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January 26, 2022

## REFERRAL MEMORANDUM

TO: The Prince George's County Planning Board The Prince George's County District Council<br>FROM: Thomas Sievers, Senior Planner, Zoning Review Section Development Review Division<br>VIA: Jeremy Hurlbutt, Supervisor, Zoning Review Section Development Review Division<br>SUBJECT: Referral for Amendment to Basic Plan A-9968-03 National Capital Business Park (a.k.a Willowbrook)

## REQUEST

This application for an amendment to the approved Basic Plan (A-9968-03) was accepted on December 17, 2021 and filed, pursuant to Section 27-197(c) of the Prince George's County Zoning Ordinance. This request is to amend Basic Plan A-9968-02, approved by the Prince George's County District Council on April 12, 2021, via Zoning Ordinance 2-2021.The amendment seeks to modify the basic plan to increase employment and institutional use by 2 million square feet. The amendment will result in a maximum of 5.5 million square feet of warehouse, distribution, office, light industrial, manufacturing, and institutional uses. The amendment will also revise certain conditions and/or considerations approved in A-9968-02 and seeks to demonstrate compliance with the required criteria for Zoning Map Amendments in Section 27-195(b)(1)(A)(iii) of the Zoning Ordinance, for regulations applicable to land zoned Residential Suburban Development (R-S) and developed with uses permitted in the Employment and Institutional Area (E-I-A) Zone, as authorized, pursuant to Section 27-515(b) of the Zoning Ordinance.

The entire tract of land is divided into three different zones; approximately 15 acres of land is located in in the Light Industrial (I-1) Zone, 0.78 acre of land is zoned Residential-Agricultural ( $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{A}$ ), and 426.52 acres of land is located in the R-S Zone.

It should be noted that, although the site is comprised of various zones, the development and its density calculation only pertain to the R-S-zoned portion of land, which is consistent with the approval of Basic Plan A-9968 for Willowbrook. The property is comprised of two deed parcels recorded in Liber 35350 folio 319, which have never been the subject of a record plat, and are located on Tax Map 76, 77, and 85 in Grids A2-A4, B1-B4, C3-C4, and F3-F4 (known as Parcel 30)
and recorded by deed in Liber 35350 folio 319. The property is located on the north side of Leeland Road, approximately 3,178 feet west of the intersection of Leeland Road and US 301 (Robert Crain Highway). The site is undeveloped, wooded, and contains numerous environmental features. The site's frontage and access are from Leeland Road.

On behalf of the applicant, Robert Antonetti, Esq. filed a statement of justification (SOJ) on October 26, 2021, in support of the application and incorporated into this technical staff report by reference.

## Land Use

The 427.30-acre property, specifically the uses proposed for the site, include warehouse/distribution, office, light industrial/manufacturing, and/or institutional uses.

The application has been filed in accordance with the provisions of the Zoning Ordinance, as modified by Prince George's County Council Bill CB-22-2020.

Said legislation was adopted by the District Council on July 14, 2020, for the purposes of allowing uses permitted in the E-I-A Zone on land in the R-S Zone, pursuant to Section 27-515(b). The analysis, therefore, will be subject to the required findings for approval of Section 27-195(b) of the Zoning Ordinance, and the applicability of the development restrictions of Sections 27-511 and 27-515(b), Footnote 38.

## Required Findings

The following analysis is based on the referrals received and the SOJ submitted by the applicant, which are adopted herein by reference, to address the required findings for approval, in accordance with Section 27-195(b):

## Master Plan Analysis (Section 27-195(b)(1)(A))

The subject property is in conformance with Section 27-195(b)(1)(A)(iii), as it is subject to the regulations applicable to land zoned R-S and will be developed with uses permitted in the E-I-A Zone, as authorized, pursuant to Section 27-515(b) and CB-22-2020 (DR-2).

Approximately 15 acres of the overall property included in the basic plan is designated for light industrial land use. The I-1-zoned portion of the property is located along the southeast portion of the site, between the adjacent former Safeway Distribution Center (northwest quadrant of US 301/Leeland Road) and the residentially zoned portion of the National Capital Business Park.

Preliminary Plan of Subdivision (PPS) 4-20032 was approved on September 9, 2021 (PGCPB Resolution No. 2021-112), for 3.5 million square feet of warehouse/distribution, office, light industrial/manufacturing, and/or institutional uses. A new PPS will be required upon the approval of this basic plan amendment request in order to demonstrate conformance with all adequate public facilities requirements contained in Subtitle 24 of the Prince George's County Code. A traffic impact analysis was provided with the initial submittal and deemed insufficient for review. A revised traffic analysis was provided to the Transportation Planning Section for further review on January 14, 2022. Staff found that the subject site is surrounded by similar employment uses, proposed recreational facilities, and residential uses south of Leeland Road. Leeland Road (MC-600) is a designated major collector roadway and includes a master plan recommended shared-use path along its entire extent. It should be noted that the submitted SOJ indicates that the applicant is evaluating frontage improvements along Leeland Road as a two-lane open section roadway,
subject to approval by the Prince George's County Department of Permitting, Inspections and Enforcement (DPIE) and the Prince George's County Department of Public Works and Transportation (DPW\&T). Staff recommends that a 10 -foot-wide shared-use path be provided along the subject site frontage of Leeland Road, unless modified by DPIE with written correspondence. This shared-use path would be consistent with the approved facility of the adjacent property (Specific Design Plan SDP-1705, PGCPB Resolution No. 2020-57), and can be constructed within the dedication of right-of-way that is required for a major collector roadway. The applicant shall provide a network of pedestrian and bikeway facilities internal to the site. The exact location and design of said facilities shall be evaluated with future applications. The future PPS, and revision to the prior approved Comprehensive Design Plan CDP-0505-01, will provide more in-depth data concerning adequacy of public facilities.

Master plan analysis is contained in the Community Planning Division's referral dated January 10, 2022 (McCray to Sievers), which finds that pursuant to Section 27-195(b)(1)(A)(iii), the proposed basic plan conforms to the approved CB-22-2020 and Section 27-195(b)(1)(A)(iii), the regulations applicable to land zoned R-S and developed with uses permitted in the E-I-A Zone as authorized, pursuant to Section 27-515(b).

## Economic Analysis (Section 27-195(b)(1)(B))

This analysis is not required because the applicant is not proposing retail or commercial uses.

## Transportation Facilities (Section 27-195(b)(1)(C))

The Transportation Planning Section referral dated January 23, 2022 (Burton to Sievers), provided the following analysis: to meet the legal threshold cited in Section 27-195(b)(1)(C) of the Zoning Ordinance, the applicant has provided staff with a January 2022 traffic impact study. The findings and recommendations outlined below are based upon a review of these materials and analyses conducted by staff of the Transportation Planning Section, consistent with the "Transportation Review Guidelines Part 1-2012". The table below shows the intersections deemed to be critical, as well as the levels of service representing existing conditions. It is worth noting that one of the provisions of recent Council legislation (CB-22-2020) is that no traffic from this proposed development should be oriented to and from Leeland Road to the south of the subject property. As a result of this mandate by the council, the traffic impact study did not consider any intersections along Leeland Road. The table below shows the intersections deemed to be critical, as well as the levels of service representing existing conditions:

| EXISTING CONDITIONS |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Intersections | AM | PM |
| US 301 SB @ Wawa Crossover (signalized in future) | (LOS/CLV) delay | (LOS/CLV) delay |
| US 301 NB @ Wawa Crossover | C/1280 | C/1248 |
| US 301 @ Trade Zone Avenue | A/895 | C/1161 |
| US 301 @ Queens Court: Right-in, Right-Out (RIRO) | No delay | No delay |
| US 301 @ Median Crossover <br> Minor street volume | No delay <br> $<100 ~ v e h i c l e s ~$ | No delay <br> $<100$ vehicles |
| US 301 @ Leeland Road | A/924 | A/866 |
| US 301 @ Beechtree Parkway-Swanson Road | D/1330 | D/1321 |
| US 301 @ Village Drive | B/1086 | B/1144 |
| US 301 @ MD 725 | C/1204 | D/1343 |
| US 301 @ Chrysler Drive | B/1045 | B/1063 |
| Prince George's Boulevard @ Trade Zone Avenue | 15.0 seconds | 15.1 seconds |
| Prince George's Boulevard @ Commerce Drive | 9.5 seconds | 9.8 seconds |
| Prince George's Boulevard @ Queens Court | 9.5 seconds | 12.5 seconds |
| * Unsignalized intersections. In analyzing two-way stop-controlled intersections, a three-step <br> procedure is undertaken in which the greatest average delay (in seconds) for any movement within <br> the intersection, the maximum approach volume on a minor approach, and the critical lane volume <br> (CLV) is computed and compared to the approved standard. According to the "Guidelines", all three <br> tests must fail in order to require a signal warrant study. |  |  |

The traffic study identified 14 background developments whose impact would affect some or all of the study intersections. In addition, a growth of 1.1 percent over six years was also applied to the traffic volumes. In addition to the inclusion of background developments and regional growth, the traffic impact study assumed improvements involving the upgrade to US 301 between MD 214 in the north and MD 4 to the south. Specifically, the improvements would involve a widening of US 301 from four to six through lanes. This improvement appears in the current county Capital Improvement Program (CIP) with full funding within six years. There is a provision in the CIP that the overall cost of $\$ 32$ million will be borne by developer contribution. This applicant has agreed to provide his/her commensurate share, which will be determined at future stages of the development review process.

The subject application seeks to amend the previously approved application (A-9968-02) which considered county warehouse rates. As additional phases of this development with more specific land uses are proposed, trip rates from the Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE) Trip Generation Manual may be substituted for rates which may not be available in the department's Transportation Review Guidelines.

Using the trip rates from the "Guidelines", the study has indicated that the subject application represents the following trip generation:

| Table 1-Trip Generation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | AM Peak |  |  | PM Peak |  |  |  |  |
|  | In | Out | Total | In | Out | Total |  |  |  |
| Warehouse (FAR 0.3, county <br> rates) | $5,500,000$ Sq. <br> feet | 1,780 | 440 | 2,200 | 440 | 1,780 | 2,200 |  |  |
| Total new trips |  | 1,780 | 440 | 2,200 | 440 | 1,780 | 2,200 |  |  |

The table above indicates that the proposed development will be adding 2,200 trips during both peak hours. The analysis under existing condition assumes several unsignalized intersections. Under future conditions, the following intersections are assumed to be signalized:

- US 301 south bound at Wawa Crossover
- US 301 north bound at Wawa Crossover
- US 301 at Queens Court

A third analysis depicting total traffic conditions was done, yielding the following results:

| TOTAL CONDITIONS with CIP improvements |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersections | AM | PM |
|  | (LOS/CLV) delay | (LOS/CLV) delay |
| US 301 SB @ Wawa Crossover | A/976 | C/1175 |
| US 301 NB @ Wawa Crossover | B/1145 | B/1409 |
| US 301 @ Trade Zone Avenue | C/1185 | D/1442 |
| US 301 @ Queens Court | D/1340 | D/1377 |
| US 301 @ Median Crossover * | No delay | No delay |
| Minor street volume* | <100 vehicles |  |
| US 301 @ Leeland Road | D/1674 | F/1757 |
| With additional improvement | C/1298 | D/1417 |
| US 301 @ Beechtree Parkway-Swanson Road | D/1390 | D/1448 |
| US 301 @ Village Drive | C/1208 | C/1213 |
| US 301 @ MD 725 | C/1292 | D/1432 |
| US 301 @ Chrysler Drive | B/1050 | D/1397 |
| Prince George's Boulevard @ Trade Zone Avenue* | 17.0 seconds | 25.0 seconds |
| Prince George's Boulevard @ Commerce Drive* | 12.6 seconds | 12.2 seconds |
| Prince George's Boulevard @ Queens Court * <br> Tier 1: HCS Delay test <br> Tier 2: Minor Street Volume <br> Tier 3: CLV | 523.8 seconds <br> $>100$ vehicles <br> D/1447 | 621.0 seconds >100 vehicles D/1435 |
| * Unsignalized intersections. In analyzing two-way stop-controlled intersections, a three-step procedure is undertaken in which the greatest average delay (in seconds) for any movement within the intersection, the maximum approach volume on a minor approach, and the critical lane volume (CLV) is computed and compared to the approved standard. According to the "Guidelines", all three tests must fail in order to require a signal warrant study. |  |  |

The results under total traffic conditions show that the intersections will all operate adequately with the exception of US 301 at Leeland Road. To that end, the applicant has agreed to provide an additional left turn lane (for a total of three lefts) on the eastbound approach, resulting in acceptable level of service for that intersection.

## Transportation Staff Conclusions

Based on the preceding findings, the Transportation Planning Section concludes that existing transportation facilities, when improved with improvements provided in the county CIP, along with some additional improvements provided by the applicant, and signalization at some key intersections, will be adequate to carry the anticipated traffic generated by 5.5 million square feet of warehousing development. Furthermore, the uses proposed will not generate traffic which would lower the level of service anticipated by the land use and circulation systems shown on the approved Area Master Plan, in accordance with Section 27-195 of the Prince George's County Code.

In making this finding, the Transportation Planning Section staff recommends conditions, which have been incorporated in the Recommendation section of this technical staff report.

## Other Public Facilities (Section 27-195(b)(1)(D))

Subtitle 24 of the County Code provides the only methodology for testing adequate public facilities to ensure that the development will be adequately served. The Countywide Planning Section referral dated January 14, 2022 (Thompson to Sievers), provided analysis of adequate public facilities including police, fire and rescue, water, sewer, schools, recreation, and library. Per Subtitle 24 of the County Code, the methodology for testing adequate public facilities occurs at the time of PPS review.

## NON-RESIDENTIAL

## Water and Sewer Findings

The proposed development is within Water and Sewer Category 4, Community System Adequate for Development Planning. Water and Sewer Category 3, Community System, must be obtained prior to final plat. The increased square footage will not increase the demand for additional water and sewerage facilities and, therefore, adequate water and sewer facilities exist to serve the subject property.

## Capital Improvement Program (CIP)

The subject project is located in Planning Area 74A, Mitchellville Vicinity. The Prince George's County FY 2021-2026 Approved CIP identifies the following projects in Planning Area 74A:
a. Office of Central Services - Collington Athletic Complex
b. DPW\&T - Church Road Improvements, US 301 Improvements

The Prince George's County FY 2021-2026 Approved CIP does not identify any libraries, schools, and/or public safety facilities in the Planning Area.

## Police Facilities

This project is served by Police District II, Bowie, located at 601 Crain Highway, in Bowie. Per Section 24-122.01(c)(1)(A) of the Prince George's County Subdivision Regulations, the Planning Board's current test for police adequacy is based on a standard for square footage in police stations relative to the population. The proposed amendment will not impact the need for additional police facilities and therefore, the Section finds existing police facilities are adequate for the uses proposed by the basic plan. This will be further evaluated at the time of PPS review.

## Fire and Rescue

This project is served by the Pointer Ridge Volunteer Fire/EMS Co. 843 located at 16408 Pointer Ridge, in Bowie, as the first due station. Per Section 24-122.01(d)(1)(A) of the Subdivision Regulations, a five-minute total response time is recognized as the national standard for Fire/EMS response times.

Prince George's County Fire and EMS Department representative, James V. Reilly, stated in writing (via email) that as of January 14, 2022, the subject project does not pass the four-minute travel test from the closest Prince George's County Fire/EMS Station, Pointer Ridge Volunteer Fire/EMS Co. 843 in Bowie. The proposed amendment may impact fire facilities. A recommendation may be made to contact the Prince George's County Fire/EMS Department to request a pre-incident emergency plan for the facility, install and maintain automated external defibrillators in accordance with the Code of Maryland Regulations, and install and maintain hemorrhage kits next to fire extinguishers. This will be further evaluated at the time of PPS review. The Section finds existing fire facilities may require mitigation for the uses proposed by the basic plan.

## School Facilities

Per Section 24-122.02 of the Subdivision Regulations and Prince George’s County Council Resolutions CR-23-2001 and CR-38-2002, Adequate Public Schools Facility Regulations for Schools, this subdivision is exempt from a review for schools because it is a non-residential use. The proposed amendment will not impact school facilities, and therefore, the Section find existing school facilities are adequate for the uses proposed by the basic plan.

## Recreation Facilities

This area is served by the following Maryland-National Capital Park and Planning Commission (M-NCPPC) Parks and Recreation facilities:

- Watkins Regional Park located at 301 Watkins Park Drive in Upper Marlboro.
- Largo/Kettering/Perrywood Community Center located at 431 Watkins Park Drive in Upper Marlboro.
- Upper Marlboro Community Center located at 5400 Marlboro Race Track Road in Upper Marlboro.

The proposed amendment will not impact the need for additional recreation facilities. Therefore, the Section finds existing recreation facilities are adequate for the uses proposed by the basic plan.

## Library Facilities

This area is served by the Prince George's County Memorial Library System, South Bowie Branch, located at 15301 Hall Road, in Bowie. The proposed amendment will not impact the need for additional library facilities. Therefore, the Section finds that existing library facilities are adequate for the uses proposed by the basic plan.

## Environmental Relationship (Section 27-195(b)(1)(E))

As set forth in the applicant's SOJ and the Environmental Planning Section referral dated January 10, 2022 (Nickle to Sievers), the environmental impacts are envisioned to be within the limits of the previously approved development envelopes of the applicable CDP-0505 (PGCPB Resolution No. 06-273) and PPS 4-06066 (PGCPB Resolution No. 07-43). Appropriate conditions and considerations exist in the original approval to ensure this analysis is done at later stages of development. Staff recommends that the previously approved conditions and considerations be brought forward with the subject application, unless otherwise stated, as discussed further in this referral.

The project is subject to the environmental regulations contained in Subtitles 24, 25, and 27 that came into effect on September 1, 2010 and February 1, 2012, because the application was approved with a new PPS, 4-20032. The requested change in use will not result in a change to the woodland conservation threshold which is currently 15 percent for the E-I-A ( $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{S}$ ) and I-1 portions of the site and is 50 percent for the R-A Zone, resulting in a weighted woodland conservation threshold of 15.08 percent, or 52.40 -acres. There is an approved Type 1 and Type 2 tree conservation plan on the overall development. All future applications will require tree conservation plans, in accordance with the current regulations.

Leeland Road, which borders the site on the south, is a designated scenic road. No direct vehicular access is proposed from the National Capital Business Park to Leeland Road. The applicant states that upon completion of the development, the Oak Grove Road/Leeland Road corridor will retain its character as a prominent scenic roadway in the County. Condition 2 of A-9968-01 was approved for removal with the approval of A-9968-02.

## Section 27-195(b)(2) Construction Schedule

The applicant did not propose a construction schedule of more than six years. Therefore, this regulation was not evaluated by staff.

## Section 27-195(b)(3) L-A-C Commercial Development

The subject property is not in the Local Activity Center Zone. Therefore, this regulation does not apply.

## Section 27-195(b)(4) V-M and V-L Development

The subject property is not zoned Village-Medium or Village-Low. Therefore, this regulation does not apply.

Staff finds that the proposed amendment of A-9968-02 meets the requirements of Section 27-195(b).

## Additional Findings

This section demonstrates how the basic plan application conforms and supports the purposes of the R-S Zone, as follows:
(a) The purposes of the R-S Zone are to:
(1) Establish (in the public interest) a plan implementation zone, in which (among other things):
(A) Permissible residential density is dependent upon providing public benefit features and related density increment factors; and
(B) The location of the Zone must be in accordance with the adopted and approved General Plan, Master Plan, Sector Plan, public urban renewal plan, or Sectional Map Amendment Zoning Change;
(C) Applicable regulations are satisfied for uses authorized pursuant to Section 27-515(b) of this Code.

It should be noted that the District Council decided in the approval of the initial Basic Plan, A-9968, and CR-90-2005, that the subject property was eligible for placement in the R-S Zone as part of their approval of the 2006 Approved Master Plan and Sectional Map Amendment for Bowie and Vicinity (Bowie and Vicinity Master Plan and SMA). This application satisfies all of the applicable regulations for the proposed uses, as set forth in Section 27-515(b)(4) of the Zoning Ordinance. This section permits any use allowed in the E-I-A Zone in the R-S Zone by Footnote 38. This project meets the specific requirements provided in Footnote 38, as follows:
(38) Notwithstanding any other provision of this Subtitle, any use allowed in the E-I-A Zone (excluding those permitted by Special Exception) is permitted, provided:
(a) The use is located on a parcel, a portion of a parcel, or an assemblage of adjacent land that:
(i) was rezoned from the E-I-A and R-A Zones to the I-1 and R-S Zones by a Sectional Map Amendment approved after January 1, 2006;
(ii) contains at least 400 acres and adjoins a railroad right-of-way; and
(iii) is adjacent to an existing employment park developed pursuant to the E-I-A Zone requirements.

The subject site was rezoned from the E-I-A and R-A Zones as part of the Bowie and Vicinity Master Plan and SMA approved on or about February 7, 2006 (See Sectional Map Amendment Change 7A, 7B, and 7C). As
mentioned herein, the National Capital Business Park site contains $442 \pm$ acres, adjoins a CSX railroad right-of-way to the west, and is immediately adjacent to existing Collington Center (zoned and developed pursuant to the E-I-A Zone).
(b) Regulations regarding green area set forth in Section 27-501(a)2) shall not apply. The minimum green area (of net lot area) shall be $\mathbf{1 0 \%}$. All other regulations in the E-I-A Zone shall apply to uses developed pursuant to this Section.

The applicant concurs with this requirement, and all future development within the National Capital Business Park will reflect a minimum of 10 percent green area (of net lot area). Further, all other E-I-A Zone regulations will apply to future development at the National Capital Business Park. The subject application remains in conformance with this standard.
(c) Regulations in the R-S Zone shall not apply to uses developed pursuant to this Section.

The applicant agrees with this requirement, and the R-S Zone regulations will not apply to this project.
(d) Additional requirements for uses developed pursuant to this footnote shall include the following:
(i) Street connectivity shall be through an adjacent employment park; and

The applicant's basic plan amendment reflects that the access to and from the National Capital Business Park will be from future Queens Court (extended). Said roadway will form part of the street network connecting the existing Collington Center with the National Capital Business Park. This application also reflects the potential for a secondary access to be provided at either Pope's Creek Drive or Prince George's Boulevard. Both potential secondary access points are extensions of the road system within the existing Collington Center. The subject application remains in conformance with this standard.
(ii) A public park of at least $\mathbf{2 0}$ acres shall be provided.

Per a referral received from the Prince George's County Department of Parks and Recreation (DPR) dated January 6, 2022 (Burke to Sievers), all previous conditions relating to DPR, and the proposed 20-acre park, Collington Branch Stream Valley Trail, and parkland dedication remain valid.

## Section 27-511: Purposes of R-S Zone (continued):

(2) Establish regulations through which adopted and approved public plans and policies (such as the General Plan, Master Plans, Sector Plans, public urban renewal plans, or Sectional Map Amendment Zoning Changes) can serve as the criteria for judging individual development proposals;

The District Council's initial approval of the basic plan and basic plan amendment for Willowbrook demonstrated conformance with the applicable master plan policies and recommendations. As mentioned above, the property's current zoning is R-S. The property was placed in the R-S Zone as part of the Bowie and Vicinity Master Plan and SMA. Prior to the R-S Zone approval, the entire R-S portion of property was zoned E-I-A. The E-I-A Zone is intended for a concentration of non-retail employment and institutional uses such as medical, manufacturing, office, religious, educational, and warehousing. The property was previously placed in the E-I-A Zone as part of the 1991 Bowie, Collington, Mitchellville and Vicinity Master Plan and Sectional Map Amendment. The 1991 master plan text referred to this land area as the "Willowbrook Business Center." The Basic Plan (A-9829) for this previously planned center was approved as part of the 1991 master plan and allowed for a floor area ratio between .3 and .38 for a total of 3,900,000-5,000,000 square feet of "light manufacturing, warehouse/distribution, ancillary office, and retail commercial" uses. Notwithstanding the site's history as being planned/zoned for employment uses in the past, the subject property is in conformance with Section 27-195(b)(1)(A)(iii) (Map Amendment Approval), as it is subject to the regulations applicable to land zoned R-S and will be developed with uses permitted in the E-I-A Zone as authorized, pursuant to Section 27-515(b) and CB-22-2020.
(3) Assure the compatibility of proposed land uses with existing and proposed surrounding land uses, and existing and proposed public facilities and services, so as to promote the health, safety, and welfare of the present and future inhabitants of the Regional District;

The property is undeveloped and predominately wooded. The site is surrounded by undeveloped land to the north that is part of the 890-acre, Residential Low Development (R-L) Zoned Oak Creek Development; to the east is Collington Center in the E-I-A Zone, to the south is Leeland Road, a designated scenic roadway, and beyond is vacant land, scattered detached single-family dwellings in the R-A Zone, and the existing Beech Tree subdivision located in the R-S Zone; and to the west is vacant land in the R-L Zone where the proposed Locust Hill development will be located.

The intent of the proposal is to utilize the flexibility of the comprehensive design zone to develop an employment park that provides uses in a manner which will retain the dominant employment and institutional character of the area, and to improve the overall quality of employment and institutional centers in Prince George's County, in accordance with the purposes of the E-I-A Zone. The project will provide a significant tax base and employment opportunities for citizens of the county and will promote the health, safety, and welfare of present and future inhabitants of the regional district. Further, the required PPS process will ensure that adequate public facilities have been fully provided, in accordance with the
requirements of Subtitle 24. These public facilities include adequate transportation systems either existing or planned (or to be provided by the applicant). The property will also be served by public water and sewer facilities, as well as adequate police and fire facilities. The subject application remains in conformance with this standard.

## (4) Encourage amenities and public facilities to be provided in conjunction with residential development;

The above criteria do not apply to the subject amendment because it does not include a residential component. Nonetheless, the public facilities which are either existing, under construction, or fully funded within the County's CIP will be adequate to serve the warehouse/ distribution, office, light industrial/manufacturing, and/or institutional uses proposed in this application. It should be noted that the County's CIP provides full funding (within its six-year funding window) for improvements to US 301, including a signal at the Queens Court/US 301 intersection. The proposed development is within the service area for Police District II - Bowie. This police facility will adequately serve the businesses within the National Capital Business Park. Finally, the National Capital Business Park will include the provision of a 20 -acre + /- public park.

## (5) Encourage and stimulate balanced land development; and

The proposed basic plan amendment will provide for balanced land development that will respect existing environmental conditions on the site, while creating employment area synergies with Collington Center immediately to the north of the property.

## (6) Improve the overall quality and variety of residential environments in the Regional District;

The proposed basic plan amendment will improve the quality of residential environments in nearby communities such as Beechtree and Oak Creek by not placing additional burdens on certain public facilities. Specifically, the uses contemplated by the National Capital Business Park will not create vehicle trips that would directly access Leeland Road (as opposed to the previously approved Willowbrook project which would send 100 percent of its vehicular trips to Leeland Road), nor will the National Capital Business Park generate any new students to be added the County's public school system. In addition, the National Capital Business Park will be designed to utilize the green areas on its perimeter to essentially screen the warehouse/distribution, office, light industrial/manufacturing, and/or institutional uses from surrounding communities such as Oak Creek and Beechtree. Finally, the National Capital Business Park will provide a 20 -acre community park that existing residential communities can conveniently utilize. In summary, all of the above items will enhance the residential environment for existing and planned residential uses in the immediate area. The subject application remains in conformance with this standard.
(7) Encourage low-density residential development which provides for a variety of one-family dwelling types, including a large lot component, in a planned development;

The proposed amendment seeks approval of 2 million more square feet of employment uses that are permitted in the R-S Zone, pursuant to CB-22-2020, for a total of 5.5 million square feet. As mentioned herein, the development of the site with such uses will be done in a way to integrate with the adjacent Collington Center. Since the National Capital Business Park will not be utilizing Leeland Road as a direct access point for any of its vehicular trips, the balance of the Leeland Road corridor will remain highly appropriate for low-density residential development. The future/planned Locust Hill residential project immediately to the south of the site, for example, will directly benefit from the aforementioned benefits of the National Capital Business Park.
(8) Protect significant natural, cultural, historical, or environmental features and create substantial open space areas in concert with a unique living environment; and

The proposed amendment for the National Capital Business Park in this application has been designed to protect and preserve sensitive environmental features within the property to the fullest extent practicable. Approximately $20 \pm$ acres of developable land will be conveyed to M-NCPPC for active recreation purposes. Moreover, the project has been designed to locate future development in a way that would not negatively impact Leeland Road, a designated scenic roadway.
(9) Protect view sheds and landscape/woodland buffers along the primary roadways and woodlands, open fields, and other natural amenities within the Zone.

Master-planned roadway MC-600 (Leeland Road) will require significant impacts to the primary management area, wetlands, and potentially rare, threatened, and endangered species in order to construct within its current planned alignment. In an effort to protect viewsheds and landscape/woodland buffers along the roadway and minimize impacts to sensitive environmental features, the applicant is evaluating appropriate frontage improvements for the construction of a two-lane roadway, subject to approval by DPIE and DPW\&T. No direct vehicular access is proposed from the National Capital Business Park to Leeland Road. Thus, upon completion of this planned development, the Oak Grove Road/Leeland Road corridor will retain its character as a prominent scenic roadway in the County. It should also be noted that portions of the future Leeland/Oak Grove Road roadway will be relocated, pursuant to master plan requirements. At the time of approval of these portions of the relocated roadway, it will be appropriate to discern what, if any, portion of the new roadway would need to be buffered by any scenic roadway easements. As mentioned previously, the site contains significant green areas surrounding the perimeter of the project that will remain substantially intact with this development. Said green area will serve to protect viewsheds to and from the site. The subject application remains in conformance with this standard.

## RECOMMENDATION

The District Council approval of Basic Plan A-9968-02 (Zoning Ordinance 2-2021) adopted the findings and conclusions of the Zoning Hearing Examiner, with 21 conditions and 2 considerations. Staff recommends APPROVAL of the amendment with the following conditions and considerations that supersede all previous approvals:

## 1. Proposed Land Use Types and Quantities

Total Area: 442.30 acres
Total area (I-1 Zone): 15 $\pm$ acres (not included in density calculation)
Total area (R-A Zone): $0.78 \pm$ acres (not included in density calculation)
Total area (R-S Zone): 426.52 acres per approved natural resource inventory

Land in the 100-year floodplain: 92.49 acres

Adjusted gross area (426 less half of the floodplain): 380.27 acres.
Proposed use: Warehouse/distribution, office, light industrial/manufacturing, and/or institutional uses up to 5.5 million square feet*.

## Open Space

Public active open space: $20 \pm$ acres
Passive open space: $215 \pm$ acres

* 100,000 square feet of gross floor area may be located in the I-1 Zone property noted herein.

2. At the time of the submission of a comprehensive design plan or preliminary plan of subdivision, the applicant shall provide a traffic study that analyzes the following intersections:
a. US 301/MD 725
b. US 301/Village Drive
c. US 301/Leeland Road
d. US 301/Trade Zone Avenue
e. US 301 south bound/Wawa Crossover
f. US 301 north bound/Wawa Crossover
g. US 301/Queens Court
h. US 301/Median Crossover
i. US 301/Beechtree Parkway/Swanson Road
j. US 301/Chrysler Drive
k. Prince George's Boulevard/Trade Zone Avenue
l. Prince George's Boulevard/Commerce Drive
m. Prince George's Boulevard Queens Court
3. At the time of preliminary plan of subdivision, the applicant shall provide the dedication for one-half of the 100 feet of dedication required to build Leeland Road (MC-600) to its ultimate cross section, per the Prince George's County Department of Public Works and Transportation standards.
4. At the time of preliminary plan of subdivision, the applicant shall dedicate $100 \pm$ acres of parkland to the Maryland-National Capital Park and Planning Commission, including the Collington Branch stream valley and 20 acres of developable land for active recreation, as shown on the Prince George's County Department of Parks and Recreation Exhibit A (Bates Stamped 62 of 63, Exhibit 28, A-9968-01).
5. The land to be conveyed to the Maryland-National Capital Park and Planning Commission shall be subject to the conditions of Exhibit B, attached to the memorandum dated June 21, 2005, from the Prince George's County Department of Parks and Recreation (Bates Stamped 63 of 63, Exhibit 28, A-9968-01).
6. The applicant and the applicant's heirs, successors, and/or assignees shall construct a minimum 10-foot-wide master plan hiker/biker trail located along the Collington Branch stream valley and a minimum 10 -foot-wide feeder trail to the employment uses. The alignment and design details of both trails may be modified by the Prince George's County Department of Parks and Recreation, to respond to environmental constraints, with written correspondence.
7. A revised plan showing parkland dedication and master plan trail shall be reviewed and approved by the Prince George's County Department of Parks and Recreation staff at the time of comprehensive design plan.
8. The applicant shall construct recreational facilities typical for a 20 -acre community park such as ball fields, a playground, tennis or basketball courts, shelters, and restroom facilities. The list of recreational facilities shall be determined at the preliminary plan of subdivision and specific design plan stage.
9. The submission package of the comprehensive design plan shall contain a signed natural resources inventory (NRI) plan. The signed NRI plan shall be used by the designers to prepare a site layout that minimizes impacts to the regulated areas of the site.
10. A geotechnical study that identifies the location and elevation of the Marlboro clay layer throughout the site shall be submitted as part of the comprehensive design plan application.
11. A protocol for surveying the locations of all rare, threatened, and endangered species within the subject property shall be obtained from the Maryland Department of Natural Resources, prior to acceptance of the comprehensive design plan, and this protocol shall be part of the submittal package. The completed surveys and required reports shall be submitted as part of any application for preliminary plans.
12. Prior to acceptance of the preliminary plan of subdivision, a revised natural resources inventory plan shall be submitted and approved.
13. At the time of comprehensive design plan review, specific acreage of parkland dedications shall be determined. This area may include a $1.7 \pm$ acre parcel of land which was not previously committed for parkland dedication. The conditions of conveyance shall be determined by appropriate staff of the Maryland-National Capital Park and Planning Commission.
14. At the time of comprehensive design plan, the applicant shall address its plan to grade a 10 -acre developable portion of the dedicated parkland (including a $1.7 \pm$ acre parcel of land from the Willowbrook project area which was not previously committed for parkland dedication) on the western side of the property, east of the Pennsylvania Railroad right-of-way to accommodate ball fields and a parking lot.
15. The applicant and the applicant's heirs, successors, and/or assignees shall construct a minimum 10 -foot-wide master plan shared-use path along the subject site frontage of Leeland Road, consistent with AASHTO standards, unless modified by the Prince George's County Department of Permitting, Inspections and Enforcement, with written correspondence.
16. The conceptual location of the Collington Branch Stream Valley Trail, its feeder trail connecting to the proposed employment uses, and the Leeland Road shared-use path shall be shown on the comprehensive design plan.
17. In the event the applicant elects to pursue an alternative access point(s) to the adjacent Collington Center via Pope's Creek Drive and/or Prince George’s Boulevard, the transportation and environmental impacts of any additional access point(s) shall be evaluated at the time of comprehensive design plan or preliminary plan.
18. All of the intersections evaluated with this application will be subject to further analyses at the time of the comprehensive design plan phase of the subject development.
19. The applicant shall provide a network of pedestrian and bikeway facilities internal to the site. The exact location and design of said facilities shall be evaluated with future applications.
20. The applicant shall provide a 10 -foot-wide master plan trail along the Collington Branch Stream. The design of the master plan trail will be evaluated with future applications.
21. The applicant and the applicant's heirs, successors, and/or assignees shall construct a minimum 10 -foot-wide master plan shared-use path along the subject site frontage of Leeland Road, consistent with AASHTO standards, unless modified by the Prince George's County Department of Permitting, Inspections and Enforcement, with written correspondence.

