiplier					
	WEEKDAY		WEEKEND		NIGHT
	6:00A.	6:00 P.M	6:00	6:00 P.M	MIDNIGHT-
	<u>M.–</u>	<u>MIDNIGHT</u>	<u>A.M.–</u>	MIDNIGHT	6:00 A.M.
	<u>6:00</u>		<u>6:00</u>		
	<u>P.M.</u>		<u>P.M.</u>		
<u>Office</u>	<u>100</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>5</u>
Commercial/Retail	<u>60</u>	<u>90</u>	<u>100</u>	<u>70</u>	<u>5</u>
Restaurant	<u>50</u>	<u>100</u>	<u>100</u>	<u>100</u>	<u>10</u>
Lodging	<u>70</u>	<u>100</u>	<u>70</u>	<u>100</u>	<u>70</u>
Recreational/	<u>40</u>	<u>100</u>	<u>80</u>	<u>100</u>	<u>10</u>
Entertainment/ Social/					
<u>Cultural</u>					
Residential	<u>60</u>	<u>90</u>	<u>80</u>	<u>90</u>	<u>100</u>
Other	<u>100</u>	<u>100</u>	<u>100</u>	<u>100</u>	<u>100</u>
Source: Table based on "Shared Parking," a publication from the Urban Land Institute,					

AMENDMENT 82:

1 2

Amend Standard (6) on page 8.155 to read: "[In the Glenridge Transit Village Area, the minimum is the half of the current minimum number of spaces requirement and the maximum should be the minimum of the current number as determined by Section 27-574(b) of the Zoning Ordinance as it relates to on-site parking capacity in the M-X-T area.] The following minimum and maximum parking capacity regulations apply to the Glenridge Transit Village Area: (a) For uses in the M-X-T Zone, the minimum required on-site parking capacity shall be 50 percent of the required minimum capacity as determined by Section 27-574(b). The permitted maximum on-site capacity shall be equal to 100% of the required minimum as determined by Section 27-574(b). (b) For commercial uses in all other zones, the permitted maximum on-site capacity shall be equal to 100% of the required minimum capacity required by Section 27-568(a). (c) For residential uses in all other zones, the permitted minimum on-site capacity shall be equal to 100% of the required minimum capacity required by Section 27-568(a) or as modified by Section 27-546.18(b)."

AMENDMENT 83:

Provide as a text box an example of how shared-parking is calculated to accompany the shared parking discussion in the Parking and Access Management sections on pages 8.155, 8.168, 8.174 and 8.176.

AMENDMENT 84:

Add a new bullet under **Parking and access management** after Bullet (7) on page 8.156 to read: "(8) Parking structures shall not front Annapolis Road. All parking structures shall be designed as an integral component of the overall site and be architecturally compatible with adjoining buildings. Parking structures shall not have exposed blank walls and shall be designed consistent with CPTED principles. High quality exterior finish materials shall be used on all exposed sides of the garage structure and shall complement the exterior materials displayed by the main building. Whenever possible, parking structures shall be screened from the street with ground-floor "liner" commercial retail/office uses."

AMENDMENT 85:

Add a new strategy in the Style and Detail section of the Building Design Guidelines on pages 8.158, 8.163, 8.171, and 8.178 to read: "Side and/or rear elevations of buildings that are visible from streets and/or internal drive aisles (excluding alleys and drive aisles used exclusively for

loading or trash pickup) shall be designed so that they are equal to the front elevation in terms of quality of materials and detailing."

AMENDMENT 86:

Clearly define the boundaries of the properties that lie within focal intersections referenced on page 8.159 and in the Character Areas and Frontage Designations map on 8.148.

AMENDMENT 87:

Amend Table 8.7, Existing Residential Area Bulk Table, on page 8.160 to read:

PRIMARY FRONTAGE	RESIDENTIAL ARTERIAL		LOCAL RESIDENTIAL
TYPE			STREET
Front Building Placement L	ine		
	w/o Ex. Service	w/ Ex. Service	
	<u>Rd.</u>	<u>Rd.</u>	
Minimum	[100'] <u>70'</u> *	100'*	20'
Maximum	[110'] <u>80'</u> *	110'*	30'
Corner Side Yard			
Minimum		10'	
Interior Side Yard			
Minimum	10'		
Rear Yard			
Minimum	10'		
Building Height			
Maximum	[35'] <u>3 stories</u>		
	*Note: Front Building Placement Lines for residential arterial		
	frontages shall be measured from the Annapolis Road centerline		
	which is on file with the Maryland State Highway Administration		
	(SHA). All other setbacks are to be measured from corresponding		
	[parcel] <u>property</u> lines.		

