

RESOLUTION

WHEREAS, the Prince George's County Planning Board is charged with the approval of Detailed Site Plans pursuant to Part 3, Division 9 of the Zoning Ordinance of the Prince George's County Code; and

WHEREAS, in consideration of evidence presented at a public hearing on February 20, 2020, regarding Detailed Site Plan DSP-19052 for The Mansions at Melford Town Center, the Planning Board finds:

1. Request: The subject application is a detailed site plan (DSP) for development of a multifamily residential complex consisting of 435 multifamily dwelling units in nine separate buildings and one 12,000-square-foot clubhouse with a swimming pool and other associated amenities.

2. Development Data Summary:

| | EXISTING | APPROVED |
|--|-----------------|-------------------------|
| Zone | M-X-T | M-X-T |
| Use | Vacant | Multifamily Residential |
| Acreage (Gross) | 11.35 | 11.35** |
| Building Square Feet (Gross floor area) sq. ft. | 0 | 706,364 |
| Of which clubhouse and bathhouse | | 12,000 |
| Residential Units | | 562,486 |
| 1st Floor Garage and G1 Basement | | 131,878 |
| Total Dwelling Units | - | 435 |
| 1 Bedroom | | 188 |
| 2 Bedroom | | 171 |
| 2 Bedroom with a den | | 28 |
| 3 Bedroom | | 48 |
| Building Height | | 4 to 5 Stories |
| Standard Spaces | - | 485 |
| Compact Spaces | - | 168 |
| Parallel (On-site) | | 19 |
| Standard Handicap-Accessible Spaces | - | 25 |
| Of which Van Accessible | - | 6 |
| Total Spaces Provided | - | 697* |
| Loading Spaces Provided (12 ft. by 33 ft.) | - | 2 |

Note: *Per Sections 27-574 and 27-583 of the Prince George's County Zoning Ordinance, there is no specific required number of parking or loading spaces in the M-X-T Zone. The applicant has submitted an analysis (dated May 20, 2019, by Lenhart Traffic Consulting, Inc.) to be approved by the Prince George's County Planning Board. See Finding 7 for a discussion of the parking analysis.

** During the public hearing for this DSP on February 20, 2020, the Planning Board approved the revised acreage of 11.35 based upon Applicant's Exhibit #1, which clarified the proposed parcels.

3. **Location:** The larger Melford property is located in the northeastern quadrant of the intersection of MD 3 (Robert Crain Highway) and US 50/US 301 (John Hanson Highway) in Planning Area 71B and Council District 4, within the City of Bowie. The specific site included in this DSP is located on the north side of Lake Melford Avenue, in the northeast quadrant of its intersection with Curie Drive, in the geographic center of Melford Town Center.
4. **Surrounding Uses:** The overall Melford site is bounded to the north by single-family detached dwellings in the Residential-Agricultural (R-A) Zone and vacant park property in the Reserved Open Space Zone; to the east by the Patuxent River; to the south by the US 50/US 301 right-of-way and a vacant property in the Open Space (O-S) Zone; and to the west by the MD 3 right-of-way. The subject DSP site is within the geographical center of Melford Town Center, which is located at the intersection of Curie Drive and Lake Melford Avenue. The site is bounded to the north by existing woodland, to the east by undeveloped land in the Melford Town Center with an existing stormwater management (SWM) pond and woodland beyond, to the west by the public right-of-way (ROW) of Curie Drive and the approved DSP-18007, The Aspen at Melford Town Center beyond, and to the south beyond the ROW of Lake Melford Avenue by townhouse development approved in an infrastructure DSP-18034, all in the Mixed Use-Transportation Oriented (M-X-T) Zone.
5. **Previous Approvals:** On January 25, 1982, the Prince George's County District Council approved Zoning Map Amendment (Basic Plan) A-9401 for the overall Melford development (formerly known as the Maryland Science and Technology Center), with 10 conditions (Zoning Ordinance No. 2-1982). The zoning map amendment rezoned the property from the R-A and O-S Zones to the Employment and Institutional Area (E-I-A) Zone. On July 7, 1986, the District Council approved Comprehensive Design Plan CDP-8601, affirming the prior Prince George's County Planning Board decision (PGCPB Resolution No. 86-107), for the Maryland Science and Technology Center, with 27 conditions and 2 considerations. Between 1986 and 2005, several specific design plans (SDPs) and preliminary plans of subdivision (PPS) were approved for the development.

The 2006 *Approved Master Plan for Bowie and Vicinity and Sectional Map Amendment for Planning Areas 71A, 71B, 74A, and 74B* (Bowie and Vicinity Master Plan and SMA) rezoned the property from the E-I-A Zone to the M-X-T Zone.

Conceptual Site Plan CSP-06002 was approved by the Planning Board on January 11, 2007, for mixed-use development consisting of hotel, office, retail, restaurant, research and development, and residential (366 single-family detached and attached units and 500 multifamily units) uses. Subsequently, on May 11, 2009, District Council approved CSP-06002 with 4 modifications and 29 conditions, rejecting the residential component of the proposed development. Over the years, numerous SDPs and DSPs have been approved for the subject property, in support of the office, flex, hotel, and institutional uses, although not all have been constructed.

On May 6, 2014, the District Council approved the *Plan Prince George's 2035 Approved General Plan* (Plan 2035), which created new center designations to replace those found in the 2002 *Prince George's County Approved General Plan* and classified the Bowie Town Center, including the subject site, as a Town Center. The subject site retained its status as an Employment Area in the plan.

CSP-06002-01 was approved by the Planning Board on December 4, 2014 (PGCPB Resolution No. 14-128), for the addition of 2,500 residential units, including 500 townhouses, 1,000 age-restricted multifamily dwelling units, and 1,000 multifamily dwelling units; 268,500 square feet of retail uses; and 260,000 square feet of office space, to the previous CSP development. The CSP amendment was appealed and heard by District Council on February 23, 2015. District Council subsequently issued an Order of Approval on March 23, 2015, supporting the development, as approved by the Planning Board.

PPS 4-16006 was approved by the Planning Board on March 9, 2017, for 256 lots and 50 parcels to accommodate 359,500 square feet of commercial uses (124,500 square feet of commercial retail and 235,000 square feet of office and medical offices) and 1,793 residential units (283 attached units and 1,500 multifamily units). The Planning Board adopted PGCPB Resolution No. 17-45 on April 6, 2017. A request for reconsideration was granted on May 18, 2017. However, on June 29, 2017, the case was appealed to the Circuit Court for Prince George's County and the reconsideration request was dismissed, without prejudice, on July 20, 2017.

DSP-17020, for grading and infrastructure of Melford Town Center, was approved and its resolution adopted by the Planning Board on December 7, 2017 (PGCPB No. 17-152) with three conditions.

DSP-18007 for a 388-unit multifamily building located on a 6.62-acre area was approved by the Planning Board on July 12, 2018, subject to six conditions, and the resolution (PGCPB No. 18-66) was adopted on July 26, 2018. A Planning Director-level amendment to the DSP was approved on November 21, 2019.

DSP-18026 for 57,845 square feet of commercial retail space on an 8.83-acre area was approved by the Planning Board on January 17, 2019, subject to three conditions, and the resolution (PGCPB No. 19-12) was adopted on January 24, 2019.

DSP-18034 for infrastructure, for 205 single-family attached (townhouses) and 44 two-family attached dwelling units, on a 28.38-acre area was approved by the Planning Board on January 17, 2019, subject to four conditions, and the resolution (PGCPB No. 19-13) was adopted on January 24, 2019.

The site also has an approved City of Bowie SWM Concept Plan, 01-0317-207NE15, which is valid until March 20, 2020.

- 6. Design Features:** The subject DSP proposes a multifamily residential complex consisting of nine individual buildings and a clubhouse with an inground swimming pool, bathhouse, and outdoor sitting areas including deck space, lounge chairs, cabanas, and grills. All buildings, except for Building B, are located in the northeast quadrant of the intersection of Lake Melford Avenue and Curie Drive. Building B is located on the south side of Lake Melford Avenue surrounded on three sides by the townhouse development previously approved in DSP-18034. The subject site is accessed via three vehicular drives from both Curie Drive and Lake Melford Avenue. The eight residential buildings on the north side of Lake Melford Avenue are arranged to address both frontages of Curie Drive and Lake Melford Avenue, with the clubhouse in the center. Surface parking lots are located throughout the site to be as close as possible to the buildings. A public plaza, featuring green space and a sitting area as a focal point, is located adjacent to the intersection of Curie Drive and Lake Melford Avenue. This public open space is complementary in character to the public plaza across Curie Drive to the west that features an expanse of hardscape, as approved in DSP-18007. Sidewalks are proposed on both sides of all roadways and most of the parking lots connecting the plaza and the clubhouse with the rest of the site.

Architecture

The building design is inspired by the design, materials, and articulation of the existing Melford House, the Belair Mansions, and other architectural heritage in the general area. All buildings are designed in a coordinated manner featuring three-parts composition and asphalt-shingled, hip roofs with various types of dormers and other roof articulation. The buildings are finished with a combination of brick veneer and cementitious panels. Other architectural features such as trim, white composite siding trim, columns, balconies, and standing seam metal roof sections are also used extensively on the buildings. Other design techniques such as changing of the building mass, projection, and recess of different parts of the building plate, along with various vertical treatments have been used to breakdown the expanse of horizontal volume of all residential buildings. Special treatment, such as various shapes of canopy has been used at the main entrance to each building. Finish materials have also been used on different parts of the elevation to create visual interest. All residential buildings are 4 to 5 stories in height, between 69 and 91 feet. The architectural design is in conformance with the previously approved Melford Village Design Guidelines for multifamily buildings, also known as multifamily villas.

The one-story, 42-foot-high clubhouse is designed in a similar way, but with a standing seam metal pitched roof. A tower element is also used to mark the main entrance to the building. Other materials and parts, such as vertical wood siding, cast stone, metal coping, metal canopy, aluminum gutter, wood louvers, and aluminum store front windows, are employed in the building design. The swimming pool area is enclosed with an aluminum fence on a brick base.