Comprehensive Design Plan Considerations:

1. The natural aesthetic qualities of the site and all regulated environmental features shall be preserved to the fullest extent possible and shall seek to minimize any impacts to said features.
2. All proposed internal streets and developments should follow complete streets principles and support multimodal transportation, as well as facilities to encourage walking, bicycling, and transit use such as short- and long-term bicycle parking, including shower facilities and changing facilities, covered transit stops, crosswalks, etc.
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## I. INTRODUCTION

The purpose of this application is to amend the existing Basic Plan (A-9968-02-C) for the project known as the National Capital Business Park (the "NCBP"). A-9968-02-C was approved by the Prince George's County District Council on April 12, 2021, (Zoning Ordinance 2-2021). The NCBP has already received basic plan approval to develop a modern logistics/employment park to be located on land adjacent to the existing Collington Center. The primary purpose of this basic plan amendment is to amend the maximum employment and institutional uses approved in A-9968-02-C from 3.5 million square feet to 5.5 million square feet (a net increase of a maximum of 2 million square feet). To accommodate the potential for this additional square footage, the Applicant reserves the ability to request modifications to the conceptual roadway alignments shown on the amended basic plan (subject to approval as part of a future entitlement application(s)). The types of uses proposed for the site will not change from the those approved in A-9968-02-C, and will include warehouse/distribution, office, light industrial/manufacturing, and/or institutional uses. NCBP Property, LLC is the applicant for this project. (the "Applicant").

This statement of justification presents the supporting rationale and documentation necessary for the review and evaluation of the basic plan amendment application, A-9968-03. Through the submission of this basic plan amendment application, the Applicant requests a change to the approved land use quantities to include a maximum of up to 5.5 million square feet of warehouse/distribution, office, light industrial/manufacturing, and/or institutional uses. Despite the potential building square footage increase, this basic plan amendment does not propose any increase in the developable land area previously approved in A-9968-02-C. The proposed amendment is being filed in accordance with the provisions of Prince George's County Zoning Ordinance (the "Zoning Ordinance").

## II. DESCRIPTION OF SUBJECT PROPERTY

## A. Site Description

The subject of this basic plan amendment application is a $442 \pm$ acre site, and is situated on an undeveloped property located north of Leeland Road and west of US 301. This property is conveniently located near major transportation routes and is located in the Growth Tier Boundary as designated by the 2014 General Plan, and is zoned R-S (Residential Suburban). NCBP is partially bounded on the west by the Popes Creek Branch CSX Railroad tracks, vacant M-NCPPC park land to the north,
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Collington Center to the northeast, Leeland Road to the south, and the proposed Target Distribution Center to the southeast, (formerly the Safeway Distribution Center). To the east and west are streams and their associated tributaries.

## B. Neighborhood and Surrounding Uses

The neighborhood surrounding the NCBP is inclusive of natural and man-made impediments which form its boundaries. To the north is Central Avenue (MD 214), to the east is Crain Highway (US 301), to the west is Church Road, and to the south is Leeland Road.

West of the site is the Collington Branch Stream Valley which is approximately 100' wide at its narrowest, and provides a natural buffer between the subject Property and the neighboring development. Further beyond Collington Center is the mixed-use South Lake development, which is near the intersection of Central Avenue and US 301, and comprises uses such as office, retail, multifamily apartments and condominiums, senior units, townhomes, and single-family detached units. Also, along the western boundary of the property is the Popes Creek Branch CSX Railroad tracks. The Oak Creek residential development is northwest of the intersection of Oak Grove Road and Church Road. East of the site, there is a stream valley, as well as an additional parcel that buffers the NCBP development from the proposed Target Distribution Center, (formerly the Safeway Distribution Center). Southeast of the NCBP, and west of US 301, is the Beech Tree residential development. North of the NCBP are the developed subdivisions of Collington and The Hamptons in the R-R (Rural Residential) Zone. Finally, to the south, is the proposed Locust Hill development.

## C. Site Approval History

July 28, 2005 - Basic Plan Zoning Map Amendment A-9968 approved via Prince George’s County Planning Board Resolution 05-178

November 29, 2005 - Basic Plan Zoning Map Amendment A-9968 approved via Prince George's County District Council Resolution CR-90-2005 (DR-2), rezoning the subject property from the E-I-A Zone to R-S Zone

December 13, 2005 - Natural Resources Inventory Plan NRI-098-05 approved by the Environmental Planning Section

December 19, 2006 - Natural Resources Inventory Plan NRI-098-05-01 approved by the Environmental Planning Section

January 11, 2007 - Natural Resources Inventory Plan NRI-098-05-02 approved by the Environmental Planning Section

February 8, 2007 - Preliminary Plan of Subdivision 4-06066 and Type 1 Tree Conservation Plan TCP1-010-06-01 approved via Prince George's County Planning Board Resolution 07-43

January 4, 2007 - Comprehensive Design Plan CDP-0505 approved by the Prince George's County Planning Board via Planning Board Resolution 06-273

April 9, 2007 - The Prince George's County District Council affirms the Planning Board's decision for Comprehensive Design Plan CDP-0505

May 16, 2007 - Stormwater Management Concept Plan 45944-2015 approved by the Department of Permitting, Inspection and Enforcement

December 12, 2014 - Type 1 Tree Conservation Plan TCP1-010-06 certified by the Environmental Planning Section

September 8, 2016 - Stormwater Management Concept Plan 15988-2016 approved by the Department of Permitting, Inspection and Enforcement

March 30, 2017 - Specific Design Plan SDP-1603 and Type 2 Tree Conservation Plan TCP2-028-2016 approved for Phase 1, Willowbrook via Prince George's County Planning Board Resolution 17-44

April 24, 2017 - The Prince George's County District Council elects not to review Specific Design Plan SDP-1603 rendering the Planning Board's decision as final

February 15, 2018 - Reconsideration Request for Preliminary Plan of Subdivision 4-06066 approved via Prince George's County Planning Board Resolution 07-43(A)

September 20, 2018 - Basic Plan Amendment A-9968-01 approved by the Planning Board via Prince George's County Planning Board Resolution 18-92

April 2, 2019 - Basic Plan Amendment A-9968-01 approved by the Zoning Hearing Examiner

May 13, 2019 - Basic Plan Amendment A-9968-01 approved by the Prince George's County District Council via Zoning Ordinance No. 5-2019

May 17, 2019-Type 2 Tree Conservation Plan TCP2-028-2016 is certified by the Environmental Planning Section

May 31, 2019 - Specific Design Plan SDP-1603 is certified by the Urban Design Section

October 8, 2019 - Basic Plan Amendment A-9968-01 is certified by the Zoning Hearing Examiner

February 9, 2020 - Natural Resources Inventory Plan NRI-098-05-03 is approved by the Environmental Planning Section

January 20, 2021 - Waiver Letter WMR-57-2020 is issued by M-NCPPC's Special Projects Section for Mandatory Referral Application MR-2043A (for the extension of Queens Court and a monument sign on County-owned property)

January 25, 2021 - Specific Design Plan SDP-1603-01(for infrastructure only) is submitted to August 3M-NCPPC for pre-acceptance review

March 3, 2021 - Natural Resources Inventory Plan NRI-098-05-04 approved by the Environmental Planning Section

April 12, 2021 - Basic Plan Amendment A-9968-02 approved by the Prince George's County District Council via Zoning Ordinance No. 2-2021

April 29, 2021 - Comprehensive Design Plan CDP-0505-01 \& Type 1 Tree Conservation Plan TCP1-004-2021 approved by the Prince George's County Planning Board via Planning Board Resolution 2021-50

June 4, 2021 - The Prince George's County District Council waives right to review the Planning Board's decision for Comprehensive Design Plan CDP-0505-01

June 11, 2021 - Comprehensive Design Plan CDP-0505-01, Type 1 Tree Conservation Plan TCP1-0042021, \& Approved CDP Design Guidelines Certified by M-NCPPC Staff

June 16, 2021 - Preliminary Plan of Subdivision 4-20032 \& Type 1 Tree Conservation Plan TCP1-004-2021-01 is formally accepted by M-NCPPC and is currently pending

June 22, 2021 - Basic Plan Amendment A-9968-02 is certified by the Zoning Hearing Examiner
June 22, 2021 - Forest Harvest Operation \& Erosion and Sediment Control Plan No. FH-145-21 is approved by the Soil Conservation District

July 2, 2021 - Haul Road/Timber Transport Permit No. 21506-2021-00 is issued by DPIE
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August 3, 2021 - Rough Grading Permit No. 29083-2021-G is submitted to M-NCPPC and is currently pending

August 12, 2021-Type 2 Tree Conservation Plan TCP2-026-2021 is submitted to the Environmental Planning Section and is currently pending

## III. PURPOSES OF REQUEST

The main purposes of this amendment to the existing Basic Plan (A-9968-02) are as follows:

1. To revise the approved Land Use Quantities to include a maximum of up to 5.5 million square feet of warehouse/distribution, office, light industrial/manufacturing, and/or institutional uses.
2. To revise certain conditions and/or considerations approved in Basic Plan A-9968-02 (See Section VI herein).
3. To demonstrate compliance with the required criteria for Zoning Map Amendments in Section 27-195(b)(1)(A)(iii) of the Zoning Ordinance for regulations applicable to land zoned R-S and developed with uses permitted in the E-I-A Zone as authorized pursuant to Section 27-515(b) of the County Code.

## IV. PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT CONCEPT

The NCBP project continues to represent a well thought out employment and institutional development that is organized into distinct development pockets. Upon approval of this application, the land use quantities will allow a maximum of up to 5.5 million square feet of warehouse/distribution, office, light industrial/manufacturing, and/or institutional uses. Despite the potential building square footage increase, this basic plan amendment does not propose any increase in the developable land area previously approved in A-9968-02-C. The building blocks of the NCBP are identical to those approved in A-9968-02-C and include interconnecting and continuous streets and complimentary employment and institutional building types. Notwithstanding, the Applicant reserves the ability to request modifications to the conceptual roadway alignments shown on the amended basic plan to accommodate the potential for additional square footage (subject to approval as part of a future entitlement application(s)). This basic plan amendment will maintain the same significant green network approved in A-9968-02-C. This includes utilization of the adjacent stream valley to define the edges of the proposed/unchanged development areas. The project has been designed to be a compact development
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that will minimize impacts to sensitive environmental features and preserve priority woodland and land area along the stream valley corridor and other sensitive environmental areas.

The project is organized in a manner that allows for buildings to be clustered along the eastern portion of the property while minimizing impacts to sensitive environmental features in the overall site. Specifically, the project is identified to be developed with warehouse/distribution, office, light industrial/manufacturing, and/or institutional type uses similar to abutting Collington Center. The project also continues to show a potential 20 -acre public park along the west side of the stream valley and north side of Leeland Road that will have direct vehicular access to Leeland Road. The exact configurations of the parcels will be determined as part of a future Comprehensive Design Plan amendment application and a future preliminary plan of subdivision.

Vehicular access to the National Capital Business Park will be provided via an extension of existing Queens Court within Collington Center. The Applicant has worked closely with Department of Permitting, Inspections and Enforcement (DPIE) and the County's Office of Central Services (OCS) to obtain the needed access easement through the abutting county-owned property to the east that will accommodate the extension of Queen's Court. On January 20, 2021, Waiver Letter, WMR-57-2020, was issued by M-NCPPC's Special Projects Section for Mandatory Referral Application, MR-2043A, for the extension of Queens Court and a monument sign on County-owned property. The Applicant has also been in communications with the State Highway Administration (SHA) concerning the proposed median break and signalization of the US Route 301 and Queens Court intersection. The signalization of this intersection is included in the County's 6-year Capital Improvement Project window and will allow the trips from this project to be safely and efficiently distributed through Collington Center and out to US Route 301 through both Queens Court and (to a lesser extent) Trade Zone Avenue. The amended basic plan shows the potential for an additional access to either Pope's Creek Drive or Prince George's Boulevard within the existing Collington Center. Any such secondary access will have all relevant environmental and/or transportation facility impacts reviewed at comprehensive design plan or preliminary plan.

The submitted basic plan amendment shows the portions of the master planned alignment of Prince George's Boulevard that runs through the subject Property. While only a portion of this roadway exists on the subject property, (the balance of which is shown on the Target Distribution Center property to the southeast), the Applicant does not intend to construct the unbuilt portions of this roadway due to the significant environmental impacts that would result from its construction. Significant environmental impacts, included a stream crossing and other jurisdictional impacts, would be required to provide this connection to Leeland Road. It should be noted that DPIE has approved a County Road Code waiver to construct any portions of future I-300/Prince George's Boulevard beyond the cul-de-sac shown on this Basic Plan. Notwithstanding, the Applicant will dedicate all portions of future I-300/Prince George's Boulevard within the NCBP.

## V. COMPLIANCE WITH THE PRINCE GEORGE'S COUNTY ZONING ORDINANCE

Section 27-197(c) of the Zoning Ordinance sets forth the required procedures for amendment of an approved basic plan:

Section 27-197: Amendment of Approved Basic Plan
(c) If an amendment of an approved Basic Plan does not involve a change in land area or an increase in land use density or intensity, is for the purpose of adding a Planned Environmental Preservation Community, or is for the purpose of allowing uses permitted in the E-I-A Zone on land in the R-S Zone pursuant to Section 27515(b) of this Code, the Plan may be amended by the Council in accordance with the following procedures:
(1) The applicant shall file the request (in triplicate) with the Clerk of the Council. The petition shall be accompanied by a new reproducible copy of the proposed new Basic Plan (graphic only) or three (3) copies of the proposed new Basic Plan Text (as necessary depending on the amendment proposed). The Clerk's office shall advise the applicant (in writing) that the Technical Staff has found that the request is complete. If an amendment to an approved Basic Plan is proposed for the purpose of adding a Planned Environmental Preservation Community, the applicant must hold a meeting to solicit public comment on the Plan for the purpose of incorporating comments concerning use, design, and density, to the extent possible, into the Plan. The applicant shall send by certified mail notice of the date, time, place and subject matter of the meeting to all adjoining property owners, including owners whose properties lie directly across a street, alley, or stream, to all persons of record in the original application, and to every municipality located within one (1) mile of the applicant's property. Evidence that the applicant has complied with this requirement shall be provided prior to the acceptance of the applicant's petition by the Clerk of the Council.
(2) The Clerk of the Council shall refer copies of the request and accompanying documents to the Planning Board and to the People's Zoning Counsel. The Planning Board and the People's Zoning Counsel shall submit any comments which they have on the request to the Council, the Zoning Hearing Examiner, the petitioner, and all persons of record in the original Zoning Map Amendment application. The comments shall be
submitted not later than sixty (60) days after the date the Clerk refers the petition to them, unless such deadline is waived in writing by the applicant.
(3) Within one hundred twenty (120) days after referral of the petition to the Planning Board and People's Zoning Counsel, the Zoning Hearing Examiner shall conduct a public hearing on the petition. The hearing shall be held in accordance with Section 27-129. The hearing shall not be held until after the sixty (60) day review period has expired, unless both the Planning Board and People's Zoning Counsel have submitted their comments.
(4) Within thirty (30) days from the close of the hearing record, the Zoning Hearing Examiner shall file a written recommendation with the District Council, unless such deadline is waived in writing by the applicant.
(5) Any person of record may appeal the recommendation of the Zoning Hearing Examiner within fifteen (15) days of the filing of the Zoning Hearing Examiner's recommendation with the District Council. If appealed, all persons of record may testify before the District Council.
(6) Persons arguing shall adhere to the District Council's Rules of Procedure, and argument shall be limited to thirty (30) minutes for each side, and to the record of the hearing.
(7) In approving the petition, the District Council shall find that the requirements of Section 27-195(b) have been met. If the Council does not act within forty-five (45) days of the filing of the written recommendation, the petition shall be considered to have been denied. (Emphasis added).

COMMENT: This amendment to the approved basic plan is for the purpose of changing the approved land use quantities approved in A-9968-02 to include a maximum of up to 5.5 million square feet of warehouse/distribution, office, light industrial/manufacturing, and/or institutional uses within the NCBP. In reviewing the subject basic plan amendment, the District Council, Clerk of the Council, Planning Board, People's Zoning Counsel and the Zoning Hearing Examiner will follow the above procedures provided in Section 27-197(c) of the Zoning Ordinance.

Section 27-195(b) provides the required criteria for approval of a basic plan as follows:
Section 27-195: Map Amendment approval.
(b) Criteria for approval
(1) Prior to approval of the application and Basic Plan, the applicant shall demonstrate, to the satisfaction of the District Council, that the entire development meets the following criteria:
(A) The proposed Basic Plan shall either conform to:
i. The specific recommendations of a General Plan map...or Area Master Plan map; or the principles and guidelines of the plan text which address the design and physical development of the property, the public facilities necessary to serve the development, and the impact which the development may have on the environment and surrounding properties; or
(ii) The principles and guidelines described in the Plan (including the text) with respect to land use, the number of dwelling units, intensity of nonresidential buildings, and the location of land uses; or
(iii) The regulations applicable to land zoned R-S and developed with uses permitted in the E-I-A Zone as authorized pursuant to Section 27-515(b) of this Code. (Emphasis added).

COMMENT: The subject property is in conformance with Section 27-195(b)(1)(A)(iii) above as it is subject to the regulations applicable to land zoned R-S and will be developed with uses permitted in the E-I-A Zone as authorized pursuant to Section 27-515(b) of the Zoning Ordinance and Council Bill, CB-22-2020 (DR-2).

Approximately 15 acres of the overall NCBP Property is in the I-1 Zone and is not subject to this basic plan. The I-1 Zoned portion of the Property is located along the southeast portion of the site between the adjacent Target Distribution Center (northwest quadrant of US 301/Leeland Road) and the R-S zoned portion of the NCBP.

Preliminary Plan of Subdivision (PPS) 4-20032 was approved for the NCBP by the Prince George's County Planning Board on September 9, 2021 (resolution pending as of the date of this statement of justification). A new PPS will be submitted in the future for the warehouse/distribution, office, light industrial/manufacturing, and/or institutional uses proposed with the subject basic plan amendment in order to demonstrate conformance with all adequate public facilities requirements contained in Subtitle 24 of the Prince George's County Code. Further, the Applicant has included a traffic impact analysis study (see Appendix A) as part of this statement of justification that clearly demonstrates that all transportation facilities, either existing or and/or proposed to be constructed by the Applicant, will be adequate to carry the anticipated traffic generated by a maximum of 5.5 million square feet of employment uses. The future PPS and revision to prior approved CDP-0505-01 will provide more indepth data concerning adequacy of public facilities.

## (B) An economic analysis submitted for a proposed retail commercial area adequately

 justifies an area of the size and scope shown on the Basic Plan.COMMENT: The instant application does not contain a proposal for retail commercial development. Therefore, an economic analysis is not required for this Application.
(C) Transportation facilities (including streets and public transit (i) which are existing, (ii) which are under construction, or (iii)for which one hundred percent (100\%) of the construction funds are allocated within the County Capital Improvement Program, within the current State Consolidated Transportation Program, or will be provided by the applicant, will be adequate to carry the anticipated traffic generated by the development based on the maximum proposed density. The uses proposed will not generate traffic which would lower the level of service anticipated by the land use and circulation systems shown on the approved General or Area Master Plans, or urban renewal plans;

COMMENT: The Applicant has included a traffic impact study (see Appendix A) as part of this statement of justification. This traffic impact study clearly demonstrates that all transportation facilities, either existing or and/or proposed to be constructed by the Applicant, will be adequate to carry the anticipated traffic generated by the proposed development.
(D) Other existing or planned private or public facilities which are existing, under construction, or for which construction funds are contained in the first six (6) years of the adopted County Capital Improvement Program (such as schools, recreation areas, water and sewerage systems, libraries, and fire stations) will be adequate for the uses proposed;

COMMENT: The public facilities which are either existing, under construction or fully-funded within the County's Capital Improvement Program ("CIP"), will be adequate for the warehouse/distribution, office, light industrial/manufacturing, and/or institutional uses proposed in this application. It should be noted that the County's CIP provides full funding (within its 6 -year funding window) for intersections along US 301 (including the signalization of the Queens Court intersection). The proposed development is within the service area for Police District II - Bowie. This police facility will adequately serve the uses proposed in this application.
(E) Environmental relationships reflect compatibility between the proposed general land use types, or if identified, the specific land use types, and surrounding land uses, so as to promote the health, safety, and welfare of the present and future inhabitants of the Regional District.

COMMENT: The Applicant proposes to use the site's existing natural contours, woodlands, and other natural features to shape the development envelopes while minimizing impacts to floodplains, wetlands,
and other sensitive areas. These same limits of disturbance were identified and approved as part of A-9968-02-C and will be largely adhered to as part of this basic plan amendment.
(2) Notwithstanding subparagraphs (C) and (D), above, where the application anticipates a construction schedule of more than six (6) years (Section 27-179), public facilities (existing or scheduled for construction within the first six (6) years) will be adequate to serve the development proposed to occur within the first six (6) years. The Council shall also find that public facilities probably will be adequately supplied for the remainder of the project. In considering the probability of future public facilities construction, the Council may consider such things as existing plans for construction, budgetary constraints on providing public facilities, the public interest and public need for the particular development, the relationship of the development to public transportation, or any other matter that indicates that public or private funds will likely be expended for the necessary facilities.

COMMENT: The Applicant anticipates the construction schedule for this project to not be more than six (6) years.

This section demonstrates how the instant Basic Plan application conforms and supports the purposes of the R-S Zone as follows:

Section 27-511: Purposes of R-S Zone
(a) The purposes of the R-S Zone are to:
(1) Establish (in the public interest) a plan implementation zone, in which (among other things):
(A) Permissible residential density is dependent upon providing public benefit features and related density increment factors; and
(B) The location of the Zone must be in accordance with the adopted and approved General Plan, Master Plan, Sector Plan, public urban renewal plan, or Sectional Map Amendment Zoning Change;
(C) Applicable regulations are satisfied for uses authorized pursuant to Section 27515(b) of this Code. (Emphasis added).

COMMENT: The instant application satisfies all of the applicable regulations for the proposed uses are set forth in Section 27-515(b) of this Code as follows:

|  | ZONE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| USE | M- $A-C$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { L-A- } \\ & \text { C } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { E-I- } \\ & \text { A } \end{aligned}$ | R-U | R-M | R-S | R-L | V-L | V-M |
| Where not otherwise specifically permitted, any use allowed in the E-I-A Zone (excluding those permitted by Special Exception) <br> (CB-22-2020) | X | X | X | X | X | $p^{38}$ | X | X | X |


| 38 | Notwithstanding any other provision of this Subtitle, any use allowed in the E-I-A Zone (excluding those permitted by Special Exception) is permitted, provided: |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | (a) The use is located on a parcel, a portion of a parcel, or an assemblage of adjacent land that: |
|  | (i) was rezoned from the E-I-A and R-A Zones to the I-1 and R-S Zones by a Sectional Map Amendment approved after January 1, 2006; |
| (ii) contains at least 400 acres and adjoins a railroad right-of-way; and |  |
|  | (iii) is adjacent to an existing employment park developed pursuant to the E-I-A Zone requirements. <br> (b) Regulations regarding green area set forth in Section 27-501 (a)(2) shall not apply. The minimum green area (of net lot area) shall be 10\%\%. All other regulations in the E-l-A Zone shall apply to uses developed <br> pursuant to this Section. |
|  | (c) Regulations in the R-S Zone shall not apply to uses developed pursuant to this Section. |
|  | (d) Additional requirements for uses developed pursuant to this footnote shall include the following: |
| (i) Street connectivity shall be through an adjacent employment park; and |  |
|  | (ii) A public park of at least 20 acres shall be provided. <br> (CB-22-2020) |

This project meets the specific requirements provided in Footnote 38 above as follows:
38 - Notwithstanding any other provision of this Subtitle, any use allowed in the E-I-A Zone (excluding those permitted by Special Exception) is permitted, provided:
(a) The use is located on a parcel, a portion of a parcel, or an assemblage of adjacent land that:
(i) was rezoned from the E-I-A and R-A Zones to the I-1 and R-S Zones by a Sectional Map Amendment approved after January l, 2006;
(ii) contains at least 400 acres and adjoins a railroad right-of-way; and
(iii) is adjacent to an existing employment park developed pursuant to the E-I-A Zone requirements.

COMMENT: The subject site was rezoned from the E-I-A Zone and R-A Zones to the R-S and I-1 Zones as part of the 2006 Bowie and Vicinity Master Plan and Sectional Map Amendment approved on or about February 7, 2006 (See SMA Change 7A, 7B, and 7C). As mentioned herein, the NCBP site contains $442 \pm$ acres, adjoins a CSX railroad right-of-way to the west, and is immediately adjacent to existing Collington Center (zoned and developed pursuant to the E-I-A Zone).
(b) Regulations regarding green area set forth in Section 27-501(a)2) shall not apply. The minimum green area (of net lot area) shall be 10\%. All other regulations in the E-I-A Zone shall apply to uses developed pursuant to this Section.

COMMENT: The Applicant concurs with this requirement, and all future development within the NCBP will reflect a minimum of $10 \%$ green area (of net lot area). Further, all other E-I-A Zone regulations will apply to future development at the NCBP.
(c) Regulations in the R-S Zone shall not apply to uses developed pursuant to this Section.

COMMENT: The Applicant agrees with this requirement, and the R-S Zone regulations will not apply to this project.
(d) Additional requirements for uses developed pursuant to this footnote shall include the following:

## (i) Street connectivity shall be through an adjacent employment park; and

COMMENT: The Applicant's basic plan amendment reflects that access to and from the NCBP will be from future Queens Court (extended). Said roadway will form part of the street network connecting the existing Collington Center with the NCBP. Further, this application reflects the potential for a secondary access to be provided at either Pope's Creek Drive or Prince George's Boulevard. Both of these potential secondary access points are extensions of the road system within the existing Collington Center.

## (ii) A public park of at least 20 acres shall be provided.

COMMENT: The Applicant's basic plan amendment reflects the provision of a 20 -acre park. The location of this park area was approved as part of A-9968-02-C. The Department of Parks and Recreation (DPR) is working with the Applicant to develop a revised concept/program for this park based on the recreational needs of the local community.

## Section 27-511: Purposes of R-S Zone (continued):

(2) Establish regulations through which adopted and approved public plans and policies (such as the General Plan, Master Plans, Sector Plans, public urban renewal plans, or Sectional

## Map Amendment Zoning Changes) can serve as the criteria for judging individual development proposals;

COMMENT: As mentioned above, the property's current zoning is R-S. The property was placed in the R-S Zone as part of the 2006 Bowie \& Vicinity Master Plan and Sectional Map Amendment (the "2006 Master Plan"). Prior to the R-S Zone approval, the entire R-S portion of the property was zoned E-I-A (Employment and Institutional Area). The E-I-A Zone is intended for a concentration of nonretail employment and institutional uses such as medical, manufacturing, office, religious, educational, and warehousing. The property was previously placed in the E-I-A Zone as part of the 1991 Bowie, Collington, Mitchellville \& Vicinity Master Plan and Sectional Map Amendment (the "1991 Master Plan"). The 1991 Master Plan text referred to this land area as the "Willowbrook Business Center." The Basic Plan for this previously planned center (A-9829) was approved as part of the 1991 Master Plan and allowed for an FAR between .3 and .38 for a total of $3,900,000-5,000,000$ square feet of "light manufacturing, warehouse/distribution, ancillary office and retail commercial" uses. Notwithstanding the site's history as being planned/zoned for employment uses in the past, the subject property is in conformance with Section 27-195(b)(1)(A)(iii), (Map Amendment Approval), as it is subject to the regulations applicable to land zoned R-S, and will be developed with uses permitted in the E-I-A Zone as authorized pursuant to Section 27-515(b) of the Zoning Ordinance and Council Bill, CB-22-2020.
(3) Assure the compatibility of proposed land uses with existing and proposed surrounding land uses, and existing and proposed public facilities and services, so as to promote the health, safety, and welfare of the present and future inhabitants of the Regional District;

COMMENT: The property is undeveloped. The site is surrounded by undeveloped land to the north that is part of the 890 -acre, R-L-Zoned Oak Creek Development; to the east is Collington Center in the E-I-A Zone, to the south is Leeland Road, a designated scenic roadway, and beyond is vacant land, scattered detached single-family dwellings in the R-A Zone, and the existing Beech Tree subdivision located in the R-S Zone; and to the west is vacant land in the R-L Zone where the proposed Locust Hill development will be located..

The intent of the instant proposal is to utilize the flexibility of the comprehensive design zone to develop an employment park that provides uses in a manner which will retain the dominant employment and institutional character of the adjacent area and improve the overall quality of employment and institutional centers in Prince George's County in accordance with the purposes of the E-I-A Zone. The project will provide a significant tax base and employment opportunities (including the addition of over 3,000 well-paying jobs) for citizens of the county and will promote the health, safety, and welfare of present and future inhabitants of the regional district. Further, the required PPS process will ensure that adequate public facilities have been fully provided in accordance with the requirements of Subtitle-24. These public facilities include adequate transportation systems either existing or planned (or to be
provided by the Applicant). The property will also be served by public water and sewer facilities, as well as adequate police and fire facilities.

## (4) Encourage amenities and public facilities to be provided in conjunction with residential development;

COMMENT: The above criteria does not apply to the subject amendment because it does not include a residential component. Nonetheless, the public facilities which are either existing, under construction, or fully-funded within the County's Capital Improvement Program ("CIP") will be adequate to serve the warehouse/distribution, office, light industrial/manufacturing, and/or institutional uses proposed in this application. It should be noted that the County's CIP provides full funding (within its 6-year funding window) for improvements to US 301, including a signal at the Queens Court/US 301 intersection. The proposed development is within the service area for Police District II - Bowie. This police facility will adequately serve the businesses within the NCBP. Finally, the NCBP will include the provision of a 20 acre +/- public park.

## (5) Encourage and stimulate balanced land development; and

COMMENT: The proposed basic plan will provide for balanced land development that will respect existing environmental conditions on the site, while creating employment area synergies with Collington Center immediately to the north of the property.

## (6) Improve the overall quality and variety of residential environments in the Regional District;

COMMENT: The primary purpose of this basic plan amendment is to amend the maximum employment and institutional uses approved in A-9968-02-C from 3.5 million square feet to 5.5 million square feet (a net increase of a maximum of 2 million square feet). As was found during the review/approval of A-9968-02-C, the proposed basic plan amendment will improve the quality of residential environments in nearby communities such as Beech Tree and Oak Creek by not placing additional burdens on certain public facilities. Specifically, the uses contemplated by the NCBP will not create vehicle trips that would directly access Leeland Road, (as opposed to the previously approved Willowbrook project which would send $100 \%$ of its vehicular trips to Leeland Road), nor will the NCBP generate any new students to be added the County's public school system. Additionally, the NCBP will be designed to utilize the significant green areas on its perimeter to essentially screen the warehouse/distribution, office, light industrial/manufacturing, and/or institutional uses from surrounding communities such as Oak Creek and Beech Tree. Finally, the NCBP will provide a 20 -acre community park that existing residential communities can conveniently utilize. In sum, all of the above items will enhance the residential environment for existing and planned residential uses within the immediate area.
(7) Encourage low-density residential development which provides for a variety of one-family
dwelling types, including a large lot component, in a planned development;

COMMENT: The primary purpose of this basic plan amendment is to amend the maximum employment and institutional uses approved in A-9968-02-C from 3.5 million square feet to 5.5 million square feet (a net increase of a maximum of 2 million square feet). As mentioned herein, the development of the site with such uses will be done in a way to integrate with the adjacent Colling Center. Since the NCBP will not be utilizing Leeland Road as a direct access point for any of its vehicular trips, the balance of the Leeland Road corridor will remain highly appropriate for low-density residential development. The future/planned Locust Hill residential project immediately to the south of the site, for example, will directly benefit from the aforementioned benefits of the NCBP.

## (8) Protect significant natural, cultural, historical, or environmental features and create substantial open space areas in concert with a unique living environment; and

COMMENT: The development proposal for the NCBP in this application has been designed to protect and preserve sensitive environmental features within the property to the fullest extent practicable. Approximately $20 \pm$ acres of developable land will be conveyed to M-NCPPC for active recreation purposes. Further, the applicant has agreed to convey approximately $113 \pm$ acres of the Colington Stream Valley to M-NCPPC. Moreover, the project has been designed to locate future development in a way that would not negatively impact Leeland Road, a designated scenic roadway.
(9) Protect view sheds and landscape/woodland buffers along the primary roadways and woodlands, open fields, and other natural amenities within the Zone.

COMMENT: Master planned roadway MC-600 (Leeland Road) will require significant impacts to the primary management area, wetlands and potentially, rare, threatened and endangered species in order to construct within its current planned alignment. In an effort to protect viewsheds and landscape/woodland buffers along the roadway and minimize impacts to sensitive environmental features, the Applicant is evaluating appropriate frontage improvements for the construction of a twolane roadway, subject to approval of DPIE and DPW\&T. No direct vehicular access is proposed from the NCBP to Leeland Road. Thus, upon completion of this planned development, the Oak Grove Road/Leeland Road corridor will retain its character as a prominent scenic roadway in the County. It should also be noted that portions of the future Leeland/Oak Grove will be relocated pursuant to master plan requirements by the adjacent Locust Hill development. At the time of approval of these portions of the relocated roadway, it will be appropriate to discern what, if any, portion of the new roadway would need to be buffered by any scenic roadway easements. As mentioned previously, the site contains significant green areas surrounding the perimeter of the project that will remain substantially intact with this development. Said green area will serve to protect viewsheds to and from the site.

## VI. COMPLIANCE WITH EXISTING BASIC PLAN CONDITIONS AND CONSIDERATIONS

Zoning Map Amendment (Basic Plan) A-9968-02-C: Basic Plan A-9968-02-C was approved for the subject Property by the District Council on April 12, 2021, subject to 17 conditions and two comprehensive design considerations (Zoning Ordinance 2-2021). Details pertaining to how this application agrees with and/or modifies the previous Basic Plan conditions/considerations are as follows:

## 1. Proposed Lane Use Types and Quantities

## Total Area: <br> Total in (I-1 Zone): <br> Total area (R-A Zone): <br> Total area (R-S Zone): <br> Land in the 100-year floodplain: <br> Adjusted gross area <br> (426 less half of the floodplain):

442.30 acres

15+/- acres (not included in density calculation)
$0.78+/$ acres (not included in density calculation)
426.52 acres per approved NRI
92.49 acres
380.27 acres

Proposed use: Warehouse/distribution, office, light industrial/manufacturing, and/or institutional uses up to 3.5 million square feet*

Open Space
Public active open space: 20 +/- acres
Passive open space: 215 +/- acres

* 100,000 sq. ft. of gross floor area may be located in the I-1 Zone property noted above

COMMENT/REVISION: The revised land use quantities are reflected below. Specifically, the instant basic plan amendment seeks to revise the maximum land use square footage to 5.5 million square feet as provided below:

1. Proposed Lane Use Types and Quantities

Total Area:
Total in (I-1 Zone):
Total area (R-A Zone):
Total area (R-S Zone):
Land in the 100-year floodplain:
Adjusted gross area
(426 less half of the floodplain):
442.30 acres
$15+/-$ acres (not included in density calculation)
$0.78+/-$ acres (not included in density calculation)
426.52 acres per approved NRI
92.49 acres
380.27 acres

Proposed use: Warehouse/distribution, office, light industrial/manufacturing, and/or institutional uses up to $\underline{\mathbf{5 . 5}}$ million square feet*

## Open Space

Public active open space: $20+/-$ acres
Passive open space: $215+/-$ acres

* 100,000 sq. ft. of gross floor area may be located in the I-1 Zone property noted above

2. At the time of submission of a Comprehensive Design Plan or Preliminary Plan of Subdivision, the Applicant shall provide a traffic study that analyzes the following intersections:
a. US 301/MD 725
b. US 301/Village Drive
c. US 301/Leeland Road
d. US 301/Trade Zone Avenue
e. US 301 SB/Wawa Crossover
f. US 301 NB/Wawa Crossover
g. US 301/Queens Court
h. US 301/Median Crossover
i. US 301/Beechtree Parkway/Swanson Road
j. US 301/Chrysler Drive
k. Prince George's Blvd./Trade Zone Avenue
l. Prince George's Blvd./Commerce Drive
m. Prince George's Blvd./Queens Court

COMMENT: The Applicant agrees with this condition and will analyze the above referenced intersections as part of the Comprehensive Design Plan and Preliminary Plan.
3. At the time of Preliminary Plan of Subdivision, the Applicant shall provide the dedication for one-half of the 100 feet of dedication required to build Leeland Road (MC-600) to its ultimate cross section, per the Prince George's County Department of Public Works and Transportation standards.

