1	THE PRINCE GEORGE'S COUNTY PLANNING BOARD OF										
2	THE MARYLAND-NATIONAL CAPITAL PARK AND PLANNING COMMISSION										
3											
4											
5	TERRAPIN HOUSE										
6	Conceptual Site Plan, CSP-20002										
7											
8	TRANSCRIPT										
9	O F										
10	PROCEEDINGS										
11											
12	COUNTY ADMINISTRATION BUILDING										
13	Upper Marlboro, Maryland										
14											
15	March 25, 2021										
16	VOLUME 1 of 1										
17											
18											
19	BEFORE:										
20	ELIZABETH M. HEWLETT, Chair										
21	DOROTHY F. BAILEY, Vice-Chair										
22	A. SHUANISE WASHINGTON, Commissioner										
23	MANUEL R. GERALDO, Commissioner										
24	WILLIAM M. DOERNER, Commissioner										
25											

Deposition Services, Inc.

12321 Middlebrook Road, Suite 210
Germantown, MD 20874
Tel: (301) 881-3344 Fax: (301) 881-3338
info@DepositionServices.com www.DepositionServices.com

OTHERS PRESENT:

ADAM BOSSI, Staff, Urban Design Section

MATTHEW TEDESCO, McNamee Hosea

MIRIAM BADER, City of College Park

CONTENTS

SPEAKER	PAGE
Adam Bossi	4
Matthew Tedesco	11
Miriam Bader	16

1 PROCEEDINGS 2 MADAM CHAIR: I'm just going to make sure that we 3 have everyone on this list. Okay. So, Mr., Mr. Bossi, you 4 ready? You're on. 5 MR. BOSSI: Yes. 6 MADAM CHAIR: Here you go. 7 MR. BOSSI: Yes, good morning, Madam Chairwoman. 8 MADAM CHAIR: Good morning. Mr. Tedesco, are you 9 on? 10 MR. TEDESCO: Good morning, Madam Chair, I am on. 11 MADAM CHAIR: Terry Schum, are you on? 12 MS. BADER: Terry had to go to another meeting, 13 but this is Miriam Bader. 14 MADAM CHAIR: Okay. 15 MS. BADER: And I will be representing the City of College Park. 16 17 MADAM CHAIR: Okay. Got it. Okay. Sherief 18 Elfar, did you sign on? Okay. 19 MR. ELFAR: And (indiscernible). 20 MADAM CHAIR: Okay. All right. You're going to 21 have to mute everybody. I can't, I can't -- okay. Stuart 22 Schooler, are you on, Aaron Schooler? 23 MR. SCHOOLER: (No affirmative response.) 24 MADAM CHAIR: Sean Gaouette, Gaouette? 25 MR. GAOUETTE: (No affirmative response.)

1 MADAM CHAIR: Richard Greenberg? 2 MR. GAOUETTE: I am here, yes, good morning. MADAM CHAIR: Which one is that? 3 4 MR. GREENBERG: Yes, I am here. Thank you. 5 MADAM CHAIR: Which, which, which, because 6 I've called a lot of them. Richard Greenberg, is that you 7 saying you're on? 8 MR. GREENBERG: Yes, ma'am. 9 MADAM CHAIR: And who else was on? MR. GAOUETTE: Sean Gaouette with --10 MADAM CHAIR: Gaouette? Okay. Got it. 11 12 MR. GAOUETTE: -- Tori Gallas & Partners. 13 MADAM CHAIR: And Joe DeMarco? 14 MR. DEMARCO: Yes, good morning, I am here. 15 MADAM CHAIR: Okay. Joe DeMarco. Okay. And so, 16 we have two exhibits. We have Proponent's Exhibit No. 1, 17 which from the Historic Preservation Commission. It's a 18 memo dated 3/17/21 and then we have a staff Exhibit No. 1, 19 which a response to the City of College Park and also to the 20 Historic Preservation. It has some recommended changes. 21 So, and that is from Mr. Bossi, and that will be, that's in 22 the record as Staff Exhibit No. 1. With that, Mr. Bossi, 23 ready for take-off. 24 MR. BOSSI: Well, thank you, Madam Chairwoman, and

good morning again members of the Planning Board. Adam

25

Bossi with the Urban Design Section here to present Item No. 9, the Conceptual Site Plan for Terrapin House. This is CSP-20002. The application does request to rezone two lots to the mixed-use infield zone and to provide for a vertical mixed used development with ground floor commercial retail uses and 160 to 175 residential units.