AMENDMENT 88:

Amend the Parking and Access Management section on page 8.161 to read: "(e) For [lots with equal or exceeds] properties with frontage equal to or exceeding 200 feet [of frontage], two curb cuts shall be permitted on the primary street."

AMENDMENT 89:

Amend Standard (3)(b) on page 8.161 to read: "For commercial uses, the minimum required on-site parking capacity shall be 50 percent of the current required minimum capacity as determined in Section 27-568(a). The permitted maximum on-site capacity shall be equal to 100% of the required minimum capacity [typically] required [for all uses] by Section 27-568(a)."

AMENDMENT 90:

Amend Table 8.8, Mixed-use Transition Bulk Table, on page 8.164 to read:

PRIMARY	MIXED-USE ARTERIAL	LOCAL MIXED-USE STREET	
FRONTAGE TYPE			
Front Building Placen	nent Line		
Minimum	[87'] <u>80'</u> *	[30'] <u>10'</u>	
Maximum	[92'] <u>85'</u> for buildings,	[35'] <u>10'</u> for buildings, with non-	
	with non-residential uses	residential uses AND buildings on corner	
	OR buildings on corner	lots, otherwise 15'	
	lots, otherwise [107'] <u>90'</u> *		
Corner Side Yard			
Minimum		0'	
Maximum	5'	10' [for buildings, with non-residential	
		uses otherwise 5']	
Interior Side Yard	,		
Minimum	0', unless against a residentia	al <u>use</u> -only area, then 5'	
Maximum	Aggregate of both interior	Aggregate of both interior side yard	
	side yard setbacks not to	setbacks not to exceed 40% of lot width	
	exceed 30% of lot width		
Rear Yard			
Minimum	0', unless against a residential <u>use</u> -only area, then 20'		
Building Height			
Maximum	[50'] <u>4 stories</u>		
Ground-Floor Height			
Minimum	12' for building with non-residential uses or buildings on a corner lot		
	*Note: Front Building Place	ment Lines along the mixed-use arterial	
	frontage shall be measured f	from the Annapolis Road centerline <u>which is</u>	
	on file with the Maryland State Highway Administration (SHA). All		
	other required setbacks are to be measured from the corresponding		
	[parcel] <u>property</u> lines.		

AMENDMENT 91:

Amend Standard (5)(b) on page 8.166 to read: "For commercial uses, the minimum required onsite parking capacity shall be 50 percent of the current required minimum capacity as determined in Section 27-568(a). The permitted maximum on-site capacity shall be equal to 100% of the required minimum capacity [typically] required [for all uses] by Section 27-568(a)."

AMENDMENT 92:

Amend the first paragraphs on pages 8.168 and 8.176 to read: "i. The shared parking facility is within 500 linear feet, measured along the most appropriate walking routes between the shared parking facility and the entrances to all establishments being served[.]; and"

AMENDMENT 93:

Delete the first asterisk below Table 8.9, Retail Town Center Bulk Table, on page 8.172 which reads: "For development that faces both a Commercial Corridor Arterial and a Commercial Pedestrian Street that are intersecting or generally parallel, the commercial Pedestrian Street shall be deemed the primary-frontage street, making the Commercial Arterial the street along either the side property line or the rear property line. Where a rear property line runs along a Commercial Corridor Arterial, a 20-foot landscaped buffer with a double row of trees is required along that property line."