COMMENT: The Applicant agrees with this condition and will reflect the requested dedication of Leeland Road at time of Preliminary Plan.
4. At the time of Preliminary Plan of Subdivision, the Applicant shall dedicate 100+ acres of parkland to the Maryland-National Capital Park and Planning Commission, including the Collington Branch stream valley and 20 acres of developable land for active recreation, as shown on the Prince George's County Department of Parks and Recreation Exhibit A (Bates Stamped 62 of 63, Exhibit 28, A-9968-01).

COMMENT: The Applicant agrees with this condition and will reflect the requested parkland dedication at time of Preliminary Plan.
5. The land to be conveyed to the Maryland-National Capital Park and Planning Commission shall be subject to the conditions of Exhibit B, attached to the June 21, 2005 memorandum from the Prince George's County Department of Parks and Recreation (Bates Stamped 63 of 63, Exhibit 28, A-9968-01).

COMMENT: The Applicant agrees with this condition.
6. The Applicant, the Applicant's heirs, successors, and/or assigns shall construct a minimum 10-foot-wide Master Plan, hiker/biker trail located along the Collington Branch Stream Valley and a minimum 10-foot-wide feeder trail to the employment uses. The alignment and design details of both trails may be modified by the Prince George's County Department of Parks and Recreation, to respond to environmental constraints, with written correspondence.

COMMENT: The Applicant agrees with this condition.
7. A revised Plan showing parkland dedication and master plan trial shall be reviewed and approved by the Prince George's County Department of Parks and Recreation staff at the time of Comprehensive Design Plan.

COMMENT: The Applicant agrees with this condition.
8. The Applicant shall construct recreational facilities typical for a 20-acre community park, such as ball fields, a playground, tennis or basketball courts, shelters, and restroom facilities. The list of recreational facilities shall be determined at the preliminary plan of subdivision and specific design plan stage.

COMMENT: The Applicant agrees with this condition and is working with DPR to determine/design an appropriate programmatic scheme for the future 20-acre park.
9. The submission package of the Comprehensive Design Plan shall contain a signed Natural Resources Inventory (NRI) plan. The signed NRI plan shall be used by the designers to prepare a site layout that minimizes impacts too the regulated areas of the site.

COMMENT: The Applicant agrees with this condition.
10. A geotechnical study that identifies the location and elevation of the Marlboro clay layer throughout the site shall be submitted as part of the Comprehensive Design Plan Application.

COMMENT: The Applicant agrees with this condition.
11. A protocol for surveying the locations of all rare, threatened, and endangered species within the subject property shall be obtained from the Maryland Department of Natural Resources, prior to acceptance of the Comprehensive Design Plan, and this protocol shall be part of the submittal package. The completed surveys and required reports shall be submitted as part of any Application for Preliminary Plans.

COMMENT: All of the above requirements for a Habitat Protection and Management Program have been satisfied, and all requested documentation has been completed and submitted to the Environmental Planning Section of M-NCPPC prior to the acceptance of CDP-0505-01. If necessary, copies of the completed surveys and required reports can be submitted with future entitlement applications.
12. Prior to acceptance of the Preliminary Plan of Subdivision, a Revised Natural Resources Inventory Plan shall be submitted and approved.

COMMENT: Natural Resources Inventory Plan, NRI-098-05-04, was approved by the Environmental Planning Section on March 3, 2021. The signed NRI was submitted on June 16, 2021, with the acceptance of Preliminary Plan of Subdivision 4-20032. Copies of the approved NRI will be provided with any future PPS applications for NCBP.
13. At the time of Comprehensive Design Plan review, specific acreage of parkland dedications shall be determined. This area may include a $1.7+/$ - acre parcel of land which was not previously committed for parkland dedication. The conditions of conveyance shall be determined by appropriate staff of the Maryland-National Capital Park and Planning Commission.

COMMENT: The Applicant agrees with this condition.
14. At the time of comprehensive Design Plan, the Applicant shall address its plan to grade a 10-acre developable portion of the dedicated parkland (including a 1.7+ acre parcel of land from the Willowbrook project area which was not previously committed for parkland dedication) on the western side of the property, east of the Pennsylvania Railroad right-of-way to accommodate ball fields and a parking lot.

COMMENT: The Applicant agrees with this condition.
15. The Applicant, the Applicant's heirs, successors, and/or assignees shall construct a minimum 10-foot-wide master plan shared-use path along the subject site frontage of Leeland Road, consistent with AASHTO standards, unless modified by the Prince George's County Department of Permitting, Inspections and Enforcement, with written correspondence.

COMMENT: The Applicant agrees with this condition.
16. The conceptual location of the Collington Branch Stream Valley Trail, its feeder trail connecting to the proposed employment uses, and the Leeland Road shared-use path shall be shown on the Comprehensive Design Plan.

COMMENT: The Applicant agrees with this condition.
17. In the event the Applicant elects to pursue an alternative access point(s) to the adjacent Collington Center vis Popes Creek Drive and/or Prince George's Blvd., the transportation and environmental impacts of any additional access point(s) shall be evaluated at time of Comprehensive Design Plan or Preliminary Plan.

COMMENT: The Applicant agrees with this condition.

## Comprehensive Design Plan Considerations:

1. The natural aesthetic qualities of the site and all regulated environmental features shall be preserved to the fullest extent possible and shall seek to minimize any impacts to said features.

COMMENT: The Applicant agrees with this condition.
2. All proposed internal streets and developments should follow complete street principles and support multimodal transportation as well as facilities to encourage walking, bicycling, and transit use, such as short- and long-term bicycle parking, including shower facilities and changing facilities, covered transit stops, crosswalks, etc.

COMMENT: The Applicant agrees with this condition. The above items will be analyzed at the time of future CDP submissions for NCBP.

## VII. COMPLIANCE WITH E-I-A ZONE USES AND REGULATIONS

Section 27-500. - Uses.
(a) The general principle for land uses in this zone shall be:
(1) To provide concentrated nonretail employment or institutional (medical, religious, educational, recreational, and governmental) uses which serve the County, region, or a greater area; and
(2) To provide for uses which may be necessary to support these employment or institutional uses.
(b) The uses allowed in the E-I-A Zone are as provided for in the Table of Uses (Division 3 of this Part).

COMMENT: The proposed land uses in this basic plan application include a maximum of 5.5 million square feet of warehouse/distribution, office, light industrial/manufacturing, and/or institutional uses which will serve the residents of the County and region. The uses proposed are permitted by right in the E-I-A Zone Table of Uses.
(c) A Mixed-Use Planned Community in the E-I-A Zone may include a mix of residential, employment, commercial retail, commercial office, hotel or lodging, civic buildings, parks, or recreational uses, meeting all requirements in the definition of the use. The development shall meet all M-X-T Zone requirements in Part 10.

COMMENT: The instant basic plan amendment is not for a mixed-use planned community.
Section 27-501. - Regulations.
(a) General standards.

| (1) Minimum size of zone (except as provided in Section 27-502) | 5 adjoining gross acres |
| :--- | :---: |
| (2) Minimum open space to be improved by landscaping and design <br> amenities, including the landscaping of parking lots, so that expanses of <br> parking will be relieved by natural features and grade changes | $20 \%$ of net lot area |

COMMENT: The NCBP consists of an assemblage of land that totals $442 \pm$ acres, thereby meeting the minimum area requirements in Section 27-501(a)(1) above. Additionally, CB-22-2020 amended the Zoning Ordinance to eliminate the applicability of Section 27-501(a)(2) for properties/projects such as the NCBP. Further, Section $27-515(\mathrm{~b})$, footnote $38(\mathrm{~b})$ states that qualifying projects such as the NCBP shall have a minimum green area of $10 \%$ of the net lot area. The NCBP will meet the $10 \%$ minimum green area requirements.
(b) Other regulations.
(1) Each lot shall have frontage on, and direct vehicular access to, a public street.

COMMENT: Each lot in the NCBP will have frontage and direct vehicular access to a public street.
(2) Additional regulations concerning development and use of property in the E-I-A Zone are as provided for in Divisions 1, 4, and 5 of this Part, General (Part 2), Off-Street Parking and Loading (Part 11), Signs (Part 12), and the Landscape Manual.

COMMENT: The NCBP will meet all of the applicable requirements set forth above (as demonstrated/confirmed in subsequent development applications). It should be noted that Section 27513(d)(5) allows for Landscape Manual requirements for landscaping, screening and buffering to be modified as part of the comprehensive design plan.
(c) Mixed-Use Planned Community regulations.
(1) A Mixed-Use Planned Community shall meet all purposes and requirements applicable to the M-X-T Zone, as provided in Part 10, and shall be approved under the processes in Part 10.

COMMENT: This section is not applicable as the Applicant is not proposing a Mixed-Use Planned Community.
(2) Where a conflict arises between E-I-A Zone requirements and M-X-T Zone requirements, the M-X-T requirements shall be followed.

COMMENT: This section is not applicable as the Applicant is not proposing a Mixed-Use Planned Community.
(d) Adjoining properties.
(1) For the purposes of this Section, the word "adjoining" also includes properties separated by streets, other public rights-of-way, or railroad lines.

COMMENT: The Applicant acknowledges this section and will properly identify all "adjoining" properties in all applications pertaining to the NCBP.

## VIII. CONCLUSION

Based on the above reasons described herein, the Applicant respectfully requests approval of Basic Plan Amendment A-9968-03.
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## Section 1 Introduction

### 1.1 Project Description

This Traffic Impact Analysis (TIA) has been prepared for the Basic Plan Amendment (BPA) for the proposed National Capital Business Park to be located along the north side of Leeland Road just west of US 301 as shown on Exhibit 1. It should be noted that the site has an approved Basic Plan and Conceptual Design Plan (CDP) for 3,500,000 square feet of warehouse per Resolution 2021-50. Since approval of the CDP, the Basic Plan is being amended to include up to $5,500,000$ square feet of warehouse.

The purpose of this TIA is to evaluate the traffic impacts of the Basic Plan Amendment to determine if the project continues to satisfy Section 27-195(b)(1)(c) of the Zoning Ordinance.

The project will be accessed via the extension of Queens Court through Collington Center along with the installation of a new traffic signal on US 301 at Queens Court.

### 1.2 Scope of Study

A Scoping Agreement and Transportation Submittal Checklist was submitted to MNCPPC for review and approval, and signed copies are included in Appendix A.

M-NCPPC Guidelines require signalized intersections to be evaluated using the Critical Lane Volume (CLV) methodology. All intersections operating at a LOS "D" or better ( $<1,450 \mathrm{CLV}$ ) are considered adequate. Unsignalized intersections are to be evaluated using a three-tier approach. The first tier involves the Highway Capacity Software (HCS) unsignalized methodology. All intersections operating with less than 50 seconds of delay per vehicle for the minor street movements are considered adequate. If a movement exceeds 50 seconds of delay, then a second-tier analysis is required including a consideration of the volume of traffic on the minor street approach. If the minor street volumes are less than 100 vehicles per hour, then the intersection is considered adequate. If the delays exceed 50 seconds per vehicle and there are more than 100 vehicles per hour, then a third-tier analysis is conducted and if the CLV of the unsignalized intersection is 1,150 or better the intersection is deemed adequate.


## Section 2 Existing Conditions

### 2.1 Description of Road Network

The key road in the study area is US 301, which is identified as a future freeway (F10). US 301 currently has four to six through lanes (two to three in each direction).

### 2.2 Existing Lane Configurations

The Existing Lane Use \& Traffic Control Devices are shown on Exhibit 2.

### 2.3 Existing Traffic Counts

Turning movement counts were conducted on Wednesday, November 10, 2021, and on Tuesday, December 14, 2021. The results are shown on Exhibit 3. The volumes shown on Exhibit 3 represent the existing volumes for the purposes of this study.

Per M-NCPPC Guidelines, the study intersections were evaluated using the HCM or CLV methodologies and the results are shown on Exhibit 11. The relevant HCM and CLV worksheets for Existing Conditions are included in Appendix B.



## Section 3 Background Conditions

### 3.1 Annual Growth

A six-year study period has been applied as directed by the Guidelines. Per the approved scoping agreement, a $1.1 \%$ annual growth rate has been utilized. Based on the Guidelines and approved scoping agreement, the regional traffic growth has been evaluated and estimated at $1.1 \%$ per year for six (6) years. The base peak hour volumes are shown on Exhibit 4.

### 3.2 Approved Background Developments

The background developments were identified through the scoping process and have been field verified. The location map, trip generation, and trip assignment for the background developments are contained in Appendix C of the report. The combined trips generated by the background developments are shown on Exhibit 5.

### 3.3 Background Traffic Volumes

The background traffic volumes were developed by adding the base peak hour volumes with the combined trips generated by background developments. The resulting background peak hour volumes are shown on Exhibit 6.

Per M-NCPPC Guidelines, the study intersections were evaluated using the HCM or CLV methodologies and the results are shown on Exhibit 11. The relevant HCM and CLV worksheets for Background Conditions are included in Appendix B.




## Section 4 Projected Conditions

### 4.1 Site Trip Generation

It should be noted that the site has an approved Basic Plan and Conceptual Design Plan for $3,500,000$ square feet of warehouse per Resolution 2021-50. The Basic Plan is being modified to include up to $5,500,000$ square feet of warehouse. The trip generation for the site is detailed on Exhibit 7.

It should be also noted that of the 5,500,000 square feet of development, approximately $3,600,000$ square feet is planned to be a high-cube fulfillment center warehouse with 650,000 square feet of permanent ground-floor square footage. The ITE Trip Generation Manual ( $11^{\text {th }}$ Edition) has specific trip generation rates for High-Cube Fulfillment Center Warehouse (ITE-155). This use is described in the manual as "A building that typically has at least 200,000 gross square feet of floor area, has a ceiling height of 24 feet or more, and is used primarily for the storage and/or consolidation of manufactured goods (and to a lesser extent, raw materials) prior to their distribution to retail locations or other warehouses. A typical HCW has a high level of on-site automation and logistics management. The automation and logistics enable highly efficient processing of goods through the HCW. A high-cube warehouse can be free-standing or located in an industrial park." In addition, the ITE Trip Generation Manual (11 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Edition) states that, "A high-cube warehouse may contain a mezzanine. In a HCW setting, a mezzanine is a free-standing, semipermanent structure that is commonly supported by structural steel columns and that is lined with racks or shelves. The gross floor area (GFA) values for the study sites in the database for this land use do NOT include the floor area of the mezzanine. The GFA values represent only the permanent ground-floor square footage."

After a meeting with Prince George's County Staff, the ITE Trip Generation Manual ( $11^{\text {th }}$ Edition) has not yet been accepted for use and is under review by Staff. If the ITE Trip Generation Manual ( $11^{\text {th }}$ Edition) is accepted by Prince George's County Staff, it is anticipated that the ITE trip generation rates for High-Cube Fulfillment Center (ITE-155) will be utilized as part of future submittals for the CDP and PPS. The use of these rates in future submittals may impact the recommendations contained within this report.

### 4.2 Site Trip Distribution \& Trip Assignment

As part of the proposed development, it was assumed that the applicant would construct a full-movement signal at the intersection of US $301 \&$ Queens Court. The existing signal at US 301 and Trade Zone Avenue is currently the only location that provides left turns in and out of Collington Center, and it is anticipated that the new signal at Queens Court will be used by existing tenants for improved access in
and out of Collington Station. Diversions of existing traffic as a result of the proposed signal are shown on Exhibits 8a-b.

The site trips for the proposed use were assigned as shown on Exhibit 9.

### 4.3 Total Traffic Volumes

The background traffic volumes were combined with the diversions and trip assignments to obtain the total peak hour volumes as shown on Exhibit 10. These total volumes were evaluated, and the results are shown on Exhibit 11 under the column labeled "Total CLV No Improvements."

### 4.4 Projected Level of Service

The results of the analysis indicate that several study intersections along US 301 will exceed the LOS D threshold based on the existing intersection configurations. As a means of mitigation, the applicant proffers payment into Project \#4.66.0047 (US 301 Improvements) contained in the Prince George's County Approved Capital Improvement Program (CIP). The US 301 Improvement CIP was first added to the Capital Program in FY 1990. The US 301 Improvements project is a developer funded project fully contained within the current six-year CIP program. The improvements involve the construction of additional through lanes along north and southbound US 301 between MD 214 and MD 4.

With the improvements proposed as part of CIP Project \#4.66.0047, all study intersections along US 301 will operate adequately with the exception of US 301 at Leeland Road. Therefore, in addition to making a pro-rata payment into the CIP project, it is also recommended that the applicant bond and permit an additional eastbound left-turn lane at the intersection of US 301 \& Leeland Road.

| Traffic Impact Analysis | Trip Generation for Proposed Site | Exhibit <br> 7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ENHART TRAFFIC CONSULTING, INC. 645 BALTIMORE ANNAPOLIS BLVD, SUITE 214 www.lenharttraffic.com | Proposed Site |  |






## Level-of-Service Results

| Morning Peak Hour | Existing CLV | Background CLV | Total CLV No Improvements <br> But with TS at Int 5 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total CLV } \\ & \text { with CIP } \\ & \text { Improvements } \end{aligned}$ | Total CLV with Additional Intersection Improvement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1). US 301 SB \& Wawa Crossover (signalized in future) | A / 990 | A / 959 | C / 1154 | -- | -- |
| 2). US 301 NB \& Wawa Crossover (signalized in future) | C / 1275 | E / 1558 | F / 1630 | B / 1145 | -- |
| 3). US 301 \& Trade Zone Avenue (signalized) | C / 1288 | E / 1594 | F / 1665 | C / 1185 | -- |
| 4). US 301 \& Queens Court (signalized in future) | RIRO (0 Delay) | RIRO (0 Delay) | D / 1340 | D / 1340 | -- |
| 5). US 301 \& Median Crossover (unsignalized) |  |  |  |  | -- |
| Tier 1: HCS Delay Test | Cannot Model | Cannot Model | Cannot Model | Cannot Model | -- |
| Tier 2: Minor Street Volume Test | < 100 veh. | <100 veh. | < 100 veh. | < 100 veh. | -- |
| 6). US 301 \& Leeland Road (signalized) | A / 924 | C / 1170 | F / 1674 | D / 1674 | C / 1298 |
| 7). US 301 \& Beechtree Parkway / Swanson Road (signalized) | D / 1330 | E / 1577 | F / 2012 | D / 1390 | -- |
| 8). US 301 \& Village Drive (signalized) | B / 1086 | D / 1316 | F / 1716 | C / 1208 | -- |
| 9). US 301 \& MD 725 (signalized) | C / 1204 | E / 1456 | F / 1785 | C / 1292 | -- |
| 10). US 301 \& Chrysler Drive (signalized) | B / 1045 | C / 1250 | E / 1540 | B / 1050 | -- |
| 11). Prince George's Blvd. \& Trade Zone Avenue (unsignalized) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tier 1: HCS Delay Test | 15.0 sec. | 22.5 sec . | 17.0 sec . | -- | -- |
| 12). Prince George's Blvd. \& Commerce Drive (unsignalized) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tier 1: HCS Delay Test | 9.5 sec . | 10.3 sec . | 12.6 sec . | -- | -- |
| 13). Prince George's Blvd. \& Queens Court (unsignalized) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tier 1: HCS Delay Test | 9.5 sec. | 11.0 sec . | 523.8 sec. | -- | -- |
| Tier 2: Minor Street Volume Test | -- | -- | $>100$ veh. | -- | -- |
| Tier 3: CLV Test | -- | -- | D / 1447 | -- | -- |
| Evening Peak Hour | Existing CLV | Background CLV | Total CLV No Improvements <br> But with TS at Int 5 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total CLV } \\ \text { with CIP } \\ \text { Improvements } \end{gathered}$ | Total CLV with Additional Intersection Improvement |
| 1). US 301 SB \& Wawa Crossover | C / 1248 | C / 1222 | C / 1271 | -- | -- |
| 2). US 301 NB \& Wawa Crossover | C / 1279 | F / 1729 | F / 2019 | D / 1409 | -- |
| 3). US 301 \& Trade Zone Avenue | C / 1161 | F / 1663 | F / 1950 | D / 1442 | -- |
| 4). US 301 \& Queens Court | RIRO (0 Delay) | RIRO (0 Delay) | D / 1377 | D / 1377 | -- |
| 5). US 301 \& Median Crossover (unsignalized) |  |  |  |  | -- |
| Tier 1: HCS Delay Test | Cannot Model | Cannot Model | Cannot Model | Cannot Model | -- |
| Tier 2: Minor Street Volume Test | < 100 veh. | < 100 veh. | < 100 veh. | < 100 veh. | -- |
| 6). US 301 \& Leeland Road | A / 866 | D / 1411 | F / 1757 | F / 1757 | D / 1417 |
| 7). US 301 \& Beechtree Parkway / Swanson Road | D / 1321 | F / 1658 | F / 2093 | D / 1448 | -- |
| 8). US 301 \& Village Drive | B / 1144 | E / 1479 | F / 1702 | C / 1213 | -- |
| 9). US 301 \& MD 725 | D / 1343 | F / 1690 | F / 2006 | D / 1432 | -- |
| 10). US 301 \& Chrysler Drive | B / 1063 | D / 1364 | D / 1437 | D / 1397 | -- |
| 11). Prince George's Blvd. \& Trade Zone Avenue |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tier 1: HCS Delay Test | 15.1 sec. | 27.9 sec . | 25.0 sec . | -- | -- |
| 12). Prince George's Blvd. \& Commerce Drive |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tier 1: HCS Delay Test | 9.8 sec. | 10.8 sec. | 12.2 sec . | -- | -- |
| 13). Prince George's Blvd. \& Queens Court |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tier 1: HCS Delay Test | 12.5 sec. | 13.4 sec. | 621.0 sec . | -- | -- |
| Tier 2: Minor Street Volume Test | -- | -- | >100 veh. | -- | -- |
| Tier 3: CLV Test | -- | -- | D / 1435 | -- | -- |

## NOTES:

MNCPPC and MD SHA Guidelines are LOS "D" or better for signalized intersections using CLV methodology.
2. MNCPPC has a three tier test for unsignalized intersections.
a). Delay less than 50 seconds per vehicle, then passes APFO, otherwise go to step 2.
b). Minor street volumes less than 100 vph , then passes APFO, otherwise go to step 3.
c). CLV less than 1,150 , then passes APFO. If not, then conduct signal warrant analysis or provide turn lanes to yield $\operatorname{CLV}<1,150$.

| Traffic Impact Analysis | Results of Level-of-Service Analyses | Exhibit 11 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LENHART TRAFFIC CONSULTING, INC 545 BaLtimore annapolis blvd, suite 214 EVERNA PARK, MD 21146 ww.lenharttraffic.com | Level-of-Service Analyses |  |

## Section 5 Conclusions / Recommendations

### 5.1 Results of Analysis

This Traffic Impact Analysis (TIA) has been prepared for the Basic Plan Amendment (BPA) for the National Capital Business Park to be located along the north side of Leeland Road just west of US 301 as shown on Exhibit 1. It should be noted that the site has an approved Basic Plan and Conceptual Design Plan for 3,500,000 square feet of warehouse per Resolution 2021-50. Since approval of the CDP, the Basic Plan is being modified to include up to $5,500,000$ square feet of warehouse.

Of the $5,500,000$ square feet of development, approximately $3,600,000$ square feet is planned to be a high-cube fulfillment center warehouse with 650,000 square feet of permanent ground-floor square footage. The ITE Trip Generation Manual ( $11^{\text {th }}$ Edition) has specific trip generation rates for High-Cube Fulfillment Center Warehouse (ITE-155) however, Prince George's County Staff has not yet approved the use of the ITE Trip Generation Manual ( $11^{\text {th }}$ Edition). If Staff accepts the use of the this updated version of the Manual, it is anticipated that the ITE trip generation rates for High-Cube Fulfillment Center (ITE-155) will be utilized as part of future submittals for the CDP and PPS. The use of these rates in future submittals may impact the recommendations contained within this report.

The results of the analysis indicate that several study intersections along US 301 will exceed the LOS D threshold based on the existing intersection configurations. The applicant proffers a pro-rata payment into Project \#4.66.0047 (US 301 Improvements) contained in the Prince George's County Approved CIP. With the improvements proposed as part of the CIP project, all study intersections operate adequately with the exception of US $301 \&$ Leeland Road. Therefore, in addition to making a pro-rata payment into the CIP project, it is also recommended that the applicant bond and permit an additional eastbound left-turn lane at the intersection of US 301 \& Leeland Road.

The following conditions of approval are recommended based on the analyses contained in this report:

1. It is recommended that the trip cap for the subject site be established at the time of Preliminary Plan of Subdivision. At the time of Preliminary Plan, the trip generation rates may be refined and will provide a more accurate assessment of the number of trips anticipated to be generated by the proposed site.
2. Prior to the issuance of each building permit, the applicant shall pay to DPIE/DPWT an amount calculated at the time of Preliminary Plan approval as its share of costs for improvements to US 301 between MD 4 and MD 214.
3. The applicant shall bond and permit a third eastbound left-turn lane from Leeland Road onto northbound US 301. Note that the recommendations at the intersection of US 301 \& Leeland Road may change as a result of the use of trip generation rates from the ITE Trip Generation Manual (11 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Edition) if accepted by Prince George's County Staff.
4. Subject to approval and timing requirements of the State Highway Administration, the applicant shall construct a full-movement signal at the intersection of US $301 \&$ Queens Court. The construction of this signal would include a double left turn lane from northbound US 301 onto Queens Court and a double left turn lane from Queens Court onto northbound US 301, unless otherwise modified by SHA. The new signal at Queens Court provides benefits to the US 301 \& Trade Zone intersection, which benefits the US 301 CIP project. Accordingly, the costs for this intersection improvement would also apply to the projects pro-rata CIP fee.
5. Per Section 27-195(b)(1)(C)), the required finding for a Zoning Map Amendment is as follows, "Transportation facilities (including streets and public transit) (i) which are existing, (ii) which are under construction, or (iii) for which one hundred percent $(100 \%)$ of the construction funds are allocated within the adopted County Capital Improvement Program, within the current State Consolidated Transportation Program, or will be provided by the applicant, will be adequate to carry the anticipated traffic generated by the development based on the maximum proposed density. The uses proposed will not generate traffic which would lower the level of service anticipated by the land use and circulation systems shown on the approved General or Area Master Plans, or urban renewal plans."

Based on the results and recommendations contained herein, the proposed project will satisfy the requirements of Section 27-195(b)(1)(C)) of the Prince George's County Zoning Ordinance. Specifically, the uses proposed as part of the National Capital Business Park Development will not generate traffic which would lower the level of service anticipated by the land use and circulation systems shown on the approved General or Area Master Plans, or urban renewal plans. Therefore, the Zoning Map Amendment should be approved and an updated Traffic Impact Study will be provided as part of any future Conceptual Design Plan and Preliminary Plan of Subdivision submittals.

## Appendix A

## Supplemental Information <br> Turning Movement Counts

Table 1: Traffic Impact Study Scoping Agreement

The Maryland-National Capital Park and Planning Commission
Prince George's County Planning Department
Transportation Planning Section, Countywide Planning Commission
This form must be completed prior to commencing a traffic impact study (TIS). The completed and signed scoping agreement should be submitted to the Transportation Planning Section (TPS) by the traffic consultant for concurrence and signature. TPS will return a signed copy with any comments to the traffic consultant for Inclusion in the TIS. Failure to conduct the study in accordance with the guidelines and the signed scoping agreement may be grounds for rejection of the study, thereby necessitating an addendum or a new study prior to the start of staff review.

| Project Name: | National Capital Business Park |
| :--- | :--- |
| Policy Tier (Developed, Developing, or Rural): <br> Please note if in center or corridor: | Developing |
| Type of Application (see Table 3): | Basic Plan Amendment |
| Project Location: | North Side of Leeland Road West of Prince George's Boulevard <br> (Access via Prince George's Boulevard) |
| Traffic Consultant Name: <br> Contact Number(s): | Mike Lenhart <br> (P): 410.216.3333 <br> (F): 443.782.2288 |


| Describe the Proposal Under Study: <br> Residential-Number \& Type of Units: <br> Commercia-Amount \& Type of Space: <br> Other Uses and Quantity: | Up to 5,500,000 SF of Warehouse |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

Transportation Review Guidelines-Part $t$

Attach a map (or maps) showing the study area network with included intersections and links, estimated site trip distribution, and growth factors for through traffic.

| SHADDPW\&T capital program improvements assumed: | US 301 CIP |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Other improvements assumed: | N/A |  |
| Is Mitigation (Section 8 of the Guidelines) to be proffered? (circle one) | No <br> *If Needed | Note the locational criteria In Section 8, and please note the clarifications regarding mitigation included in Section 3, Subsection E, |
| Is a cooperative funding arrangement (such as a SCRP, PFFIP, or some other pro rata) to be used? (circle one) <br> *Pro Rata Contribution into the US 301 CIP | (es) No | If Yes, please provide explanation on separate sheet, and note limitations in Section 3, Subsection E. |
| Will summer counts be used? (circle one) | Yes No | The use of summer counts must have specific concurrence of TPS staff. |
| Have there been discussions with the permitting agency (DPW\&T and/or SHA) regarding access to this site and the analysis requirements? (circle one) | Yes No | Section 1, Subsection E, strongly advises that these discussions occur early in the development review process. Note that driveway access onto arterial facilities must be justified and approved by the Planning Board as a part of the subdivision process. |
| Has a listing of background development been developed? (circle one) <br> *See attached for background developments. 1,1\% growth rate. | Yes No | If Yes, please provide the list so that TPS staff may either concur with it or provide changes. |
| Have the costs and feasibility of potential off-site transportation improvements been evaluated? (circle one) | Yes No | If No, bear in mind that Section 3, Subsection D, requires that any recommended physical offsite improvements include an evaluation of feasibility. |

## SIGNED:

## APPROVED:



January 12, 2022


This form is not required for sites that do not require a TIS.


| Traffic Impact Analysis | Trip Generation for Proposed Site | $\begin{gathered} \text { Exhibit } \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { (L) LENHART TRAFFIC CONSULTING, INC. } \\ & \text { T) } 645 \text { BALTIMORE ANNAPOLIS BLVD, SUITE } 214 \\ & \text { C } \text { CEVERNA PARK, MD } 21146 \\ & \text { www.lenharttraffic.com } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |



## Trip Generaton Rates

Single-Family Housing (Prince Georges County Rates)
Morning Trips $=0.75 \times$ Units
Evening Trips $=0.90 \times$ Units
Townhouse (Prince Georges County Rates)
Morning Trips $=0.70 \times$ Units
Evening Trips $=0.80 \times$ Units
Multi-Family (garden and mid-rise, Prince Georges County Rates)
Morning Trips $=0.52 \times$ Units
Evening Trips $=0.60 \times$ Units
Industrial (Light Service, Prince Georges County Rates)
Morning Trips $=0.86 \times \mathrm{ksf}$
Evening Trips $=0.86 \times \mathrm{ksf}$
Shopping Center (General Urban/Suburban, ksf, ITE-820)
Morning Trips $=0.5 \times \mathrm{ksf}+151.78$
$\operatorname{Ln}($ Evening Trips $)=0.74 \times \operatorname{Ln}(\mathrm{ksf})+2.89$

Trip Distribution (In/Out)

## 20/80

 65/35Trip Distribution (In/Out)
20/80
65/35
Trip Distribution (In/Out)
20/80
65/35
Trip Distribution (In/Out)
80/20
20/80
Trip Distribution (In/Out)
62/38
48/52

Trip Generaton Totals

|  |  |  |  | AM Peak |  |  | PM Peak |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | In | Out | Total | In | Out | Total |
| 1 | Oak Creek Club | Built |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | Buck Property | Built |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | Beechtree | Built |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | Willowbrook | Single-Family Housing (Prince Georges County Rates) | 623 units | Subject Site Replacing Old Approval |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $07-43(\mathrm{~A}) \text { ) }$ | Townhouse (Prince Georges County Rates) | 227 units |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | Locust Hill | Approved Trip Cap (4-06075, Resolution 07-28) |  | 83 | 330 | 413 | 322 | 173 | 495 |
| 6 | Collington Center | Industrial (Light Service, Prince Georges County Rates) | 220,800 sq.ft. | 152 | 38 | 190 | 38 | 152 | 190 |
|  |  | Industrial (Light Service, Prince Georges County Rates) | 87,400 sq.ft. | 60 | 15 | 75 | 15 | 60 | 75 |
|  |  | Shopping Center (General Urban/Suburban, ksf, ITE-820) | 23,500 sq.ft. | 102 | 62 | 164 | 89 | 97 | 186 |
|  |  | Pass-by | -50\% | -51 | -31 | -82 | -45 | -49 | -93 |
|  |  | Net New Offsite Trips |  | 263 | 84 | 347 | 97 | 260 | 358 |
| 7 | BP Amoco | Built |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 | Marshalls Landing | Single-Family Detached (County Rates) | 40 units | 6 | 24 | 30 | 23 | 13 | 36 |
| 9 | Cadeaux DeMaMere | Single-Family Detached (County Rates) | 26 units | 4 | 16 | 20 | 15 | 8 | 23 |
| 10 | Daniels Landing | Single-Family Detached (County Rates) | 11 units | 2 | 6 | 8 | 7 | 3 | 10 |
| 11 | 1 Karington | Net New Off-site Trips (4-04035, Resolution 04-247(C2)(A2)) |  | 669 | 644 | 1313 | 954 | 971 | 1925 |
| 12 | Beechtree Retail | Trip Cap per 4-09041 (Reconsideration Hearing) |  | 129 | 107 | 236 | 407 | 406 | 813 |
| 13 | Amazon Services | Implied Trip Cap from SDP 0007-03 |  | 65 | 19 | 84 | 23 | 63 | 86 |
| $14 \|$Townes at Peerless <br> (From Resolution 18- <br> $115)$ |  | Residential | 62 units | 9 | 35 | 44 | 32 | 17 | 49 |
|  |  | Commercial/Re---------- | $3,000 \mathrm{sq}$. ft. | 17 | 15 | 32 | 16 | 17 | 33 |
|  |  | Less 65\% pass-by | -11 | --10 | -21 | -10 | -11 | -21 |
|  |  | Total New Trips (Trip Cap from Resolution 1-------15) | 15 | 40 | 55 | 38 | 23 | 61 |

NOTE: Trip Generation Rates obtained from the Prince George's County Guidelines and/or ITE Trip Generation Manual, 10th Edition

| Traffic Impact Analysis | Trip Generation for Background Developments | Exhibit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ENHART TRAFFIC CONSULTING, INC. 45 baltimore annapolis bivd, suite 214 EVERNA PARK, MD 21146 www.lenharttraffic.com |  | 4 |

# Transportation Pre-Submittal Checklist for Development Applications 

The Checklist is for the purpose of determining whether a traffic study or counts will be needed in support of an application, and to ensure that basic access issues are considered early in the process.This Checklist is required ONLY for the following:<br>- Subdivisions (4- applications, or 5- applications being done pursuant to 24-111(c))<br>- Rezoning requests for a comprehensive design or a mixed-use zone (A-applications)<br>- Comprehensive Design Plans (CDP- applications) • Conceptual Site Plans (CSP- applications)<br>- Detailed Site Plans ONLY within the Central US 1 Corridor Sector Plan area<br>- Special Exceptions involving the following uses:<br>- Amusement Park - Asphalt Mixing Plant<br>- Concrete Batching Plant<br>- Sand \& Gravel Wet Processing Plant<br>- Concrete Mixing Plant<br>- Surface Mining

In lieu of a signed Checklist, a signed Scoping Agreement or the actual traffic counts or traffic study may be provided to the Development Review Division.
Project Name National Capital Business Park_Applicant's Name Manekin
Application Type Zoning Map Amendment Case Number (if available) A-9968

Contact/Agent Mike Lenhart
Phone No. 410-216-3333x1

E-mail Address mlenhart@lenharttraffic.com
Please provide a concept plan on letter-sized paper. The concept plan must show a general layout of the proposed uses, proposed points of access, and sufficient detail of nearby public streets, properties, and/or environmental features to allow the property to be located and assessed by staff.