Signage

Signage for the project includes an extensive monument sign, curved in form, and punctuated with brick piers capped with granite, forming a background of the pavilion at the public plaza. The project name Melford Mansions is located on the sign face with a big M on the twisted aluminum fence, as part of the sign feature that can be visible from both Curie Drive and Lake Melford Avenue. However, due to the fact that this area is a public plaza for use by the entire Melford Town Center, this sign should be replaced with a town center sign, as conditioned herein.

Another simplified monument sign featuring two different elements of a short brick pier with cast concrete cap, two taller plates with the project name, and a large M at a 90-degree angle is proposed to be located at the three corner points of the site. The panel of the sign has a brick base and shows a very contemporary appearance. Other signage includes an identical sign text and M logo mounted on the tower of the clubhouse, trail marker sign (metallic aluminum finish), and address and parking signs at each residential building.

Lighting

Freestanding pole and building-mounted lighting fixtures are provided with this DSP, along with a photometric study. The specifications of freestanding pole lights include streetlights, pedestrian walkway lights, such as Acorn-style post lights, and plaza lighting details are provided. All lighting fixtures are full cut-off LED types that limit light spill-over onto adjacent properties. The proposed lighting design is acceptable.

Green Building and Sustainable Site Development Techniques

The proposed multifamily residential complex is intended to achieve LEED (Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design) GOLD certification. However, there was no LEED Score Card submitted with this application. The main techniques to be employed in this development project are summarized, as follows: secure bicycle storage; permeable pavement to reduce runoff; micro-bioretenion areas; high-efficiency fixtures; zero use of chlorofluorocarbon-based refrigerants; trash and recyclable storage rooms in each building; and indoor air quality management plans during construction and preoccupation phases.

Recreational Facilities

In accordance with the formula for determining the value of recreational facilities of the Prince George's County Department of Parks and Recreation, the proposed development of 435 multifamily residential units is obligated with a recreational facility package of approximately \$404,000. The applicant proposed facilities and amenities with an estimated cost as follows:

| <i>Clubhouse</i> | | |
|------------------------|--------------|-----------|
| Fitness Center | 3,150 sq. ft | \$630,000 |
| Community/Game Room | 2,780 sq. ft | \$625,500 |
| <i>Bathhouse</i> | | |
| Bathhouse | 1,500 sq. ft | \$225,000 |
| Pool and Pool Deck | 7,500 sq. ft | \$450,000 |
| Outdoor Party/BBQ Area | 8,300 sq. ft | \$498,000 |

The estimated value of \$2.4 million of the proposed recreational package as shown above exceeds what is normally required for this development. The timing for the completion of construction and installation of the proposed recreational facilities has been included in this resolution.

COMPLIANCE WITH EVALUATION CRITERIA

7. **Prince George’s County Zoning Ordinance:** The subject application has been reviewed for compliance with the requirements of the M-X-T Zone and the site plan design guidelines of the Prince George’s County Zoning Ordinance.
 - a. The subject application is in conformance with the requirements of Section 27-547 of the Zoning Ordinance, which governs uses in all mixed-use zones. Residential dwelling units of all types, excluding mobile homes, are permitted in the M-X-T Zone, subject to Footnote 7, which states that the maximum number and type of dwelling units shall be determined at the time of CSP approval.

At the time of CSP-06002-01 approval, a total of 2,500 residential units, including 500 townhouses, 1,000 age-restricted multifamily dwelling units, and 1,000 multifamily dwelling units; 268,500 square feet of retail uses; and 260,000 square feet of office space was included. There is only one DSP (DSP-18007) approved for 388 multifamily dwelling units. With the approval of this DSP, the total multifamily dwelling units will be 677, which is below the maximum 1,000 units allowed.
 - b. The subject application is in conformance with the requirements of Section 27-547(d), which governs the required mix of uses in all mixed-use zones. The proposal is part of the overall Melford Town Center development, which was approved for a mixed-use development consisting of retail, office, hotel, and residential uses. The subject DSP, which proposes residential uses, contributes toward the overall mix of uses on the larger project, as approved under CSP-06002, when the remainder of the overall development is taken into consideration.
 - c. Section 27-546, Site Plans, of the Zoning Ordinance has additional requirements for approval of a DSP in the M-X-T Zone, as follows:

(d) In addition to the findings required for the Planning Board to approve either the Conceptual or Detailed Site Plan (Part 3, Division 9), the Planning Board shall also find that:

(1) The proposed development is in conformance with the purposes and other provisions of this Division;

The purposes of the M-X-T Zone, as stated in Section 27-542 of the Zoning Ordinance, are as follows:

(a) The purposes of the M-X-T Zone are:

(1) To promote the orderly development and redevelopment of land in the vicinity of major interchanges, major intersections, major transit stops, and designated General Plan Centers so that these areas will enhance the economic status of the County and provide an expanding source of desirable employment and living opportunities for its citizens;

The multifamily residential use proposed in this DSP is geographically located in the middle of the larger Melford Town Center development that is located at the major interchange of US 50/US 301 and MD 3, in accordance with this purpose. In addition, the project will generate taxes, jobs, and additional residential options, also in accordance with this purpose.

(2) To implement recommendations in the approved General Plan, Master Plans, and Sector Plans, by creating compact, mixed-use, walkable communities enhanced by a mix of residential, commercial, recreational, open space, employment, and institutional uses;

The subject property will be developed in accordance with the relevant land use policy recommendations contained in Plan 2035 and the Bowie and Vicinity Master Plan and SMA, as described in Section IV of the applicant's statement of justification (SOJ), which is incorporated herein by reference. The multifamily residential use proposed in this DSP will be complementary to the existing and proposed office and retail uses and serves as a catalyst for the mixed-use development contemplated by CSP-06002-01.

- (3) To conserve the value of land and buildings by maximizing the public and private development potential inherent in the location of the zone, which might otherwise become scattered throughout and outside the County, to its detriment;**

The multifamily residential use proposed in this DSP will enhance the value of surrounding land and buildings and serve as a catalyst to the mixed-use development contemplated by the previously approved CSP-06002-01, in accordance with this purpose.

- (4) To promote the effective and optimum use of transit and reduce automobile use by locating a mix of residential and non-residential uses in proximity to one another and to transit facilities to facilitate walking, bicycle, and transit use;**

The subject DSP is the second multifamily residential development that is part of a larger CSP, which includes 2,500 residential dwelling units, 268,500 square feet of retail uses, and 260,000 additional square feet of office space. As this will result in shared trips and people being able to walk and bike between varying uses in the development, the subject proposed residential development will support the above purpose.

- (5) To facilitate and encourage a twenty-four (24) hour environment to ensure continuing functioning of the project after workday hours through a maximum of activity, and the interaction between the uses and those who live, work in, or visit the area;**

The proposed multifamily residential use will provide critical mass to those uses already constructed and will further this purpose. The project will have residents that contribute to 24-hours-a-day synergy and will complement existing and proposed retail, office, and industrial land uses within Melford. This project will further the interaction between uses, as some people who work in the area would have the option to live and shop in the area.

(6) To encourage an appropriate horizontal and vertical mix of land uses which blend together harmoniously;

The proposed multifamily residential use will provide the second multifamily residential component of the horizontal mixed-use development within the Melford Town Center. As mentioned previously, the interaction between uses and those who live, work, shop, and visit the area will blend together harmoniously and complement each other.

(7) To create dynamic, functional relationships among individual uses within a distinctive visual character and identity;

The proposed multifamily residential use will be a first step in completing the mixed-use community envisioned by CSP-06002-01. The design of the nine multifamily buildings, in accordance with the multifamily villa design standards approved for the Melford Town Center, create a distinctive image. Future development applications will continue to reflect and emphasize the relationships between individual uses to create a distinctive visual character and identity, consistent with the previously approved CSP and PPS.

(8) To promote optimum land planning with greater efficiency through the use of economies of scale, savings in energy, innovative stormwater management techniques, and provision of public facilities and infrastructure beyond the scope of single-purpose projects;

SWM policies and other green building and sustainable site development principles are incorporated into the site's development. The SWM Concept Plan for the project (01-0317-207NE15) was approved by the City of Bowie, with conditions, and incorporates innovative SWM techniques, as required above. The overall Melford Town Center will have up to 10 percent of its surface parking spaces utilizing pervious pavement, which is a sustainable development technique that will reduce the amount of impervious surface.

(9) To permit a flexible response to the market and promote economic vitality and investment; and

The mixed-use development approved by CSP-06002-01 included three major use categories, retail businesses, office, research or industrial uses, and residential dwellings that are necessary for any mixed-use development to be successful and allow maximum flexibility for a response to the market. As discussed previously, the multifamily residential use proposed with this application is expected to provide the needed residential options to the office, retail, and industrial uses and catalyze the mixed-use development contemplated by CSP-06002-01.

(10) To allow freedom of architectural design in order to provide an opportunity and incentive to the developer to achieve excellence in physical, social, and economic planning.

The subject DSP proposes architectural design that is in accordance with the multifamily villa design guidelines approved in CSP-06002-01 for the town center. The buildings are visually attractive, respond to existing site conditions, and utilize form and massing, architectural materials, and details that respond to the adjacent historic Melford House and Belair Mansion.

(2) For property placed in the M-X-T Zone through a Sectional Map Amendment approved after October 1, 2006, the proposed development is in conformance with the design guidelines or standards intended to implement the development concept recommended by the Master Plan, Sector Plan, or Sectional Map Amendment Zoning Change or include a major employment use or center which is consistent with the economic development strategies of the Sector Plan or General Plan;

The subject property, as part of the larger project, was placed in the M-X-T Zone on February 7, 2006, via the Bowie and Vicinity Master Plan and SMA. Thus, the above section does not apply to this application. However, the approved CSP does include comprehensive design guidelines that guide the design of this multifamily residential complex. The SOJ submitted by the applicant provides a review of the applicable guidelines, that are incorporated into this resolution by reference.