AMENDMENT 94:

Amend Table 8.9, Retail Town Center Bulk Table, on page 8.172 to read:

222424	morrar con impo	COLUMN CILL CONNENCE	G 0 3 5 5 5 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7
PRIMARY	TOWN CENTER	COMMERCIAL CORRIDOR	COMMERCIAL
FRONTAGE	<u>ARTERIAL</u>	ARTERIAL	PEDESTRIAN
TYPE			STREET
Front Building Place	ment Line		
Minimum	[60'*] <u>75'(North side of</u>	of MD 450), 65' (South side of MD 450)*	0'
Maximum	[110'] <u>85'(North side of</u>	of MD 450), 75'(South side of MD 450)*	10'
Corner Side Yard			
Minimum		0'	
Maximum	[n/a] <u>30'</u> [10'] <u>3</u>		[10'] <u>30'</u>
Interior Side Yard			
Minimum	0', unless against a residential <u>use</u> -only area, then 10'		
Rear Yard			
Minimum	0', unless a	ngainst a residential <u>use</u> -only area,	then 20'
Building Height			
Maximum	[35'] <u>3 stories</u>		
Ground-Floor Height			
Minimum		12'	

[*For development that faces both a Commercial Corridor Arterial and a Commercial Pedestrian Street that are intersecting or generally parallel, the commercial Pedestrian Street shall be deemed the primary-frontage street, making the Commercial Arterial the street along either the side property line or the rear property line. Where a rear property line runs along a Commercial Corridor Arterial, a 20-foot landscaped buffer with a double row of trees is required along that property line.] [*]*Note: Front Yard Building Lines for the commercial corridor arterial frontage shall be measured from the Annapolis Road centerlines (on file with the Maryland State Highway Administration). The centerline of the westbound MD 450 travel lanes shall be used as the reference point for the required setback for properties fronting the north side of Annapolis Road. The centerline of the eastbound MD 450 travel lanes shall be used as the reference point for the required setback for properties fronting the south side of Annapolis Road. All other required setbacks are to be measured from the corresponding [parcel] property lines."

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

AMENDMENT 95:

Amend Parking and Access Management Standard 3(a) on page 8.174 to read: "For any property under one ownership and with two or more uses, the <u>minimum</u> number of spaces <u>required</u> shall be computed by multiplying the minimum amount of parking required for each land use, as stated under section ([ii]2) above, by the appropriate shared-parking percentage by time period shown in Table 8.10. The number of spaces required for the development is then determined by adding the results in each column. The column totaling the highest number of parking spaces becomes the minimum off-street parking requirement."

AMENDMENT 96:

Amend the second standard under the Parking and Access Management section on page 8.174 to read: "The following minimum and maximum parking capacity regulations apply to uses in the Retail Town Center Area: The minimum required on-site parking capacity for all uses shall be 50 percent of the current required minimum capacity as determined in Section 27-568(a) of the Zoning Ordinance. The maximum permitted on-site capacity shall be equal to 125% of the minimum capacity [typically] required by the Zoning Ordinance for all uses."

AMENDMENT 97:

Amend standard (c) under **Parking and access management** on page 8.174 to read:

"Drive-through facilities should be located so that they are logically arranged within the on-site and contextual circulation plan. They should also [be screened from the Commercial Pedestrian

Streets and have minimal impact on] <u>be designed to ensure safe</u> pedestrian circulation and access."

AMENDMENT 98:

Amend the Public Realm Standards on page 8.179 to read: "[The following regulations apply to all new development in the Central Annapolis Road Development District Overlay Zone that involves the creation of new public streets, on-site traffic circulators, or frontage roads.] The public realm is the physical and social environment that streets, open spaces, civic buildings and other publicly accessible spaces create for residents, commuters, visitors, and workers. The public realm should enhance functionality, access, and image by incorporating state-of-the-art planning and design concepts."

AMENDMENT 99:

Amend the Public Realm section on Pages 8.179-8.185 to include the following new section to read as follows:

e. Signage

Common sign plans should be provided for all institutional, office, mixed-use and retail/commercial buildings developed on a single parcel or a combination of parcels under common ownership at the time of detailed site plan. The common sign plan should be accompanied by plans, sketches, or photographs indicating the design (such as colors and lettering style), size (all dimensions including sign face area), construction materials, method of sign attachment, lighting, quantity and location on the site and/or buildings.