Please describe the current development proposal in terms of size and access:

## Residential:



## Access to the Site:

Describe how the site will be accessed. Indicate the number of access points, where they are proposed, if existing streets or aprons will be used, and if any streets or aprons will be modified. This should match your concept plan.

Access to the site will be via a new eastbound leg at the intersection of Prince George's Boulevard \& Queens Court.


This is an initial assessment of the data required to complete review of the application. However, if the development proposal changes or if new information is determined during a detailed review of the application after its formal acceptance, the transportation staff shall reserve the right to request additional information in accordance with the findings required for the application.

Please submit this Checklist (both pages with the required concept plan) and any Scoping Agreements to the Transportation Planning Section. If submitted as a PDF by email, please send to tom.masog@ppd.mncppc.org, glen.burton@ppd.mncppc.org, and bryan.barnettwoods@ppd.mncppc.org. Hardcopies may be mailed or brought to our office.

The rear side of this page should be completed by the Transportation Planning Section and returned to the applicant within five (5) working days.



| Time: | Weekday Morning Peak Hour (6:30 am - 9:30 am) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | US 301 Northbound |  |  |  |  | US 301 <br> Southbound |  |  |  |  | Trade Zone Avenue Eastbound |  |  |  |  | Clagett Landing Road Westbound |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | Total |
| 6:30-6:45 | 0 | 32 | 392 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 261 | 78 | 0 | 0 | 32 | 2 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 819 |
| 6:45-7:00 | 0 | 40 | 439 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 254 | 108 | 0 | 0 | 35 | 8 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 899 |
| 7:00-7:15 | 0 | 46 | 471 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 282 | 98 | 0 | 0 | 32 | 1 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 946 |
| 7:15-7:30 | 0 | 50 | 596 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 346 | 82 | 0 | 0 | 37 | 1 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1128 |
| 7:30-7:45 | 0 | 41 | 560 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 348 | 81 | 0 | 0 | 46 | 2 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1094 |
| 7:45-8:00 | 1 | 50 | 523 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 324 | 85 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1027 |
| 8:00-8:15 | 1 | 48 | 486 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 373 | 84 | 0 | 0 | 50 | 2 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1059 |
| 8:15-8:30 | 0 | 35 | 478 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 338 | 76 | 0 | 0 | 43 | 1 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 992 |
| 8:30-8:45 | 0 | 33 | 483 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 310 | 57 | 0 | 0 | 41 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 940 |
| 8:45-9:00 | 0 | 27 | 483 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 345 | 87 | 0 | 0 | 45 | 1 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1008 |
| 9:00-9:15 | 0 | 17 | 330 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 335 | 73 | 0 | 0 | 47 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 819 |
| 9:15-9:30 | 0 | 27 | 332 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 319 | 70 | 0 | 0 | 43 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 808 |
| Hourly Totals |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6:30-7:30 | 0 | 168 | 1898 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1143 | 366 | 0 | 0 | 136 | 12 | 46 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3792 |
| 6:45-7:45 | 0 | 177 | 2066 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1230 | 369 | 0 | 0 | 150 | 12 | 46 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4067 |
| 7:00-8:00 | 1 | 187 | 2150 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1300 | 346 | 0 | 0 | 143 | 4 | 52 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4195 |
| 7:15-8:15 | 2 | 189 | 2165 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1391 | 332 | 0 | 0 | 161 | 5 | 54 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4308 |
| 7:30-8:30 | 2 | 174 | 2047 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1383 | 326 | 0 | 0 | 167 | 5 | 57 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4172 |
| 7:45-8:45 | 2 | 166 | 1970 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1345 | 302 | 0 | 0 | 162 | 3 | 60 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4018 |
| 8:00-9:00 | 1 | 143 | 1930 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1366 | 304 | 0 | 0 | 179 | 4 | 65 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3999 |
| 8:15-9:15 | 0 | 112 | 1774 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1328 | 293 | 0 | 0 | 176 | 2 | 67 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3759 |
| 8:30-9:30 | 0 | 104 | 1628 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1309 | 287 | 0 | 0 | 176 | 1 | 60 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3575 |
| AM <br> Peak Hour 7:15-8:15 | Northbound |  |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |  | Eastbound |  |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { U-Turn } \\ 2 \end{array}$ | Left <br> 189 | Thru <br> 2165 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Right } \\ 9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Peds } \\ 0 \\ \hline \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { U-Turn } \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Left } \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Thru } \\ & 1391 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Right } \\ 332 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Peds } \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { U-Turn } \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | Left <br> 161 | Thru 5 | Right | $\begin{gathered} \text { Peds } \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { U-Turn } \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Left } \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | Thru | $\begin{gathered} \text { Right } \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Peds } \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | Total <br> 4308 |
|  | Weekday Evening Peak Hour (4 pm - 7 pm) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | US 301 Northbound |  |  |  |  | US 301 Southbound |  |  |  |  | Trade Zone Avenue Eastbound |  |  |  |  | Clagett Landing Road Westbound |  |  |  |  |  |
| Time: | U-Turn Left |  | Thru | Right | Peds | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | Total |
| 4:00-4:15 | 0 | 13 | 417 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 431 | 52 | 0 | 0 | 163 | 2 | 57 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1136 |
| 4:15-4:30 | 0 | 16 | 430 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 442 | 39 | 0 | 0 | 94 | 0 | 31 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1060 |
| 4:30-4:45 | 0 | 15 | 449 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 545 | 29 | 0 | 0 | 76 | 0 | 43 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1157 |
| 4:45-5:00 | 1 | 20 | 403 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 483 | 43 | 0 | 0 | 77 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1047 |
| 5:00-5:15 | 1 | 28 | 454 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 527 | 51 | 0 | 0 | 97 | 0 | 54 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1212 |
| 5:15-5:30 | 1 | 22 | 410 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 460 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 68 | 0 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1023 |
| 5:30-5:45 | 0 | 21 | 461 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 483 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 60 | 0 | 29 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1084 |
| 5:45-6:00 | 0 | 17 | 380 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 469 | 39 | 0 | 0 | 50 | 1 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 976 |
| 6:00-6:15 | 0 | 27 | 437 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 425 | 39 | 0 | 0 | 56 | 0 | 32 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1016 |
| 6:15-6:30 | 0 | 20 | 340 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 461 | 48 | 0 | 0 | 50 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 939 |
| 6:30-6:45 | 0 | 14 | 282 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 454 | 37 | 0 | 0 | 45 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 849 |
| 6:45-7:00 | 0 | 24 | 233 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 341 | 31 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 679 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Hourly | otals |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4:00-5:00 | 1 | 64 | 1699 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1901 | 163 | 0 | 0 | 410 | 2 | 149 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 4401 |
| 4:15-5:15 | 2 | 79 | 1736 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1997 | 162 | 0 | 0 | 344 | 0 | 146 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 4477 |
| 4:30-5:30 | 3 | 85 | 1716 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2015 | 156 | 0 | 0 | 318 | 0 | 143 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 4440 |
| 4:45-5:45 | 3 | 91 | 1728 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1953 | 157 | 0 | 0 | 302 | 0 | 129 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 4366 |
| 5:00-6:00 | 2 | 88 | 1705 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1939 | 153 | 0 | 0 | 275 | 1 | 130 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4295 |
| 5:15-6:15 | 1 | 87 | 1688 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1837 | 141 | 0 | 0 | 234 | 1 | 108 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4099 |
| 5:30-6:30 | 0 | 85 | 1618 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1838 | 156 | 0 | 0 | 216 | 1 | 99 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4015 |
| 5:45-6:45 | 0 | 78 | 1439 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1809 | 163 | 0 | 0 | 201 | 1 | 87 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3780 |
| 6:00-7:00 | 0 | 85 | 1292 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1681 | 155 | 0 | 0 | 184 | 0 | 85 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3483 |
| PM |  |  | rthboun |  |  |  |  | uthbou |  |  |  |  | astboun |  |  |  |  | stbou |  |  |  |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Peak Hour } \\ \text { 4:15-5:15 } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { U-Turn } \\ 2 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Left } \\ 79 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Thru } \\ & 1736 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Right } \\ 9 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Peds } \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { U-Turn } \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Left } \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Thru } \\ & 1997 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Right } \\ 162 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Peds } \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { U-Turn } \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Left } \\ & 344 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Thru } \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Right } \\ 146 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Peds } \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \text { U-Turn } \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Left } \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Thru } \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Right } \\ 1 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Peds } \\ 1 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Total 4477 |
|  | Turnin | Peak <br> Mov | our <br> ment |  |  |  |  | Inter | ection eather: | $\begin{aligned} & \text { US } 301 \\ & \text { Clear } \end{aligned}$ | at Trade | Zone | venue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | ART TR LTIMORE NA PARK, nharttraf | AFFIC <br> ANNAP <br> MD 21 <br> fic.com | CON <br> LIS BLV <br> 46 | ULTIN <br> , SUIT | G, INC <br> 214 |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{C} \\ \text { punt } \\ \mathrm{D} \end{array}$ | unt by: /Date: ounty: | Count <br> Wedn <br> Prince | Cam DSS <br> sday, No <br> George's | vembel | $10,202$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |






| Time: | Weekday Morning Peak Hour (6:30 am - 9:30 am) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | US 301 <br> Northbound |  |  |  |  | US 301 <br> Southbound |  |  |  |  | Village Drive Eastbound |  |  |  |  | Village Drive Westbound |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right | Peds |  |
| 6:30-6:45 | 0 | 1 | 329 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 217 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 2 | 82 | 0 | 693 |
| 6:45-7:00 | 1 | 0 | 301 | 13 | 0 | 1 | 15 | 256 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 26 | 0 | 119 | 0 | 743 |
| 7:00-7:15 | 0 | 0 | 348 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 256 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 35 | 2 | 160 | 0 | 839 |
| 7:15-7:30 | 0 | 1 | 428 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 313 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 1 | 146 | 0 | 963 |
| 7:30-7:45 | 1 | 4 | 444 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 26 | 312 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 11 | 0 | 1 | 31 | 0 | 116 | 0 | 973 |
| 7:45-8:00 | 0 | 3 | 435 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 322 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 27 | 1 | 134 | 0 | 974 |
| 8:00-8:15 | 0 | 2 | 398 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 305 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 109 | 0 | 893 |
| 8:15-8:30 | 0 | 3 | 440 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 26 | 353 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 2 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 2 | 98 | 0 | 959 |
| 8:30-8:45 | 0 | 2 | 372 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 294 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 3 | 11 | 0 | 1 | 22 | 2 | 101 | 0 | 848 |
| 8:45-9:00 | 0 | 1 | 357 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 310 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 1 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 6 | 84 | 0 | 827 |
| 9:00-9:15 | 0 | 1 | 312 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 321 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 4 | 60 | 0 | 778 |
| 9:15-9:30 | 0 | 2 | 265 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 32 | 297 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 6 | 64 | 0 | 714 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Hourly | otals |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6:30-7:30 | 1 | 2 | 1406 | 54 | 0 | 1 | 69 | 1042 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 105 | 5 | 507 | 0 | 3238 |
| 6:45-7:45 | 2 | 5 | 1521 | 60 | 0 | 1 | 82 | 1137 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 3 | 32 | 0 | 1 | 115 | 3 | 541 | 0 | 3518 |
| 7:00-8:00 | 1 | 8 | 1655 | 69 | 0 | 0 | 86 | 1203 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 3 | 33 | 0 | 1 | 116 | 4 | 556 | 0 | 3749 |
| 7:15-8:15 | 1 | 10 | 1705 | 70 | 0 | 0 | 89 | 1252 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 7 | 42 | 0 | 1 | 103 | 2 | 505 | 0 | 3803 |
| 7:30-8:30 | 1 | 12 | 1717 | 67 | 0 | 0 | 90 | 1292 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 9 | 42 | 0 | 1 | 89 | 3 | 457 | 0 | 3799 |
| 7:45-8:45 | 0 | 10 | 1645 | 61 | 0 | 0 |  | 1274 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 10 | 42 | 0 | 1 | 80 | 5 | 442 | 0 | 3674 |
| 8:00-9:00 | 0 | 8 | 1567 | 48 | 0 | 0 | 88 | 1262 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 10 | 49 | 0 | 1 | 69 | 10 | 392 | 0 | 3527 |
| 8:15-9:15 | 0 | 7 | 1481 | 58 | 0 | 0 | 82 | 1278 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 10 | 52 | 0 | 1 | 60 | 14 | 343 | 0 | 3412 |
| 8:30-9:30 | 0 | 6 | 1306 | 64 | 0 | 0 | 88 | 1222 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 9 | 54 | 0 | 1 | 64 | 18 | 309 | 0 | 3167 |
| AM |  |  | rthbou |  |  |  |  | uthbou |  |  |  |  | stbound |  |  |  |  | estbound |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour 7:15-8:15 | $\begin{gathered} \text { U-Turn } \\ 1 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Left } \\ 10 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Thru } \\ & 1705 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Right } \\ 70 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Peds } \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { U-Turn } \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Left } \\ 89 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Thru } \\ & 1252 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Right } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Peds } \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { U-Turn } \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Left } \\ 10 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Thru 7 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Right } \\ 42 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Peds } \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { U-Turn } \\ 1 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Left } \\ & 103 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Thru } \\ 2 \\ \hline \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Right } \\ 505 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Peds } \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & 3803 \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Weekd | y Even | ing Pe | ak Hour | (4 pm | 7 pm |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | US 301 rthbou |  |  |  |  | US 301 <br> uthbou |  |  |  |  | age Dr astbound |  |  |  |  | lage Dri estboun |  |  |  |
| Time: | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | Total |
| 4:00-4:15 | 0 | 3 | 366 | 46 | 0 | 0 | 84 | 455 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 3 | 67 | 0 | 1047 |
| 4:15-4:30 | 1 | 1 | 358 | 40 | 0 | 1 | 114 | 373 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 9 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 2 | 61 | 0 | 991 |
| 4:30-4:45 | 1 | 6 | 429 | 31 | 0 | 0 | 103 | 446 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 10 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 7 | 49 | 0 | 1107 |
| 4:45-5:00 | 0 | 3 | 371 | 29 | 0 | 1 | 105 | 383 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 8 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 3 | 53 | 0 | 982 |
| 5:00-5:15 | 0 | 7 | 352 | 36 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 419 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 6 | 10 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 2 | 59 | 0 | 1003 |
| 5:15-5:30 | 0 | 2 | 371 | 51 | 0 | 1 | 99 | 324 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 10 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 3 | 61 | 0 | 949 |
| 5:30-5:45 | 0 | 7 | 382 | 49 | 0 | 1 | 97 | 421 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 10 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 1 | 50 | 0 | 1050 |
| 5:45-6:00 | 2 | 3 | 348 | 44 | 0 | 0 | 70 | 373 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 7 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 4 | 44 | 0 | 924 |
| 6:00-6:15 | 2 | 4 | 337 | 49 | 0 | 1 | 82 | 324 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 6 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 26 | 3 | 54 | 0 | 900 |
| 6:15-6:30 | 1 | 4 | 276 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 50 | 385 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 7 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 3 | 40 | 0 | 826 |
| 6:30-6:45 | 0 | 1 | 234 | 43 | 0 | 0 | 67 | 340 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 3 | 34 | 0 | 758 |
| 6:45-7:00 | 1 | 0 | 203 | 39 | 0 | 0 | 60 | 282 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 6 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 3 | 23 | 0 | 642 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Hourly | otals |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4:00-5:00 | 2 | 13 | 1524 | 146 | 0 | 2 | 406 | 1657 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 30 | 42 | 0 | 0 | 42 | 15 | 230 | 0 | 4127 |
| 4:15-5:15 | 2 | 17 | 1510 | 136 | 0 | 2 | 422 | 1621 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 33 | 45 | 0 | 1 | 39 | 14 | 222 | 0 | 4083 |
| 4:30-5:30 | 1 | 18 | 1523 | 147 | 0 | 2 | 407 | 1572 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 34 | 38 | 0 | 1 | 41 | 15 | 222 | 0 | 4041 |
| 4:45-5:45 | 0 | 19 | 1476 | 165 | 0 | 3 | 401 | 1547 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 34 | 38 | 0 | 1 | 47 | 9 | 223 | 0 | 3984 |
| 5:00-6:00 | 2 | 19 | 1453 | 180 | 0 | 2 | 366 | 1537 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 33 | 33 | 0 | 1 | 55 | 10 | 214 | 0 | 3926 |
| 5:15-6:15 | 4 | 16 | 1438 | 193 | 0 | 3 | 348 | 1442 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 19 | 33 | 32 | 0 | 0 | 75 | 11 | 209 | 0 | 3824 |
| 5:30-6:30 | 5 | 18 | 1343 | 167 | 0 | 2 | 299 | 1503 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 16 | 30 | 35 | 0 | 0 | 80 | 11 | 188 | 0 | 3702 |
| 5:45-6:45 | 5 | 12 | 1195 | 161 | 0 | 1 | 269 | 1422 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 13 | 24 | 45 | 0 | 0 | 70 | 13 | 172 | 0 | 3410 |
| 6:00-7:00 | 4 | 9 | 1050 | 156 | 0 | 1 | 259 | 1331 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 13 | 23 | 43 | 0 | 0 | 68 | 12 | 151 | 0 | 3128 |
| PM | Northbound |  |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |  | Eastbound |  |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Peak Hour } \\ & \text { 4:00-5:00 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { U-Turn } \\ 2 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Left } \\ 13 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Thru } \\ & 1524 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Right } \\ 146 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Peds } \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { U-Turn } \\ 2 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Left } \\ & 406 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Thru } \\ & 1657 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Right } \\ 8 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Peds } \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { U-Turn } \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Left } \\ 10 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Thru } \\ 30 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Right } \\ 42 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Peds } \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { U-Turn } \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Left } \\ 42 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Thru } \\ 15 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Right } \\ 230 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Peds } \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & 4127 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Peak Hour <br> Turning Movement Count |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Inter | ection eather: | $\begin{aligned} & \text { US } 301 \\ & \text { Clear } \end{aligned}$ | at Village | Drive |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LENHART TRAFFIC CONSULTING, INC. <br> 645 BALTIMORE ANNAPOLIS BLVD, SUITE 214 <br> SEVERNA PARK, MD 21146 <br> www.lenharttraffic.com |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | unt by: /Date: ounty: | Count <br> Wedn <br> Prince | Cam DS <br> sday, No <br> George's | vembe | 10, 202 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |







## Appendix B

Critical Lane Volume (LOS) Worksheets
Highway Capacity Software (LOS) Worksheets

## CRITICAL LANE VOLUME (CLV) METHODOLOGY <br> for Prince Georges County

Main Line: US 301 SB
Minor Street: Wawa Crossover
Analyst: Lenhart Traffic Consulting
Study Period: EXISTING TRAFFIC

Lane Use + Traffic Volumes


Critical Lane Volume Analysis

| Morning Peak Hour |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dir | Thru Volumes |  |  | + Opposing Lefts |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AM } \\ & \text { CLV } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | VOL | $\times$ LUF | = Total | VOL | $\times$ LUF | = Total |  |
| NB | 1629 | 0.55 | 896 |  |  |  | 896 |
| EB | 0 0 | 0 <br> 0 | 0 <br> 0 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 0 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 94 \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 94 |
| WB |  |  |  |  | TOTA |  | 990 |
|  |  |  | Level of | ervic | (LOS |  | A |


| Evening Peak Hour |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Thru Volumes | + Opposing Lefts |  | PM |  |  |
| Dir | VOL | $\times$ LUF $=$ Total | VOL | $\times$ LUF $=$ Total | CLV |  |
| NB |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SB | 2051 | 0.55 | 1128 |  |  | 128 |
| EB | 0 | 0 | 0 | 120 | 1 | 120 |
| WB | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Critical Lane Volume Analysis

LENHART TRAFFIC CONSULTING, INC.
645 BALTIMORE ANNAPOLIS BLVD, SUITE 214
SEVERNA PARK, MD 21146
www.lenharttraffic.com

US 301 SB \&
Wawa Crossover (EXISTING TRAFFIC)

Intersection

## CRITICAL LANE VOLUME (CLV) METHODOLOGY for Prince Georges County

Main Line: US 301 SB
Minor Street: Wawa Crossover
Analyst: Lenhart Traffic Consulting

## Lane Use + Traffic Volumes



Critical Lane Volume Analysis


| Evening Peak Hour |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dir | Thru Volumes |  |  | + Opposing Lefts |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PM } \\ & \text { CLV } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | VOL | $\times$ LUF | = Total | VOL | $\times$ LUF $=$ Total |  |
| NB | 2716 | 0.37 | 1005 |  |  | 1005 |
| EB | $\begin{aligned} & 176 \\ & 238 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.55 \\ & 0.55 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 97 \\ & 131 \end{aligned}$ | 120 | 1120 | 217 |
| CLV TOTAL= 1222 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Level of Service (LOS )= |  |  |  |  |  | C |

Critical Lane Volume Analysis

LENHART TRAFFIC CONSULTING, INC.
645 BALTIMORE ANNAPOLIS BLVD, SUITE 214
SEVERNA PARK, MD 21146
www.lenharttraffic.com


Intersection

## CRITICAL LANE VOLUME (CLV) METHODOLOGY <br> for Prince Georges County

Main Line: US 301 SB
Minor Street: Wawa Crossover
Analyst: Lenhart Traffic Consulting

Lane Use + Traffic Volumes


Critical Lane Volume Analysis

| Morning Peak Hour |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dir | Thru Volumes |  |  | + Opposing Lefts |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AM } \\ & C L V \end{aligned}$ |
|  | VOL | $\times$ LUF | = Total | VOL | $\times$ LUF $=$ Total |  |
| NB | 2655 | 0.37 | 982 |  |  | 982 |
| EB | $\begin{aligned} & 142 \\ & 113 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.55 \\ & 0.55 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 78 \\ & 62 \end{aligned}$ | 94 | 194 | 172 |
| CLV TOTAL= <br> Level of Service (LOS )= |  |  |  |  |  | 1154 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | C |


| Evening Peak Hour |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dir | Thru Volumes |  |  | + Opposing Lefts |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PM } \\ & \text { CLV } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | VOL | $\times$ LUF | = Total | VOL | $\times$ LUF $=$ Total |  |
| NB | 2848 | 0.37 | 1054 |  |  | 1054 |
| EB | $\begin{aligned} & 176 \\ & 238 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.55 \\ & 0.55 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 97 \\ & 131 \end{aligned}$ | 120 | 1120 | 217 |
| CLV TOTAL= 1271 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Level of Service (LOS )= |  |  |  |  |  | C |

Critical Lane Volume Analysis

> US 301 SB \& Wawa Crossover (TOTAL TRAFFIC)

Intersection

## CRITICAL LANE VOLUME (CLV) METHODOLOGY <br> for Prince Georges County

Main Line: US 301 SB
Minor Street: Wawa Crossover Analyst: Lenhart Traffic Consulting
Study Period: TOTAL TRAFFIC WITH CIP IMPROVEMENTS

Lane Use + Traffic Volumes


Critical Lane Volume Analysis

| Morning Peak Hour |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dir | Thru Volumes |  |  | + Opposing Lefts |  | $\begin{aligned} & A M \\ & C L V \end{aligned}$ |
|  | VOL | $\times$ LUF | = Total | VOL | $\times$ LUF $=$ Total |  |
| NB | 2655 | 0.37 | 982 |  |  | 982 |
| EB | $\begin{aligned} & 142 \\ & 113 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.55 \\ & 0.55 \end{aligned}$ | 78 62 | 94 | 194 | 172 |
|  |  |  |  |  | TOTAL= | 1154 |
|  |  |  | evel of | Servic | (LOS )= | C |


| Evening Peak Hour |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dir | Thru Volumes |  |  | + Opposing Lefts |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PM } \\ & \text { CLV } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | VOL | $\times$ LUF | = Total | VOL | $\times$ LUF $=$ Total |  |
| NB | 2848 | 0.37 | 1054 |  |  | 1054 |
| EB | $\begin{aligned} & 176 \\ & 238 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.55 \\ & 0.55 \end{aligned}$ | $97$ $131$ | 120 | 1120 | 217 |
| CLV TOTAL= 1271 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Level of Service (LOS )= |  |  |  |  |  | C |

Critical Lane Volume Analysis

LENHART TRAFFIC CONSULTING, INC.
645 BALTIMORE ANNAPOLIS BLVD, SUITE 214
SEVERNA PARK, MD 21146
www.lenharttraffic.com

|  |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Wawa Crossover | Intersection |
| (TOTAL TRAFFIC WITH CIP IMPROVEMENTS) |  |






## CRITICAL LANE VOLUME (CLV) METHODOLOGY for Prince Georges County

Intersection of: US 301
and: Trade Zone Avenue
Conditions: Existing Traffic
Analyst: Lenhart Traffic Consulting

## Lane Use + Traffic Volumes



TRADE ZONE AVENUE

| PM | AM |  | L |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 344 | 161 | L |  |
| 146 | 54 | R | L |



Capacity Analysis

| Morning Peak Hour |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dir | Thru Volumes |  |  | + Opposing Lefts |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AM } \\ & \text { CLV } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | VOL | x LUF | = Total | VOL | x LUF | $=$ Total |  |
| EB | 161 | 0.60 | 97 |  |  |  | 97 |
| NB | 2165 | 0.55 | 1191 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1191 |
| SB | 1391 | 0.37 | 515 | 191 | 1.00 | 191 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | LV TOT | AL= | 1288 |
|  |  |  |  | el of S | vice (LO | S $)=$ | C |


| Evening Peak Hour |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dir | Thru Volumes |  |  | + Opposing Lefts |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PM } \\ & \text { CLV } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | VOL | x LUF | = Total | VOL | xLUF | = Total |  |
| EB | 344 | 0.60 | 206 |  |  |  | 206 |
| NB | 1736 | 0.55 | 955 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 955 |
| SB | 1997 | 0.37 | 739 | 81 | 1.00 | 81 |  |
| SB | $\begin{array}{r} \text { CLV TOTAL= } \\ \text { Level of Service (LOS })= \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  | 1161 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | C |

Critical Lane Volume Analysis
LENHART TRAFFIC CONSULTING, INC.
645 BALTIMORE ANNAPOLIS BLVD, SUITE 214
SEVERNA PARK, MD 21146
www.lenharttraffic.com

US 301 \&
Trade Zone Avenue
(Existing Traffic)

Intersection
3

## CRITICAL LANE VOLUME (CLV) METHODOLOGY for Prince Georges County

Intersection of: US 301
and: Trade Zone Avenue
Conditions: Background Traffic
Analyst: Lenhart Traffic Consulting

## Lane Use + Traffic Volumes



TRADE ZONE AVENUE

| PM | AM |  | --- |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 570 | 233 | L | L --- |
| 155 | 57 | R | FR --- |



Capacity Analysis

| Morning Peak Hour |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dir | Thru Volumes |  |  | + Opposing Lefts |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AM } \\ & \text { CLV } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | VOL | x LUF | = Total | VOL | x LUF | $=$ Total |  |
| EB | 233 | 0.60 | 140 |  |  |  | 140 |
| NB | 2643 | 0.55 | 1454 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | $720$ | 289 | 1.00 | 289 | 1454 |
|  |  |  |  |  | LV TO | TAL= | 1594 |
|  |  |  |  | of | vice (LOS | S $)=$ | E |


| Evening Peak Hour |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dir | Thru Volumes |  |  | + Opposing Lefts |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PM } \\ & \text { CLV } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | VOL | x LUF | = Total | VOL | xLUF | $=$ Total |  |
| EB | 570 | 0.60 | 342 |  |  |  | 342 |
| NB | 2401 | 0.55 | 1321 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1321 |
| SB | 2776 | 0.37 | 1027 | 117 | 1.00 | 117 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | V TOT | AL= | 1663 |
|  |  |  |  | el of S |  |  | F |

Critical Lane Volume Analysis
LENHART TRAFFIC CONSULTING, INC.
645 BALTIMORE ANNAPOLIS BLVD, SUITE 214
SEVERNA PARK, MD 21146
www.lenharttraffic.com

US 301 \&
Trade Zone Avenue
(Background Traffic)

Intersection
3

## CRITICAL LANE VOLUME (CLV) METHODOLOGY for Prince Georges County

Intersection of: US 301
and: Trade Zone Avenue
Conditions: Total Traffic
Analyst: Lenhart Traffic Consulting

## Lane Use + Traffic Volumes



TRADE ZONE AVENUE

| PM | AM |  | L .-- |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 513 | 210 | L | --- |
| 155 | 57 | R | FR --- |



Capacity Analysis

| Morning Peak Hour |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dir | Thru Volumes |  |  | + Opposing Lefts |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AM } \\ & \text { CLV } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | VOL | x LUF | = Total | VOL | x LUF | = Total |  |
| Eb | 210 | 0.60 | 126 |  |  |  | 126 |
| NB | 2798 | 0.55 | 1539 |  |  |  |  |
| SB | 2474 | 0.37 | 915 | 144 | 1.00 | 144 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | V TO | AL= | 1665 |
|  |  |  |  | el of | ice (LO | S $)=$ | F |


| Evening Peak Hour |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dir | Thru Volumes |  |  | + Opposing Lefts |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PM } \\ & \text { CLV } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | VOL | xLUF | = Total | VOL | xLUF | = Total |  |
| EB | 513 | 0.60 | 308 |  |  |  | 308 |
| NB | 2986 | 0.55 | 1642 |  |  |  |  |
| SB | 2908 | 0.37 | 1076 | 58 | 1.00 | 58 |  |
|  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { CLV TOTAL= } \\ \text { Level of Service (LOS })= \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  | 1950 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | F |

Critical Lane Volume Analysis
LENHART TRAFFIC CONSULTING, INC.
645 BALTIMORE ANNAPOLIS BLVD, SUITE 214
SEVERNA PARK, MD 21146
www.lenharttraffic.com

US 301 \&
Trade Zone Avenue
(Total Traffic)

## Intersection

3


## CRITICAL LANE VOLUME (CLV) METHODOLOGY for Prince Georges County

Intersection of: US 301
and: Queens Court
Conditions: Existing Traffic
Analyst: Lenhart Traffic Consulting

## Lane Use + Traffic Volumes



QUEENS COURT


Capacity Analysis


| Evening Peak Hour |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dir | Thru Volumes |  |  | + Opposing Lefts |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PM } \\ & \text { CLV } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | VOL | x LUF | = Total | VOL | x LUF | = Total |  |
| EB | 241 | 0.00 | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |
| NB | 1958 | 0.55 | 1077 |  |  |  | 1077 |
|  | 2124 |  | 786 |  |  |  |  |
| CLV TOTAL= |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1077 |
| Level of Service (LOS )= |  |  |  |  |  |  | B |


| Critical Lane Volume Analysis |  <br> Queens Court <br> (Existing Traffic) | Intersection 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |

## CRITICAL LANE VOLUME (CLV) METHODOLOGY for Prince Georges County

Intersection of: US 301
and: Queens Court
Conditions: Background Traffic
Analyst: Lenhart Traffic Consulting

## Lane Use + Traffic Volumes



QUEENS COURT


Capacity Analysis

| Morning Peak Hour |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dir | Thru Volumes |  |  | + Opposing Lefts |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AM } \\ & \text { CLV } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | VOL | x LUF | = Total | VOL | x LUF | = Total |  |
| EB | 155 | 0.00 | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |
| NB | 2844 | 0.55 | 1564 |  |  |  |  |
| SB | 1635 | 0.37 | 605 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | LV TO | TAL= | 1564 |
|  |  |  |  | el of S | ice (L | S $=$ | E |


| Evening Peak Hour |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dir | Thru Volumes |  |  | + Opposing Lefts |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PM } \\ & \text { CLV } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | VOL | x LUF | = Total | VOL | x LUF | = Total |  |
| EB | 329 | 0.00 | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |
| NB | 2670 | 0.55 | 1469 |  |  |  | 1469 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SB | 2820 | 0.37 | 1043 |  |  |  |  |
| CLV TOTAL=Level of Service (LOS $)=$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1469 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | E |

Critical Lane Volume Analysis
LENHART TRAFFIC CONSULTING, INC.
645 BALTIMORE ANNAPOLIS BLVD, SUITE 214
SEVERNA PARK, MD 21146
www.lenharttraffic.com

US 301 \&
Queens Court
(Background Traffic)

Intersection
4


## CRITICAL LANE VOLUME (CLV) METHODOLOGY for Prince Georges County

Intersection of: US 301
and: Leeland Road
Conditions: Existing Traffic
Analyst: Lenhart Traffic Consulting

## Lane Use + Traffic Volumes



LEELAND ROAD

| PM | AM |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 67 | 166 | L |
| 40 | 61 | R |



Capacity Analysis

| Morning Peak Hour |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dir | Thru Volumes |  |  | + Opposing Lefts |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AM } \\ & \text { CLV } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | VOL | x LUF | = Total | VOL | x LUF | = Total |  |
| EB | 166 | 0.60 | 100 |  |  |  | 100 |
| NB | 2226 | 0.37 | 824 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 824 |
| SB | 1476 | 0.37 | 546 | 38 | 1.00 | 38 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | LV TO | TAL= | 924 |
|  |  |  |  | el of S | vice (LOS | S $)=$ | A |


| Evening Peak Hour |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dir | Thru Volumes |  |  | + Opposing Lefts |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PM } \\ & \text { CLV } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | VOL | x LUF | = Total | VOL | xLUF | = Total |  |
| EB | 67 | 0.60 | 40 |  |  |  | 40 |
| NB | 1829 | 0.37 | 677 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 826 |
| SB | 2165 | 0.37 | 801 | 25 | 1.00 | 25 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | V TOT | AL= | 866 |
|  |  |  |  | el of S |  |  | A |


| Critical Lane Volume Analysis | US 301 \& Leeland Road (Existing Traffic) | Intersection 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| L LENHART TRAFFIC CONSULTING, INC. (T) 645 BALIMORE ANNAPOLIS BLVD, SUITE 214 CEVERA PARK, MD 21146 C www.lenharttrafic.com |  |  |