- (3) The proposed development has an outward orientation which either is physically and visually integrated with existing adjacent development or catalyzes adjacent community improvement and rejuvenation;**

The proposed multifamily development is part of a larger mixed-use development designed to be physically integrated with both existing and future adjacent development in the area. The DSP is visually integrated with existing and future uses through the use of connecting streets (i.e. Lake Melford Avenue and Curie Drive) and pedestrian systems, including sidewalks and trails, as reflected on the DSP. Further details about the overall transportation network (including pedestrian, bicycle, and automobile connectivity) will be reflected on future DSPs, in conformance with the design guidelines approved with CSP-06002-01. In addition, the approved CSP requires the construction of a pedestrian connection from Lake Melford Avenue to the adjacent retail villages and residential uses in Melford Town Center and further to the residential neighborhood to the west of MD 3. This pedestrian connection will add a further element of an outward orientation.

- (4) The proposed development is compatible with existing and proposed development in the vicinity;**

The proposed development of a multifamily residential complex on this site was anticipated by the previously approved CSP-06002-01 and PPS and is therefore compatible with the development concept of Melford and other design elements recommended for the area.

- (5) The mix of uses, arrangement and design of buildings and other improvements, and provision of public amenities reflect a cohesive development capable of sustaining an independent environment of continuing quality and stability;**

The subject DSP proposes high-quality multifamily residential buildings and a clubhouse with amenities that will represent the third residential use proposed within the boundaries of the Melford Town Center. The proposed development has been designed in anticipation of additional uses and structures that will be developed in future phases of the project. Details regarding future uses, building design, and public amenities will be reflected in forthcoming DSPs that reflect a cohesive development capable of sustaining an independent environment of continuing quality and stability.

- (6) If the development is staged, each building phase is designed as a self-sufficient entity, while allowing for effective integration of subsequent phases;**

The development shown on the DSP will be completed in one phase. However, this development is part of a larger project approved under one previously approved CSP. The development of this site will allow effective integration of subsequent development because this development will provide needed housing options.

- (7) The pedestrian system is convenient and is comprehensively designed to encourage pedestrian activity within the development;**

The overall Melford Town Center development plan (as reflected in approved CSP-06002-01) includes sidewalks on both sides of the internal roads and several internal trail/bicycle connections, in addition to a future master plan trail. The trail along the Patuxent River corridor is shown as two connections from both the north and south ends of the development. These connections are designed to meet the intent of the master plan recommendations. In addition to the proposed network of sidewalks, pedestrian access is further supplemented by the stream valley trail, the trail around the pond, and the proposed trail/bicycle routes. In the review of the prior CSP-06002-01 application, the trails coordinator determined that the trail limits and alignment are acceptable, as shown on the submitted trail construction plans, and fulfill the master plan recommendations for a trail along the stream valley.

- (8) On the Detailed Site Plan, in areas of the development which are to be used for pedestrian activities or as gathering places for people, adequate attention has been paid to human scale, high quality urban design, and other amenities, such as the types and textures of materials, landscaping and screening, street furniture, and lighting (natural and artificial); and**

Details pertaining to areas for pedestrian activities and gathering spaces, specifically the corner public plaza, have been provided in this DSP. The arrangement of these areas generally reflects a well-conceived design for pedestrian and gathering spaces, including attention to material type, landscaping, and street furniture, to give these spaces a well-defined sense of place. The plaza in this DSP is intended for passive activities featuring more open green area that is complementary to the more urban plaza, featuring hardscape, across Curie Drive to the west.

- (9) On a Conceptual Site Plan for property placed in the M-X-T Zone by a Sectional Map Amendment, transportation facilities that are**

existing; that are under construction; or for which one hundred percent (100%) of construction funds are allocated within the adopted County Capital Improvement Program, or the current State Consolidated Transportation Program, will be provided by the applicant, or are incorporated in an approved public facilities financing and implementation program, will be adequate to carry anticipated traffic for the proposed development. The finding by the Council of adequate transportation facilities at the time of Conceptual Site Plan approval shall not prevent the Planning Board from later amending this finding during its review of subdivision plats.

This requirement is not applicable to this DSP.

- (10) On the Detailed Site Plan, if more than six (6) years have elapsed since a finding of adequacy was made at the time of rezoning through a Zoning Map Amendment, Conceptual Site Plan approval, or preliminary plat approval, whichever occurred last, the development will be adequately served within a reasonable period of time with existing or programmed public facilities shown in the adopted County Capital Improvement Program, within the current State Consolidated Transportation Program, or to be provided by the applicant.**

The Planning Board noted that the most recent adequacy finding for the overall M-X-T site was made in 2017 with PPS 4-16006, and the proposed DSP falls within the allowed trip cap.

- (11) On a property or parcel zoned E-I-A or M-X-T and containing a minimum of two hundred fifty (250) acres, a Mixed-Use Planned Community including a combination of residential, employment, commercial and institutional uses may be approved in accordance with the provisions set forth in this Section and Section 27-548.**

The subject DSP does not propose a mixed-use planned community.

- d. Section 27-548, M-X-T Zone Regulations, of the Zoning Ordinance establishes additional standards for development in this zone. The DSP's conformance with the applicable provisions is discussed, as follows:

(a) Maximum floor area ratio (FAR):

- (1) Without the use of the optional method of development—0.40 FAR;
and**

(2) With the use of the optional method of development—8.00 FAR.

This DSP is part of the approved CSP for the Melford Town Center. The CSP was approved using the optional method of development for the M-X-T Zone, as set forth in Section 27-545 of the Zoning Ordinance. As such, the Melford Town Center is entitled to a maximum floor area ratio (FAR) of 1.4 (0.4 base FAR, plus 1.0 bonus FAR for including 20 or more residential units). The proposed maximum FAR is approximately 0.7 with the approval of this DSP for the entire Melford Town Center, including all existing, currently proposed, and approved gross floor area, in relation to the land area of CSP-06002-01.

(b) The uses allowed in the M-X-T Zone may be located in more than one (1) building, and on more than one (1) lot.

The DSP includes a total of 10 buildings on 11 separate parcels, as allowed by this regulation.

(c) Except as provided for in this Division, the dimensions for the location, coverage, and height of all improvements shown on an approved Detailed Site Plan shall constitute the regulations for these improvements for a specific development in the M-X-T Zone.

The dimensions for coverage, height, and location of all improvements are reflected on the DSP and are acceptable. Once this DSP is approved, those indicators will be the regulations for the development of this multifamily complex.

(d) Landscaping, screening, and buffering of development in the M-X-T Zone shall be provided pursuant to the provisions of the Landscape Manual. Additional buffering and screening may be required to satisfy the purposes of the M-X-T Zone and to protect the character of the M-X-T Zone from adjoining or interior incompatible land uses.

The required landscaping shown is in accordance with the requirements of the applicable sections of the 2010 *Prince George's County Landscape Manual* (Landscape Manual). See Finding 11 below for a detailed discussion.

(e) In addition to those areas of a building included in the computation of gross floor area (without the use of the optional method of development), the floor area of the following improvements (using the optional method of development) shall be included in computing the gross floor area of the building of which they are a part: enclosed pedestrian spaces, theaters, and residential uses. Floor area ratios shall exclude from gross floor area that area in a building or structure devoted to vehicular parking and parking access areas (notwithstanding the provisions of Section 27-107.01). The floor

area ratio shall be applied to the entire property which is the subject of the Conceptual Site Plan.

The FAR for Melford Town Center, including the proposed development, is approximately 0.7, which is calculated in accordance with this requirement and is within the maximum permitted FAR of 1.4 for this development.

- (f) Private structures may be located within the air space above, or in the ground below, public rights-of-way.**

No proposed structures will infringe upon public rights-of-way. The subject project meets this requirement.

- (g) Each lot shall have frontage on, and direct vehicular access to, a public street, except lots for which private streets or other access rights-of-way have been authorized pursuant to Subtitle 24 of this Code.**

The development parcels have frontage on and direct access to public streets, or as determined in PPS 4-16006.

- (i) The maximum height of multifamily buildings shall be one hundred and ten (110) feet. This height restriction shall not apply within any Transit District Overlay Zone, designated General Plan Metropolitan or Regional Centers, or a Mixed-Use Planned Community.**

In accordance with the information provided by the applicant, Building A is 79 feet high; Building B is 91 feet; Buildings 1, 2, 3, and 7 are 81 feet; Buildings 4 and 5 are 69 feet; and Building 6 is approximately 86 feet. All proposed multifamily buildings are below the maximum height of 110 feet.

- (j) As noted in Section 27-544(b), which references property placed in the M-X-T Zone through a Sectional Map Amendment approved after October 1, 2006, and for which a comprehensive land use planning study was conducted by Technical Staff prior to initiation, regulations for Conceptual or Detailed Site Plans (such as, but not limited to density, setbacks, buffers, screening, landscaping, height, recreational requirements, ingress/egress, and internal circulation) should be based on the design guidelines or standards intended to implement the development concept recommended by the Master Plan, Sector Plan, or the Sectional Map Amendment Zoning Change and any referenced exhibit of record for the property. This regulation also applies to property readopted in the M-X-T Zone through a Sectional Map Amendment approved after October 1, 2006 and for which a comprehensive land use planning study was conducted by Technical Staff prior to initiation of a concurrent Master Plan or Sector Plan (see Section 27-226(f)(3) of the Zoning Ordinance).**

As the subject property was rezoned to the M-X-T Zone through an SMA approved on February 7, 2006, this section does not apply to the subject DSP.

- e. The DSP is in general conformance with the applicable site design guidelines, as referenced in Section 27-283 and contained in Section 27-274 of the Zoning Ordinance, as follows:

(2) Parking, loading, and circulation.

(A) Surface parking lots should be located and designed to provide safe and efficient vehicular and pedestrian circulation within the site, while minimizing the visual impact of cars. Parking spaces should be located to provide convenient access to major destination points on the site. As a means of achieving these objectives, the following guidelines should be observed:

- (i) Parking lots should generally be provided to the rear or sides of structures;**
- (ii) Parking spaces should be located as near as possible to the uses they serve;**
- (iii) Parking aisles should be oriented to minimize the number of parking lanes crossed by pedestrians;**
- (iv) Large, uninterrupted expanses of pavement should be avoided or substantially mitigated by the location of green space and plant materials within the parking lot, in accordance with the Landscape Manual, particularly in parking areas serving townhouses; and**
- (v) Special areas for van pool, car pool, and visitor parking should be located with convenient pedestrian access to buildings.**

The instant DSP proposes parking spaces in surface parking lots, one carport, and structured parking within the first floor of all the multifamily buildings, except for Buildings 4 and 5. This is in conformance with the CSP design guidelines and the appropriate provisions of the Landscape Manual. All the proposed parking lots are conveniently located to serve the intended buildings.