(1) **Building and Canopy Signs**

- (a) Signs shall be constructed of quality materials.
- (b) The placement, colors, type, style and size of signs shall be integrated into the overall architectural design of the building.
- (c) <u>Signs for multi-tenant buildings shall be coordinated in terms of design, placement, size, materials and color.</u>
- (d) Flashing or blinking signs and billboards shall not be allowed.
- (e) <u>Letters and logos painted on storefront windows and doors shall not exceed 25</u> percent of the window area. <u>Commercial signs painted on side or rear facades shall not exceed 30 percent of the façade area.</u>

- (f) Roof mounted signs shall not extend beyond the roofline or parapet wall by more than three feet.
- (g) <u>Banners temporarily suspended from the exterior without permanent braces to hold</u> the banner perpendicular to the façade shall not be allowed.
- (h) <u>Lit signs should be externally illuminated from the front, except for individually-mounted letters or numbers, which may be internally lit. Panelized back lighting and box signs are discouraged.</u>
- (i) Projecting signs should maintain a minimum clear height of nine feet above th sidewalk.

(2) Monument/Freestanding Signs

- (a) Freestanding/monument signs should feature a sign mounted directly to a base constructed of high quality materials such as brick, stone, or other finished masonry products. Signs should not be constructed of tin, aluminum, sign board, or other similar, low-quality materials.
- (b) New pole mounted signs are discouraged; however, existing pole-mounted signage may be revised as a result of changes in occupancy that do not otherwise subject a site to the development district standards as long as there is no net increase in sign area.
- (c) <u>Signs should be compatible in design, scale, color, and materials with other urban design elements and adjacent buildings.</u>
- (d) Signs should be externally lit, and light should be directed to illuminate the sign face only and to prevent any light spillover. Lighting sources should be concealed by landscaping.
- (e) Signs should not include flashing, blinding, or moving elements.

f. Lighting

(1) Full cut-off optic fixtures should be used and should be located so that light spillover from one property to another is minimized."

AMENDMENT 100

Amend the Street design on page 8.180 to read:

"The following regulations summarize design requirements for new streets. For the purposes of this section, the following roadway definitions apply:

31

- TOD Arterial (7 lanes): 6 through lanes (3 in each direction), left-turn lane (see figure[s] 8.33[a]b)
- Mixed-use Transit Arterial (9 lanes): 4 through lanes, left-turn lane, 2 service lanes, 2 parking lanes (see figure[s] 8.33[b]c)
- Residential Arterial (5 or 7 lanes, depending on existing service lanes): 4 through lanes, 1 left-turn lane, 0 or 1 service lane(s), 0 or 1 parking lane(s) (see figure 8.33d)
- Mixed-use Arterial (9 lanes): 4 through lanes, left-turn lane, 2 service lanes, 2 parking lanes (see figure 8.33e)
- Town Center Arterial (7 lanes): 3 through lanes westbound, 2 through lanes eastbound, 1 service lane, 1 parking lane (see figure[s] 8.33[e]f)
- Commercial Corridor Arterial (7 lanes): 3 through lanes westbound, 2 through lanes eastbound, 1 service lane, 1 parking lane (see figure[s] 8.33[d]g)
- Pedestrian commercial street (<u>Village Mixed-use Street</u>, <u>Local Mixed-use Street</u>, <u>Commercial Pedestrian Street</u>) —a two-way street, other than Annapolis Road, that provides local access to [private parcel frontage]<u>properties</u>, typically on both sides of the street (<u>see figure 8.31a&b</u>).
- Residential street (Local Residential Street)—a street, typically two-way, that hosts single-family attached or detached residential uses (see figure 8.31c).
- Public alley—a two-way drive, typically located between rear lot lines or rear building façades, that provides rear [parcel]access for parking and/or service."
- Add a street-section to Figure 8.31 on page 8.181 that illustrates the Residential Arterial consistent with this amendment.

AMENDMENT 101:

Amend Figures 8.33a-d on pages 8.182-8.183 to include existing aerials and mid-term improvement cross-sections that clarify and illustrate the phased implementation of the multiway boulevard.