## CRITICAL LANE VOLUME (CLV) METHODOLOGY for Prince Georges County

Intersection of: US 301
and: Leeland Road
Conditions: Background Traffic
Analyst: Lenhart Traffic Consulting

## Lane Use + Traffic Volumes



LEELAND ROAD

| PM | AM |  | L --- |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 348 | 335 | L | L |
| 209 | 180 | R |  |



Capacity Analysis

| Morning Peak Hour |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dir | Thru Volumes |  |  | + Opposing Lefts |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AM } \\ & \text { CLV } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | VOL | x LUF | = Total | VOL | xLUF | = Total |  |
| EB | 335 | 0.60 | 201 |  |  |  | 201 |
| NB | 2620 | 0.37 | 969 |  |  |  |  |
| SB | 1771 | 0.37 | 655 | 100 | 1.00 | 100 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | LV TO | AL= | 1170 |
|  |  |  |  | el of | vice (LOS | S $=$ | C |


| Evening Peak Hour |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dir | Thru Volumes |  |  | + Opposing Lefts |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PM } \\ & \text { CLV } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | VOL | x LUF | = Total | VOL | xLUF | $=$ Total |  |
| EB | 348 | 0.60 | 209 |  |  |  | 209 |
| NB | 2256 | 0.37 | 835 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1202 |
| SB | 2631 | 0.37 | 973 | 229 | 1.00 | 229 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | V TOT | AL= | 1411 |
|  |  |  |  | el of S | vice (LO |  | D |


| Critical Lane Volume Analysis |  <br> Leeland Road (Background Traffic) | Intersection 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |

## CRITICAL LANE VOLUME (CLV) METHODOLOGY for Prince Georges County

Intersection of: US 301
and: Leeland Road
Conditions: Total Traffic
Analyst: Lenhart Traffic Consulting

## Lane Use + Traffic Volumes



LEELAND ROAD

| PM | AM |  | L .-. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 436 | 687 | L | L --- |
| 209 | 180 | R | FR .-- |



Capacity Analysis

| Morning Peak Hour |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dir | Thru Volumes |  |  | + Opposing Lefts |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AM } \\ & \mathrm{CLV} \end{aligned}$ |
|  | VOL | x LUF | = Total | VOL | xLUF | = Total |  |
| eb | 687 | 0.60 | 412 |  |  |  | 412 |
| NB | 3411 | 0.37 | 1262 |  |  |  |  |
| SB | 1970 | 0.37 | 729 | 100 | 1.00 | 100 | 1262 |
| CLV TOTAL=Level of Service (LOS ) $=$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1674 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | F |


| Evening Peak Hour |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dir | Thru Volumes |  |  | + Opposing Lefts |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PM } \\ & \text { CLV } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | VOL | x LUF | = Total | VOL | xLUF | $=$ Total |  |
| EB | 436 | 0.60 | 262 |  |  |  | 262 |
| NB | 2455 | 0.37 | 908 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1495 |
| SB | 3422 | 0.37 | 1266 | 229 | 1.00 | 229 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | V TOT | AL= | 1757 |
|  |  |  |  | el of S | vice (LO |  | F |


| Critical Lane Volume Analysis | US 301 \& Leeland Road (Total Traffic) | Intersection 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LENHART TRAFFIC CONSULTING, INC. 645 BALTIMORE ANNAPOLIS BLVD, SUITE 214 SEVERNA PARK, MD 21146 www.lenharttraffic.com |  |  |

## CRITICAL LANE VOLUME (CLV) METHODOLOGY for Prince Georges County

Intersection of: US 301
and: Leeland Road
Conditions: Total Traffic with CIP Improvements
Analyst: Lenhart Traffic Consulting

## Lane Use + Traffic Volumes



LEELAND ROAD

| PM | AM |  | --- |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 436 | 687 | L | L --- |
| 209 | 180 | R | FR --- |



US 301
Capacity Analysis

| Morning Peak Hour |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dir | Thru Volumes |  |  | + Opposing Lefts |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AM } \\ & \text { CLV } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | VOL | x LUF | = Total | VOL | xLUF | = Total |  |
| EB | 687 | 0.60 | 412 |  |  |  | 412 |
| NB | 3411 | 0.29 | 989 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 989 |
| SB | 1970 | 0.29 | 571 | 100 | 1.00 | 100 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | LV TO | AL= | 1401 |
|  |  |  |  | ef | vice (LOS | S $=$ | D |


| Evening Peak Hour |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dir | Thru Volumes |  |  | + Opposing Lefts |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PM } \\ & \text { CLV } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | VOL | x LUF | = Total | VOL | xLUF | $=$ Total |  |
| EB | 436 | 0.60 | 262 |  |  |  | 262 |
| NB | 2455 | 0.29 | 712 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1221 |
| SB | 3422 | 0.29 | 992 | 229 | 1.00 | 229 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | V TOT | AL= | 1483 |
|  |  |  |  | el of S | vice (LO |  | E |

US 301 \&
Leeland Road
(Total Traffic with CIP Improvements)

## CRITICAL LANE VOLUME (CLV) METHODOLOGY for Prince Georges County

Intersection of: US 301
and: Leeland Road
Conditions: Total Traffic with Improvements
Analyst: Lenhart Traffic Consulting
CIP Improvements are Completed (additional through lanes each direction) but turn lanes are needed
Lane Use + Traffic Volumes


LEELAND ROAD


US 301

## Capacity Analysis

| Morning Peak Hour |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dir | Thru Volumes |  |  | + Opposing Lefts |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AM } \\ & \mathrm{CLV} \end{aligned}$ |
|  | VOL | x LUF | = Total | VOL | xLUF | = Total |  |
| EB | 687 | 0.45 | 309 |  |  |  | 309 |
| NB | 3411 | 0.29 | 989 |  |  |  |  |
| SB | 1970 | 0.29 | 571 | 100 | 1.00 | 100 |  |
| CLV TOTAL=Level of Service (LOS $)=$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1298 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | C |


| Evening Peak Hour |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dir | Thru Volumes |  |  | + Opposing Lefts |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PM } \\ & \text { CLV } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | VOL | x LUF | = Total | VOL | xLUF | = Total |  |
| EB | 436 | 0.45 | 196 |  |  |  | 196 |
| NB | 2455 | 0.29 | 712 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3422 | $0.29$ | $992$ | 229 | 1.00 | 229 | 1221 |
| CLV TOTAL= |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1417 |
| Level of Service (LOS ) = |  |  |  |  |  |  | D |

Critical Lane Volume Analysis
LENHART TRAFFIC CONSULTING, INC.
645 BALTIMORE ANNAPOLIS BLVD, SUITE 214
SEVERNA PARK, MD 21146
www.lenharttraffic.com

US 301 \&
Leeland Road
(Total Traffic with Improvements)

Intersection
6

## CRITICAL LANE VOLUME (CLV) METHODOLOGY <br> for Prince Georges County

Main Line: US 301
Minor Street: Swanson Road
Analyst: Lenhart Traffic Consulting
Study Period: EXISTING TRAFFIC

Lane Use + Traffic Volumes


US 301
Critical Lane Volume Analysis

| Morning Peak Hour |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dir | Thru Volumes |  |  | + Opposing Lefts |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AM } \\ & \text { CLV } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | VOL | $\times$ LUF | = Total | VOL | $\times$ LUF | = Total |  |
| NB | 2183 | 0.55 | 1201 |  | 1 | 5 | 1206 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SB | 1361 | 0.55 | 749 | 53 | 1 | 53 |  |
|  | 120 | 1 | 120 |  | 1 | 4 | 124 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 9 | 1 | 9 | 109 | 1 | 109 |  |
| CLV TOTAL= 1330 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Level of | Servic | (LOS |  | D |


| Evening Peak Hour |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Thru Volumes |  |  | + Opposing Lefts |  | PM |  |
| Dir | VOL | $\times$ LUF $=$ Total | VOL | $\times$ LUF $=$ Total | CLV |  |  |
| NB | 1673 | 0.55 | 920 | 12 | 1 | 12 |  |
| SB | 1903 | 0.55 | 1047 | 106 | 1 | 106 |  |
| EB | 161 | 1 | 161 | 7 | 1 | 7 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WB | 14 | 1 | 14 | 146 | 1 | 146 | 168 |
| CLV TOTAL= |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1321 |

Critical Lane Volume Analysis

Intersection
7

## CRITICAL LANE VOLUME (CLV) METHODOLOGY <br> for Prince Georges County

Main Line: US 301
Minor Street: Swanson Road
Analyst: Lenhart Traffic Consulting
Study Period: BACKGROUND TRAFFIC

Lane Use + Traffic Volumes


US 301
Critical Lane Volume Analysis

| Morning Peak Hour |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Thru Volumes |  |  | + Opposing Lefts |  | AM |  |
|  | Dir | VOL | $\times$ LUF | $=$ Total | VOL | $\times$ LUF $=$ Total | CLV |
| NB | 2633 | 0.55 | 1448 | 5 | 1 | 5 |  |
| SB | 1763 | 0.55 | 970 | 53 | 1 | 53 |  |
| EB | 120 | 1 | 120 | 4 | 1 | 4 |  |
| WB | 9 | 1 | 9 | 109 | 1 | 109 |  |

Level of Service (LOS )=

| 1577 |
| :---: |
| $E$ |

Critical Lane Volume Analysis

LENHART TRAFFIC CONSULTING, INC.
645 BALTIMORE ANNAPOLIS BLVD, SUITE 214
SEVERNA PARK, MD 21146
www.lenharttraffic.com

| Evening Peak Hour |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dir | Thru Volumes |  |  | + Opposing Lefts |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PM } \\ & \text { CLV } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | VOL | $\times$ LUF | = Total | VOL | $\times$ LUF | = Total |  |
| NB | 2291 | 0.55 | 1260 | 12 | 1 | 12 | 1490 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SB | 2517 | 0.55 | 1384 | 106 | 1 | 106 |  |
|  | 161 | 1 | 161 | 7 | 1 | 7 | 168 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 14 | 1 | 14 | 146 | 1 | 146 |  |
| CLV TOTAL |  |  |  |  |  |  | 658 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | F |

$\qquad$

US 301 \&
Swanson Road
(BACKGROUND TRAFFIC)

## Intersection
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## CRITICAL LANE VOLUME (CLV) METHODOLOGY <br> for Prince Georges County

Main Line: US 301
Minor Street: Swanson Road
Analyst: Lenhart Traffic Consulting

## Lane Use + Traffic Volumes



US 301
Critical Lane Volume Analysis

| Morning Peak Hour |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Thru Volumes |  |  | + Opposing Lefts |  | AM |  |
|  | Dir | VOL | $\times$ LUF | $=$ Total | VOL | $\times$ LUF $=$ Total | CLV |
| NB | 3424 | 0.55 | 1883 | 5 | 1 | 5 |  |
| SB | 1962 | 0.55 | 1079 | 53 | 1 | 53 |  |
| EB | 120 | 1 | 120 | 4 | 1 | 4 |  |
| WB | 9 | 1 | 9 | 109 | 1 | 109 |  |


| Evening Peak Hour |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dir | Thru Volumes |  |  | + Opposing Lefts |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PM } \\ & \text { CLV } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | VOL | $\times$ LUF | = Total | VOL | $\times$ LUF | = Total |  |
| NB | 2490 | 0.55 | 1370 | 12 | 1 | 12 | 1925 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SB | 3308 | 0.55 | 1819 |  | 1 | 106 |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{EB} \\ & \mathrm{WB} \end{aligned}$ | 161 | 1 | 161 | 7 | 1 | 7 | 168 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 14 | 1 | 14 | 146 | 1 | 146 |  |
| CLV TOTAL= <br> Level of Service (LOS )= |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2093 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | F |

Critical Lane Volume Analysis

## US 301 \& Swanson Road <br> ( TOTAL TRAFFIC)

## Intersection
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## CRITICAL LANE VOLUME (CLV) METHODOLOGY <br> for Prince Georges County

Main Line: US 301
Minor Street: Swanson Road Analyst: Lenhart Traffic Consulting
Study Period: TOTAL TRAFFIC WITH CIP IMPROVEMENTS

Lane Use + Traffic Volumes




US 301
Critical Lane Volume Analysis

| Morning Peak Hour |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dir | Thru Volumes |  |  | + Opposing Lefts |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AM } \\ & \text { CLV } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | VOL $\times$ | $\times$ LUF | = Total | VOL | $\times$ LUF | = Total |  |
| NB <br> SB | 3424 | 0.37 | 1267 | 5 | 1 | 5 | 1272 |
|  | 1962 |  | 726 |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline E B \\ W B \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 65 | 1 | 65 | 4 | 1 | 4 | 118 |
|  | 9 | 1 | 9 |  | 1 |  |  |
| CLV TOTAL= |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1390 |
|  |  |  | Level of | Servic | (LOS |  | D |



Critical Lane Volume Analysis

## US 301 \& <br> Swanson Road

( TOTAL TRAFFIC WITH CIP IMPROVEMENTS)

## Intersection

7
www.lenharttraffic.com

## Critical Lane Volume (CLV) Methodology for Prince Georges County

Main Line: US 301
Minor Street: Village Drive
Study Period: Existing Traffic

## Lane Use + Traffic Volumes



Traffic Signal Phasing includes East/West Split Phase

| AM Peak Hour |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dir | Through Volumes |  |  | + Opposing Lefts |  |  | AM CLV |
|  | VOL | x LUF | = Total | VOL | $\times$ LUF | = Total |  |
| EB | 31 | 1.00 | 31 |  |  |  | 31 |
| WB | 106 | 0.60 | 64 |  |  |  | 64 |
| N | 1705 | 0.55 | 938 | 89 | 0.60 | 53 | 991 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SB | 1252 | 0.55 | 689 |  | 1.00 | 11 |  |
| CLV TOTAL= |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1086 |
| Level of Service (LOS )= |  |  |  |  |  |  | B |


| PM Peak Hour |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Through Volumes |  |  | + Opposing Lefts |  | PM |  |
| Dir | VOL | $\times$ LUF $=$ Total | VOL | $\times$ LUF $=$ Total | CLV |  |  |
| EB | 27 | 1.00 | 27 |  |  | 27 |  |
| WB | 57 | 0.60 | 34 |  |  | 34 |  |
| NB | 1524 | 0.55 | 838 | 408 | 0.60 | 245 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 1083 |  |
| SB | 1657 | 0.55 | 911 | 15 | 1.00 | 15 |  |
| CLV TOTAL $=$ |  |  |  |  |  | 1144 |  |
| Level of Service (LOS $)=$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | B |

Critical Lane Volume Analysis
Lenhart Traffic Consulting, Inc.
Traffic Engineering \& Transportation Planning

US 301 \&
Village Drive (Existing Traffic)

Intersection
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## Critical Lane Volume (CLV) Methodology for Prince Georges County

Main Line: US 301
Minor Street: Village Drive
Study Period: Background Traffic
Analyst: Lenhart Traffic Consulting

## Lane Use + Traffic Volumes



Traffic Signal Phasing includes East/West Split Phase

|  |  |  | AM P | k Ho |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | rough Vo | umes |  | posing L | efts | AM |
| Dir | VOL | x LUF | = Total | VOL | $\times$ LUF | = Total | CLV |
| EB | 31 | 1.00 | 31 |  |  |  | 31 |
| WB | 106 | 0.60 | 64 |  |  |  | 64 |
| NB | 2123 | 0.55 | 1168 |  | 0.60 | 53 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1221 |
| SB | 1647 | 0.55 | 906 | 11 | 1.00 | 11 |  |
| CLV TOTAL= <br> Level of Service (LOS )= |  |  |  |  |  |  | 316 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | D |


| PM Peak Hour |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Through Volumes |  |  | + Opposing Lefts |  | PM |  |
| Dir | VOL | $\times$ LUF $=$ Total | VOL | $\times$ LUF $=$ Total | CLV |  |  |
| EB | 27 | 1.00 | 27 |  |  | 27 |  |
| WB | 57 | 0.60 | 34 |  |  | 34 |  |
| NB | 2132 | 0.55 | 1173 | 408 | 0.60 | 245 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 1418 |  |
| SB | 2254 | 0.55 | 1240 | 15 | 1.00 | 15 |  |
| CLV TOTALL |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1479 |

Critical Lane Volume Analysis
Lenhart Traffic Consulting, Inc.
Traffic Engineering \& Transportation Planning

US 301 \&
Village Drive
(Background Traffic)

## Intersection
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## Critical Lane Volume (CLV) Methodology for Prince Georges County

Main Line: US 301
Minor Street: Village Drive
Analyst: Lenhart Traffic Consulting
Study Period: Total Traffic

## Lane Use + Traffic Volumes



Traffic Signal Phasing includes East/West Split Phase


| PM Peak Hour |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dir | Through Volumes |  |  | + Opposing Lefts |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PM } \\ & \text { CLV } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | VOL | $\times$ LUF | = Total | VOL | $\times$ LUF | = Total |  |
| EB | 27 | 1.00 | 27 |  |  |  | 27 |
| WB | 57 | 0.60 | 34 |  |  |  | 34 |
| NB | 2309 | 0.55 | 1270 | 496 | 0.60 | 298 | 1641 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SB | 2957 | 0.55 | 1626 | 15 | 1.00 | 15 |  |
| CLV TOTAL= |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1702 |
| Level of Service (LOS )= |  |  |  |  |  |  | F |

Critical Lane Volume Analysis
Lenhart Traffic Consulting, Inc.
Traffic Engineering \& Transportation Planning

US 301 \&
Village Drive (Total Traffic)

## Intersection
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## Critical Lane Volume (CLV) Methodology for Prince Georges County

Main Line: US 301
Minor Street: Village Drive Analyst: Lenhart Traffic Consulting
Study Period: Total Traffic with CIP Improvements

## Lane Use + Traffic Volumes



Traffic Signal Phasing includes East/West Split Phase

| AM Peak Hour |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dir | Through Volumes |  |  | + Opposing Lefts |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AM } \\ & \text { CLV } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | VOL | x LUF | = Total | VOL | $\times$ LUF | = Total |  |
| EB | 31 | 1.00 | 31 |  |  |  | 31 |
| WB | 106 | 0.60 | 64 |  |  |  | 64 |
| N | 2826 | 0.37 | 1046 |  | 0.60 | 67 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1113 |
| SB | 1824 | 0.37 | 675 | 11 | 1.00 | 11 |  |
| CLV TOTAL= <br> Level of Service (LOS )= |  |  |  |  |  |  | 208 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | c |


| PM Peak Hour |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Through Volumes |  |  | + Opposing Lefts |  | PM |  |
| Dir | VOL | $\times$ LUF $=$ Total | VOL | $\times$ LUF $=$ Total | CLV |  |  |
| EB | 27 | 1.00 | 27 |  |  | 27 |  |
| WB | 57 | 0.60 | 34 |  |  | 34 |  |
| NB | 2309 | 0.37 | 854 | 496 | 0.60 | 298 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 1152 |  |
| SB | 2957 | 0.37 | 1094 | 15 | 1.00 | 15 |  |
| CLV TOTAL $=$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1213 |
| Level of Service (LOS $)=$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $C$ |

Critical Lane Volume Analysis
Lenhart Traffic Consulting, Inc.
Traffic Engineering \& Transportation Planning

US 301 \&
Village Drive
(Total Traffic with CIP Improvements)

## Intersection
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## Critical Lane Volume (CLV) Methodology for Prince Georges County

Main Line: US 301
Minor Street: MD 725 Analyst: Lenhart Traffic Consulting
Study Period: Existing Traffic

## Lane Use + Traffic Volumes



Traffic Signal Phasing includes East/West Split Phase

| AM Peak Hour |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dir | Through Volumes |  |  | + Opposing Lefts |  |  | AMCLV |
|  | VOL | $\times$ LUF | = Total | VOL | $\times$ LUF | = Total |  |
| EB | 305 | 0.60 | 183 |  |  |  | 183 |
| WB | 297 | 0.60 | 178 |  |  |  | 178 |
| NB | 1429 | 0.55 | 786 | 10 | 1.00 | 10 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 843 |
| SB | 1163 | 0.55 | 640 | 338 | 0.60 | 203 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | TOTA |  | 204 |
|  |  |  | Level | Servi | (LOS |  | C |


| PM Peak Hour |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Through Volumes |  |  | + Opposing Lefts |  | PM |  |
| Dir | VOL | $\times$ LUF $=$ Total | VOL | $\times$ LUF $=$ Total | CLV |  |  |
| EB | 488 | 0.60 | 293 |  |  | 293 |  |
| WB | 211 | 0.60 | 127 |  |  | 127 |  |
| NB | 1441 | 0.55 | 793 | 40 | 1.00 | 40 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 923 |  |
| SB | 1445 | 0.55 | 795 | 213 | 0.60 | 128 |  |
| CLV TOTALL |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1343 |

Critical Lane Volume Analysis

## Critical Lane Volume (CLV) Methodology for Prince Georges County

Main Line: US 301
Minor Street: MD 725
Study Period: Background Traffic
Analyst: Lenhart Traffic Consulting

## Lane Use + Traffic Volumes



Traffic Signal Phasing includes East/West Split Phase

|  |  |  | AM | Ho |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | hrough Vol | umes |  | posing L | efts | M |
| Dir | VOL | x LUF | = Total | VOL | $\times$ LUF | = Total | CLV |
| EB | 353 | 0.60 | 212 |  |  |  | 212 |
| WB | 317 | 0.60 | 190 |  |  |  | 190 |
| NB | 1776 | 0.55 | 977 |  | 1.00 | 11 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1054 |
| SB | 1522 | 0.55 | 837 | 361 | 0.60 | 217 |  |
| CLV TOTAL= <br> Level of Service (LOS )= |  |  |  |  |  |  | 456 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | E |


| PM Peak Hour |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Through Volumes |  |  | + Opposing Lefts |  | PM |  |
| Dir | VOL | $\times$ LUF $=$ Total | VOL | $\times$ LUF $=$ Total | CLV |  |  |
| EB | 567 | 0.60 | 340 |  |  | 340 |  |
| WB | 225 | 0.60 | 135 |  |  | 135 |  |
| NB | 1993 | 0.55 | 1096 | 43 | 1.00 | 43 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 1215 |  |
| SB | 1961 | 0.55 | 1079 | 227 | 0.60 | 136 |  |
| CLV TOTAL $=$ |  |  |  |  |  | 1690 |  |

Critical Lane Volume Analysis

## Critical Lane Volume (CLV) Methodology for Prince Georges County

Main Line: US 301
Minor Street: MD 725 Analyst: Lenhart Traffic Consulting
Study Period: Total Traffic

## Lane Use + Traffic Volumes



Traffic Signal Phasing includes East/West Split Phase

| AM Peak Hour |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dir | Through Volumes |  |  | + Opposing Lefts |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AM } \\ & \text { CLV } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | VOL | $\times$ LUF | = Total | VOL | $\times$ LUF | = Total |  |
| EB | 529 | 0.60 | 317 |  |  |  | 317 |
| WB | 317 | 0.60 | 190 |  |  |  | 190 |
| NB | 2303 | 0.55 | 1267 | 11 | 1.00 | 11 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1278 |
| SB | 1655 | 0.55 | 910 |  | 0.60 | 217 |  |
|  |  |  | CLV TOTAL= <br> Level of Service (LOS )= |  |  |  | 785 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | F |


| PM Peak Hour |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dir | Through Volumes |  |  | + Opposing Lefts |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PM } \\ & C L V \end{aligned}$ |
|  | VOL | $\times$ LUF | = Total | VOL | $\times$ LUF | = Total |  |
| EB | 611 | 0.60 | 367 |  |  |  | 367 |
| WB | 225 | 0.60 | 135 |  |  |  | 135 |
| NB | 2126 | 0.55 | 1169 |  | 1.00 | 43 | 1504 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SB | 2488 | 0.55 | 1368 | 227 | 0.60 | 136 |  |
| CLV TOTAL= <br> Level of Service (LOS )= |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2006 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | F |

Critical Lane Volume Analysis

MD 725
(Total Traffic)

## Critical Lane Volume (CLV) Methodology for Prince Georges County

Main Line: US 301
Minor Street: MD 725
Study Period: Total Traffic with CIP Improvements

## Lane Use + Traffic Volumes



Traffic Signal Phasing includes East/West Split Phase


| PM Peak Hour |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dir | Through Volumes |  |  | + Opposing Lefts |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PM } \\ & C L V \end{aligned}$ |
|  | VOL | $\times$ LUF | = Total | VOL | $\times$ LUF | = Total |  |
| EB | 611 | 0.45 | 275 |  |  |  | 275 |
| WB | 100 | 1.00 | 100 |  |  |  | 100 |
| NB | 2126 | 0.37 | 787 |  | 1.00 | 43 | 1057 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SB | 2488 | 0.37 | 921 | 227 | 0.60 | 136 |  |
| CLV TOTAL= <br> Level of Service (LOS )= |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1432 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | D |

Critical Lane Volume Analysis

## CRITICAL LANE VOLUME (CLV) METHODOLOGY <br> for Prince Georges County

Main Line: US 301
Minor Street: Chevy Drive
Study Period: EXISTING TRAFFIC

Lane Use + Traffic Volumes


US 301
Critical Lane Volume Analysis

| Morning Peak Hour |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Thru Volumes |  |  | + Opposing Lefts |  |  | AM |
|  | DOL | $\times$ LUF | $=$ Total | VOL | $\times$ LUF $=$ Total | CLV |  |
| NB | 1820 | 0.55 | 1001 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  |
| SB | 1559 | 0.37 | 577 | 76 | 1 | 76 |  |
| EB | 40 | 0.6 | 24 | 2 | 1 | 2 |  |
| WB | 23 | 1 | 23 | 35 | 0.6 | 21 |  |



Critical Lane Volume Analysis

US 301 \&
Chevy Drive (EXISTING TRAFFIC)

## Intersection

10

## CRITICAL LANE VOLUME (CLV) METHODOLOGY <br> for Prince Georges County

Main Line: US 301
Minor Street: Chevy Drive
Study Period: BACKGROUND TRAFFIC

## Lane Use + Traffic Volumes



US 301
Critical Lane Volume Analysis



Critical Lane Volume Analysis

## Intersection

10

## CRITICAL LANE VOLUME (CLV) METHODOLOGY <br> for Prince Georges County

Main Line: US 301
Minor Street: Chevy Drive
Study Period: TOTAL TRAFFIC

Lane Use + Traffic Volumes


US 301
Critical Lane Volume Analysis


| Evening Peak Hour |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Thru Volumes |  |  | + Opposing Lefts |  |  | PM |
| Dir | VOL | $\times$ LUF $=$ Total | VOL | $\times$ LUF $=$ Total | CLV |  |  |
| NB | 2437 | 0.55 | 1340 | 12 | 1 | 12 |  |
| SB | 3257 | 0.37 | 1205 | 107 | 1 | 107 |  |
| EB | 94 | 0.6 | 56 | 4 | 1 | 4 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WB | 34 | 1 | 34 | 85 | 0.6 | 51 |  |
| CLV TOTAL= $=$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1437 |

Critical Lane Volume Analysis

US 301 \&
Chevy Drive
( TOTAL TRAFFIC)

## Intersection

10

## CRITICAL LANE VOLUME (CLV) METHODOLOGY <br> for Prince Georges County

Main Line: US 301
Minor Street: Chevy Drive Analyst: Lenhart Traffic Consulting
Study Period: TOTAL TRAFFIC WITH CIP IMPROVEMENTS

Lane Use + Traffic Volumes


US 301
Critical Lane Volume Analysis

| Morning Peak Hour |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dir | Thru Volumes |  |  | + Opposing Lefts |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AM } \\ & \text { CLV } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | VOL $\times$ | $\times$ LUF | = Total | VOL | $\times$ LUF | = Total |  |
| NB | 2720 | 0.37 | 1006 | 0 | 0.6 | 0 | 1006 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SB | 2076 | 0.37 | 768 | 76 | 1 | 76 |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline E B \\ & W B \end{aligned}$ | 40 | 0.6 | 24 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 44 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 23 | 1 | 23 | 35 | 0.6 | 21 |  |
| CLV TOTAL= |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1050 |
|  |  |  | Level of | Servic | (LOS |  | B |


| Evening Peak Hour |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dir | Thru Volumes |  |  | + Opposing Lefts |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PM } \\ & \text { CLV } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | VOL | $\times$ LUF | = Total | VOL | $\times$ LUF | = Total |  |
| N | 2437 | 0.37 | 902 |  | 0.6 | 7 | 1312 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SB | 3257 | 0.37 | 1205 |  | 1 | 107 |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{EB} \\ & \mathrm{WB} \end{aligned}$ | 94 | 0.6 | 56 |  | 1 | 4 | 85 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 34 | 1 | 34 |  | 0.6 | 51 |  |
| CLV TOTAL=Level of Service (LOS )= |  |  |  |  |  |  | 397 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | D |

Critical Lane Volume Analysis

## US 301 \& <br> Chevy Drive

## Intersection

10

## CRITICAL LANE VOLUME (CLV) METHODOLOGY for Prince Georges County

Main Line: Prince George's Blvd.
Minor Street: Trade Zone Ave.
Analyst: Lenhart Traffic Consulting

## Lane Use + Traffic Volumes



Prince George's Blvd.

Critical Lane Volume Analysis


| Evening Peak Hour |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dir | Thru Volumes |  |  | + Opposing Lefts |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PM } \\ & \text { CLV } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | VOL | $\times$ LUF | = Total | VOL | $\times$ LUF | = Total |  |
| NB | 102 | 0.55 | 56 | 110 | 1 | 110 | 166 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SB | 12 | 0.55 | 7 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  |
| EB | 365 | 0.55 | 201 | 40 | 1 | 40 | 241 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WB | 198 | 0.55 | 109 | 2 | 1 | 2 |  |
|  |  | CLV TOTAL $=$ |  |  |  |  | 407 |
|  |  |  | Level of | Servic | (LOS | )= | A |

Critical Lane Volume Analysis

LENHART TRAFFIC CONSULTING, INC.
645 BALTIMORE ANNAPOLIS BLVD, SUITE 214
SEVERNA PARK, MD 21146
www.lenharttraffic.com

Prince George's Blvd. \&
Trade Zone Ave.
(EXISTING TRAFFIC)

## Intersection

11

## CRITICAL LANE VOLUME (CLV) METHODOLOGY for Prince Georges County

Main Line: Prince George's Blvd.
Minor Street: Trade Zone Ave.
Analyst: Lenhart Traffic Consulting

## Lane Use + Traffic Volumes



Prince George's Blvd.

Critical Lane Volume Analysis

| Morning Peak Hour |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dir | Thru Volumes |  |  | + Opposing Lefts |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AM } \\ & \text { CLV } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | VOL | $\times$ LUF | = Total | VOL | $\times$ LUF | = Total |  |
| NB | 138 | 0.55 | 76 |  | 1 | 35 | 111 |
| SB | 4 | 0.55 | 2 |  | 1 | 1 |  |
| EB | 168 | 0.55 | 92 | 187 | 1 | 187 | 279 |
|  | 421 | 0.55 | 232 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
| CLV TOTAL= <br> Level of Service (LOS )= |  |  |  |  |  |  | 390 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | A |


| Evening Peak Hour |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dir | Thru Volumes |  |  | + Opposing Lefts |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PM } \\ & \text { CLV } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | VOL | $\times$ LUF | = Total | VOL | $\times$ LUF | = Total |  |
| NB | 337 | 0.55 | 185 | 110 | 1 | 110 | 295 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SB | 12 | 0.55 | 7 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  |
| EB | 365 | 0.55 | 201 | 84 | 1 | 84 | 285 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WB | 198 | 0.55 | 109 | 2 | 1 | 2 |  |
|  |  | CLV TOTAL $=$ |  |  |  |  | 580 |
|  |  |  | Level of | Servic | (LOS | )= | A |

Critical Lane Volume Analysis

LENHART TRAFFIC CONSULTING, INC.
645 BALTIMORE ANNAPOLIS BLVD, SUITE 214
SEVERNA PARK, MD 21146
www.lenharttraffic.com

Prince George's Blvd. \&
Trade Zone Ave. (BACKGROUND TRAFFIC)

## Intersection

11

## CRITICAL LANE VOLUME (CLV) METHODOLOGY for Prince Georges County

Main Line: Prince George's Blvd.
Minor Street: Trade Zone Ave.
Analyst: Lenhart Traffic Consulting

## Lane Use + Traffic Volumes



Prince George's Blvd.

Critical Lane Volume Analysis

| Morning Peak Hour |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Thru Volumes |  |  | + Opposing Lefts |  |  | AM |
| Dir | VOL | $\times$ LUF | $=$ Total | VOL | $\times$ LUF $=$ Total | CLV |  |
| NB | 128 | 0.55 | 70 | 35 | 1 | 35 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 105 |
| SB | 57 | 0.55 | 31 | 5 | 1 | 5 |  |
| EB | 186 | 0.55 | 102 | 130 | 1 | 130 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 232 |  |
| WB | 333 | 0.55 | 183 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
| CLV TOTAL |  |  |  |  |  | 337 |  |


| Evening Peak Hour |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Thru Volumes |  |  | + Opposing Lefts |  |  | PM |
| Dir | VOL | $\times$ LUF $=$ Total | VOL | $\times$ LUF $=$ Total | CLV |  |  |
| NB | 333 | 0.55 | 183 | 110 | 1 | 110 |  |
| SB | 25 | 0.55 | 14 | 18 | 1 | 18 |  |
| EB | 369 | 0.55 | 203 | 63 | 1 | 63 |  |
| WB | 160 | 0.55 | 88 | 2 | 1 | 2 |  |
| CLV TOTAL= $=$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 559 |
| Level of Service (LOS ) $=$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | A |

Critical Lane Volume Analysis

LENHART TRAFFIC CONSULTING, INC.
645 BALTIMORE ANNAPOLIS BLVD, SUITE 214
SEVERNA PARK, MD 21146
www.lenharttraffic.com

Prince George's Blvd. \&
Trade Zone Ave. ( TOTAL TRAFFIC)

## Intersection

11

## CRITICAL LANE VOLUME (CLV) METHODOLOGY for Prince Georges County

Intersection of: Prince George's Blvd.
and: Commerce Drive
Conditions: Existing Traffic
Analyst: Lenhart Traffic Consulting

## Lane Use + Traffic Volumes



COMMERCE DRIVE


Capacity Analysis

| Morning Peak Hour |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dir | Thru Volumes |  |  | + Opposing Lefts |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AM } \\ & \text { CLV } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | VOL | x LUF | = Total | VOL | x LUF | = Total |  |
| EB | 12 | 1.00 | 12 |  |  |  | 12 |
| NB | 69 | 0.55 | 38 |  |  |  |  |
| SB | 51 | 0.55 | 28 | 16 | 1.00 | 16 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | V TO |  | 56 |
|  |  |  |  | of S | ice (L |  | A |


| Evening Peak Hour |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dir | Thru Volumes |  |  | + Opposing Lefts |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PM } \\ & \text { CLV } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | VOL | x LUF | = Total | VOL | x LUF | $=$ Total |  |
| EB | 102 | 1.00 | 102 |  |  |  | 102 |
| NB | 44 | 0.55 | 24 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 103 |  | 57 | 21 | 1.00 | 21 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | LV TO | AL= | 180 |
|  |  |  |  | ef | vice (LOS |  | A |

Critical Lane Volume Analysis
LENHART TRAFFIC CONSULTING, INC.
645 BALTIMORE ANNAPOLIS BLVD, SUITE 214
SEVERNA PARK, MD 21146
www.lenharttraffic.com

Prince George's Blvd. \& Commerce Drive (Existing Traffic)

Intersection
12

## CRITICAL LANE VOLUME (CLV) METHODOLOGY for Prince Georges County

Intersection of: Prince George's Blvd.
and: Commerce Drive
Conditions: Background Traffic
Analyst: Lenhart Traffic Consulting

## Lane Use + Traffic Volumes



COMMERCE DRIVE

| PM | AM |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 33 | 12 | L | L $-\ldots$ |
| 123 | 15 | R | R |



PRINCE GEORGE'S BLVD.