As Madam Chair mentioned, we do have two additional documents that were submitted into your back-up as she outlined, again, from the Historic Preservation Commission; and then the Staff memo that does recommend some changes to the Staff Report to incorporate the input that we received from the City of College Park and the Historic Preservation Commission. So, with that, we'll move on to slide two please.

The subject property here is in Planning Area 66, Council District 3, and is within the city of College Park. Slide three please. Outlined here in red, the 0.89-acre site is located on the north side of Hartwick Road. This is between Yale Avenue to the east and U.S. 1/Baltimore Avenue to the west. The site does consist of one existing parcel and four lots.

Slide four please. Here in the area surrounding the site, the general zoning character consists of mixed-use infill along Baltimore Avenue, which then transitions to medium density and single-family residential neighborhoods

to the east as you move away from Baltimore Avenue. Our subject site here is shown in purple. Approximately two-thirds of it is zoned mixed use infill. The two lots known as lot 9 and 10 shown in yellow are zoned one family detached residential. That's the R-55 zone shown here in yellow. This application, again, does propose to rezone specifically lots 9 and 10 from the one-family detached residential zone to the mixed-use infill zone to bring the entirety of the subject site under the same zoning classification. This change would be necessary to support the vertical mixed-use development that's also being proposed by the Conceptual Site Plan.

Staff has found the proposed zoning change would allow for the type and density of development envisioned along the U.S. 1 corridor as outlined in the sector plan. We do support the requested zoning changes outlined in the Technical Staff Report.

Slide five please. You know, I failed to mention on that last slide the request for rezoning will need to be heard by the District Council as well. So, now that we're on slide five, identified by the hatched pattern here, the subject site is within the development district overlay zone of the 2010 approved central U.S. 1 corridor sector plan and sectional map amendment. The underlying green coloration here indicates the entire site is within the aviation policy

th ||

area six, which is associated with College Park Airport.

That APA area does include a height limitation that will be evaluated at the time of Detailed Site Plan.

Slide six please. Here we see the subject site is developed. It does have a single-story commercial building along its Baltimore Avenue frontage. That's along the left side of the site here. The northeast corner of the site, the top right corner of it, is an existing, multi-family, residential building which fronts on Yale Avenue; and below that in the lower right-hand corner of the property is a single-family detached residential dwelling that's adjacent to the intersection of Yale Avenue and Hartwick Road.

Slide seven please. Shown here on the topographic map, the site does generally slope downgrade from the northeast and southwest. Slide eight please. Shown here in light blue, abutting the west side of the site, Baltimore Avenue is classified by the Master Plan of Transportation as a collective roadway.

Slide nine please. This aerial does provide a little bit nicer view of the existing development on our site and that surrounding it. As previously mentioned, there's the single-story commercial building on the west side of the site. The existing single-family dwelling that is visible on the lower, right-hand corner of the site. This particular structure was the one of interest to the

Historic Preservation Commission. Above that in the northeast corner of the site is a multi-family building. So, beyond the site to the north, there is a group of commercial buildings that does front on Baltimore Avenue and that larger structure that we see is a City of College Park parking garage.

Looking on the south side of the property, it's not visible on the slide, but there is a 2-story office commercial building. Just east of the site across Yale Ave, we do see single-family dwellings.

If we can move on to slide 10 please? So, here, the proposed development scheme for the site does provide for a single, 6-story, mixed use building to include 10,000 and 15,000 square feet of ground floor commercial space, as well as 160 to 175 dwelling units. The building will have a gross floor area of approximately 145,000 square feet. Internal parking is to be provided with separate access points for the commercial and residential uses. The red arrows that you see here on the plan do indicate these points of access.