AMENDMENT 102:

- Amend the section entitled **Public Spaces** on page 8.184 to read as follows:
- "c. [Public spaces] <u>Public and Private Open Spaces</u>
- 29 Public and private open spaces are defined as land intended to remain undeveloped and designed
- for passive or active recreation and/or as gathering places. They should be safe, inviting, and
 - accessible areas that enhance the value of surrounding development. The DSP shall include the

 $1 \parallel$ location and details for all open space amenities. 2 (1) All new development is encouraged to incorporate open space where appropriate. 3 (2) A variety of seating options should be included such as benches, seating steps, planters, 4 seating walls, table seating, and picnic tables. 5 (3) All landscaping should be designed in conformance with CPTED principles. 6 (4) Pedestrian-scaled lighting should be provided to ensure a safe environment in conformance with 7 CPTED principles. Open spaces should be illuminated to a minimum 1.0 foot-candles and a maximum of 8 2.0 foot candles. Full cut-off optic fixtures should be used where public and private spaces abut 9 residential areas so that light does not spill into residential building windows. 10 (5) If more than one lamp style is used, the styles should be complementary. 11 (6) Open spaces are encouraged to include amenities and focal points of interest such as recreational equipment, chess tables, fountains, community gardens, and public art. 12 13 (7) Trash and recycling receptacles should be provided within all open spaces. 14 (8) All site furnishings should be coordinated and shall feature durable, low-maintenance 15 materials. Site furnishings shall not be constructed of wood. 16 (9) Plazas are defined as open spaces that are primarily paved and spatially defined by building 17 frontages. [The design of public] Plazas [spaces] should relate to the surrounding built [and 18 natural] context in terms of character, theme, and views and should help create a sense of place 19 (see figures 8.34a through 8.34c). Plazas should be durable, safe, and inviting spaces that can 20 function as outdoor "living rooms" for the tenants of, and visitors to, nearby buildings. They 21 should be located near clustered destination uses, such as transit nodes, retail centers, and mixed-22 use developments, that can generate foot traffic into and through the plazas. The DSP shall 23 include the location of and details for all plaza amenities. In addition to the public and private 24 open space standards and guidelines above, plazas are subject to the following additional 25 standards and guidelines. 26 [(1)] (a) Buildings should maintain a direct relationship with public plazas by providing direct 27 points of entry, facade transparency, and shared functions (i.e., outdoor seating for restaurants or cafes). 28 29 [(2) Public plazas shall include amenities that foster access, safety, and vitality, such as paths, 30l benches, lighting, and visibility from private buildings and public thoroughfares.] 31 [(3) Public plazas are encouraged to include focal points of interest that relate to surrounding 32 development, such as fountains, sculptures, or public gathering areas.]

- [(4)] (b) The massing of surrounding [development] buildings shall not prohibit natural light access [in essential portions of a] within plazas.
- [(5) Adequate artificial lighting shall be provided to ensure a safe plaza environment.]
- [(6) All landscaping and hardscaping materials shall be designed in conformance with CPTED principles relate to surrounding development to the greatest extent possible.]
- [(7) Outdoor seating shall be located in the designated area between the public walkway and curb.]
- [(8) The placement of outdoor seating furniture and enclosures shall not interfere with use of the public walkway or on-street parking.]
- (c) Plazas should not abut parking structures unless the parking structure contains active uses on the ground floor adjacent to the plaza.
- (d) Plazas should be designed so that they are consistent with and complementary to the architectural appearance of adjacent buildings. Compatible paving materials and landscaping should be incorporated into the plaza design. Where structural features are proposed as part of the plaza design, they should complement the design of nearby buildings.
- (e) Unfinished concrete is discouraged as a paving material.
- (f) Loading and service areas abutting plazas are strongly discouraged. If compliance with this standard is not feasible, loading and services areas should be screened from public view with appropriate opaque walls constructed of materials compatible with surrounding buildings or with a combination of landscaping and opaque fencing."

AMENDMENT 103:

- Add new standards (f)-(j) under **Transit**, **bicycle**, **and pedestrian mobility** on page 8.185 to read:
- "(f) Non-residential and multi-family developments in the Glenridge Transit Village and Retail

 Town Center character areas shall provide a minimum of two bicycle parking spaces per 10,000

 square feet of GFA.
- (g) Bicycle parking is not required for non-residential and multi-family developments under 10,000 square feet of GFA in the Glenridge Transit Village and Retail Town Center character areas.
- (h) Whenever possible, bicycle parking spaces should be located near building entrances, but should not conflict with pedestrian circulation routes.