Critical Lane Volume Analysis
LENHART TRAFFIC CONSULTING, INC.
645 BALTIMORE ANNAPOLIS BLVD, SUITE 214
SEVERNA PARK, MD 21146
www.lenharttraffic.com

Prince George's Blvd. \& Commerce Drive (Background Traffic)

Intersection
12

## CRITICAL LANE VOLUME (CLV) METHODOLOGY for Prince Georges County

Intersection of: Prince George's Blvd.
and: Commerce Drive
Conditions: Total Traffic
Analyst: Lenhart Traffic Consulting

## Lane Use + Traffic Volumes



COMMERCE DRIVE


Capacity Analysis

| Morning Peak Hour |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dir | Thru Volumes |  |  | + Opposing Lefts |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AM } \\ & \text { CLV } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | VOL | x LUF | = Total | VOL | x LUF | = Total |  |
| Eb | 12 | 1.00 | 12 |  |  |  | 12 |
| NB | 195 | 0.55 | 107 |  |  |  |  |
| SB | 243 | 0.55 | 134 | 108 | 1.00 | 108 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | V TO | AL= | 254 |
|  |  |  |  | el of | ice (LO | S $)=$ | A |


| Evening Peak Hour |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dir | Thru Volumes |  |  | + Opposing Lefts |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PM } \\ & \text { CLV } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | VOL | x LUF | = Total | VOL | x LUF | $=$ Total |  |
| EB | 50 | 1.00 | 50 |  |  |  | 50 |
| NB | 314 | 0.55 | 173 |  |  |  |  |
| SB | 164 |  | 90 | 77 | 1.00 | 77 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | LV TOT | TAL= | 223 |
|  |  |  |  | el of S | vice (LOS |  | A |

Critical Lane Volume Analysis
LENHART TRAFFIC CONSULTING, INC.
645 BALTIMORE ANNAPOLIS BLVD, SUITE 214
SEVERNA PARK, MD 21146
www.lenharttraffic.com

Prince George's Blvd. \& Commerce Drive
(Total Traffic)

Intersection
12

## CRITICAL LANE VOLUME (CLV) METHODOLOGY <br> for Prince Georges County

Intersection of: Prince George's Blvd.
and: Queens Court
Conditions: Existing Traffic Analyst: Lenhart Traffic Consulting
Lane Use + Traffic Volumes


Capacity Analysis

| Morning Peak Hour |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dir | Thru Volumes |  |  | + Opposing Lefts |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AM } \\ & \text { CLV } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | VOL | x LUF | = Total | VOL | x LUF | = Total |  |
| WB | 37 | 1.00 | 37 |  |  |  | 37 |
| NB | 9 | 0.55 | 5 | 43 | 1.00 | 43 |  |
| SB | 7 | 0.55 | 4 |  |  |  |  |
| CLV TOTAL=Level of Service (LOS ) $=$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 85 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | A |



| Critical Lane Volume Analysis |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| LENHART TRAFFIC CONSULTING, INC. <br> 645 baltimore annapolis blvd, SuIte 214 SEVERNA PARK, MD 21146 www.lenharttraffic.com | N |

Prince George's Blvd. \& Queens Court
(Existing Traffic)

Intersection
13

## CRITICAL LANE VOLUME (CLV) METHODOLOGY <br> for Prince Georges County

Intersection of: Prince George's Blvd.
and: Queens Court
Conditions: Existing Traffic Analyst: Lenhart Traffic Consulting
Lane Use + Traffic Volumes


Capacity Analysis



| Critical Lane Volume Analysis |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| LENHART TRAFFIC CONSULTING, INC. <br> 645 BALTIMORE ANNAPOLIS BLVD, SUITE 214 SEVERNA PARK, MD 21146 www.lenharttraffic.com | N |

Prince George's Blvd. \& Queens Court
(Existing Traffic)

Intersection
13

## CRITICAL LANE VOLUME (CLV) METHODOLOGY for Prince Georges County

Main Line: Prince George's Blvd.
Minor Street: Queens Court
Study Period: TOTAL TRAFFIC

Lane Use + Traffic Volumes


Critical Lane Volume Analysis



Critical Lane Volume Analysis

Prince George's Blvd. \& Queens Court ( TOTAL TRAFFIC)

| HCS7 Two-Way Stop-Control Report |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| General Information |  | Site Information |  |
| Analyst | Lenhart Traffic Cons. | Intersection | 11 |
| Agency/Co. |  | Jurisdiction | Prince George's County |
| Date Performed | 1/13/2022 | East/West Street | Trade Zone Avenue |
| Analysis Year | 2022 | North/South Street | Prince George's Boulevard |
| Time Analyzed | Existing AM | Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 |
| Intersection Orientation | East-West | Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 0.25 |
| Project Description | National Capital Business Park |  |  |
| Lanes |  |  |  |



## Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R |
| Priority | 1U | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 U | 4 | 5 | 6 |  | 7 | 8 | 9 |  | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| Number of Lanes | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 |  | 1 | 2 | 0 |  | 1 | 2 | 0 |
| Configuration |  | LT |  | TR |  | L | T | TR |  | L | T | TR |  | L | T | TR |
| Volume (veh/h) |  | 1 | 164 | 2 | 0 | 66 | 292 | 129 |  | 1 | 1 | 62 |  | 35 | 2 | 2 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles (\%) |  | 3 |  |  | 3 | 3 |  |  |  | 3 | 3 | 3 |  | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Proportion Time Blocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Grade (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| Right Turn Channelized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median Type \| Storage | Left + Thru |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Critical and Follow-up Headways

| Base Critical Headway (sec) | 4.1 |  |  |  | 4.1 |  |  |  | 7.5 | 6.5 | 6.9 |  | 7.5 | 6.5 | 6.9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Critical Headway (sec) | 4.16 |  |  |  | 4.16 |  |  |  | 7.56 | 6.56 | 6.96 |  | 7.56 | 6.56 | 6.96 |
| Base Follow-Up Headway (sec) | 2.2 |  |  |  | 2.2 |  |  |  | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 |  | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 |
| Follow-Up Headway (sec) | 2.23 |  |  |  | 2.23 |  |  |  | 3.53 | 4.03 | 3.33 |  | 3.53 | 4.03 | 3.33 |

## Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service



| HCS7 Two-Way Stop-Control Report |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| General Information |  | Site Information |  |
| Analyst | Lenhart Traffic Cons. | Intersection | 11 |
| Agency/Co. |  | Jurisdiction | Prince George's County |
| Date Performed | 1/13/2022 | East/West Street | Trade Zone Avenue |
| Analysis Year | 2022 | North/South Street | Prince George's Boulevard |
| Time Analyzed | Existing PM | Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 |
| Intersection Orientation | East-West | Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 0.25 |
| Project Description | National Capital Business Park |  |  |
| Lanes |  |  |  |



## Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R |
| Priority | 1U | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 U | 4 | 5 | 6 |  | 7 | 8 | 9 |  | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| Number of Lanes | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 |  | 1 | 2 | 0 |  | 1 | 2 | 0 |
| Configuration |  | LT |  | TR |  | L | T | TR |  | L | T | TR |  | L | T | TR |
| Volume (veh/h) |  | 2 | 360 | 3 | 0 | 40 | 154 | 44 |  | 0 | 0 | 102 |  | 110 | 6 | 6 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles (\%) |  | 3 |  |  | 3 | 3 |  |  |  | 3 | 3 | 3 |  | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Proportion Time Blocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Grade (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| Right Turn Channelized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median Type \| Storage | Left + Thru |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Critical and Follow-up Headways

| Base Critical Headway (sec) | 4.1 |  |  |  | 4.1 |  |  |  | 7.5 | 6.5 | 6.9 |  | 7.5 | 6.5 | 6.9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Critical Headway (sec) | 4.16 |  |  |  | 4.16 |  |  |  | 7.56 | 6.56 | 6.96 |  | 7.56 | 6.56 | 6.96 |
| Base Follow-Up Headway (sec) | 2.2 |  |  |  | 2.2 |  |  |  | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 |  | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 |
| Follow-Up Headway (sec) | 2.23 |  |  |  | 2.23 |  |  |  | 3.53 | 4.03 | 3.33 |  | 3.53 | 4.03 | 3.33 |

Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service



## Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

Critical and Follow-up Headways

Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service

Copyright © 2022 University of Florida. All Rights Reserved.


## Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

Critical and Follow-up Headways

Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service

Copyright © 2022 University of Florida. All Rights Reserved.



| HCS7 Two-Way Stop-Control Report |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| General Information |  | Site Information |  |
| Analyst | Lenhart Traffic Cons. | Intersection | 12 |
| Agency/Co. |  | Jurisdiction | Prince George's County |
| Date Performed | 1/13/2022 | East/West Street | Commerce Drive |
| Analysis Year | 2022 | North/South Street | Prince George's Blvd. |
| Time Analyzed | Existing AM | Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 |
| Intersection Orientation | North-South | Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 0.25 |
| Project Description | National Capital Business Park |  |  |
| Lanes |  |  |  |



## Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R |
| Priority |  | 10 | 11 | 12 |  | 7 | 8 | 9 | 1 U | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 U | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| Number of Lanes |  | 1 | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| Configuration |  | L |  | R |  |  |  |  |  | L | T |  |  |  | T | TR |
| Volume (veh/h) |  | 12 |  | 15 |  |  |  |  | 0 | 16 | 69 |  |  |  | 35 | 16 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles (\%) |  | 3 |  | 3 |  |  |  |  | 3 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Proportion Time Blocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Grade (\%) | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right Turn Channelized | No |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median Type \| Storage | Left + Thru |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Critical and Follow-up Headways

| Base Critical Headway (sec) | 7.5 | 6.9 |  |  |  |  |  | 4.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Critical Headway (sec) | 6.86 | 6.96 |  |  |  |  |  | 4.16 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Follow-Up Headway (sec) | 3.5 | 3.3 |  |  |  |  |  | 2.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Follow-Up Headway (sec) | 3.53 | 3.33 |  |  |  |  |  | 2.23 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service



| HCS7 Two-Way Stop-Control Report |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| General Information |  | Site Information |  |
| Analyst | Lenhart Traffic Cons. | Intersection | 12 |
| Agency/Co. |  | Jurisdiction | Prince George's County |
| Date Performed | 1/13/2022 | East/West Street | Commerce Drive |
| Analysis Year | 2022 | North/South Street | Prince George's Blvd. |
| Time Analyzed | Existing PM | Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 |
| Intersection Orientation | North-South | Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 0.25 |
| Project Description | National Capital Business Park |  |  |
| Lanes |  |  |  |



## Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R |
| Priority |  | 10 | 11 | 12 |  | 7 | 8 | 9 | 1 U | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 U | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| Number of Lanes |  | 1 | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| Configuration |  | L |  | R |  |  |  |  |  | L | T |  |  |  | T | TR |
| Volume (veh/h) |  | 33 |  | 123 |  |  |  |  | 0 | 21 | 44 |  |  |  | 79 | 24 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles (\%) |  | 3 |  | 3 |  |  |  |  | 3 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Proportion Time Blocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Grade (\%) | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right Turn Channelized | No |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median Type \| Storage | Left + Thru |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Critical and Follow-up Headways

| Base Critical Headway (sec) | 7.5 | 6.9 |  |  |  |  |  | 4.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Critical Headway (sec) | 6.86 | 6.96 |  |  |  |  |  | 4.16 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Follow-Up Headway (sec) | 3.5 | 3.3 |  |  |  |  |  | 2.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Follow-Up Headway (sec) | 3.53 | 3.33 |  |  |  |  |  | 2.23 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service



| HCS7 Two-Way Stop-Control Report |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| General Information |  | Site Information |  |
| Analyst | Lenhart Traffic Cons. | Intersection | 12 |
| Agency/Co. |  | Jurisdiction | Prince George's County |
| Date Performed | 1/13/2022 | East/West Street | Commerce Drive |
| Analysis Year | 2028 | North/South Street | Prince George's Blvd. |
| Time Analyzed | Background AM | Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 |
| Intersection Orientation | North-South | Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 0.25 |
| Project Description | National Capital Business Park |  |  |
| Lanes |  |  |  |



## Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R |
| Priority |  | 10 | 11 | 12 |  | 7 | 8 | 9 | 1 U | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 U | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| Number of Lanes |  | 1 | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| Configuration |  | L |  | R |  |  |  |  |  | L | T |  |  |  | T | TR |
| Volume (veh/h) |  | 12 |  | 15 |  |  |  |  | 0 | 16 | 144 |  |  |  | 156 | 16 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles (\%) |  | 3 |  | 3 |  |  |  |  | 3 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Proportion Time Blocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Grade (\%) | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right Turn Channelized | No |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median Type \| Storage | Left + Thru |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Critical and Follow-up Headways

| Base Critical Headway (sec) | 7.5 | 6.9 |  |  |  |  |  | 4.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Critical Headway (sec) | 6.86 | 6.96 |  |  |  |  |  | 4.16 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Follow-Up Headway (sec) | 3.5 | 3.3 |  |  |  |  |  | 2.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Follow-Up Headway (sec) | 3.53 | 3.33 |  |  |  |  |  | 2.23 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service
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| HCS7 Two-Way Stop-Control Report |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| General Information |  | Site Information |  |
| Analyst | Lenhart Traffic Cons. | Intersection | 12 |
| Agency/Co. |  | Jurisdiction | Prince George's County |
| Date Performed | 1/13/2022 | East/West Street | Commerce Drive |
| Analysis Year | 2028 | North/South Street | Prince George's Blvd. |
| Time Analyzed | Background PM | Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 |
| Intersection Orientation | North-South | Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 0.25 |
| Project Description | National Capital Business Park |  |  |
| Lanes |  |  |  |



## Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R |
| Priority |  | 10 | 11 | 12 |  | 7 | 8 | 9 | 1 U | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 U | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| Number of Lanes |  | 1 | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| Configuration |  | L |  | R |  |  |  |  |  | L | T |  |  |  | T | TR |
| Volume (veh/h) |  | 33 |  | 123 |  |  |  |  | 0 | 21 | 279 |  |  |  | 123 | 24 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles (\%) |  | 3 |  | 3 |  |  |  |  | 3 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Proportion Time Blocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Grade (\%) | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right Turn Channelized | No |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median Type \| Storage | Left + Thru |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Critical and Follow-up Headways

| Base Critical Headway (sec) | 7.5 | 6.9 |  |  |  |  |  | 4.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Critical Headway (sec) | 6.86 | 6.96 |  |  |  |  |  | 4.16 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Follow-Up Headway (sec) | 3.5 | 3.3 |  |  |  |  |  | 2.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Follow-Up Headway (sec) | 3.53 | 3.33 |  |  |  |  |  | 2.23 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service



| HCS7 Two-Way Stop-Control Report |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| General Information |  | Site Information |  |
| Analyst | Lenhart Traffic Cons. | Intersection | 12 |
| Agency/Co. |  | Jurisdiction | Prince George's County |
| Date Performed | 1/13/2022 | East/West Street | Commerce Drive |
| Analysis Year | 2028 | North/South Street | Prince George's Blvd. |
| Time Analyzed | Total AM | Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 |
| Intersection Orientation | North-South | Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 0.25 |
| Project Description | National Capital Business Park |  |  |
| Lanes |  |  |  |



## Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R |
| Priority |  | 10 | 11 | 12 |  | 7 | 8 | 9 | 1 U | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 U | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| Number of Lanes |  | 1 | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| Configuration |  | L |  | R |  |  |  |  |  | L | T |  |  |  | T | TR |
| Volume (veh/h) |  | 12 |  | 33 |  |  |  |  | 0 | 108 | 195 |  |  |  | 227 | 16 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles (\%) |  | 3 |  | 3 |  |  |  |  | 3 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Proportion Time Blocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Grade (\%) | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right Turn Channelized | No |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median Type \| Storage | Left + Thru |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Critical and Follow-up Headways

| Base Critical Headway (sec) | 7.5 | 6.9 |  |  |  |  |  | 4.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Critical Headway (sec) | 6.86 | 6.96 |  |  |  |  |  | 4.16 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Follow-Up Headway (sec) | 3.5 | 3.3 |  |  |  |  |  | 2.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Follow-Up Headway (sec) | 3.53 | 3.33 |  |  |  |  |  | 2.23 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service



| HCS7 Two-Way Stop-Control Report |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| General Information |  | Site Information |  |
| Analyst | Lenhart Traffic Cons. | Intersection | 12 |
| Agency/Co. |  | Jurisdiction | Prince George's County |
| Date Performed | 1/13/2022 | East/West Street | Commerce Drive |
| Analysis Year | 2028 | North/South Street | Prince George's Blvd. |
| Time Analyzed | Total PM | Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 |
| Intersection Orientation | North-South | Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 0.25 |
| Project Description | National Capital Business Park |  |  |
| Lanes |  |  |  |



## Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R |
| Priority |  | 10 | 11 | 12 |  | 7 | 8 | 9 | 1 U | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 U | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| Number of Lanes |  | 1 | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| Configuration |  | L |  | R |  |  |  |  |  | L | T |  |  |  | T | TR |
| Volume (veh/h) |  | 33 |  | 127 |  |  |  |  | 0 | 77 | 314 |  |  |  | 140 | 24 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles (\%) |  | 3 |  | 3 |  |  |  |  | 3 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Proportion Time Blocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Grade (\%) | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right Turn Channelized | No |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median Type \| Storage | Left + Thru |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Critical and Follow-up Headways

| Base Critical Headway (sec) | 7.5 | 6.9 |  |  |  |  |  | 4.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Critical Headway (sec) | 6.86 | 6.96 |  |  |  |  |  | 4.16 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Follow-Up Headway (sec) | 3.5 | 3.3 |  |  |  |  |  | 2.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Follow-Up Headway (sec) | 3.53 | 3.33 |  |  |  |  |  | 2.23 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service


| HCS7 Two-Way Stop-Control Report |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| General Information |  | Site Information |  |
| Analyst | Lenhart Traffic Cons. | Intersection | 13 |
| Agency/Co. |  | Jurisdiction | Prince George's County |
| Date Performed | 1/13/2022 | East/West Street | Queens Court |
| Analysis Year | 2022 | North/South Street | Prince George's Blvd. |
| Time Analyzed | Existing AM | Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 |
| Intersection Orientation | North-South | Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 0.25 |
| Project Description | National Capital Business Park |  |  |
| Lanes |  |  |  |



## Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R |
| Priority |  | 10 | 11 | 12 |  | 7 | 8 | 9 | 1U | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 U | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| Number of Lanes |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 |
| Configuration |  |  |  |  |  | L |  | R |  |  | T | TR |  | L | T |  |
| Volume (veh/h) |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  | 80 |  |  | 8 | 1 | 0 | 43 | 7 |  |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles (\%) |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |  | 3 |  |  |  |  | 3 | 3 |  |  |
| Proportion Time Blocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Grade (\%) |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right Turn Channelized |  |  |  |  | No |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median Type \| Storage | Left + Thru |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Critical and Follow-up Headways

| Base Critical Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  | 7.5 |  | 6.9 |  |  |  |  |  | 4.1 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Critical Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  | 6.86 |  | 6.96 |  |  |  |  |  | 4.16 |  |  |
| Base Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  | 3.5 |  | 3.3 |  |  |  |  |  | 2.2 |  |  |
| Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  | 3.53 |  | 3.33 |  |  |  |  |  | 2.23 |  |  |

## Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service



| HCS7 Two-Way Stop-Control Report |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| General Information |  | Site Information |  |
| Analyst | Lenhart Traffic Cons. | Intersection | 13 |
| Agency/Co. |  | Jurisdiction | Prince George's County |
| Date Performed | 1/13/2022 | East/West Street | Queens Court |
| Analysis Year | 2022 | North/South Street | Prince George's Blvd. |
| Time Analyzed | Existing PM | Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 |
| Intersection Orientation | North-South | Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 0.25 |
| Project Description | National Capital Business Park |  |  |
| Lanes |  |  |  |



## Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R |
| Priority |  | 10 | 11 | 12 |  | 7 | 8 | 9 | 1U | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 U | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| Number of Lanes |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 |
| Configuration |  |  |  |  |  | L |  | R |  |  | T | TR |  | L | T |  |
| Volume (veh/h) |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  | 63 |  |  | 1 | 2 | 0 | 202 | 2 |  |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles (\%) |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |  | 3 |  |  |  |  | 3 | 3 |  |  |
| Proportion Time Blocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Grade (\%) |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right Turn Channelized |  |  |  |  | No |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median Type \| Storage | Left + Thru |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Critical and Follow-up Headways

| Base Critical Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  | 7.5 |  | 6.9 |  |  |  |  |  | 4.1 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Critical Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  | 6.86 |  | 6.96 |  |  |  |  |  | 4.16 |  |  |
| Base Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  | 3.5 |  | 3.3 |  |  |  |  |  | 2.2 |  |  |
| Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  | 3.53 |  | 3.33 |  |  |  |  |  | 2.23 |  |  |

## Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service



| HCS7 Two-Way Stop-Control Report |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| General Information |  | Site Information |  |
| Analyst | Lenhart Traffic Cons. | Intersection | 13 |
| Agency/Co. |  | Jurisdiction | Prince George's County |
| Date Performed | 1/13/2022 | East/West Street | Queens Court |
| Analysis Year | 2028 | North/South Street | Prince George's Blvd. |
| Time Analyzed | Background AM | Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 |
| Intersection Orientation | North-South | Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 0.25 |
| Project Description | National Capital Business Park |  |  |
| Lanes |  |  |  |



## Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R |
| Priority |  | 10 | 11 | 12 |  | 7 | 8 | 9 | 1U | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 U | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| Number of Lanes |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 |
| Configuration |  |  |  |  |  | L |  | R |  |  | T | TR |  | L | T |  |
| Volume (veh/h) |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  | 146 |  |  | 8 | 1 | 0 | 132 | 7 |  |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles (\%) |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |  | 3 |  |  |  |  | 3 | 3 |  |  |
| Proportion Time Blocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Grade (\%) |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right Turn Channelized |  |  |  |  | No |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median Type \| Storage | Left + Thru |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Critical and Follow-up Headways

| Base Critical Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  | 7.5 |  | 6.9 |  |  |  |  |  | 4.1 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Critical Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  | 6.86 |  | 6.96 |  |  |  |  |  | 4.16 |  |  |
| Base Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  | 3.5 |  | 3.3 |  |  |  |  |  | 2.2 |  |  |
| Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  | 3.53 |  | 3.33 |  |  |  |  |  | 2.23 |  |  |

Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service


| HCS7 Two-Way Stop-Control Report |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| General Information |  | Site Information |  |
| Analyst | Lenhart Traffic Cons. | Intersection | 13 |
| Agency/Co. |  | Jurisdiction | Prince George's County |
| Date Performed | 1/13/2022 | East/West Street | Queens Court |
| Analysis Year | 2028 | North/South Street | Prince George's Blvd. |
| Time Analyzed | Background PM | Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 |
| Intersection Orientation | North-South | Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 0.25 |
| Project Description | National Capital Business Park |  |  |
| Lanes |  |  |  |



## Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R |
| Priority |  | 10 | 11 | 12 |  | 7 | 8 | 9 | 1U | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 U | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| Number of Lanes |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 |
| Configuration |  |  |  |  |  | L |  | R |  |  | T | TR |  | L | T |  |
| Volume (veh/h) |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  | 267 |  |  | 1 | 2 | 0 | 235 | 2 |  |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles (\%) |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |  | 3 |  |  |  |  | 3 | 3 |  |  |
| Proportion Time Blocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Grade (\%) |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right Turn Channelized |  |  |  |  | No |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median Type \| Storage | Left + Thru |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Critical and Follow-up Headways

| Base Critical Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  | 7.5 |  | 6.9 |  |  |  |  |  | 4.1 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Critical Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  | 6.86 |  | 6.96 |  |  |  |  |  | 4.16 |  |  |
| Base Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  | 3.5 |  | 3.3 |  |  |  |  |  | 2.2 |  |  |
| Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  | 3.53 |  | 3.33 |  |  |  |  |  | 2.23 |  |  |

## Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service



| HCS7 Two-Way Stop-Control Report |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| General Information |  | Site Information |  |
| Analyst | Lenhart Traffic Cons. | Intersection | 13 |
| Agency/Co. |  | Jurisdiction | Prince George's County |
| Date Performed | 1/13/2022 | East/West Street | Queens Court |
| Analysis Year | 2028 | North/South Street | Prince George's Blvd. |
| Time Analyzed | Total AM | Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 |
| Intersection Orientation | North-South | Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 0.25 |
| Project Description | National Capital Business Park |  |  |
| Lanes |  |  |  |



## Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R |
| Priority |  | 10 | 11 | 12 |  | 7 | 8 | 9 | 1U | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 U | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| Number of Lanes |  | 0 | 2 | 0 |  | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 |
| Configuration |  | LT |  | TR |  | LT |  | TR |  | LT |  | TR |  | L | T | TR |
| Volume (veh/h) |  | 21 | 419 | 0 |  | 2 | 1671 | 268 |  | 0 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 132 | 7 | 89 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles (\%) |  | 3 | 3 | 3 |  | 3 | 3 | 3 |  | 3 |  |  | 3 | 3 |  |  |
| Proportion Time Blocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Grade (\%) | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right Turn Channelized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median Type \| Storage | Left + Thru |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Critical and Follow-up Headways

| Base Critical Headway (sec) | 7.5 | 6.5 | 6.9 | 7.5 | 6.5 | 6.9 | 4.1 |  |  |  | 4.1 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Critical Headway (sec) | 7.56 | 6.56 | 6.96 | 7.56 | 6.56 | 6.96 | 4.16 |  |  |  | 4.16 |  |  |  |
| Base Follow-Up Headway (sec) | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 | 2.2 |  |  |  | 2.2 |  |  |  |
| Follow-Up Headway (sec) | 3.53 | 4.03 | 3.33 | 3.53 | 4.03 | 3.33 | 2.23 |  |  |  | 2.23 |  |  |  |

## Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service



| HCS7 Two-Way Stop-Control Report |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| General Information |  | Site Information |  |
| Analyst | Lenhart Traffic Cons. | Intersection | 13 |
| Agency/Co. |  | Jurisdiction | Prince George's County |
| Date Performed | 1/13/2022 | East/West Street | Queens Court |
| Analysis Year | 2028 | North/South Street | Prince George's Blvd. |
| Time Analyzed | Total AM | Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 |
| Intersection Orientation | North-South | Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 0.25 |
| Project Description | National Capital Business Park |  |  |
| Lanes |  |  |  |



## Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R |
| Priority |  | 10 | 11 | 12 |  | 7 | 8 | 9 | 1U | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 U | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| Number of Lanes |  | 0 | 2 | 0 |  | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 |
| Configuration |  | LT |  | TR |  | LT |  | TR |  | LT |  | TR |  | L | T | TR |
| Volume (veh/h) |  | 89 | 1671 | 0 |  | 0 | 419 | 269 |  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 235 | 2 | 21 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles (\%) |  | 3 | 3 | 3 |  | 3 | 3 | 3 |  | 3 |  |  | 3 | 3 |  |  |
| Proportion Time Blocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Grade (\%) | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right Turn Channelized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median Type \| Storage | Left + Thru |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Critical and Follow-up Headways


## Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service



## Appendix C

Background Developments


## Trip Generaton Rates

Single-Family Housing (Prince Georges County Rates)
Morning Trips $=0.75 \times$ Units
Evening Trips $=0.90 \times$ Units
Townhouse (Prince Georges County Rates)
Morning Trips $=0.70 \times$ Units
Evening Trips $=0.80 \times$ Units
Multi-Family (garden and mid-rise, Prince Georges County Rates)
Morning Trips $=0.52 \times$ Units
Evening Trips $=0.60 \times$ Units
Industrial (Light Service, Prince Georges County Rates)
Morning Trips $=0.86 \times \mathrm{ksf}$
Evening Trips $=0.86 \times \mathrm{ksf}$
Shopping Center (General Urban/Suburban, ksf, ITE-820)
Morning Trips $=0.5 \times \mathrm{ksf}+151.78$
$\operatorname{Ln}($ Evening Trips $)=0.74 \times \operatorname{Ln}(\mathrm{ksf})+2.89$

Trip Distribution (In/Out)

## 20/80

 65/35Trip Distribution (In/Out)
20/80
65/35
Trip Distribution (In/Out)
20/80
65/35
Trip Distribution (In/Out)
80/20
20/80
Trip Distribution (In/Out)
62/38
48/52

Trip Generation Totals

|  |  |  |  | AM Peak |  |  | PM Peak |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | In | Out | Total | In | Out | Total |
| 1 | Oak Creek Club | Built |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | Buck Property | Built |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | Beechtree | Built |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | Willowbrook (Amended Resolution 07-43(A)) | Single-Family Housing (Prince Georges County Rates) | 623 units | Subject Site Replacing Old Approval |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Townhouse (Prince Georges County Rates) | 227 units |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | Locust Hill | Approved Trip Cap (4-06075, Resolution 07-28) |  | 83 | 330 | 413 | 322 | 173 | 495 |
| 6 | Collington Center | Industrial (Light Service, Prince Georges County Rates) | 220,800 sq.ft. | 152 | 38 | 190 | 38 | 152 | 190 |
|  |  | Industrial (Light Service, Prince Georges County Rates) | 87,400 sq.ft. | 60 | 15 | 75 | 15 | 60 | 75 |
|  |  | Shopping Center (General Urban/Suburban, ksf, ITE-820) | 23,500 sq.ft. | 102 | 62 | 164 | 89 | 97 | 186 |
|  |  | Pass-by | -50\% | -51 | -31 | -82 | -45 | -49 | -93 |
|  |  | Net New Offsite Trips |  | 263 | 84 | 347 | 97 | 260 | 358 |
| 7 | BP Amoco | Built |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 | Marshalls Landing | Single-Family Detached (County Rates) | 40 units | 6 | 24 | 30 | 23 | 13 | 36 |
| 9 | Cadeaux DeMaMere | Single-Family Detached (County Rates) | 26 units | 4 | 16 | 20 | 15 | 8 | 23 |
| 0 Daniels Landing |  | Single-Family Detached (County Rates) | 11 units | 2 | 6 | 8 | 7 | 3 | 10 |
| $\begin{array}{l\|l} \hline 11 & \text { Karington } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | Net New Off-site Trips (4-04035, Resolution 04-247(C2)(A2)) |  | 669 | 644 | 1313 | 954 | 971 | 1925 |
| 12 | Beechtree Retail | Trip Cap per 4-09041 (Reconsideration Hearing) |  | 129 | 107 | 236 | 407 | 406 | 813 |
| 13 | Amazon Services | Implied Trip Cap from SDP 0007-03 |  | 65 | 19 | 84 | 23 | 63 | 86 |
| Townes at Peerless (From Resolution 18115) |  | Residential | 62 units | 9 | 35 | 44 | 32 | 17 | 49 |
|  |  | Commercial/Retail (ITE) | 3,000 sq. ft. | 17 | 15 | 32 | 16 | 17 | 33 |
|  |  | Less $65 \%$ pass--by |  | -11 | -10 | -21 | -10 | -11 | -21 |
|  |  | Total New Trips (Trip Cap from Resolution 18-115) |  | 15 | 40 | 55 | 38 | 23 | 61 |

NOTE: Trip Generation Rates obtained from the Prince George's County Guidelines and/or ITE Trip Generation Manual, 10th Edition

| LENHART TRAFFIC CONSULTING, INC. 645 baltimore annapolis bivd, suite 214 SEVERNA PARK, MD 21146 www.lenharttraffic.com | Trip Generation for Background Developments | C-2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |












December 29, 2021

MEMORANDUM<br>TO: Thomas Sievers, Senior Planner, Zoning Review Section<br>VIA: $\quad$ Sherri Conner, Planning Supervisor, Subdivision Section $S$<br>FROM: Mridula Gupta, Planner Coordinator, Subdivision Section MG<br>SUBJECT: A-9968-03; National Capital Business Park

The subject site is a 442.30-acre property known as Tax Parcel 30 and is further described as Parcels A and B by deed in the Prince George's County Land Records in Liber 35350 at folio 319. Parcel A is a larger tract of land, approximately 440.21 acres in area, and Parcel B is a 2.09 -acre tract of land separated from Parcel A by the right-of-way of a railway line. The subject property is located in multiple zones; 426.52 acres are located in the Residential Suburban Development (R-S) Zone, 15 acres in the Light Industrial (I-1) Zone, and 0.78 acre in the Residential-Agriculture (R-A) Zone. The purpose of the application is to amend the existing Basic Plan A-9968-02 to change the approved maximum 3.5 million square feet of employment/institutional uses to 5.5 million square feet of employment/institutional uses. This Basic Plan amendment and its prior approvals are applicable to the R-S zoned portion of the site.

This property is subject to Preliminary Plan of Subdivision (PPS) 4-20032, which was approved by the Prince George's County Planning Board on September 9, 2021 (PGCPB Resolution No. 2021112). PPS 4-20032 approved 36 parcels for the development of 3.5 million square feet of employment/institutional uses on the subject property. The proposal to increase the development capacity to 5 million square feet will require the approval of a new PPS for a new determination of adequacy.

This referral is provided for the purposes of determining conformance with any underlying subdivision approvals on the subject property and Subtitle 24. All bearings and distances must be clearly shown on the basic plan and must be consistent with the legal descriptions of the property. There are no other subdivision issues at this time.

# THE MARYLAND-NATIONAL CAPITAL PARK AND PLANNING COMMISSION <br> 14741 Governor Oden Bowie Drive Upper Marlboro, Maryland 20772 www.pgplanning.org 

301-952-3972

January 10, 2022

## MEMORANDUM

TO: Thomas Sievers, Senior Planner, Zoning Review Section, Development Review Division

VIA: David A. Green, MBA, Master Planner, Community Planning Division
FROM: Andrew McCray, Senior Planner, Long-range Planning Section, Community Planning Division AML

SUBJECT: A-9968-03 National Capital Business Park

## FINDINGS

The Community Planning Division finds that pursuant to Section 27-195(b)(1)(A)(iii), the proposed Basic Plan conforms to the approved CB-22-2020 and conform to (iii)the regulations applicable to land zoned R-S and developed with uses permitted in the E-I-A Zone as authorized pursuant of this Code.

## BACKGROUND

Application Type: Zoning Map Amendment of an approved Basic Plan
Location: Northside of Leeland Road and approximately 3,178+/- linear feet west of the intersection of Leeland Road with US 301

Size: 427 acres

## Existing Uses: Vacant

Proposal: Amend Approved Basic Plan (A-9968-02-C) to allow the maximum employment and institutional uses approved in A-9968-02-C from 3.5 million square feet to 5.5 million square feet (a net increase of a maximum of 2 million square feet).

## GENERAL PLAN, MASTER PLAN, AND SMA

General Plan: This application is in the Established Communities. The vision for the Established Communities is to create the most appropriate context-sensitive infill and low-to-medium density development (Pg. 20).

Master Plan: The 2006 Approved Master Plan for Bowie and Vicinity and Sectional Map Amendment for Planning Areas 71A, 71B, 74A, and 74B recommends Residential, Low land uses on the subject property. This area is intended for suburban neighborhoods with single-family houses on lots ranging from 6,500 square feet to one acre in size and retirement or planned residential development.