The southern portion of the site from Hartwick Road will be the access for the commercial uses and the residential uses will access the building from a private alley located at the northeast corner of the site off of Yale Avenue. Areas that we see shaded here in orange and

th

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

blue around the periphery of the site are to receive streetscape and landscape treatments in accordance with the requirements of the central U.S. 1 corridor Sector Plan. also do see that there is an area of proposed right-of-way dedication along Hartwick Avenue that is provided for. That's in the purple tone hatch pattern at the lower right-

hand corner beneath the lot.

Two open space areas are also shown here adjacent to Yale Avenue in a green color. I do also want to note that the City of College Park provided some good comments in requested conditions of approval with this Conceptual Plan. They did raise a lot of, a lot of really valid and important issues and many of them put forth are going to be vetted through future entitlement processes, specifically should this Conceptual Site Plan be approved today, the applicant will then move on to the Preliminary Plan of subdivision and Detailed Site Plan review processes after that, and it's through those mechanisms that much of the detail that the city asked about will be worked out.

Slide 11 please. The last few slides here are of some illustrative building images which really do help show what the Applicant's conceptual vision is for their development proposal. With the top an inch, and that on the lower left side, we see the building facades that face Baltimore Avenue and Hartwick Ave. And on those, the

Applicant is, is showing store front spaces provided on the ground level, with the intent of having residential uses above.

If we could move on to the next slide, please?

So, here on slide 12, we do see some illustrative images that the Applicant has provided. The image on the left shows the northwest corner of the building, so that's a view if you were looking down Baltimore Ave to the south. The image on the right shows the building as viewed at the corner of Baltimore and Hartwick Road, excuse me. So, that would be from a southwestern vantage point.

On, last slide please. And here it's, it's a little bit more evident. I mentioned earlier that the Applicant is proposing a step down in heightened massing of the building between the more intensive mixed-use nature of the development along Baltimore Avenue, and the more residential nature of the existing neighborhood to the east of the site. So, we can see here in these images that step down of both height and massing that's proposed on the side of the building that, that will front on Yale Avenue.

With that said, Madam Chair, it's our understanding that the Applicant and the City of College Park are in agreement with the findings and recommendations included in our Technical Staff Report, and is recommended for revision by Staff's memo dated March 18. With that, I

th 11

Τ	am pleased to recommend that the Planning Board recommend
2	that District Council approve the rezoning of lots 9 and 10
3	from the R-55 zone to the mixed-use infill zone. And,
4	further, Staff does recommend the Board approval Conceptual
5	Site Plan CSP-20002 subject to the conditions included in
6	the Technical Staff Report and as revised by Staff's memo
7	dated March 18, 2021, and I am here to answer any questions
8	the Board may have. Thank you.
9	MADAM CHAIR: Thank you, Mr. Bossi. I mean that
0	zoning makes sense and you can see it so clearly in the
.1	Zoning Map, okay, the rezoning. So, let's see if the Board
_2	has any questions of you, Mr. Bossi. Madam Vice Chair?
.3	MADAM VICE CHAIR: No questions. Thank you.
L 4	MADAM CHAIR: Commissioner Washington?
L5	COMMISSIONER WASHINGTON: No questions.
L 6	MADAM CHAIR: Commissioner Doerner?
L7	COMMISSIONER DOERNER: No questions.
L 8	MADAM CHAIR: Commissioner Geraldo?
9	COMMISSIONER GERALDO: I'm here.
20	MADAM CHAIR: Okay.
21	COMMISSIONER GERALDO: No questions. I'm here.
22	MADAM CHAIR: Okay. Okay. So, Mr. Tedesco?
23	MR. TEDESCO: Good morning, Madam Chair.
24	MADAM CHAIR: Good morning.
25	MD TEDESCO. I'll be brief I want to thank Mr

Bossi for his presentation, as well as the Staff Report. As indicated by Madam Chair earlier, we do not have any proposed modifications or revisions to the Staff recommendations, both findings and conditions. We also are in agreement with the, with the Staff's recent memo with respect to modification of certain findings and response to the Historic Preservation's recommendations, as well as the City of College Park.

