

this bill.

Stephen B. Wehrenberg, Human Resource Consultant, submitted a letter strongly urging the Council Members to oppose this bill because the language is "too loose."

The Rev. Fr. William McClean, Jr., Rector of St. George's Church submitted a letter of support for this legislation. He asked the Council "Will you not make a stand also on behalf of our county's children and be bold enough to stand up and be counted and say along with us that 'enough is enough'?"

The Legislative Officer has reviewed this legislation and finds it to be in proper legislative form.

Any revenue from fines and/or penalties created by this legislation will result in positive fiscal impact. Accordingly, there is no reliable data from which to estimate the positive fiscal impact on the County as a result of adopting CB-81-1991.

BACKGROUND INFORMATION/FISCAL IMPACT

(Includes reason for proposal, as well as any unique statutory requirements)

Existing State law does not define prohibited public indecency or public nudity. The proposed bill would define prohibited nudity and prohibit certain public conduct and exposure. The proposed language is nearly identical to the Indiana indecent exposure statute upheld by the Supreme Court in Barnes v. Glen Theatre, Inc., 111 S. Ct. 2456 (1991).