Planning Area: 74A
Community: Mitchellville \& Vicinity
Aviation/MIOZ: This application is not located within an Aviation Policy Area or the Military Installation Overlay Zone.

SMA/Zoning: The 2006 Approved Master Plan for Bowie and Vicinity and Sectional Map Amendment for Planning Areas 71A, 71B, 74A, and 74B reclassified the subject property into the R-S (Residential Suburban) zone.

On July 14, 2020, the District Council approved CB-22-2020 for the purpose of permitting certain employment and institutional uses permitted by right in the E-I-A (Employment and Institutional Area) Zone to be permitted in the R-S (Residential Suburban) Zone of Prince George's County, under certain specified circumstances, and providing procedures for the amendment of approved Basic Plans to guide the development of such uses.

## MASTER PLAN CONFORMANCE ISSUES

None
c: Long-range Agenda Notebook.
Scott Rowe, AICP-CNU A, Planning Supervisor, Long-range Planning Section, Community
Planning Division

December 28, 2021

## MEMORANDU

TO: Thomas Seivers, Subdivision and Zoning Section, Development Review Division
VIA: Howard Berger, Historic Preservation Section, Countywide Planning Division $\boldsymbol{H}$ SB
FROM: Jennifer Stabler, Historic Preservation Section, Countywide Planning Division JAS Tyler Smith, Historic Preservation Section, Countywide Planning Division TAS

## SUBJECT: A-9968-03 National Capital Business Park

The subject property comprises 427.30-acres, and is located on the Northside of Leeland Road approximately 3,178 feet west of the intersection of US 301 . The subject application proposes amending the current approved basic plan to reflect 5.5 million square-feet of warehouse, distribution, office, light industrial, and manufacturing space. The subject property is Zoned E-I-A and R-S.

A Phase I archeological survey was conducted on the subject property in 2005. As part of the review documentation submitted by the applicant concerning the archeological investigations, the Historic Preservation Section requested that more information regarding a partially collapsed barn be presented prior to acceptance of the final report. The applicant retained the services of Greenhorne \& O'Mara to investigate the structure. Background historic research was performed to identify the owner of the barn and to identify similar tobacco barns in the county. The barn was fully documented in color photographs and scaled line drawings, and a Maryland Inventory of Historic Properties (MIHP) form was completed. A final technical memorandum and the completed MIHP form were received by the Historic Preservation Section on April 2, 2007.

No further archeological work is recommended by the Historic Preservation Section on the subject property. With the submittal of the final technical report, the applicant has satisfied Condition 1 of the District Council Resolution for CDP-0505 dated April 9, 2007. The technical report has also addressed the request of the Historic Preservation Section to provide additional documentation on the Clarke Tobacco Barn as stated in a letter dated January 10, 2007.

There are no historic sites or resources or documented properties on the subject site. Historic Preservation staff recommend approval of A-9968-03 National Capital Business Park, with no conditions.

THE MARYLAND-NATIONAL CAPITAL


14741 Governor Oden Bowie Drive Upper Marlboro, Maryland 20772 TTY: (301) 952-4366 www.mncppc.org/pgco

Countywide Planning Division
Special Projects Section
January 14, 2022

MEMORANDUM
TO: Tom Sievers, Senior Planner, Subdivision and Zoning Section, Development Review Division

VIA: BR Bobby Ray, AICP, Planning Supervisor, Special Projects Section, Countywide Planning Division

FROM: IRT Ivy R. Thompson, AICP, Senior Planner, Special Projects Section, Countywide Planning Division

SUBJECT: A-9968-03 National Capital Business Park
Project Summary: Basic Plan Amendment to increase the proposed development to 5.5 million square feet gross floor area for warehouse, office, institutional, and industrial uses.

The Special Projects reviewed the petition to amend the Basic Plan for conformance with Section 27-195(b)(1)(A)(i), 27-195(b)(1)(D) and 27-195(b)(2) of the Prince George's County Code of Ordinances which requires a finding the amendment meet the following criteria:
(A)(i) The specific recommendation of a General Map plan, Area Master Plan map, or urban renewal plan map; or the principles and guidelines of the plan text which address the design and physical development of the property, the public facilities necessary to serve the proposed development, and the impact which the development may have on the environment and surrounding properties;
(D) Other existing or planned private and public facilities which are existing, under construction, or for which construction funds are contained in the first six (6) years of the adopted County Capital Improvement Program (such as schools, recreation areas, water and sewerage systems, libraries, and fire stations) will be adequate for the uses proposed;
(b)(2) Notwithstanding subparagraphs (C) and (D), above, where the application anticipates a construction schedule of more than six (6) years (Section 27-179), public facilities (existing or scheduled for construction within the first six (6) years) will be adequate to serve the development proposed to occur within the first six (6) years. The Council shall also find that public facilities probably will be adequately supplied for the remainder of the project. In considering the probability of future public facilities construction, the Council may consider such things as existing plans for construction, budgetary constraints on providing public facilities, the public interest and public need for the particular development, the relationship of the

## development to public transportation, or any other matter that indicates that public or private funds will likely be expended for the necessary facilities.

The property subject to the proposed amendment is generally located at on the north side of Leeland Road and approximately 3,178 feet west of the intersection of US 301. The Special Projects Section analyzed the proposed amendment's impact on the following public facilities: police, fire and rescue, water, sewer, schools, recreation and library. The following information is provided in response to these findings to allow for a determination of compliance.

## NON-RESIDENTIAL

## Water and Sewer Findings

The proposed development is within Water and Sewer Category 4, Community System Adequate for Development Planning. Water and Sewer Category 3, Community System, must be obtained prior to Final Plat. The increased square footage will not increase the demand for additional water and sewerage facilities and, therefore, adequate water and sewer facilities exist to serve the Subject Property.

## Capital Improvement Program (CIP)

The subject project is located in Planning Area 74A Mitchellville Vicinity. The Prince George's County FY 2021-2026 Approved CIP identifies the following projects in Planning Area 74A:
a. Office of Central Services- Collington Athletic Complex
b. DPW\&T - Church Road Improvements, US 301 Improvements

The Prince George's County FY 2021-2026 Approved CIP does not identify any libraries, schools and/or public safety facilities in the Planning Area.

## Police Facilities

This project is served by Police District II, Bowie, located at 601 Crain Highway SW in Bowie. Per Section 24-122.01(c)(1)(A) of the Subdivision Regulations, the Planning Board's current test for police adequacy is based on a standard for square footage in police stations relative to the population. The proposed amendment will not impact the need for additional police facilities and, therefore, the Section finds existing police facilities are adequate for the uses proposed by the basic plan. This will be further evaluated at the time of preliminary plan of subdivision review.

## Fire and Rescue

This project is served by the Pointer Ridge Volunteer Fire/EMS Co. 843 located at 16408 Pointer Ridge in Bowie, as the first due station. Per Section 24-122.01(d)(1)(A) of the Subdivision Regulations, a 5-minute total response time is recognized as the national standard for Fire/EMS response times.

Prince George's County Fire and EMS Department representative, James V. Reilly, stated in writing (via email) that as of January 14,2022 , the subject project does not pass the 4 -minute travel test from the closest Prince George's County Fire/EMS Station, Pointer Ridge Volunteer Fire/EMS Co. 843 in Bowie. The proposed amendment may impact fire facilities; a recommendation may be made to contact the Prince George's County Fire/EMS Department to request a pre-incident Emergency Plan for the facility; install and maintain Automated External Defibrillators (AEDs) in accordance with the Code of Maryland Regulations (COMAR); and install and maintain hemorrhage kits next to fire extinguishers. This will be further evaluated at the time of Preliminary Plan of Subdivision review. The Section finds existing fire facilities may require mitigation for the uses proposed by the basic plan.

## School Facilities

Per Section 24-122.02 of the Prince George's County Code of Ordinances, Subdivision Regulations, Council Resolutions, CR-23-2001, and CR-38-2002, Adequate Public Schools Facility Regulations for Schools, this subdivision is exempt from a review for schools because it is a non-residential use. The proposed amendment will not impact school facilities therefore; the Section find existing school facilities are adequate for the uses proposed by the basic plan.

## Recreation Facilities

This area is served by the following Maryland-National Capital Park and Planning Commission (M-NCPPC) Parks and Recreation facilities:

- Watkins Regional Park located at 301 Watkins Park Drive in Upper Marlboro.
- Largo/Kettering/Perrywood Community Center located at 431 Watkins Park Drive in Upper Marlboro.
- Upper Marlboro Community Center located at 5400 Marlboro Race Track Road in Upper Marlboro.

The proposed amendment will not impact the need for additional recreation facilities and, therefore, the Section finds existing recreation facilities are adequate for the uses proposed by the basic plan.

## Library Facilities

This area is served by the Prince George's County Memorial Library System, South Bowie Branch, located at 15301 Hall Road in Bowie. The proposed amendment will not impact the need for additional library facilities and, therefore, the Section find that existing library facilities are adequate for the uses proposed by the basic plan.

THE

| MARYLAND-NATIONAL CAPITAL PARK AND PLANNING COMMISSION |
| :--- |
| 14741 Governor Oden Bowie Drive |
| Upper Marlboro, Maryland 20772 |
| www.pgplanning.org |

Countywide Planning Division
Prince George's County Planning Department
301-952-3650
January 10, 2022

## MEMORANDUM

TO: Thomas Sievers, Senior Planner, Zoning Section, DRD
VIA: Megan Reiser, Supervisor, Environmental Planning Section, CWPD MR
FROM: Suzanne Nickle, Master Planner, Environmental Planning Section, CWPD SAN

## SUBJECT: National Capital Business Park A-9968-03 Petition for Basic Plan Amendment

The Environmental Planning Section has reviewed the above referenced Zoning Map Amendment (ZMA) application accepted for review on December 17, 2021. The amendment application meets all applicable environmental requirements. The following comments are provided for your consideration.

## Background

The Environmental Planning Section (EPS) has extensively reviewed this site previously with the review of the following applications:

| Development <br> Review Case | Associated <br> TCP(s) | Authority | Status | Action Date | Resolution <br> Number |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| A-9968 | N/A | District <br> Council | Approved | $5 / 22 / 2006$ | Final Decision |
| CDP-0505 | TCP1-010-06 | Planning <br> Board | Approved | $8 / 8 / 2005$ | $06-273$ |
| NRI-098-05 | N/A | Planning <br> Director | Signed | $12 / 31 / 2005$ | N/A |
| CR-11-2006 | N/A | District <br> Council | Approved | $2 / 7 / 2006$ | SMA Bowie and <br> Vicinity |
| NRI-098-05-01 | N/A | Planning <br> Director | Signed | $12 / 19 / 2006$ | N/A |
| NRI-098-05-02 | N/A | Planning <br> Director | Signed | $1 / 11 / 2007$ | N/A |
| 4-06066 | TCP1-010-06-01 | Planning <br> Board | Approved | $2 / 8 / 2007$ | PGCPB No. 07-43 |
| SDP-1603 | TCP2-028-2016 | Planning <br> Board | Approved | $3 / 30 / 2017$ | PGCPB No. 17-44 |
| NA | TCP2-027-2015 | Staff | Approved | $12 / 1 / 2015$ | NA |
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| A-9968-01 | NA | District <br> Council | Approved | $5 / 13 / 2019$ | Zoning <br> Ordinance No. 5- <br> 2019 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| NRI-098-05-03 | N/A | Planning <br> Director | Signed | $2 / 9 / 2020$ | N/A |
| NRI-098-05-04 | N/A | Planning <br> Director | Signed | $3 / 3 / 2021$ | N/A |
| A-9968-02 | N/A | District <br> Council | Approved | $4 / 12 / 2021$ | Zoning <br> Ordinance No. 2- <br> 2021 |
| CDP-0505-01 | TCP1-004-2021 | Planning <br> Board | Approved | $4 / 29 / 2021$ | PGCPB No. 2021- <br> 50 |
| $4-20032$ | TCP1-004-2021- <br> 01 | Planning <br> Board | Approved | $9 / 30 / 2021$ | PGCPB No. 2021- <br> 112 |
| N/A | TCP2-026-2021 | Planning <br> Director | Pending | Pending | N/A |
| SDP-1603-01 | TCP2-026-2021- <br> 01 | Planning <br> Board | Pending | Pending | Pending |
| A-9968-03 | N/A | District <br> Council | Pending | Pending | Pending |

## Proposed Activity

This application requests to amend the approved basic plan for a 442.30 -acre site in the I-1, R-A and R-S Zone to increase the land use totals for warehouse/distribution, office, light industrial/manufacturing, and/or institutional uses to 5.5 million square feet, and dedication for a public park.

## Grandfathering

The project is subject to the current environmental regulations of Subtitles 24, 25, and 27 that came into effect on September 1, 2010, and February 1, 2012, because the application was approved with a new Preliminary Plan of Subdivision 4-20032.

## Site Description

The subject application is a for a 442.30-acre site in the I-1, R-A and R-S Zones, and is located on the north side of Leeland Road, east of the railroad tracks, and west of Crain Highway (US 301). There are streams, wetlands and 100-year floodplains and associated areas of steep slopes with highly erodible soils and areas of severe slopes on the property. According to the "Prince George's County Soil Survey", the principal soils on the site are in the Adelphia-Holmdel complex, Annapolis Fine Sandy Loam, Colemantown Silt Loam, Collington-Wist Complex, Fallsington Sandy Loam, Howell-Annapolis Complex, Issues Silt Loam, Marr-Dodon, Westphalia and Odon, and Widewater, and Issue Soils. The soils range in hydrologic classes B, C, and D. Marlboro clay is found to occur extensively in the vicinity of and on this property. According to information obtained from the Maryland Department of Natural Resources Natural Heritage Program (DNR NHP), a Sensitive Species Project Review Area (SSPRA) as delineated on the SSPRA GIS layer is found to occur in the vicinity of this property. Further information received from the Wildlife and Heritage staff indicated known records related to three Rare, Threatened, or Endangered (RTE) aquatic species in Collington Branch, and the possible presence of several RTE plants. Leeland Road, a designated scenic road is adjacent to this development.
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This property is in the Collington Branch watershed in the Patuxent River basin and contains the mainstem of Collington Branch along the western side of the property. The site is located within the Established Community Areas of the Growth Policy Map and Environmental Strategy Area 2 (formerly the Developing Tier) of the Regulated Environmental Protection Areas Map as designated by Plan Prince George's 2035 Approved General Plan. The site contains Regulated Areas, Evaluation Areas, and Network Gaps as designated on the Countywide Green Infrastructure Plan of the Approved Prince George's Resource Conservation Plan (May 2017).

## Plan Prince George's 2035 Approved General Plan (2014)

The site is located within the Environmental Strategy Area 2 (formerly the Developing Tier) of the Regulated Environmental Protection Areas Map and has a Growth Policy of Established Communities as designated by Plan Prince George's 2035 Approved General Plan.

## Master Plan Conformance

The Approved Master Plan and sectional map amendment for Bowie and Vicinity (February 2006) approved by the District Council is the current master plan for this area. This master plan included environmentally related policies and their respective strategies in the Environmental Infrastructure section.

The Zoning Ordinance provides guidance regarding the impact and relationship of general plans with master plans, and functional master plans. Specifically, Section 27-640 (a) of the Zoning Ordinance states the following regarding the approval of a general plan, and its effect on a previously approved master plan:

Sec. 27-640. Relationship between Master, General, and Functional Plans.
(a) When Functional Master Plans (and amendments thereof) and General Plan amendments are approved after the adoption and approval of Area Master Plans, the Area Master Plans shall be amended only to the extent specified by the District Council in the resolution of approval. Any Area Master Plan or Functional Master Plan (or amendment) shall be an amendment of the General Plan unless otherwise stated by the District Council.

The text in bold is the text from the master plan and the plain text provides comments on the plan conformance.

Policy 1: Protect, preserve, and enhance the identified green infrastructure network within the master plan area.

## Strategies:

1. Use the designated green infrastructure network to identify opportunities for environmental preservation and restoration during the development review process.
2. Protect primary corridors (Patuxent River and Collington Branch) during the development review process to ensure the highest level of preservation and restoration possible, with limited impacts for essential development elements. Protect secondary corridors (Horsepen Branch, Northeast Branch, Black Branch, Mill Branch, and District Branch) to restore and enhance environmental features and habitat.
3. Carefully evaluate land development proposals in the vicinity of identified SCAs (the Beltsville Agricultural Research Center to the north, along with the Patuxent Research Refuge; Belt Woods in the western portion of the master plan area; and the Patuxent River) to ensure that the SCAs are not impacted and connections are either maintained or restored.
4. Target public land acquisition programs within the designated green infrastructure network in order to preserve, enhance, or restore essential features and special habitat areas.

The subject site is neither within a Priority Preservation Area nor the Patuxent Rural Legacy Program; however, it is in the Collington Branch and Patuxent River watershed, which are primary corridors. The site contains Regulated Environmental Features (REF) and is located entirely within the 2017 Green Infrastructure network but is not within a Special Conservation Area (SCA). Protection of green infrastructure elements and regulated environmental features of the site will be further evaluated with future development applications.

Policy 2: Restore and enhance water quality in areas that have been degraded and preserve water quality in areas not degraded.

## Strategies:

1. Implement the strategies contained in the Western Branch Watershed Restoration Action Strategy (WRAS).
2. Add identified mitigation strategies from the Western Branch WRAS to the countywide database of mitigation sites.
3. Encourage the location of necessary off-site mitigation for wetlands, streams, and woodlands within sites identified in the Western Branch WRAS and within sensitive areas that are not currently wooded.
4. Ensure the use of low-impact development techniques to the extent possible during the development process.
5. During the development review process evaluate streams that are to receive stormwater discharge for water quality and stream stability. Unstable streams and streams with degraded water quality should be restored, and this mitigation should be considered as part of the stormwater management requirements.
6. Encourage the use of conservation landscaping techniques that reduce water consumption and the need for fertilizers or chemical applications.
7. Minimize the number of parking spaces and provide for alternative parking methods that reduce the area of impervious surfaces.
8. Reduce the area of impervious surfaces during redevelopment projects.

Development of the site will be subject to the current stormwater management (SWM) regulations which require that environmental site design be implemented to the maximum extent practicable. Additional information regarding on-site REF will be evaluated with future applications.

Policy 3: Protect and enhance tree cover within the master plan area.
Strategies:

1. Encourage the planting of trees in developed areas and established communities to increase the overall tree cover.
2. Provide a minimum of ten percent tree cover on all development projects. This can be met through the provision of preserved areas or landscape trees.
3. Establish street trees in planting strips designed to promote long-term growth and increase tree cover.
4. Establish tree planting adjacent to and within areas of impervious surfaces. Ensure an even distribution of tree planting to provide shade to the maximum amount of impervious areas possible.

Development of this site will be subject to the current woodland conservation ordinance requirements. Additional information regarding woodland conservation will be evaluated with future development applications.

Policy 4: Reduce overall energy consumption and implement more environmentally sensitive building techniques.

Strategies:

1. Encourage the use of green building techniques that reduce energy consumption. New building designs should strive to incorporate the latest environmental technologies in project buildings and site design. As redevelopment occurs, the existing buildings should be reused and redesigned to incorporate energy and building material efficiencies.
2. Encourage the use of alternative energy sources such as solar, wind, and hydrogen power. Provide public examples of uses of alternative energy sources.

Information regarding the use of green building techniques and the use of alternative energy will be evaluated with future applications by the Urban Design Section.

Policy 5: Reduce light pollution and intrusion into residential, rural, and environmentally sensitive areas.

Strategies:

1. Encourage the use of alternative lighting technologies for athletic fi elds, shopping centers, gas stations, and car lots so that light intrusion on adjacent properties is minimized. Limit the total amount of light output from these uses.
2. Require the use of full cut-off optic light fixtures for all proposed uses.
3. Discourage the use of streetlights and entrance lighting except where warranted by safety concerns.

Information regarding the use of lighting will be evaluated with future applications by the Urban Design Section.

## Policy 6: Reduce adverse noise impacts to meet State of Maryland noise standards.

## Strategies:

1. Evaluate development proposals using Phase I noise studies and noise models.
2. Provide adequate setbacks for projects located adjacent to existing and proposed noise generators.
3. Provide the use of appropriate attenuation measures when noise issues are identified.

Residential development is not proposed with A-9968-03. Leeland Road to the south is classified as a Master Planned Major Collector MC-600. Proposed master planned industrial road I-300 is located on the eastern portion of the property. Right-of-way dedication was conditioned with the approval of Preliminary Plan of Subdivision 4-20032. Any alterations to the right-of-way dedication will be determined with the review of future applications addressing the proposed revision to the development totals.

## Policy 7: Protect wellhead areas of public wells.

## Strategies:

1. Retain land uses that currently exist in the wellhead areas of existing public wells.
2. Continue monitoring water quality.
3. Consider the development of alternative public water provision strategies, such as public water connections, to eventually eliminate public wells.

No wells are known to exist on the site.

## Conformance with the Green Infrastructure Plan

The entire site is mapped within the Green Infrastructure Network as delineated in accordance with the Green Infrastructure Plan of the Approved Prince George's County Resource Conservation Plan (2017). The Regulated Area is mapped along the streams and REF and the Evaluation Area is mapped on the remainder of the site due to the existing forest contiguous to the streams. The plans as submitted generally show the preservation of the Regulated Areas; however, more detailed information will be evaluated during the subsequent applications. The amended basic plan can be found in conformance with the Green Infrastructure Plan.

## COMPLIANCE WITH PREVIOUSLY APPROVED CONDITIONS

## Conditions of Approval A-9968-01

On May 13, 2019, the District Council approved the basic plan for Willowbrook, now known as National Capital Business Park, subject to 23 conditions and five considerations. Five conditions were determined to be environmental in nature:
2. In the event of a townhouse or multifamily, a buffer area shall be located between Leeland Road and any townhouse or multifamily development sufficient to appropriately screen these units with plantings, as determines by the Staff of the Development Review Division, from view from the roadway and to retain the current wooded character of the frontage, consistent with the buffering for Leeland Road as shown on SDP-1603.

Leeland Road, which borders the site on the south, is a designated scenic road. No direct vehicular access is proposed from the National Capital Business Park to Leeland Road. The applicant states that upon completion of the development, the Oak Grove Road/ Leeland Road corridor will retain its character as a prominent scenic roadway in the County. This condition was removed with A-9968-02 revision.
9. The Applicant shall construct a ten (10)-foot-wide Master Plan hiker/biker trail located in Collington Branch Stream Valley and six-foot-wide feeder trails to the development pods. The ten (10)-foot width of the Master Plan trail may be modified at appropriate locations to respond to environmental constraints.

The location of the Master Plan Hiker/biker trail in the Collington Branch Stream Valley Park is in discussions with the Parks Department and is to be coordinated with the Environmental Planning Section during the entitlement process to minimize environmental impacts.
13. The submission package of the Comprehensive Design Plan shall contain a signed Natural Resource Inventory Plan. The signed NRI plan shall be used by the designers to prepare a site layout that minimizes impacts to the regulated areas of the site.
14. A geotechnical study that identifies the location and elevation of the Marlboro Clay layer throughout the site shall be submitted as part of the Comprehensive Design Plan application.
19. Prior to acceptance of the Preliminary Plan of Subdivision, a revised Natural Resources Inventory Plan shall be submitted and approved.

A valid Natural Resources Inventory Plan (NRI), NRI-098-05-04, was submitted with this application. The geotechnical study was reviewed with prior applications and must be submitted with the required comprehensive design plan, preliminary plan of subdivision, and specific design plan (SDP) applications.

## Comprehensive Design Plan Considerations

1. The natural aesthetic qualities of the site should be accentuated by a design that is in part determined by the environmental constraints of the site. Streets should not be uniformly double loaded. Single loaded streets and/or breaks between lots should be strategically placed to provide visual relief and afford views into open space.

This will be reviewed with the comprehensive design plan revision.

## Review of Environmental Conditions and Considerations of Approval A-9968-02

On April 12, 2021, the District Council approved the basic plan for National Capital Business Park, subject to 17 conditions and two comprehensive design plan (CDP) considerations. Six conditions and one consideration were determined to be environmental in nature.

## Conditions

9. The submission package of the comprehensive design plan shall contain a signed natural resources inventory (NRI) plan. The signed NRI plan shall be used by the designers to prepare a site layout that minimizes impacts to the regulated areas of the site.

A valid Natural Resources Inventory Plan, NRI-098-05-04, was submitted with this application.
10. A geotechnical study that identifies the location and elevation of the Marlboro clay layer throughout the site shall be submitted as part of the comprehensive design plan application.

A geotechnical study was reviewed with prior applications and must be submitted with the required comprehensive design plan, preliminary plan of subdivision, and specific design plan applications.
11. A protocol for surveying the locations of all rare, threatened, and endangered species within the subject property shall be obtained from the Maryland Department of Natural Resources, prior to acceptance of the comprehensive re design plan, and this protocol shall be part of the submittal package. The completed surveys and required reports shall be submitted as part of any application for preliminary plans.

The subject site contains five (5) identified species of Rare, Threatened, or Endangered (RTE) plants and three (3) State-listed threatened or endangered fish species with the Collington Branch, and/or Black Branch watersheds. A Rare, Threatened and Endangered Species Habitat Protection and Management Program was approved with the Preliminary Plan 4-20032. The management program was reviewed and approved at time of PPS in coordination with the Department of Natural Resources, Natural Heritage Program (DNR NHP).

The timeline presented by the applicant for the construction of the current project anticipates issuance of the first building permit in the Spring of 2022. In accordance with the Habitat Protection and Management Program report, hydrologic monitoring for a minimum of one year prior to the issuance of the first grading permit was required to establish a baseline of data. This monitoring was performed by the applicant on April 20, 2021, and June 1-2, 2021. The report was submitted to DNR and the Environmental Planning Section on September 10, 2021.
12. Prior to acceptance of the preliminary plan of subdivision, a revised natural resources inventory plan shall be submitted and approved.
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A revision to Natural Resources Inventory, NRI-098-05-04, was approved on March 3, 2021, during the review period of CDP-0505-01.

## 16. The conceptual location of the Collington Branch Stream Valley Trail, its feeder trail connection to the proposed employment uses, and the Leeland Road shared-use path shall be shown on the Comprehensive Design Plan.

The conceptual locations of the Collington Branch Stream Valley Trail, its feeder trail connection to the proposed employment uses, and the Leeland Road shared-use path shall be shown on the subsequent comprehensive design plan and preliminary plan, with detailed information for the implementation of these trails to be included with the future SDP and tree conservation plan (TCP) TCP2 review packages.

## 17. In the event the Applicant elects to pursue an alternative access point(s) to the adjacent Collington Center vis Pope's Creek Drive and/or Prince George's Blvd., the transportation and environmental impacts of any additional access point(s) shall be evaluated at time of Comprehensive Design Plan or Preliminary Plan.

The alternative access point described in the finding above to extend Popes Creek Drive was provided with the PPS 4-20032 for review. The subsequent SDP-1603-01 did not propose to develop the extension of Popes Creek Drive. The basic plan submitted with A-9968-03 does not propose an extension of Popes Creek Drive. If alternative or additional access points are contemplated in the future with this development, the proposals must be submitted with the acceptance of a future CDP or PPS application, so a full analysis of any stream crossings, additional impacts to the Regulated Environmental Features, including, Rare, Threatened and Endangered Species, and Marlboro Clay, is conducted with either the CDP or PPS review process.

## Comprehensive Design Plan Considerations

1. The natural aesthetic qualities of the site and all regulated environmental features shall be preserved to the fullest extent possible and shall seek to minimize any impacts to said features.

The development proposed with A-9968-03 shows similar boundaries as was proposed with SDP-1603-01 which have been determined in part by the environmental constraints of the site, including the regulated environmental features and the soils. Minimal impacts to the environmental features were proposed with the PPS and SDP. Subsequent Development Review Division reviews shall keep any proposed impacts to the regulated environmental features to a minimum.

## Environmental Review

Existing Conditions/Natural Resource Inventory (NRI)
An NRI is not required as part of a zoning amendment application; however, an approved NRI covering the land area included in the application is approved. No further information is needed at this time.

## Woodland Conservation

The project is subject to the environmental regulations contained in Subtitles 24, 25, and 27 that came into effect on September 1, 2010, and February 1, 2012.

National Capital Business Park A-9968-03
January 10, 2022
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The requested change in use will not result in a change to the Woodland Conservation Threshold which is currently 15 percent for the E-I-A (R-S) and I-1 portions of the site and is $50 \%$ for the R-A Zone, resulting in a weighted WCT of $15.08 \%$ or 52.40 -acres. There is an approved TCP1 and TCP2 on the overall development. All future applications will require Tree Conservation Plans in accordance with the current regulations.

If you have any questions concerning this review, please contact me by e-mail at suzanne.nickle@ppd.mncppc.org or call 301-952-3650.

January 25, 2022

## MEMORANDUM

TO: Thomas Sievers, Zoning Section, Development Review Division
FROM: Glen Burton, Transportation Planning Section, Countywide Planning Division


Countywide Planning Division
VIA: ATom Masog, Transportation Planning Section, Countywide Planning Division

## SUBJECT: A-9968-03, National Capital Business Park

## Proposal:

The applicant is proposing an amendment to a previous approved Basic PlanA-9968-02, that would allow for the expansion of up to 5.5 million square-feet of Warehouse/Distribution, Office, Light Industrial/Manufacturing and/or Institutional uses. It is anticipated that a majority of the uses on the property will be warehouse uses.

## Prior Conditions of Approval:

The property has been the subject of numerous applications and approvals dating back to a Basic
later affirmed in November 2005 by the District Council rezoning of the property from E-I-A Zone to R-S Zone (CR-90-2005). There have been subsequent basic map amendments, comprehensive design plans (CDP) and preliminary plans of subdivision (PPS) approvals over the years. The following represent the most recent approval activities on the subject property:

- April 12, 2021 - Basic Plan Amendment A-9968-02 approved by the Prince George’s County District Council via Zoning Ordinance No. 2-2021
- April 29, 2021 - Prince George's County Planning Board approved CDP-0505-01 pursuant to PGCPB No. 2021-50.
- September 9, 2021 - Prince George's County Planning Board approved PPS 4-20032. Pursuant to PGCPB No. 2021-112, the PPS was approved with many conditions, including the following that pertain to transportation;

2 Total developments within the subject property shall be limited to uses which generate no more than 1,400 AM peak-hour trips and 1,400 PM peak-hour vehicle trips. Any development generating an impact greater than that identified herein above shall require a new preliminary plan of subdivision, with a new determination of the adequacy of transportation facilities.
10. Prior to approval of a building permit for each square foot of development, the applicant, and the applicant's heirs, successors, and/or assignees shall pay to the Prince George's County Department of Permitting, Inspections and Enforcement (DPIE), a fee calculated as $\$ 1.03$ (l 989 dollars) multiplied by (Engineering News Record Highway Construction Cost index at time of payment) / (Engineering News Record Highway Construction Cost Index for second quarter 1989). The County may substitute a different cost index if necessary.

In lieu of the fee payment listed in the preceding paragraph, the applicant may provide improvements along US 301 (Robert Crain Highway), within the limits of US 301 that are covered by the Capital Improvement Program-funded improvements. The cost of these improvements shall not exceed \$3,593,100.00 (1989 dollars). Any improvements proposed as part of any lump sum payment shall have approval of the Maryland State Highway Association and DPIE.
12. Prior to approval of any building permit within the subject property, where the total density exceeds 1,475,000 square feet, the following road improvements shall (a) have full financial assurances, (b) have been permitted for construction through the operating agency's access permit process, and (c) have an agreed upon timetable for construction with the appropriate operating agency:
a. US 301 (Robert Crain Highway) at Leeland Road

Provide three left turn lanes on the eastbound approach
Provide two left tum lanes on the northbound approach
13. Prior to approval of any building permit within the subject property, the following road improvements shall (a) have full financial assurances, (b) have been permitted for construction through the operating agency's access permit process, and (c) have an agreed upon timetable for construction with the appropriate operating agency:
a. Prince George's Boulevard and Queens Court-Site Access
(1) Provide a shared through and left and a shared through and right lane on the eastbound approach.
(2) Provide a shared through and left and a shared through and right lane on the westbound approach.
(3) Provide a shared through and left and a shared through and right lane on the northbound approach.
32. The following road improvements shall be provided, and timing for construction of the road improvements shall be determined with the first specific design plan for development (not including infrastructure):
a. Queens Court and US 301 (Robert Crain Highway)
(1) Install a traffic signal
(2) Provide a double left tum and three through lanes on the northbound approach.
(3) Provide a double left tum and a free right tum on the eastbound approach.

None of the conditions of approval above have been implemented as of this writing. All of the conditions, including the one establishing a trip cap will be re-evaluated based on a new traffic study that has been submitted with the pending application, and that will be further evaluated with a new PPS application.

## Master Plan Compliance:

## Master Plan Right of Way

The subject property is located in an area where the development policies are governed by the 2021 Preliminary Bowie-Mitchellville and Vicinity. The subject property is also governed by the Approved Countywide Master Plan of Transportation, November 2009. All plans recommend Oak Grove Road-Leeland Road be upgraded to a major collector (MC-600) standard. The proposed application shows the planned facility in a location that is generally consistent with both plans. At the time of preliminary plan of subdivision for the subject property, dedication along Leeland road will be required.

## Master Plan Pedestrian and Bike Facilities

The Approved Master Plan of Transportation (MPOT) includes the following and goal and policies regarding sidewalk and bikeway construction and the accommodation of pedestrians and bicyclists (MPOT, pages 7 and 8 ):

GOAL: Provide a continuous network of sidewalks, bikeways and trails that provide opportunities for residents to make some trips by walking or bicycling, particularly to mass transit, schools, employment centers, and other activity centers.

POLICY 2: Provide adequate pedestrian and bicycle linkages to schools, parks, recreation areas and employment centers.

POLICY 3: Develop bicycle-friendly roadways in conformance with the latest standards and guidelines, including the 1999 AASHTO Guide for the Development of Bicycle Facilities.

POLICY 4: Identify sidewalk retrofit opportunities for small area plans within the Developed and Developing Tiers in order to provide safe routes to school, pedestrian access to mass transit and more walkable communities.

POLICY 5: Plan new development to help achieve the goals of this master plan.

## 1. Comment

The subject site is surrounded by similar employment uses, proposed recreational facilities, and residential uses south of Leeland Road. Leeland Road (MC-600) is a designated major collector roadway and includes a master plan recommended shared-use path along its entire extent. It should be noted that the submitted statement of justification indicates that the applicant is evaluating frontage improvements along Leeland Road as a two-lane open section roadway, subject to approval of DPIE and DPW\&T. Staff recommends that a ten-foot-wide shared-use path be provided along the subject site frontage of Leeland Road, unless modified by DPIE with written correspondence. This shared-use path would be consistent with the approved facility of the adjacent property (SDP-1705, PGCPB No. 2020-57), and can be constructed within the dedication of ROW that is required for a major collector roadway. The applicant shall provide a network of pedestrians and bikeway facilities internal to site. The exact location and design of said facilities shall be evaluated with future applications.

## Staff Review and Comments

The proposed application is seeking to expand the previously approved development of 3.5 million square-feet of warehouse use to 5.5 million square-feet. This increase in density will generate greater than 50 trips in either peak hour. Consequently, a TIS was required as part of the evaluation of transportation adequacy. The findings and recommendations outlined below are based upon a review of the materials and analyses conducted by the staff of the Transportation Planning Section, consistent with the "Transportation Review Guidelines," otherwise termed the "Guidelines."