I do, just with your indulgence, Madam Chair, because this case does have to go to the District Council, I just want to note a couple of things for the record and primarily for the, for your indulgence, but also for the edification of the Council when we get there.

MADAM CHAIR: Okay.

MR. TEDESCO: This case in particular, as you would expect, not just being a case within the City of College Park, which gets thoroughly vetted by its Planning Staff represented here today by Ms. Bader, but also in this one given its location went through a fairly arduous process in review, so I just want to highlight a couple of things and dates.

On December 3, 2020, we presented to the city's Advisory Planning Commission. At that meeting, there was a lot of comments, and suggestions, and thoughts, and we kind of put our pencils down and then came back, and I want to

thank Courtney Gallis (phonetic sp.) and Bohler Engineering, as well as my client, the Applicant, for its willingness to listen very thoroughly and take the comments and suggestions that were made at that December 3rd APC meeting to heart. And what we did is we took our time and we came back a couple of months later with the plans that you see before you and I think that has paid off in dividends because we do present before you today not only with your Staff's recommendation of approval, but also the City of College Park's recommendation of approval which -- and Historic Preservation Commission's recommendation of approval.

So, after that December 3rd meeting on February 24, 2021, we presented to the Old Town College Park Historic District's Local Advisory Committee, who was also supported. On March 2, 2021, we presented at a work session to the Mayor and City Council of College Park; on March 9, 2021, we had a regular meeting before the Mayor and City Council of College Park who recommended support of this project, or this Conceptual Site Plan and rezoning with conditions, and we've since then executed a memorandum of understanding with the city; and on March 16th, we presented to the Historic Preservation Commission who recommended support, all of which is provided for in Mr. Bossi's either Staff Report or supplemental Staff Report.

And I would, again, just want to publicly thank

1

2

3

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

each and every one of those bodies, including Ms. Bader and Ms. Schum, the Mayor and the City Council members of College Park for its review of the Historic Preservation Commission, as well as all the local advisory committees that we presented in front of. And I would just submit, Madam Chair, members of the Board, on incorporating and adopting as any further comments I may have, finding 7 and 8 in your Staff Report. That's really the heart of this application which is the requested rezoning of lots 9 and 10 to the MUI Zone that balances this entire property out. Since my client purchased lots 9, 10, 11 and 12 fairly, you know, within the last year, and so that was really the genesis of this application to balance out that zoning. So, I would incorporate and adopt those findings on finding 7 and 8 of your Staff Report, as well as my statement of justification, further incorporate and adopt as any further comments here today which thoroughly go through those required findings with respect to this application. And with that, Madam Chair, again, we thank you, and we thank the Board, and we thank Staff, and everyone who has participated in this case for its support. With that, Madam Chair, we, we hopefully look for your and the Board's approval of this application. Thank you.

MADAM CHAIR: Okay.

MR. TEDESCO: And I would just, I would just note,

```
Madam Chair, in closing, I'm sorry to interrupt you,
 2
   everyone else who you referenced at the beginning of the
   hearing, Mr. Greenberg, Mr. Schooler, Mr. Elfar, Mr.
 3
   Gaouette, Mr. DeMarco, they're all part of the development
 5
          They're here to answer any questions, but they do not
 6
   need to speak unless you need to hear from them; but I think
 7
   they would incorporate and adopt what I've mentioned, what
   I've indicated and, again, we're just here to answer any
 8
 9
   questions if there are any. Thank you.
10
             MADAM CHAIR: Okay. Wonderful. Thank you, Mr.
   Tedesco. Let's see if there is any questions of you before
11
   I go to Ms. Bader. Madam Vice Chair?
12
13
             MADAM VICE CHAIR: No questions. Thank you.
14
             MADAM CHAIR: Okay. Commissioner Washington?
15
             COMMISSIONER WASHINGTON: No questions.
             MADAM CHAIR: Commissioner Doerner?
16
17
             COMMISSIONER DOERNER: No questions. Thank you.
18
             MADAM CHAIR: Commissioner Geraldo?
19
             COMMISSIONER GERALDO: No questions. Thank you
20
   for the presentation.
21
             MADAM CHAIR: Okay. Ms. Bader?
22
             MS. BADER: No questions.
2.3
             MADAM CHAIR: Ms. --
24
             MS. BADER: Oh, oh, I'm sorry, did you want me to
25
   speak? I'm sorry. I got, I got --
```