(B) Loading areas should be visually unobtrusive and located to minimize conflicts with vehicles or pedestrians. To fulfill this goal, the following guidelines should be observed:

- (i) Loading docks should be oriented toward service roads and away from major streets or public view; and**
- (ii) Loading areas should be clearly marked and should be separated from parking areas to the extent possible.**

There are two loading spaces proposed with this DSP. One loading space is on the south side of Building B and the other is located at the northern end of the covered parking between Buildings 3 and 4. The loading space locations should be clearly labelled on the site plan. A condition has been included in this resolution to require the labelling of the loading spaces on the parking and loading exhibit, prior to certification of this DSP.

- (C) Vehicular and pedestrian circulation on a site should be safe, efficient, and convenient for both pedestrians and drivers. To fulfill this goal, the following guidelines should be observed:**
 - (i) The location, number and design of driveway entrances to the site should minimize conflict with off-site traffic, should provide a safe transition into the parking lot, and should provide adequate acceleration and deceleration lanes, if necessary;**
 - (ii) Entrance drives should provide adequate space for queuing;**
 - (iii) Circulation patterns should be designed so that vehicular traffic may flow freely through the parking lot without encouraging higher speeds than can be safely accommodated;**
 - (iv) Parking areas should be designed to discourage their use as through-access drives;**
 - (v) Internal signs such as directional arrows, lane markings, and other roadway commands should be used to facilitate safe driving through the parking lot;**
 - (vi) Drive-through establishments should be designed with adequate space for queuing lanes that do not conflict with circulation traffic patterns or pedestrian access;**
 - (vii) Parcel pick-up areas should be coordinated with other on-site traffic flows;**

- (viii) Pedestrian access should be provided into the site and through parking lots to the major destinations on the site;**
- (ix) Pedestrian and vehicular circulation routes should generally be separated and clearly marked;**
- (x) Crosswalks for pedestrians that span vehicular lanes should be identified by the use of signs, stripes on the pavement, change of paving material, or similar techniques; and**
- (xi) Barrier-free pathways to accommodate the handicapped should be provided.**

The proposed multifamily residential use in this DSP is consistent with the design guidelines approved in CSP-06002-01 for a mixed-use community. The construction of Lake Melford Avenue through the site, and the interconnected on-site circulation will implement a vital circulation element identified in the CSP. The proposed driveway entrances for the Melford Mansions will be complimentary to the planned road network in this portion of the site. All crosswalks along pedestrian sidewalks routes will be prominently identified/marked, and all Americans with Disability Act (ADA) compliant curb cuts will be installed to accommodate handicapped access requirements.

(3) Lighting.

- (A) For uses permitting nighttime activities, adequate illumination should be provided. Light fixtures should enhance the site's design character. To fulfill this goal, the following guidelines should be observed:**
 - (i) If the development is used at night, the luminosity, orientation, and location of exterior light fixtures should enhance user safety and minimize vehicular/pedestrian conflicts;**
 - (ii) Lighting should be used to illuminate important on-site elements such as entrances, pedestrian pathways, public spaces, and property addresses. Significant natural or built features may also be illuminated if appropriate to the site;**
 - (iii) The pattern of light pooling should be directed on-site;**
 - (iv) Light fixtures fulfilling similar functions should provide a consistent quality of light;**

- (v) **Light fixtures should be durable and compatible with the scale, architecture, and use of the site; and**
- (vi) **If a variety of lighting fixtures is needed to serve different purposes on a site, related fixtures should be selected. The design and layout of the fixtures should provide visual continuity throughout the site.**

The lighting proposed in this DSP meets all the above requirements. All prominent on-site elements, such as the main entrance to each building and parking areas, will be consistently lit. The site will also incorporate full cut-off optics to limit light spill-over onto adjacent properties.

(4) Views.

- (A) Site design techniques should be used to preserve, create, or emphasize scenic views from public areas.**

The subject DSP proposes thoughtfully designed residential structures that preserve scenic views. Primarily, views to and from the Melford Historic Site will be maintained, as required by the design guidelines approved with the CSP. It should be noted that no grading is proposed within the environmental setting for the Melford House or Duckett Family Cemetery. Further, the architecture utilizes materials that are complimentary and sympathetic to the adjacent Melford House. Specifically, the proposed buildings utilize brick, other masonry materials and architectural features that respond to the federal style architectural elements present within the Melford House.

(5) Green area.

- (A) On-site green area should be designed to complement other site activity areas and should be appropriate in size, shape, location, and design to fulfill its intended use. To fulfill this goal, the following guidelines should be observed:**
 - (i) Green area should be easily accessible in order to maximize its utility and to simplify its maintenance;**
 - (ii) Green area should link major site destinations such as buildings and parking areas;**
 - (iii) Green area should be well-defined and appropriately scaled to meet its intended use;**

- (iv) Green area designed for the use and enjoyment of pedestrians should be visible and accessible, and the location of seating should be protected from excessive sun, shade, wind, and noise;**
- (v) Green area should be designed to define space, provide screening and privacy, and serve as a focal point;**
- (vi) Green area should incorporate significant on-site natural features and woodland conservation requirements that enhance the physical and visual character of the site; and**
- (vii) Green area should generally be accented by elements such as landscaping, pools, fountains, street furniture, and decorative paving.**

The subject DSP contains appropriate green areas for the proposed buildings. Specifically, a public plaza with green space and a pavilion is provided at the intersection of Lake Melford Avenue and Curie Drive that will provide a passive recreational venue for the residents. A significant portion of the main plaza will include seating space (with street furniture/ benches), and decorative pavers. In addition, on-site amenities will also include a clubhouse building with an outdoor pool, courtyard, seating area, grills and a cabana-style lounge, and an associated bathhouse.

(6) Site and streetscape amenities.

- (A) Site and streetscape amenities should contribute to an attractive, coordinated development and should enhance the use and enjoyment of the site. To fulfill this goal, the following guidelines should be observed:**
 - (i) The design of light fixtures, benches, trash receptacles, bicycle racks and other street furniture should be coordinated in order to enhance the visual unity of the site;**
 - (ii) The design of amenities should take into consideration the color, pattern, texture, and scale of structures on the site, and when known, structures on adjacent sites, and pedestrian areas;**
 - (iii) Amenities should be clearly visible and accessible, and should not obstruct pedestrian circulation;**

- (iv) Amenities should be functional and should be constructed of durable, low maintenance materials;**
- (v) Amenities should be protected from vehicular intrusion with design elements that are integrated into the overall streetscape design, such as landscaping, curbs, and bollards;**
- (vi) Amenities such as kiosks, planters, fountains, and public art should be used as focal points on a site; and**
- (vii) Amenities should be included which accommodate the handicapped and should be appropriately scaled for user comfort.**

The subject DSP contains details related to the proposed streetscape amenities and hardscape. The proposed streetscape amenities will contribute to an attractive and coordinated design to be shared throughout future sections of the Melford Town Center development.

(7) Grading.

- (A) Grading should be performed to minimize disruption to existing topography and other natural and cultural resources on the site and on adjacent sites. To the extent practicable, grading should minimize environmental impacts. To fulfill this goal, the following guidelines should be observed:**
 - (i) Slopes and berms visible from streets and other public areas should appear as naturalistic forms. Slope ratios and the length of slopes should be varied if necessary to increase visual interest and relate manmade landforms to the shape of the natural terrain;**
 - (ii) Excessive grading of hilltops and slopes should be avoided where there are reasonable alternatives that will preserve a site's natural landforms;**
 - (iii) Grading and other methods should be considered to buffer incompatible land uses from each other;**
 - (iv) Where steep slopes cannot be avoided, plant materials of varying forms and densities should be arranged to soften the appearance of the slope; and**

- (v) **Drainage devices should be located and designed so as to minimize the view from public areas.**

All grading will conform to the approved SWM concept plan. Excessive grading will be avoided through the proposed design and all proposed drainage devices will be designed to minimize views of them from public areas to the fullest extent practicable. The buildings are designed to respond to the falling grades present on the site. As such, the proposed buildings slightly step down to be compatible with prevailing topographical conditions in this portion of the Melford Town Center.

(8) Service areas.

- (A) **Service areas should be accessible, but unobtrusive. To fulfill this goal, the following guidelines should be observed:**
 - (i) **Service areas should be located away from primary roads, when possible;**
 - (ii) **Service areas should be located conveniently to all buildings served;**
 - (iii) **Service areas should be effectively screened or enclosed with materials compatible with the primary structure; and**
 - (iv) **Multiple building developments should be designed to form service courtyards which are devoted to parking and loading uses and are not visible from public view.**

The service areas are located in the rear of Buildings B and 3. These areas will allow for quick and efficient delivery of items needed for the residential tenants. Trash/dumpster areas are shown on the plans and will be located within the proposed buildings.

(9) Public spaces.

- (A) **A public space system should be provided to enhance a large-scale commercial, mixed-use, or multifamily development. To fulfill this goal, the following guidelines should be observed:**
 - (i) **Buildings should be organized and designed to create public spaces such as plazas, squares, courtyards, pedestrian malls, or other defined spaces;**

- (ii) The scale, size, shape, and circulation patterns of the public spaces should be designed to accommodate various activities;**
- (iii) Public spaces should generally incorporate sitting areas, landscaping, access to the sun, and protection from the wind;**
- (iv) Public spaces should be readily accessible to potential users; and**
- (v) Pedestrian pathways should be provided to connect major uses and public spaces within the development and should be scaled for anticipated circulation.**

The DSP includes a significant portion of the main plaza that has a large pavilion, significant seating space (with street furniture/benches), and decorative pavers. This public space will be easily accessible to residents and visitors of the entire Melford Town Center project. The plaza space will be connected to the rest of the property by a pedestrian sidewalk network on both sides of the adjacent public streets.

(10) Architecture.