(i) Bicycle parking spaces shall be located in accessible, secure, well-lit and highly-visible areas.
(j) Bicycle racks and/or lockers should be designed and located so that they are integral to the overall site design and should be compatible in appearance with other site furnishings."

AMENDMENT 104:

Amend standard (1)(c) under **Transit**, **bicycle**, **and pedestrian mobility** on page 8.185 to read: "[Bicycle paths]Paths internal to a site shall be no less than [8] four feet wide."

AMENDMENT 105:

Amend standard (1)(e) under **Transit, bicycle, and pedestrian mobility** on page 8.185 to read: "Paths shall be adequately illuminated, <u>attractively designed</u>, and clearly signed for safety and navigability, and shall be compatible with the overall design of the development site."

AMENDMENT 106:

Add a new standard (f) under **Transit**, **bicycle**, **and pedestrian mobility** on page 8.185 to read: "(f) Commercial pad sites oriented towards Annapolis Road shall be designed to provide a direct pedestrian connection to sidewalk or path networks along Annapolis Road."

AMENDMENT 107:

Consolidate all references to landscaping in the DDOZ under the Landscape Standards on pages 8.186-8.188.

AMENDMENT 108:

Amend the Street Trees section on page 8.186 to include the following introduction and information: "All public rights-of-way are governed by the Department of Public Works and Transportation, State Highway Administration, or municipality. Section 23-141 of the County Code requires the planting of street trees during the development process when existing public roads have to be improved and when new public roads are constructed. Design standards for street trees within the public rights-of-way should be obtained by the governing agency; however, all proposed public street trees should be shown on all landscape plans for informational purposes. Private streets and access easements less than 18 feet in width, private streets that provide access to eight residential lots or fewer, and alleys are exempt from the street tree standards."

AMENDMENT 109:

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24l

25

26

27

28

29

30

Add a new standard under the **Landscape Standards** on page 8.186 to read: "<u>The regulations</u> and requirements of the Prince George's County Landscape Manual shall apply to the DDOZ unless the Central Annapolis Road development standards specify otherwise."

AMENDMENT 110:

Amend the **Buffering Development from Streets** Section on page 8.187 to read as follows:

f. Buffering Residential Development from Streets

[All residential development is subject to and shall meet the screening requirements of 4.6 of the Landscape Manual if any rear yard is oriented toward a public right-of-way.] Residential uses within the DDOZ should not be required to be buffered from Annapolis Road (MD 450).

AMENDMENT 111:

Add a new **7. Signage Standards** on page 8.190 to read:

- "• Building signs shall be constructed of quality materials.
- The placement, colors, type, style and size of signs shall be integrated into the overall architectural design of the building.
- Signs for multi-tenant buildings shall be coordinated in terms of design, placement, size, materials and color.
- Flashing or blinking signs and billboards shall not be permitted.
- Letters and logos painted on storefront windows and doors shall not exceed 25 percent of the window area. Commercial signs painted on side or rear facades shall not exceed 30 percent of the façade area.
- Roof mounted signs shall not extend beyond the roofline by more than three feet.
- Banners temporarily suspended from the exterior without permanent braces to hold the banner perpendicular to the façade shall not be allowed."

AMENDMENT 112:

Amend Volume II to include a glossary of key terms used in the adopted plan.

AMENDMENT 113:

Amend the height standards for the TOD Arterial and the Mixed-Used Transit Arterial in Table 8.6, Glenridge Transit Village Bulk Table, on page 8.152 to reflect a minimum height requirement of two stories.

AMENDMENT 114:

Amend the plan, graphics and maps to incorporate mapping, typographical, grammatical and rewording corrections, as necessary.

AMENDMENT 115:

Amend the plan, graphics and maps where appropriate to correspond to the aforementioned amendments, revisions, deletions, and additions.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that this Resolution shall take effect on the date of its adoption.

Adopted this 5th day of October, 2010.

COUNTY COUNCIL OF PRINCE GEORGE'S COUNTY, MARYLAND, SITTING AS THE DISTRICT COUNCIL FOR THAT PART OF THE MARYLAND-WASHINGTON REGIONAL DISTRICT IN PRINCE GEORGE'S COUNTY, MARYLAND

	BY:
	Thomas E. Dernoga
	Chair
ATTEST:	
Redis C. Floyd	
Clerk of the Council	