1 MADAM CHAIR: That's okay.

th

MS. BADER: -- well, call, sorry, sorry.

MADAM CHAIR: That's okay.

MS. BADER: Okay. Good morning, Planning Board,
Madam Chair, this is Miriam Bader, Senior Planner for City
of College Park. The City of College Park, City Council,
they voted to support Terrapin House with conditions on
March 9th. Staff would like to extend their appreciation to
the developer for having continued this application in order
to allow us to get more details so that we can get a better
understanding and hopefully support the project, which now
we do.

The City is not used to reviewing CSP's to facilitate rezoning. Typically, we receive Detailed Site Plans, but the City is, has required an MLU for this proposal to ensure our concerns are addressed and we support the Technical Staff Report with the amendments. Thank you very much, Madam Chair.

MADAM CHAIR: Okay. Thank you so much, Ms. Bader. So, if that's it, let's see if there's any, if there's no one else to speak, so let's see if there's a motion if the Board has no questions of anyone.

COMMISSIONER WASHINGTON: Madam Chair, I move that we adopt the findings of Staff as outlined in Staff's Report and as further amended by Staff Exhibit No. 1, and recommend

to the District Council approval of the request to rezone 1 2 Lots 9 and 10 from the one family detached residential zone 3 to the mixed use infill zone, in addition to approving CSP-4 20002, along with the associated condition as outlined in 5 Staff's Report and as further amended by Applicant, excuse 6 me, Staff Exhibit No. 1. 7 MADAM CHAIR: Thank you. We have a motion. Is there a second? 8 9 MADAM VICE CHAIR: I second. 10 MADAM CHAIR: Okay. We have a second. A motion by Commissioner Washington, seconded by Vice Chair Bailey. 11 12 Madam Vice Chair? 13 MADAM VICE CHAIR: Vote aye. 14 MADAM CHAIR: Commissioner Washington? 15 COMMISSIONER WASHINGTON: Vote aye. MADAM CHAIR: Commissioner Doerner? 16 17 COMMISSIONER DOERNER: Aye. 18 MADAM CHAIR: Commissioner Geraldo? 19 COMMISSIONER GERALDO: Vote aye, Madam Chair. 20 Thank you. 21 MADAM CHAIR: The ayes have it 5-0. Smooth 22 landing, Mr. Bossi; and well done, Mr. Tedesco. I know you 23 understand me. Okay. 24 MR. TEDESCO: Thank you very much.

MR. BOSSI: Thank you all very much.

25

1	MADAM	CHAIR:	Tł	nank	you.	Oł	kay.	So,	the	next	-
2	(Where	upon,	the	prod	ceedin	gs	were	cond	clude	ed.)	
3											
4											
5											
6											
7											
8											
9											
10											
11											
12											
13											
14											
15											
16											
17											
18											
19											
20											
21											
22											
23											
24											
25											

DIGITALLY SIGNED CERTIFICATE

DEPOSITION SERVICES, INC., hereby certifies that the attached pages represent an accurate transcript of the electronic sound recording of the proceedings before the Prince George's County Planning Board in the matter of:

Terrapin House

Conceptual Site Plan, CSP-20002

Jracy Waln

Date: March 25, 2021

Tracy Hahn, Transcriber