- (A) When architectural considerations are referenced for review, the Conceptual Site Plan should include a statement as to how the architecture of the buildings will provide a variety of building forms, with a unified, harmonious use of materials and styles.**
- (B) The guidelines shall only be used in keeping with the character and purpose of the proposed type of development and the specific zone in which it is to be located.**
- (C) These guidelines may be modified in accordance with Section 27-277.**

The multifamily buildings in this DSP conform to the relevant portions of the Design Guidelines approved with the CSP. Specifically, the Melford Mansions represents Multi-Family Villas described on page 41 of the approved Design Guidelines. In addition, the building meets all the required frontage requirements contemplated along the future east/west boulevard, as described on page 37 of the approved Design Guidelines. The proposed buildings are 5 stories along Lake Melford Avenue, exceeding the 3-story minimum height requirement on page 38 of the Design Guidelines. Further, all elevations of the proposed buildings are treated like a front façade by use of high-quality brick and masonry materials (such as cementitious fiber board) on all sides of the buildings. The proposed elevations of the buildings utilize a variety of colors and materials and façade projections to create visual interest.

- f. In accordance with Section 27-574 of the Zoning Ordinance, the number of parking spaces required in the M-X-T Zone is to be calculated by the applicant and submitted for Planning Board approval at the time of DSP. Detailed information regarding the methodology and procedures to be used in determining the parking ratio is outlined in Section 27-574(b).

In a memorandum dated May 20, 2019, Lenhart Traffic Consulting, Inc. provided the required parking analysis for this development and concluded that, with a base parking requirement of 696 spaces and a parking supply of 697 spaces, there are projected to be a surplus of parking spaces using the parking calculation procedures, as outlined in Section 27-574. Lenhart Traffic Consulting, Inc. further concluded that, based upon this information, the site will be adequately parked as proposed. The Planning Board is in agreement with the conclusion of the parking analysis. There is a slight inconsistency between the parking numbers shown on the DSP and in the parking study. The applicant should address the inconsistency prior to certification as conditioned herein.

8. **Conceptual Site Plan CSP-06002 and its amendment:** CSP-06002 was approved by District Council on May 11, 2009. CSP-06002-01, to add 2,500 residential units, including 500 townhouses, 1,000 age-restricted multifamily dwelling units, and 1,000 multifamily dwelling units; 268,500 square feet of retail uses; and 260,000 square feet of office space to the previous CSP development, was approved by District Council on March 23, 2015, entirely superseding the original CSP-06002 approval. The conditions of CSP-06002 have been fully analyzed in the approval of CSP-06002-01. The 25 conditions attached to CSP-06002-01 are relevant to the review of the subject DSP, as follows:

1. **The proposed development shall be limited to a mix of uses where the trip cap associated with the uses within the boundary of CSP-06002-01 shall not exceed 4,441 AM and 4,424 PM peak hour trips. Any development with an impact beyond that identified hereinabove shall require a revision to the conceptual site plan with a new determination of the adequacy of transportation facilities.**

The Planning Board found that developments have been approved by previous DSP applications with a collective trip generation of 1,013 AM and 1,201 PM peak trips. The subject application represents the construction of 435 multifamily dwelling units, which are projected to generate 226 AM and 261 PM peak trips. Collectively, all approved DSPs, plus the subject application, will generate a total of 1,239 AM and 1,462 PM peak trips. Consequently, the trip cap will not be exceeded.

7. **Prior to approval of a preliminary plan of subdivision or detailed site plan, the applicant shall demonstrate:**
 - a. **The development plans shall show minimization of impervious surfaces to the maximum extent possible, through all phases of the project, with the use of permeable paving surfaces in accordance with the approved storm water**

management concept plan for Melford. Structured parking should be used to the maximum extent reasonably practicable.

Impervious surfaces in this application are minimized to the fullest extent possible, in accordance with the approved SWM concept plan. The proposed multifamily buildings include interior structured parking elements. In accordance with the applicant, this DSP will use pervious pavement for approximately 10 percent of the surface parking within this multifamily residential complex, if soil conditions allow.

- b. The required 100-foot natural buffer for streams and the 150-foot buffer for the 100-year floodplain shall be retained in an undisturbed or restored state to the fullest extent possible, except for impacts approved by the Planning Board. Master-planned trails and connectors to the master plan trail from interior trail networks shall be allowed subject to minimization of impacts.**

The subject DSP satisfies the approved 100-foot natural buffer for streams and the 150-foot buffer for the 100-year floodplain.

- c. Clearing for utility installation shall be minimized, especially in environmentally-sensitive areas, and clearing for utilities in those areas shall be coordinated, to minimize ground or buffer disturbance. Woodland disturbed for that purpose shall be reforested, in cooperation with the appropriate utility.**

The utility installation proposed in this application has been designed to minimize any impacts to environmentally sensitive areas. Any area cleared for this purpose will be reforested.

- d. The open space system, including but not limited to environmentally-sensitive areas, shall extend through the site and shall link the different uses. Portions of the open space system shall be visible to and accessible from public streets.**

This DSP includes a public plaza at the intersection of Lake Melford Avenue and Curie Drive and open space and amenities associated with the clubhouse. The designed open spaces do not intrude into any natural open spaces, as previously shown on the approved CSP or PPS. An extensive sidewalk system connects the open spaces. The proposed development in this application will allow for a continuation of the planned pedestrian and street network concepts endorsed by the Melford Town Center design guidelines.

- 8. All stream channels on the site shall be depicted on all plans in their entirety, with the regulated stream buffer shown as required.**

The correct delineation of streams and regulated stream buffers is shown on the most recent natural resources inventory (NRI). There are no streams, stream buffers, or primary management area (PMA) within the limited buildable envelope, as shown on the DSP.

9. At the time of detailed site plan (DSP), the following design issues shall be addressed:

- a. The plans shall show the stormwater management ponds as amenities, with gentle natural slopes and extensive native planting.**

The DSP does not include a SWM pond within its limit of disturbance.

- c. The proposed lighting system shall use full cut-off lighting systems, with limited light spill over.**

A photometric plan has been provided to indicate that full cut-off lighting system will be used throughout the development.

- d. Applicable DSPs that may affect the historic vista of the Melford and Cemetery Historic Site (71B-016) shall demonstrate that any portion of a proposed building either partially or fully within the designated view corridors established in Conceptual Site Plan CSP-06002-01 comply with the height requirements for buildings within the view corridors set forth in the design guidelines.**

The proposed buildings in this DSP within the designated view corridors comply with the height requirements for buildings, as approved with the CSP.

- e. Prior to approval of any DSPs that include any portion of the Melford and Cemetery Historic Site (71B-016) environmental setting and impact review area, the applicant shall demonstrate that the scale, mass, proportion, materials, and architecture for new construction in the proposed northwest and southwest neighborhoods appropriately relate to the character of the historic site.**

The Melford and Cemetery Historic Site is located to the west and south of the subject site. The architecture for this project has been inspired by the Melford House and Belair Mansion. The multifamily residential buildings offer a variety of building materials and features including brick veneer (in six colors), masonry (in four colors), cementitious siding (in eight colors), and asphalt shingles and standing seam metal roof element (in three colors). The buildings also use a variety of columns and dormers to create interest and connect the structures to other prominent buildings in the area. The proposed architecture is compatible in

scale, mass, proportion, materials, and architecture with the Melford Historic Site.

11. **At the time of detailed site plan, the private on-site recreational facilities within the area of each DSP shall be reviewed. The following issues shall be addressed:**
 - a. **The applicant shall provide a final list of proposed private recreational facilities and their cost estimates. The list of facilities provided on page 15 of the conceptual site plan design guidelines shall initially be viewed as the types of facilities required. The appropriateness of the number and size of the facilities will be reviewed at DSP.**
 - b. **The minimum size of the proposed private recreational facilities and the timing of their construction shall be determined.**
 - c. **The developer and the developer's heirs, successors, and/or assignees shall satisfy the Prince George's County Planning Board that there are adequate provisions to assure retention and future maintenance of the proposed recreational facilities.**

This DSP includes a comprehensive on-site recreational facility package as discussed in Finding 6 above, with a total value of approximately \$2.4 million. In addition, a public plaza is also proposed at the intersection of Lake Melford Avenue and Curie Drive. The number and size of the proposed recreational facilities are appropriate. All facilities will be maintained by the management of The Mansions at Melford Town Center. In accordance with this condition, the timing of the construction of both the public plaza and the clubhouse compound has been conditioned herein.

13. **All plans shall delineate and note both the environmental setting and the impact area for Melford and Cemetery, Historic Site 71B-016.**

The environmental setting and impact area for the Melford and Cemetery, Historic Site 71B-016, have been reflected on this DSP.

16. **Prior to approval of any preliminary plan of subdivision or detailed site plan applications, the Historic Preservation Section shall certify that all quarterly reports have been received in a timely manner and that the Melford site is being properly maintained.**

In accordance with the Historic Preservation Section, the most recent quarterly report received was on July 9, 2019, in accordance with this requirement. This condition will remain applicable to all future DSPs within CSP-06002-01.

17. **The applicant shall provide standard sidewalks along both sides of all internal roads, in keeping with Guideline 3 of Prince George's County Council Resolution**

CR-11-2006. In areas of high pedestrian activity, wide sidewalks shall be required where reasonably appropriate, unless modified by the City of Bowie for portions of sidewalk within the public right-of-way.

The DSP shows 6-foot-wide sidewalks along the subject site's frontage on Curie Drive, Lake Melford Avenue, and throughout the entire site, in accordance with this requirement.

- 18. Curb extensions, curb cuts, crosswalks, pedestrian refuges, and other pedestrian safety features shall be provided where appropriate and shall be shown on all affected detailed site plans.**

This DSP reflects all proposed curb cuts and other appropriate curb extensions.

- 20. The illustrative plan provided with the conceptual site plan (CSP) is for illustrative purposes only and does not reflect the final layout for any purpose, including limits of disturbance. The CSP may be used as a guide for the layout to be reviewed with the preliminary plan of subdivision or detailed site plans, but its proposed development should be modified, where development shown in the CSP is not consistent with environmental or other master plan considerations.**

The DSP has been modified from the CSP illustrative plan, in accordance with the approved PPS and environmental, master plan, and other considerations, as allowed by this condition to implement the land use vision, as approved in CSP-06002-01.