## Analysis of Traffic Impacts

The subject property is currently unimproved and is located within Transportation Service Area (TSA) 1, as defined in the Plan Prince George's 2035 Approved General Plan. As such, the subject property is evaluated according to the following standards:

## Links and Signalized Intersections

Level of Service (LOS) E, with signalized intersections operating at a critical lane volume (CLV) of 1,600 or better. Mitigation per Section 24-124(a)(6) of the Subdivision Regulations, is permitted at signalized intersections within any TSA subject to meeting the geographical criteria in the "Guidelines".

## Unsignalized Intersections

The procedure for unsignalized intersections is not a true test of adequacy but rather an indicator that further operational studies need to be conducted. A three-part process is employed for two-way stop-controlled intersections:

For two-way stop-controlled intersections a three-part process is employed:
(a) vehicle delay is computed in all movements using the Highway Capacity Manual (Transportation Research Board) procedure; (b) the maximum approach volume on the minor streets is computed if delay exceeds 50 seconds, (c) if delay exceeds 50 seconds and at least one approach volume exceeds 100 , the CLV is computed.
For all-way stop-controlled intersections a two-part process is employed: (a) vehicle delay is computed in all movements using the Highway Capacity
Manual (Transportation Research Board) procedure; (b) if delay exceeds 50 seconds, the CLV is computed.

Pursuant to Section 27-195(b)(1)(C) of the Zoning Ordinance, the criteria for approval of a Basic Plan as they relate to transportation are as follows:

Transportation facilities (including streets and public transit) (i) which are existing, (ii) which are under construction, or (iii) for which one hundred percent (100\%) of the construction funds are allocated within the adopted County Capital Improvement Program, within the current State Consolidated Transportation Program, or will be provided by the applicant, will be adequate to carry the anticipated traffic generated by the development based on the maximum proposed density. The uses proposed will not generate traffic which would lower the level of service anticipated by the land use and circulation systems shown on the approved General or Area Master Plans, or urban renewal plans;

To meet the legal threshold cited above, the applicant has provided staff, with a January 2022 Traffic Impact Study (TIS). The findings and recommendations outlined below are based upon a review of these materials and analyses conducted by staff of the Transportation Planning Section, consistent with the "Transportation Review Guidelines - Part 1-2012". The table below shows the intersections deemed to be critical, as well as the levels of service representing existing conditions. It is worth noting that one of the provisions of recent Council legislation (CB-22-2020) is that no traffic from this proposed development should be oriented to and from Leeland Road to the south of the subject property. As a result of this mandate by the council, the TIS did not consider any intersections along Leeland. The table below shows the intersections deemed to be critical, as well as the levels of service representing existing conditions:

| EXISTING CONDITIONS |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Intersections |  | AM |
|  | PM |  |
| US 301 SB @ Wawa Crossover (signalized in future) | A/990 | C/1248 |
| US 301 NB @ Wawa Crossover | C/1288 | C/1161 |
| US 301 @ Trade Zone Avenue | A/895 | B/1022 |
| US 301 @ Queens Court: Right-in, Right-Out (RIR0) | No delay | No delay |
| US 301 @ Median Crossover | No delay | No delay |
| Minor street volume | $<100$ vehicles | $<100$ vehicles |
| US 301 @ Leeland Road | A/924 | A/866 |
| US 301 @ Beechtree Parkway-Swanson Road | $\mathrm{D} / 1330$ | $\mathrm{D} / 1321$ |
| US 301 @ Village Drive | $\mathrm{B} / 1086$ | $\mathrm{~B} / 1144$ |
| US 301 @ MD 725 | C/1204 | $\mathrm{D} / 1343$ |
| US 301 @ Chrysler Drive | $\mathrm{B} / 1045$ | $\mathrm{~B} / 1063$ |
| Prince George's Boulevard @ Trade Zone Avenue | 15.0 seconds | 15.1 seconds |


| Prince George's Boulevard @ Commerce Drive | 9.5 seconds | 9.8 seconds |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Prince George's Boulevard @ Queens Court | 9.5 seconds | 12.5 seconds |

* Unsignalized intersections. In analyzing two-way stop-controlled intersections, a three-step procedure is undertaken in which the greatest average delay (in seconds) for any movement within the intersection, the maximum approach volume on a minor approach, and the critical lane volume (CLV) is computed and compared to the approved standard. According to the "Guidelines", all three tests must fail in order to require a signal warrant study.
The traffic study identified 14 background developments whose impact would affect some or all of the study intersections. Additionally, a growth of $1.1 \%$ over six years was also applied to the traffic volumes. In addition to the inclusion of background developments and regional growth, the TIS assumed improvements involving the upgrade to US 301 between MD 214 in the north and MD 4 to the south. Specifically, the improvements would involve a widening of US 301 from 4 to 6 through lanes. This improvement appears in the current county Capital Improvement Program (CIP) with full funding within six years. There is a provision in the CIP that the overall cost of $\$ 32$ million will be borne by developer contribution. This applicant has agreed to provide his/her commensurate share which will be determined at future stages of development review process.

The subject application seeks to amend the previously approved application (A-9968-02) which considered county warehouse rates. As additional phases of this development with more specific land uses are proposed, trip rates from the Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE) Trip Generation Manual may be substituted for rates which may not be available in the department's Transportation Review Guidelines.

Using the trip rates from the "Guidelines", the study has indicated that the subject application represents the following trip generation:

| Table 1-Trip Generation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | AM Peak |  |  | PM Peak |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | In | Out | Total | In | Out | Total |  |  |  |  |
| Warehouse (FAR 0.3, county <br> rates) | $5,500,000 ~ S q . ~$ <br> feet | 1,780 | 440 | 2,200 | 440 | 1,780 | 2,200 |  |  |  |
| Total new trips |  | 1,780 | 440 | 2,200 | 440 | 1,780 | 2,200 |  |  |  |

The table above indicates that the proposed development will be adding 2,200 trips during both peak hours. The analysis under existing condition assumes several unsignalized intersections. Under future conditions, the following intersections are assumed to be signalized:

- US 301 SB @ Wawa Crossover
- US 301 NB @ Wawa Crossover
- US 301 @ Queens Court

A third analysis depicting total traffic conditions was done, yielding the following results:

| TOTAL CONDITIONS with CIP improvements |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Intersections | AM | PM |
|  | $($ LOS/CLV) delay | (LOS/CLV) delay |
| US 301 SB @ Wawa Crossover | A/976 | C/1175 |
| US 301 NB @ Wawa Crossover | B/1145 | $\mathrm{B} / 1409$ |
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| US 301 @ Trade Zone Avenue | $\mathrm{C} / 1185$ | $\mathrm{D} / 1442$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| US 301 @ Queens Court | $\mathrm{D} / 1340$ | $\mathrm{D} / 1377$ |
| US 301 @ Median Crossover* | No delay | No delay |
| Minor street volume* | $<100$ vehicles | $<100$ vehicles |
| US 301 @ Leeland Road | $\mathrm{D} / 1674$ | $\mathrm{~F} / 1757$ |
| With additional improvement | C/1298 | $\mathrm{D} / 1417$ |
| US 301 @ Beechtree Parkway-Swanson Road | $\mathrm{D} / 1390$ | $\mathrm{D} / 1448$ |
| US 301 @ Village Drive | $\mathrm{C} / 1208$ | $\mathrm{C} / 1213$ |
| US 301 @ MD 725 | $\mathrm{C} / 1292$ | $\mathrm{D} / 1432$ |
| US 301 @ Chrysler Drive | $\mathrm{B} / 1050$ | $\mathrm{D} / 1397$ |
| Prince George's Boulevard @ Trade Zone Avenue * | 17.0 seconds | 25.0 seconds |
| Prince George's Boulevard @ Commerce Drive* | 12.6 seconds | 12.2 seconds |
| Prince George's Boulevard @ Queens Court* |  |  |
| Tier 1: HCS Delay test | 523.8 seconds | 621.0 seconds |
| Tier 2: Minor Street Volume | $>100$ vehicles | $>100$ vehicles |
| Tier 3: CLV | $\mathrm{D} / 1447$ | $\mathrm{D} / 1435$ |

* Unsignalized intersections. In analyzing two-way stop-controlled intersections, a three-step procedure is undertaken in which the greatest average delay (in seconds) for any movement within the intersection, the maximum approach volume on a minor approach, and the critical lane volume (CLV) is computed and compared to the approved standard. According to the "Guidelines", all three tests must fail in order to require a signal warrant study.

The results under total traffic conditions show that the intersections will all operate adequately with the exception of US 301 @ Leeland Road. To that end, the applicant has agreed to provide an additional left turn lane (for a total of three lefts) on the eastbound approach, resulting in acceptable level of service for that intersection.

## Transportation Staff Conclusions

Based on the preceding findings, the Transportation Planning Section concludes that existing transportation facilities, when improved with improvements provided in the county CIP, along with some additional improvements provided by the applicant, and signalization at some key intersections, will be adequate to carry the anticipated traffic generated by 5.5 million square feet of warehousing development. Furthermore, the uses proposed will not generate traffic which would lower the level of service anticipated by the land use and circulation systems shown on the approved Area Master Plan, in accordance with Section 27-195 of the Prince George's County Code.

In making this finding, the TPS staff recommends the following conditions:
2. All of the intersections evaluated with this application be subject to further analyses at the time of the comprehensive design plan (CDP) phase of the subject development.
3. The applicant shall provide a network of pedestrians and bikeway facilities internal to site. The exact location and design of said facilities shall be evaluated with future applications.
4. The applicant shall provide a 10 -foot-wide Master Plan trail along the Colington Branch Stream. The design of the Master Plan Trail will be evaluated with future applications.
5. The applicant, the applicant's heirs, successors, and/or assignees shall construct a minimum 10-foot-wide master plan shared-use path along the subject site frontage of Leeland Road, consistent with AASHTO standards, unless modified by the Prince George's County Department of Permitting, Inspections and Enforcement, with written correspondence

| From: | Zhang, Henry |
| :---: | :---: |
| To: | Sievers, Thomas |
| Cc: | Hurlbutt, Jeremy; Staton, Kenneth |
| Subject: | A-9968-03 NATIONAL CAPITAL BUSINESS PARK; |
| Date: | Saturday, January 8, 2022 10:19:52 AM |
| Attachments: | image002.pnq |
|  | image003.png |
|  | image004.png |
|  | image005.pnq |
|  | image006.png |
|  | image007.png |
|  | image008.png |
| Importance: | High |

Hi, Tom,

The Urban Design Section has reviewed Zoning Map Amendment Application, A-9968-03, NATIONAL CAPITAL BUSINESS PARK, which is to increase total gross floor area to 5.5 MILLION SQUARE FEET for uses normally permitted in the E-I-A Zone including WAREHOUSE, DISTRIBUTION, OFFICE, LIGHT INDUSTRIAL, \& MANUFACTURING. The Urban Design Section has no objections to the approval of this ZMA application.

The site has a previously approved Comprehensive Design Plan (CDP) and a Specific Design Plan (SDP) for infrastructure for the entire R-S zoned site is pending. Amendments to previous approvals are required after the approval of this rezoning application, prior to issuance of any additional building permits. The Urban Design Section will review all urban design issues and the site's conformance with applicable regulations, including the requirements of the Landscape Manual and Tree Canopy Coverage Ordinance at the time of both CDP and SDP approvals.

This email is in lieu of a formal memorandum from the Urban Design Section.

Thanks

Henry H. Zhang, AICP LEED AP CPTED Specialist

Master Planner | Development Review Division
THE MARYLAND-NATIONAL CAPITAL PARK AND PLANNING COMMISSION
Prince George's County Planning Department
14741 Governor Oden Bowie Drive, Upper Marlboro, MD 20772
301-952-4151 | henry.zhang @ppd.mncppc.org
(f)(-) (in) (10) (1)

From: Zhang, Henry
Sent: Tuesday, May 18, 2021 11:19 AM
To: Sievers, Thomas [Thomas.Sievers@ppd.mncppc.org](mailto:Thomas.Sievers@ppd.mncppc.org)
Cc: Hurlbutt, Jeremy [Jeremy.Hurlbutt@ppd.mncppc.org](mailto:Jeremy.Hurlbutt@ppd.mncppc.org)
Subject: FW: UPDATE re: ACCEPTANCE Referral - A-10060 Saddle Ridge
Importance: High
Henry H. Zhang, AICP LEED AP CPTED Specialist

Master Planner | Urban Design
THE MARYLAND-NATIONAL CAPITAL PARK AND PLANNING COMMISSION
Prince George's County Planning Department
14741 Governor Oden Bowie Drive, Upper Marlboro, MD 20772
301-952-4151 | henry.zhang@ppd.mncppc.org


From: ePlan [ePlan@ppd.mncppc.org](mailto:ePlan@ppd.mncppc.org)
Sent: Friday, May 14, 2021 10:23 AM
To: ePlan [ePlan@ppd.mncppc.org](mailto:ePlan@ppd.mncppc.org); Smith, Tyler [Tyler.Smith@ppd.mncppc.org](mailto:Tyler.Smith@ppd.mncppc.org); Hall, Ashley [Ashley.Hall@ppd.mncppc.org](mailto:Ashley.Hall@ppd.mncppc.org); Masog, Tom [Tom.Masog@ppd.mncppc.org](mailto:Tom.Masog@ppd.mncppc.org); Barnett-Woods, Bryan [bryan.barnettwoods@ppd.mncppc.org](mailto:bryan.barnettwoods@ppd.mncppc.org); Zhang, Henry [Henry.Zhang@ppd.mncppc.org](mailto:Henry.Zhang@ppd.mncppc.org); Kosack, Jill < Jill.Kosack@ppd.mncppc.org>; Hurlbutt, Jeremy [Jeremy.Hurlbutt@ppd.mncppc.org](mailto:Jeremy.Hurlbutt@ppd.mncppc.org); Dixon, June [june.dixon@ppd.mncppc.org](mailto:june.dixon@ppd.mncppc.org); Chaconas, Sheila [Sheila.Chaconas@ppd.mncppc.org](mailto:Sheila.Chaconas@ppd.mncppc.org); Holley, Edward [Edward.Holley@Pgparks.com](mailto:Edward.Holley@Pgparks.com); Thompson, Ivy [lvy.Thompson@ppd.mncppc.org](mailto:lvy.Thompson@ppd.mncppc.org); Walker, Tineya [tineya.walker@ppd.mncppc.org](mailto:tineya.walker@ppd.mncppc.org); PPD-EnvDRDreferrals [ppdenvdrdreferrals@ppd.mncppc.org](mailto:ppdenvdrdreferrals@ppd.mncppc.org); 'Reilly, James V' < JVReilly@co.pg.md.us>; SLToth@co.pd.md.us; lidillon@co.pg.md.us; DPIE [DARichards@co.pg.md.us](mailto:DARichards@co.pg.md.us); AMGullickson@co.pg.md.us; tgaskins@co.pg.md.us; De Guzman, Reynaldo S. [rsdeguzman@co.pg.md.us](mailto:rsdeguzman@co.pg.md.us); rlattivor@co.pg.md.us; Snyder, Steven G. [SGSnyder@co.pg.md.us](mailto:SGSnyder@co.pg.md.us); 'Abdullah, Mariwan' [MAbdullah@co.pg.md.us](mailto:MAbdullah@co.pg.md.us); Formukong, Nanji W. [nwformukong@co.pg.md.us](mailto:nwformukong@co.pg.md.us); Salles, Cicero D. [cdsalles@co.pg.md.us](mailto:cdsalles@co.pg.md.us); sabranch@co.pg.md.us; jtarr@co.pg.md.us; 'Kwesi Woodroffe' [kwoodroffe@sha.state.md.us](mailto:kwoodroffe@sha.state.md.us); 'Tania Brown - SHA' [TBrown13@mdot.maryland.gov](mailto:TBrown13@mdot.maryland.gov); shayla.taylor@pgcps.org; rhianna.mccarter@pgcps.org; Salles, Cicero D. [cdsalles@co.pg.md.us](mailto:cdsalles@co.pg.md.us); jtarr@co.pg.md.us; Stabler, Jennifer [Jennifer.Stabler@ppd.mncppc.org](mailto:Jennifer.Stabler@ppd.mncppc.org); 'Aheart, Charlotte D.' [CDAheart@co.pg.md.us](mailto:CDAheart@co.pg.md.us); kenneth.l.barnhart@verizon.com; mark.g.larsen@verizon.com; jkoroma@pepco.com; wkynard@pepcoholdings.com; Charles.curry@bge.com; Herb.Reigel@smeco.coop; 'Ulrich,Keith' [Keith.Ulrich@SMECO.coop](mailto:Keith.Ulrich@SMECO.coop); kencrouse@comcast.net; 'AZZAM, ABDULKADER' [aa9168@att.com](mailto:aa9168@att.com); 'IBRAHIM, WALID' [wi340d@att.com](mailto:wi340d@att.com); 'sh3700@att.com' [sh3700@att.com](mailto:sh3700@att.com); pmartinez@washgas.com; Giles, Mary C. [mcgiles@co.pg.md.us](mailto:mcgiles@co.pg.md.us); \#dsgintake@wsscwater.com; Ray, Bobby [Bobby.Ray@ppd.mncppc.org](mailto:Bobby.Ray@ppd.mncppc.org); Conner, Sherri [sherri.conner@ppd.mncppc.org](mailto:sherri.conner@ppd.mncppc.org); Gupta, Mridula

## [Mridula.Gupta@ppd.mncppc.org](mailto:Mridula.Gupta@ppd.mncppc.org)

Cc: Summerlin, Cheryl [Cheryl.Summerlin@ppd.mncppc.org](mailto:Cheryl.Summerlin@ppd.mncppc.org); Fairley, Lillian
[Lillian.Fairley@ppd.mncppc.org](mailto:Lillian.Fairley@ppd.mncppc.org); Graham, Audrey [Audrey.Graham@ppd.mncppc.org](mailto:Audrey.Graham@ppd.mncppc.org);
Townsend, Donald <Donald.Townsend @ppd.mncppc.org>; Grigsby, Martin
[Martin.Grigsby@ppd.mncppc.org](mailto:Martin.Grigsby@ppd.mncppc.org); Davis, Lisa [Lisa.Davis@ppd.mncppc.org](mailto:Lisa.Davis@ppd.mncppc.org); Hunt, James
<」ames.Hunt@ppd.mncppc.org>; Checkley, Andree [andree.checkley@ppd.mncppc.org](mailto:andree.checkley@ppd.mncppc.org); Lohman,
Regina [Regina.Lohman@ppd.mncppc.org](mailto:Regina.Lohman@ppd.mncppc.org); Dozier, Kimberly [Kimberly.Dozier@ppd.mncppc.org](mailto:Kimberly.Dozier@ppd.mncppc.org);
Staton, Kenneth [Kenneth.Staton@ppd.mncppc.org](mailto:Kenneth.Staton@ppd.mncppc.org); Hurlbutt, Jeremy
<」eremy.Hurlbutt@ppd.mncppc.org>; Braden IV, Sam [Sam.BradenIV@ppd.mncppc.org](mailto:Sam.BradenIV@ppd.mncppc.org); Anne
Dowell [ADowell@RODGERS.com](mailto:ADowell@RODGERS.com); Sievers, Thomas [Thomas.Sievers@ppd.mncppc.org](mailto:Thomas.Sievers@ppd.mncppc.org); Windsor, Theresa [Theresa.Windsor@ppd.mncppc.org](mailto:Theresa.Windsor@ppd.mncppc.org)
Subject: RE: UPDATE re: ACCEPTANCE Referral - A-10060 Saddle Ridge

Greetings,
We have received the following SDRC response.
https://www.dropbox.com/sh/503u3scwd8nn7dn/AABD529JtCtDcVd9UAfgWNpVa?dl=0

Thank you,

Randa Lee
Senior Planning Technician, Development Review Division
THE MARVLAND-NATIONAL CAPITAL PARK AND PLANNING COMMISSION
Prince George's County Planning Department
14741 Governor Oden Bowie Drive, Upper Marlboro, MD 20772
301-952-3867 | randar.lee@ppd.mncppc.org


From: Lee, Randar
Sent: Friday, April 16, 2021 4:24 PM
To: ePlan [ePlan@ppd.mncppc.org](mailto:ePlan@ppd.mncppc.org); Smith, Tyler [Tyler.Smith@ppd.mncppc.org](mailto:Tyler.Smith@ppd.mncppc.org); Hall, Ashley [Ashley.Hall@ppd.mncppc.org](mailto:Ashley.Hall@ppd.mncppc.org); Masog, Tom [Tom.Masog@ppd.mncppc.org](mailto:Tom.Masog@ppd.mncppc.org); Barnett-Woods, Bryan [bryan.barnettwoods@ppd.mncppc.org](mailto:bryan.barnettwoods@ppd.mncppc.org); Zhang, Henry [Henry.Zhang@ppd.mncppc.org](mailto:Henry.Zhang@ppd.mncppc.org); Kosack, Jill [Jill.Kosack@ppd.mncppc.org](mailto:Jill.Kosack@ppd.mncppc.org); Hurlbutt, Jeremy [Jeremy.Hurlbutt@ppd.mncppc.org](mailto:Jeremy.Hurlbutt@ppd.mncppc.org); Dixon, June [june.dixon@ppd.mncppc.org](mailto:june.dixon@ppd.mncppc.org); Chaconas, Sheila [Sheila.Chaconas@ppd.mncppc.org](mailto:Sheila.Chaconas@ppd.mncppc.org); Holley, Edward [Edward.Hollev@Pgparks.com](mailto:Edward.Hollev@Pgparks.com); Thompson, Ivy [lvy.Thompson@ppd.mncppc.org](mailto:lvy.Thompson@ppd.mncppc.org); Walker, Tineya [tineya.walker@ppd.mncppc.org](mailto:tineya.walker@ppd.mncppc.org); PPD-EnvDRDreferrals [ppdenvdrdreferrals@ppd.mncppc.org](mailto:ppdenvdrdreferrals@ppd.mncppc.org); 'Reilly, James V' [JVReilly@co.pg.md.us](mailto:JVReilly@co.pg.md.us); 'SLToth@co.pd.md.us' [SLToth@co.pd.md.us](mailto:SLToth@co.pd.md.us); 'ljdillon@co.pg.md.us' [lijdillon@co.pg.md.us](mailto:lijdillon@co.pg.md.us); 'DPIE'
[DARichards@co.pg.md.us](mailto:DARichards@co.pg.md.us); 'AMGullickson@co.pg.md.us' [AMGullickson@co.pg.md.us](mailto:AMGullickson@co.pg.md.us);
'tgaskins@co.pg.md.us' [tgaskins@co.pg.md.us](mailto:tgaskins@co.pg.md.us); 'De Guzman, Reynaldo S.'
[rsdeguzman@co.pg.md.us](mailto:rsdeguzman@co.pg.md.us); 'rlattivor@co.pg.md.us' [rlattivor@co.pg.md.us](mailto:rlattivor@co.pg.md.us); 'Snyder, Steven G.'
[SGSnyder@co.pg.md.us](mailto:SGSnyder@co.pg.md.us); 'Abdullah, Mariwan' [MAbdullah@co.pg.md.us](mailto:MAbdullah@co.pg.md.us); 'Formukong, Nanji W.'
[nwformukong@co.pg.md.us](mailto:nwformukong@co.pg.md.us); Salles, Cicero D. [cdsalles@co.pg.md.us](mailto:cdsalles@co.pg.md.us); 'sabranch@co.pg.md.us' [sabranch@co.pg.md.us](mailto:sabranch@co.pg.md.us); 'jtarr@co.pg.md.us' [jtarr@co.pg.md.us](mailto:jtarr@co.pg.md.us); 'Kwesi Woodroffe' [kwoodroffe@sha.state.md.us](mailto:kwoodroffe@sha.state.md.us); 'Tania Brown - SHA' [IBrown13@mdot.maryland.gov](mailto:IBrown13@mdot.maryland.gov); 'shayla.taylor@pgcps.org' [shayla.taylor@pgcps.org](mailto:shayla.taylor@pgcps.org); 'rhianna.mccarter@pgcps.org' [rhianna.mccarter@pgcps.org](mailto:rhianna.mccarter@pgcps.org); Salles, Cicero D. [cdsalles@co.pg.md.us](mailto:cdsalles@co.pg.md.us); 'jtarr@co.pg.md.us' [jtarr@co.pg.md.us](mailto:jtarr@co.pg.md.us); Stabler, Jennifer < Jennifer.Stabler@ppd.mncppc.org>; 'Aheart, Charlotte D.' [CDAheart@co.pg.md.us](mailto:CDAheart@co.pg.md.us); 'kenneth.l.barnhart@verizon.com' [kenneth.I.barnhart@verizon.com](mailto:kenneth.I.barnhart@verizon.com); 'mark.g.larsen@verizon.com' [mark.g.larsen@verizon.com](mailto:mark.g.larsen@verizon.com); 'jkoroma@pepco.com' [jkoroma@pepco.com](mailto:jkoroma@pepco.com); 'wkynard@pepcoholdings.com' [wkynard@pepcoholdings.com](mailto:wkynard@pepcoholdings.com); 'Charles.curry@bge.com' [Charles.curry@bge.com](mailto:Charles.curry@bge.com); 'Herb.Reigel@smeco.coop' [Herb.Reigel@smeco.coop](mailto:Herb.Reigel@smeco.coop); 'Ulrich,Keith' [Keith.Ulrich@SMECO.coop](mailto:Keith.Ulrich@SMECO.coop); 'kencrouse@comcast.net' [kencrouse@comcast.net](mailto:kencrouse@comcast.net); 'AZZAM, ABDULKADER' [aa9168@att.com](mailto:aa9168@att.com); 'IBRAHIM, WALID' [wi340d@att.com](mailto:wi340d@att.com); 'sh3700@att.com' [sh3700@att.com](mailto:sh3700@att.com); 'pmartinez@washgas.com' [pmartinez@washgas.com](mailto:pmartinez@washgas.com); Giles, Mary C. [mcgiles@co.pg.md.us](mailto:mcgiles@co.pg.md.us); '\#dsgintake@wsscwater.com' <\#dsgintake@wsscwater.com>; Ray, Bobby [Bobby.Ray@ppd.mncppc.org](mailto:Bobby.Ray@ppd.mncppc.org); Conner, Sherri [sherri.conner@ppd.mncppc.org](mailto:sherri.conner@ppd.mncppc.org); Gupta, Mridula [Mridula.Gupta@ppd.mncppc.org](mailto:Mridula.Gupta@ppd.mncppc.org)
Cc: Summerlin, Cheryl [Cheryl.Summerlin@ppd.mncppc.org](mailto:Cheryl.Summerlin@ppd.mncppc.org); Fairley, Lillian [Lillian.Fairley@ppd.mncppc.org](mailto:Lillian.Fairley@ppd.mncppc.org); Graham, Audrey [Audrey.Graham@ppd.mncppc.org](mailto:Audrey.Graham@ppd.mncppc.org); Townsend, Donald [Donald.Townsend@ppd.mncppc.org](mailto:Donald.Townsend@ppd.mncppc.org); Grigsby, Martin [Martin.Grigsby@ppd.mncppc.org](mailto:Martin.Grigsby@ppd.mncppc.org); Davis, Lisa [Lisa.Davis@ppd.mncppc.org](mailto:Lisa.Davis@ppd.mncppc.org); Hunt, James < James.Hunt@ppd.mncppc.org>; Checkley, Andree [andree.checkley@ppd.mncppc.org](mailto:andree.checkley@ppd.mncppc.org); Lohman, Regina [Regina.Lohman@ppd.mncppc.org](mailto:Regina.Lohman@ppd.mncppc.org); Dozier, Kimberly [Kimberly.Dozier@ppd.mncppc.org](mailto:Kimberly.Dozier@ppd.mncppc.org); Staton, Kenneth [Kenneth.Staton@ppd.mncppc.org](mailto:Kenneth.Staton@ppd.mncppc.org); Hurlbutt, Jeremy [Jeremy.Hurlbutt@ppd.mncppc.org](mailto:Jeremy.Hurlbutt@ppd.mncppc.org); Braden IV, Sam [Sam.BradenIV@ppd.mncppc.org](mailto:Sam.BradenIV@ppd.mncppc.org); 'Anne Dowell' [ADowell@RODGERS.com](mailto:ADowell@RODGERS.com); Sievers, Thomas [Thomas.Sievers@ppd.mncppc.org](mailto:Thomas.Sievers@ppd.mncppc.org); Windsor, Theresa [Theresa.Windsor@ppd.mncppc.org](mailto:Theresa.Windsor@ppd.mncppc.org)
Subject: UPDATE re: ACCEPTANCE Referral - A-10060 Saddle Ridge

FYI - Please find new dates below.

From: ePlan
Sent: Friday, April 16, 2021 2:16 PM
Subject: UPDATE re: ACCEPTANCE Referral - A-10060 Saddle Ridge

## This is an EPlan ACCEPTANCE of A-10060 Saddle Ridge to be reviewed at the Planning Board level scheduled for 7/8/2021.

This case was officially accepted as of April 7, 2021.

SDRC is scheduled for April 30, 2021.

## Referral Due Date (as shown on TSR Due Date matrix) May 17, 2021

- All responses must be emailed to the assigned reviewer and to PGCReferrals@ppd.mncppc.org ;
- attach signed memo's on official letterhead
- attach a signed PDF and Word version of the document.
- The email subject must include: Case number + Case name + Dept + Reviewer initials.
- Please indicate in the body of your email if the attached response is the 1 st , 2 nd or 3 rd


## *Please submit ALL comments to assigned reviewer

Thomas.Sievers@ppd.mncppc.org and to PGCReferrals@ppd.mncppc.org (email).

## Revised Information (55 day) Due Date: May 14, 2021

Click on the hyperlink to view the Acceptance Documents:
https://www.dropbox.com/sh/503u3scwd8nn7dn/AABD529JtCtDcVd9UAfgWNpVa?dl=0 (3-31-
2021)

NOTE: Plans and documents for this case will be available in Dropbox until Planning Board hearing and decision. You may download and save for your records but the plans are not final until conditions are met and the plan is certified.

Thank you,

Randa Lee
Senior Planning Technician, Development Review Division
THE MARYLAND-NATIONAL CAPITAL PARK AND PLANNING COMMISSION
Prince George's County Planning Department
14741 Governor Oden Bowie Drive, Upper Marlboro, MD 20772
301-952-3867 | randar.lee@ppd.mncppc.org



## MEMORANDUM

DATE: January 6, 2022
TO: Thomas Sievers, Senior Planner
Zoning Section
Development Review Division
Planning Department
VIA: Sonja Ewing, Assistant Division Chief SME
Park Planning and Development Division
Department of Parks and Recreation

FROM: Tom Burke, Planner Coordinator TB
Land Acquisition/Management \& Development Review Section
Park Planning and Development Division
Department of Parks and Recreation
SUBJECT: A-9968-03 National Capital Business Park

The Department of Parks and Recreation (DPR) has reviewed and evaluated this third basic plan amendment as it pertains to public parks and recreational facilities.

## PROPOSAL

This application is a petition to amend basic plan, A-9968-02, previously approved by the Prince George's County Zoning Hearing Examiner on March 10, 2021. The project proposes the development of a modern logistics/employment park adjacent to the existing Collington Business Center. This basic plan amendment seeks to allow an increase in the total gross floor area (GFA) of the employment and institutional uses from a maximum of 3.5 million square feet to a maximum 5.5 million square feet.

## BACKGROUND:

The subject property is 442.30 -acres within the Residential Suburban Development ( $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{S}$ ) Zone, a designated comprehensive design zone, and is located on the north side of Leeland Road in Upper Marlboro, approximately 3,178 feet west of its intersection with US 301. The proposed amendment is being filed in accordance with the provisions of the Prince George's County Zoning Ordinance as modified by CB-22-2020. Legislation was adopted by the District Council on July 14, 2020, for the purposes of allowing uses permitting in the

Employment and Institutional Area (E-I-A) Zone on land in the R-S Zone pursuant to Section 27-515(b) of the Zoning Ordinance.

The site is subject to the 2006 Approved Master Plan for Bowie and Vicinity and Sectional Map Amendment for Planning Area 71A, 71B, \& 74B, December 2013, the 2017 Land Preservation, Parks and Recreation Plan for Prince George's County, and Formula 2040, Functional Master Plan for Parks, Recreation and Open Space. This property is currently unimproved and fully wooded.

## DISCUSSION:

The applicant has submitted a request to amend Basic Plan A-9968-02 to allow the approved land use quantities to increase from a maximum of 3.5 million square feet GFA to a maximum of 5.5 million square feet GFA for the warehouse and institutional uses. All previous conditions relating to DPR and the proposed 20-acre park, Collington Branch stream valley trail, and parkland dedication remain valid.

## RECOMMENDATION:

The Park Planning \& Development Division of DPR recommends approval of the National Capital Business Park Basic Plan Amendment, A-9968-03.
cc: Bridget Stesney
Christian Gabriel

# Additional Back-up 

## For

## A-9968-03 <br> National Capital Business Park (a.k.a Willowbrook)

THE<br>MARYLAND-NATIONAL CAPITAL PARK AND PLANNING COMMISSION 14741 Governor Oden Bowie Drive Upper Marlboro, Maryland 20772 www.pgplanning.org

February 8, 2022

## MEMORANDUM

TO: The Prince George's County Planning Board
VIA:
James Hunt, Chief, Development Review Division Ped/
Jeremy Hurlbutt, Supervisor, Zoning Section JDH
Development Review Division

FROM: Thomas Sievers, Senior Planner, Zoning Section
Development Review Division
SUBJECT: A-9968-03, National Capital Business Park
Planning Board Agenda February 10, 2022 - Applicant's Proposed Revisions

Staff received a memo on February 8, 2022, from the applicant's representative, Robert Antonetti, proposing revisions to one of the findings and four conditions. The memo language is contained herein and has been made part of the record. Staff is in agreement with the proposed revisions, as well as the deletions to the four conditions, as follows (underlining indicates new language and [brackets] and strikethrough indicate deleted language):

## Transportation Findings, Page 5

"The traffic study identified 14 background developments whose impact would affect some or all of the study intersections. In addition, a growth of 1.1 percent over six years was also applied to the traffic volumes. In addition to the inclusion of background developments and regional growth, the traffic impact study assumed improvements involving the upgrade to US 301 between MD 214 in the north and MD 4 to the south. Specifically, the improvements would involve a widening of US 301 from four to six through lanes. This improvement appears in the current county Capital Improvement Program (CIP) with full funding within six years. There is a provision in the CIP that the overall cost of $\$ 24.78$ [ $\$ 32$ ] million will be borne by developer contribution. This applicant has agreed to provide his/her commensurate share, which will be determined at future stages of the development review process."

Staff agrees with the proposed revision to the overall CIP cost.

## Revised Conditions

[18. All of the intersections evaluated with this application will be subject to further analyses at the time of the comprehensive design plan phase of the subject development.]
19. The applicant shall provide a network of pedestrian and bikeway facilities internal to the site, unless modified by the Prince George's County Department of Permitting, Inspections and Enforcement, with written correspondence. The exact location and design of said facilities shall be evaluated with future applications.
[20. The applicant shall provide a 10 -foot-wide master plan trail along the Collington Branch Stream. The design of the master plan trail will be evaluated with future applications.]
[21. The applicant and the applicant's heirs, successors, and/or assignees shall construct a minimum 10-foot-wide master plan shared-use path along the subject site frontage of Leeland Road, consistent with AASHTO standards, unless modified by the Prince George's County Department of Permitting, Inspections and Enforcement, with written correspondence.]

Staff agrees with the proposed revisions and deletions to the four conditions.