- 25. The phasing of all development proposed in CSP-06002-01 shall be determined at the time of detailed site plan.**

The development proposed in this DSP will be completed in a single phase of 12 to 16 months.

- 9. Preliminary Plan of Subdivision 4-16006:** PPS 4-16006 was approved by the Planning Board on March 9, 2017, with 24 conditions. The resolution of approval (PGCPB No. 17-45) was adopted by the Planning Board on April 6, 2017. The conditions of approval, relevant to the review of this DSP, are as follows:

- 2. At the time of final plat, the applicant and the applicant's heirs, successors and or assignees, shall grant a ten-foot-wide public utility easement (PUE) along all public rights-of way, and one side of all private streets, not including alleys. Any deviation from the 10-foot-wide PUE shall only be allowed upon demonstration of approval by the appropriate public utility. A variation must be approved prior to detailed site plan for any deviation from the 10-foot-wide PUE requirement.**

The subject property has frontage along the public rights-of-way of Curie Drive and Lake Melford Avenue. The DSP shows the required 10-foot-wide public utility easement

(PUE) along Curie Drive. However, the DSP does not show the required PUE along Lake Melford Avenue and instead proposes utilities within the public right-of-way. This proposal is consistent with the prior approved PUE variation for the multifamily development west of this site, The Aspen at Melford. The proposed buildings are provided along the frontage of Lake Melford Avenue, therefore shifting the utility location into the public right-of-way. The location of the PUE within the right-of-way, a variation from the normal requirement per Section 24-122(a) of the Subdivision Regulations, was previously coordinated and confirmed with the various utility companies and the City of Bowie. Prior to approval of the final plat, the applicant shall submit a justification, in accordance with Section 24-113 of the Subdivision Regulations.

3. **A substantial revision to the mix of uses on the subject property that affects Subtitle 24 adequacy findings, as set forth in a resolution of approval and on the approved plan, shall require the approval of a new preliminary plan of subdivision prior to the approval of any building permits.**

The multifamily residential use proposed in this DSP is consistent with the approved PPS.

9. **At the time of detailed site plan and Type 2 tree conservation plan (TCP2) approval, the applicant may credit woodland conservation credit if permission of the cemetery owner is obtained, subject to approval of a historic setting vegetation management plan. The purpose of the plan is to determine where trees need to be removed to conserve the resource and where additional woodlands could be established. Implementation of the Plan would be subject to approval of a historic area work permit (HAWP). Development of a management plan would qualify trees within the environmental setting to be credit as “historic trees” at twice the usual woodland conservation ratio.**

At the time of TCP2, applicant may credit historic trees with the environmental setting of the cemetery as follows:

- a. **Permission of the owner or ownership of the property shall be demonstrated.**
- b. **A historic tree inventory of the environmental setting of the cemetery shall be prepared and included on the TCP2.**
- c. **A historic setting vegetation management plan for the cemetery shall be prepared for the purpose of identifying vegetation that should be removed to protect the existing graves on-site, to identify recommended maintenance activities, and to propose any additional planting appropriate for the site. The plan shall include a maintenance program for the cemetery to retain an open character over the known gravesites, a cost estimate for implementation of the plan and for a minimum of four years of maintenance**

and shall identify the party or parties responsible for the long-term maintenance of the environmental setting.

- d. The quantity of historic tree credits in the environmental setting shall be calculated and added to the woodland conservation worksheet.**
- e. Prior to the issuance of grading permits for Melford Village which credit woodland conservation with the cemetery environmental for historic tree credit, a HAWP for implementation of the historic setting vegetation management plan shall be approved, and a bond for implementation of the plan shall be submitted. Bonding shall be held until the requirements of the plan is fully implemented, and four years of maintenance has been monitored.**

The Planning Board found that a Phase 1 Historic Setting Vegetation Management Plan has been submitted for the Melford house site. The Vegetation Management Plan is the basis to establish a maintenance program for the protection and care of the historic trees retained, to support the granting of historic tree credits for woodland conservation, and to guide renovation and enhancement of the historic Chesapeake falling garden. Any work within the environmental setting of the historic site requires an Historic Area Work Permit to be reviewed and approved by the Historic Preservation Commission.

- 16. Total development shall be limited to uses which generate no more than 2,353 AM peak-hour trips and 2,766 PM peak-hour trips. Any development generating an impact greater than that identified herein shall require a new determination of the adequacy of transportation facilities and a new preliminary plan of subdivision.**

As discussed previously, the subject application represents the construction of an additional 435 multifamily dwelling units, which are projected to generate 226 AM and 261 PM peak trips. Collectively, all approved DSPs plus the subject application will generate a total of 1,239 AM and 1,462 PM peak trips. The trip cap will not be exceeded.

- 22. To help fulfill the purpose of Condition 19 of Conceptual Site Plan CSP-06002-01, “sharrows” shall be installed by the applicant and the applicant’s heirs, successors, and/or assignees on Curie Drive (and Science Drive, beyond the Melford Village project limits). The appropriate location(s) and triggers for permitting and construction of the sharrows shall be determined at the time of detailed site plan for each phase of the project.**

The applicant proposes to locate sharrows along the portions of Curie Drive that the Mansions at Melford Town Center will front on. The specific locations will be subject to the final approval of the City of Bowie.

10. **Detailed Site Plan DSP-17020:** DSP-17020, for rough grading and infrastructure for Melford Town Center, was reviewed and approved by the Planning Board on December 7, 2017, subject to three conditions, none of which are applicable to the subject DSP.
11. **2010 Prince George's County Landscape Manual:** Per Section 27-548, landscaping, screening, and buffering within the M-X-T Zone shall be provided, pursuant to the provisions of the Landscape Manual. The proposed multifamily residential complex is subject to Section 4.1, Residential Requirements; Section 4.3, Parking Lot Requirements; Section 4.4, Screening Requirements; Section 4.7, Buffering Incompatible Uses; and Section 4.9, Sustainable Landscaping Requirements, of the Landscape Manual. The landscape and lighting plan provided with the subject DSP contains the required schedules showing the requirements being met. However, for Section 4.3(c)(2), Interior Parking Lot Planting, the applicant should revise the calculations to separate the parking areas, as conditioned herein.
12. **Prince George's County Woodland and Wildlife Habitat Conservation Ordinance:** This property is subject to the provisions of the Woodland and Wildlife Habitat Conservation Ordinance (WCO) because the property is greater than 40,000 square feet in size and it contains more than 10,000 square feet of existing woodland. The site already has approved Type 1 (TCP1) and Type 2 tree conservation plans. A revised Type 2 Tree Conservation Plan (TCP2-036-99-15) was submitted with the DSP application.

- a. A revised Natural Resources Inventory, NRI-054-06-02, was approved for the subject property on January 16, 2018 because the previous NRIs had exceeded the validity period.

The environmental and cultural features identified on the revised NRI, and the delineation of the PMA, have been correctly transposed onto the current application plans. The limits of disturbance of the subject DSP contain no PMA.

At the time of the original approval and subsequent revisions, the NRI number was incorrectly noted as NRI-059-06, when the correct number should be NRI-054-06. This error will be corrected with any future revision to the NRI.

- b. TCP2-036-99-15 indicates that it covers a gross tract area of 428.15 acres, which is the portion of the Melford development (formerly University of Maryland Science and Tech Center) that is subject to the WCO and is significantly larger than the DSP under review.

The standard woodland conservation worksheet for the overall property indicates that the woodland conservation threshold for the site is 43.26 acres, based on the M-X-T zoning and a net tract area of 288.38 acres. The worksheet indicates that the site contains 161.86 acres of upland woodlands and 85.73 acres of wooded floodplain. The revised TCP2 proposes clearing 113.95 acres of upland woodlands, and 0.23 acre of wooded floodplain. No off-site clearing is proposed. Two federal projects (the Institute for Defense Analysis and the Holocaust Museum Analysis) and previously dedicated rights of-way have been subtracted from the gross tract area consistent with the previous

TCP1 approval. Based upon the clearing proposed, the applicant has calculated that the total woodland conservation requirement for the overall development is 71.97 acres.

The revised TCP2 proposes to meet the requirement with 51.60 acres of on-site preservation, including 12.11 acres of woodland conservation located on property owned by the Maryland-National Capital Park and Planning Commission; 11.91 acres of on-site afforestation-reforestation; 9.24 acres of Specimen/Historic Tree Credit; and 0.42 acres of fee-in-lieu. The plan also requires technical revisions to be in conformance with the applicable WCO, Environmental Planning Section policies, and the Environmental Technical Manual (ETM) as conditioned herein.

The TCP1 plan originally proposed Specimen/Historic Tree Credits within the environmental setting of the Melford historic site and cemetery. With this TCP2 revision, the applicant has submitted a Vegetation Management Plan for the environmental setting of the historic house which proposes the removal of historic trees on the site and requires approval of a variance from Subtitle 25.

- c. Effective on September 1, 2010, TCP applications are required to meet the requirements of Subtitle 25, Division 2, which includes the preservation of specimen, champion, and historic trees. Every reasonable effort should be made to preserve the trees in place, with consideration of different species' ability to withstand construction disturbance.

After consideration has been given to the preservation of the specimen or historic trees and there remains a need to remove any, a variance from Section 25-122(b)(1)(G) of the WCO is required. Applicants can request a variance from the provisions of Subtitle 25, provided that all the required findings in Section 25-119(d) of the WCO can be met and the request is not less stringent than the requirements of the applicable provisions of COMAR. An application for a variance must be accompanied by an SOJ stating the reasons for the request and how the request meets each of the required findings.

The NRI and TCP1 indicated that 44 specimen trees were located on the TCP2, which is outside of the environmental setting of the historic site. A Subtitle 25 variance application for the removal of twelve specimen trees was submitted and approved with the PPS.

The TCP2 includes an Historic Tree Table, which identifies individual trees located within the environmental setting of the Melford historic site. Previous approvals of TCP2-036-99 did not propose the removal of any historic trees, and specimen/historic tree credits were allowed based on the undisturbed root zone of the trees preserved.

The current application proposes to remove twelve historic trees within the environmental setting of the Melford house to implement Phase 1 of a historic site vegetation management plan submitted with the current application.

An SOJ, for the removal of twelve historic trees located within the environmental setting of the Melford house, was submitted to the Development Review Division, dated December 20, 2019.

The historic trees proposed for removal are indicated in the table below:

| ID | Common Name/ Scientific Name | DBH (inches) | Condition Score/ Condition Rating | | Comments | Proposed Disposition |
|----|---------------------------------------|----------------|--------------------------------------|----------|-----------------------|----------------------|
| 7 | Mockernut hickory/ Carya tomentosa | 14 | 70 | Good | Mechanical damage | Removal |
| 30 | Siberian elm/Ulmus pumilla | 16,14,10,9,4,4 | 5726 | Fair | Invasive | Removal |
| 32 | Ash sp./Fraxinus sp. | 19 | 0 | Dead | | Removal |
| 33 | Ash sp./Fraxinus sp.. | 16 | 0 | Dead | | Removal |
| 36 | Ash sp./Fraxinus sp. | 9,5 | 0 | Dead | | Removal |
| A | Willow oak/Quercus phellos. | 26 | 38 | Poor | Declining health | Removal |
| B | White oak/Quercus alba | 35 | 0 | Dead | | Removal |
| C | Norway maple/Acer platanoides | 38 | 27 | Poor | Trunk and basal decay | Removal |
| H | Elm sp./Ulmus sp. | 47 | 36 | Poor | Root damage and decay | Removal |
| I | Red maple/Acer rubrum | 35 | 41 | Poor | Root damage and decay | Removal |
| J | American linden/Tilia americana | 37 | 18 | Critical | Serious decline | Removal |
| W | Ash sp./Fraxinus sp. | 32 | 0 | Dead | | Removal |

The SOJ submitted describes the need to remove these trees as the first phase of a rejuvenation of the environmental setting. Five of the trees proposed for removal are already dead, with the majority succumbing to Emerald Ash Borer. Six of the trees proposed for removal are classified as fair to critical condition, with conditions ranging from trunk and basal decay, decline, broken limbs, and mechanical damage. Only one tree is in good condition, but is a volunteer growing in a clump of old yews and needs to be removed so the shrubs can be retained and reshaped.

Section 25-119(d)(1) contains six required findings (text in **BOLD**) to be made before a variance can be granted. The SOJ submitted seeks to address the required findings for the removal of 12 historic trees located within the environmental setting.

(A) Special conditions peculiar to the property have caused the unwarranted hardship;

The SOJ indicates that the removal of the twelve identified historic trees is necessary to remove hazardous and dead trees, to reduce non-native invasive trees, and to support healthy rejuvenation of plant materials retained on the grounds. The Planning Board finds that the vegetation management proposed is appropriate for the maintenance and enhancement of the environmental setting.

(B) Enforcement of these rules will deprive the applicant of rights commonly enjoyed by others in similar areas;

The SOJ states that the expectation to retain all historic trees on the site would prevent the applicant from ordinary maintenance and management of the environmental setting consistent with the historic resource. The Planning Board agrees that strict enforcement of these rules would deprive the applicant of rights commonly enjoyed by others in similar areas.

(C) Granting the variance will not confer on the applicant a special privilege that would be denied to other applicants;

The SOJ states that the applicable development requirements are in effect for the protection of the environmental setting of a historic site, and that no special privilege would be conferred by granting the variance. The tree removal cannot occur without approval of an Historic Area Work Permit. The purpose of the tree removal is enhancement of an historic resource and support for adaptive re-use of the structure. All applicants have the right to request a variance to remove historic trees should they prove special circumstances exist that merit their removal to the Planning Board and the Historic Preservation Commission (HPC).

The Planning Board agrees that the requested variance for the removal of historic trees does not confer any special privilege beyond that granted by the historic designation of the property, and the vegetation management proposed is in accordance with all other development requirements.

(D) The request is not based on conditions or circumstances which are the result of actions by the applicant;

The SOJ indicates that the presence and location of historic trees, and the existing conditions and circumstances on the site are not the result of actions by the applicant. The Planning Board finds that the need for the variance is largely based on the existing conditions of the site and the health of trees and is not the result of actions by the applicant.

(E) The request does not arise from a condition relating to land or building use, either permitted or nonconforming, on a neighboring property; and

The SOJ indicates that the request to remove the historic trees is not related to a land or building use on a neighboring property. The Planning Board agrees that the request to remove the trees does not arise from any condition on a neighboring property.

(F) Granting of the variance will not adversely affect water quality

The SOJ states that SWM will be provided, and water quality will be addressed, in accordance with state and municipal guidelines. The Planning Board agrees that water quality will not be adversely impacted if the site is managed in accordance with a SWM plan approved by the City of Bowie.

The Planning Board approved the Section 25-122(b)(1)(G) variance request for twelve historic trees (7, 30, 32, 33, 36, A, B, C, H, I, J, and W) based on the above discussion.

13. Prince George's County Tree Canopy Coverage Ordinance: Subtitle 25, Division 3, the Tree Canopy Coverage Ordinance, of the Prince George's County Code requires a minimum percentage of tree canopy coverage (TCC) on projects that require a grading or building permit for more than 5,000 square feet of disturbance. Properties zoned M-X-T are required to provide a minimum of 10 percent of the gross tract area in TCC. The property covered in this DSP is 11.35 acres as listed in the TCC schedule. The TCC schedule should be revised to calculate the requirements based on the total gross acreage. A condition has been included in this resolution to correct the schedule.

14. Further Planning Board Findings and Comments from Other Entities: The subject application was referred to the concerned agencies and divisions and the referral comments are incorporated herein by reference, and the main points are summarized, as follows:

- a. **Historic Preservation and Archeological Review**—The Planning Board reviewed a memorandum dated January 22, 2020 (Stabler to Zhang), incorporated herein by reference, which provided a review of all applicable conditions attached to prior approvals pertinent to the review of this DSP, and the Vegetation Management Plan for the Melford Environmental Setting has been included in the findings of this resolution. Based on that review, the Planning Board concluded that the materials and the color palettes of the proposed buildings are compatible with the historic character of the Melford Historic Site, and the Vegetation Management Plan will promote the health and protection of healthy historic specimen trees within the Melford Historic Site and provide a plan for the continued maintenance of the grounds.
- b. **Community Planning**—The Planning Board reviewed a memorandum dated January 16, 2020 (D'Ambrosi to Zhang), incorporated herein by reference, which offered

a discussion of the DSP's conformance with Plan 2035, and indicated that master plan conformance is not required for this application.

- c. **Transportation**—The Planning Board reviewed a memorandum dated January 17, 2020 (Burton to Zhang), incorporated herein by reference, which provided an analysis of transportation-related conditions of previous approvals and concluded that on-site traffic circulation and parking is acceptable, and all transportation conditions have been adequately addressed.
- d. **Subdivision Review**—The Planning Board reviewed a memorandum dated January 21, 2020 (Sievers to Zhang), incorporated herein by reference, which provided an analysis of the applicable conditions attached to PPS 4-16006 that are relevant to the review of this DSP, as included in Finding 9 above, as well as the following summarized discussion:

This DSP proposes cross access over multiple parcels for the circulation of the multifamily development and clubhouse north of Lake Melford Avenue. The main access driveway is located on Parcel EE and the proposed clubhouse is located with a multifamily building on Parcel FF. Given the multifamily Parcels DD-LL are dependent on one another for access and circulation, the parcels are considered to be one lot.

The Melford Village Plaza is envisioned as a key node within the community. The PPS designates the plaza as two parcels located on either side of Curie Drive. To ensure the joint use and programming of the plaza within the community, ownership of the parcels by the same entity is expected when the adjacent parcel develops.

The DSP includes part of a parcel shown on the PPS as private roadway G. This roadway parcel will need to be reflected on the DSP in its entirety, as there are improvements and utilities within it that serve the subject development. This roadway is shown immediately east of Parcel DD on the DSP but is given no parcel designation. The private road parcel should be labeled and shown to be conveyed to the community association to ensure use in perpetuity with this development.

Parcel V shown on this DSP south of Lake Melford Avenue is dependent on the neighboring property for access, which was approved via DSP-18034 for Melford Town Center. New public road D, as shown on DSP-18034, must be platted, prior to or concurrent with Parcel V so that access may be established prior to approval of a building permit for Parcel V.

- e. **Trails**—The Planning Board reviewed a memorandum dated January 21, 2020 (Ryan to Zhang), incorporated herein by reference, which noted that the submitted site plan complies with the previously approved conditions of CSP-06002-01 and PPS 4-16006 and further approved this DSP with one condition related to the provision of bicycle parking for the development that has been included in of this resolution.

- f. **Environmental Planning**—The Planning Board reviewed a memorandum dated January 23, 2020 (Finch to Zhang), incorporated herein by reference, which provided a review of all applicable conditions attached to prior approvals that are relevant to the review of this DSP and incorporated in this resolution, as well as the following discussion:

Rare, Threatened, and Endangered Species

The Maryland Department of Natural Resources (DNR) Natural Heritage Program issued a letter dated May 18, 2001 that states that there are no records of rare, threatened, and endangered species plants or animals within this project site. Review of a DNR database indicates that there were more recent records of species of concern known to occur within the vicinity of the site; however, the portions of the subject property currently under review would not be likely to support the species listed. An updated letter from DNR regarding the presence of rare, threatened, and endangered species on the site was submitted as an amendment to the revised NRI, and the finding of no records of rare, threatened, and endangered species in the upland portions of the site was confirmed.

Historic Setting Vegetation Management Plan

With the current application, a Phase 1 Historic Setting Vegetation Management Plan has been submitted to provide guidance for the maintenance and management of the historic trees and landscape features within the 2.71-acre environmental setting for the historic Melford house. The stated goals of the plan are:

- (1) To remove low-growing plants that are inconsistent with the landscape setting and impede sight lines onto the historic property.
- (2) To remove and/or manage non-native invasive plants that are identified within the landscaped and wooded areas of the environmental setting.
- (3) Enhance desirable plantings and suppress undesirable undergrowth with applications of wood mulch.
- (4) Remove dead, downed, and hazardous trees as needed.
- (5) Plant replacement trees and shrubs to maintain the character of the landscape.
- (6) Provide guidance for maintenance during the required four-year maintenance period and beyond.

The first activity proposed by the plan is tree and shrub removal consistent with the requested Subtitle 25 variance for the removal of twelve historic trees which are dead, in poor condition, or inconsistent or detrimental to the landscape character intended for the site. To mitigate for the loss of the historic trees, the applicant proposes to plant ten replacement oak trees (*Quercus* var.), 3.5 to 4.0 inches in caliper, prior to occupancy of the first townhouse in the associated DSP-18034.

The plan includes recommended general specifications for ongoing tree and landscape maintenance and practices for the removal of twelve historic trees. It is anticipated that the Vegetation Management Plan will be an evolving document that will incorporate best management practices applicable to the site and reflect the intended re-use of the site when identified. All work proposed within the environmental setting of the historic site is subject to an Historic Area Work Permit. The on-going maintenance and management of the site will be provided by the Melford Town Center Business Owner's Association. The Planning Board approved the Phase 1 Vegetation Management Plan.

The Planning Board approved DSP-19052 and TCP2-036-99-15, subject to three conditions that have been included in this resolution.

- g. **Prince George's County Department of Permitting, Inspections and Enforcement (DPIE)**—In a memorandum dated December 19, 2019 (Giles to Zhang), incorporated herein by reference, DPIE stated that since the development is in the City of Bowie, coordination with the City for right-of-way dedication, roadway improvements, and the internal subdivision streets is required. DPIE further noted that a SWM concept plan was approved by the City of Bowie on March 20 and April 14, 2017. In closing, DPIE stated that the proposed development will require a DPIE site development fine grading permit. One condition requiring the delineation of the floodplain and easement on the DSP has been included in this resolution.
- h. **Prince George's County Police Department**—At the time of this writing, the Police Department did not provide comments on the subject project.
- i. **Prince George's County Health Department**—At the time of this writing, the Health Department did not provide comments on the subject project.
- j. **City of Bowie**—In a letter dated January 28, 2020 (Boafo to Hewlett), incorporated herein by reference, the City Council of the City of Bowie noted the following:

On Monday, July 1, 2019, the Bowie City Council conducted a public hearing on the referenced DSP. At the conclusion of the public hearing, the City Council voted to recommend approval of DSP-19052 for the Melford Mansions multifamily residential buildings proposed in Melford Town Center with conditions relative to parking, lighting, and the stormwater management plan. The relevant conditions have been included in this resolution.
- k. **Prince George's County Department of Parks and Recreation (DPR)**—In a memorandum dated January 23, 2020 (Zyla to Zhang), incorporated herein by reference, DPR recommends approval of this DSP with one condition regarding an amendment to the previously recorded Recreational Facilities Agreement that was addressed in the CSP approval.

1. **Washington Suburban Sanitary Commission (WSSC)**— At the time of this writing, WSSC did not provide comments on the subject project.
15. Based on the foregoing analysis and as required by Section 27-285(b)(1) of the Zoning Ordinance, the DSP, if approved in accordance with conditions proposed below, represents a reasonable alternative for satisfying the site design guidelines of Subtitle 27, Part 3, Division 9, of the County Code, without requiring unreasonable cost and without detracting substantially from the utility of the proposed development for its intended use.
16. The requirement of Section 27-285(b)(4) reads as follows:
 - (4) **The Planning Board may approve a Detailed Site Plan if it finds that the regulated environmental features have been preserved and/or restored in a natural state to the fullest extent possible in accordance with the requirement of Subtitle 24-130(b)(5).**

The Planning Board found that the regulated environmental features on the subject property have been preserved and/or restored, to the fullest extent possible, based on consistency with the limits of disturbance shown on the previously approved CSP-06002-01 and TCP1-044-98-04; PPS 4-16006 and TCP1-044-98-05. There are no regulated environmental features located within the limits of the current application.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that pursuant to Subtitle 27 of the Prince George's County Code, the Prince George's County Planning Board of The Maryland-National Capital Park and Planning Commission adopted the findings contained herein and APPROVED Type 2 Tree Conservation Plan TCP2-036-99-15, and further APPROVED Detailed Site Plan DSP-19052 for the above described land, subject to the following conditions:

1. Prior to certification of this detailed site plan (DSP), the applicant shall revise the plan or provide the specified information, as follows:
 - a. Label the two loading spaces on Sheet A102.
 - b. Clarify the acreage of the land area included in the DSP and area of each proposed parcel in the general notes and on all plan sheets. Provide plan sheets for the entirety of the area of the DSP.
 - c. Delineate the proposed property lines with all bearings and distances and label the proposed parcels in entirety.
 - d. Revise tree canopy coverage schedule to include the entire site in the calculation of tree canopy coverage.
 - e. Replace the Melford Mansions signage with Melford Town Center signage on the decorative landscape wall/fence located on Parcel JJ behind the pavilion.

- f. Label Parcel JJ as to be conveyed to the Community Association.
- g. Reconcile the development data on the site plan with the Development Data in the resolution.
- h. Label the gross floor area of each building and provide a summary chart with a total.
- i. Show the entirety of the private road parcel east of Parcel DD; provide the parcel designation, label the parcel to be conveyed to the Community Association and revise all applicable notes and acreages to account for the inclusion of this road parcel.
- j. Show the approved floodplain delineation and floodplain easement on the site plan.
- k. Revise the landscape plan and the associated schedule to show conformance with the requirements of Section 4.3(c)(2), for parking lot interior planting.
- l. Revise the parking calculation on the site plan to be consistent with the parking study dated May 20, 2019 (by Lenhart Traffic Consulting, Inc.).
- m. Address the discrepancies regarding parking, as follows:
 - (1) Total number of compact parking spaces, and what appears in the table on Sheet DSP-7 shall be reconciled to reflect the correct number of spaces shown on the plans.
 - (2) The number and identification of compact parking spaces to the east of and between Buildings 4 and 5 that are shown on Sheet DSP-8, Sheet A-101, and Sheet A-102 shall be shown on the plans.
 - (3) The number of handicap-accessible parking spaces proposed in the project, and the number of such spaces shown in the parking tabulation table on Sheet DSP-7, shall be reconciled to reflect the correct number of spaces shown on the plans.
 - (4) The seven compact parking spaces proposed south of Building 5 shall be identified as such on Sheet A-101.
- n. Revise the parking tabulation table on Sheet DSP-7 to show the correct number of on-site parallel parking spaces (24), and the correct number of parallel parking spaces proposed on Lake Melford Avenue (32).
- o. Provide additional lighting in the following areas where there is currently inadequate lighting proposed: along the walkway to the west of Building 6 (Sheet DSP-20); in the southwestern area of Building 1 (Sheet DSP-20); and, in the pool deck area north of the bath house (Sheet DSP-21).

- p. Indicate the location and number of bike racks.
 - q. Provide a detail of the signage proposed at the head of each compact parking space.
 - r. Revise the Type 2 tree conservation plan (TCP2), as follows:
 - (1) Reconcile all worksheets to reflect any changes to woodland conservation requirements and fulfillment resulting from required revisions.
 - (2) Standard TCP2 Note 1 shall be revised to add the DSP case number.
 - (3) Confirm the area of historic tree credit provided by determining the quantity of undisturbed retained critical root zone associated with historic trees to be retained within the environmental setting and revise the woodland conservation worksheets, as indicated.
 - (4) Add a variance note under the woodland conservation worksheet and complete to reflect the variance approval:

“NOTE: This plan is in accordance with a variance from the strict requirements of Subtitle 25 approved by the Planning Board on (add date): for the removal of twelve historic trees (Section 25-122(b)(1)(c)): 7, 30, 32, 33, 36, A, B, C, H, I, J, and W.”
 - (5) Update tables and calculations as needed to reflect the required revisions.
 - (6) Have the revised plan signed and dated by the qualified professional who prepared it.
2. Prior to approval of final plat, the applicant, and the applicant’s heirs, successors, and/or assignees shall:
- a. Submit a variation from Section 24-122(a), in accordance with Section 24-113 of the Subdivision Regulations, for placement of the utilities within the right-of-way for those parcels along Lake Melford Avenue and obtain consent from the City of Bowie.
 - b. Demonstrate that a business owners’ association, or other appropriate community ownership association has been established. The draft covenants shall be submitted to the Subdivision and Zoning Section for review to ensure the rights of the Maryland-National Capital Park and Planning Commission are included. The Liber and folio of the declaration of covenants shall be noted on the final plat, prior to recordation.
3. Prior to, or concurrent with, the approval of a final plat for Parcel V, the abutting roadway known as new public road D, as approved with DSP-18034, shall be dedicated to public use.

4. Prior to approval of any grading permits for this detailed site plan (DSP), the applicant shall:
 - a. Submit a copy of the technical stormwater management plan to be reviewed for conformance with the DSP and Type 2 tree conservation plan.
 - b. Submit a copy of the approved Final Erosion and Sediment Control Plan to be reviewed for conformance with the limits of disturbance shown on the DSP and Type 2 tree conservation plan and technical stormwater management approval.
5. Prior to approval of the 4th residential building permit for this detailed site plan, the applicant shall complete the construction of the public plaza at the north-east quadrant of the intersection of Curie Drive and Lake Melford Avenue.
6. Prior to approval of the 8th residential building permit for this DSP, the applicant shall complete the construction of the clubhouse and installation of all associated amenities.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that an appeal of the Planning Board's action must be filed with the District Council of Prince George's County within thirty (30) days following the final notice of the Planning Board's decision.

* * * * *

This is to certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the action taken by the Prince George's County Planning Board of The Maryland-National Capital Park and Planning Commission on the motion of Commissioner Washington, seconded by Commissioner Geraldo, with Commissioners Washington, Geraldo, Bailey, Doerner and Hewlett voting in favor of the motion at its regular meeting held on Thursday, February 20, 2020, in Upper Marlboro, Maryland.

Adopted by the Prince George's County Planning Board this 12th day of March 2020.

Elizabeth M. Hewlett
Chairman

By Jessica Jones
Planning Board Administrator