### MEMORANDUM

October 14th, 2010

TO:

Eric Olson, Chair

Transportation, Housing and Environment Committee (THE)

FROM:

Hawi Sanu, Director

Transportation, Housing and Environment Committee (THE)

Howard Stone

Office of Audits and Investigations

THRU

Robert Williams, Council Administrator H.

Prince Georges County Council

SUBJECT: WSSC FY 2012 Spending Control Limits

Montgomery and Prince George's County Councils created a Bi-County Working Group on WSSC Spending Controls to focus on the financial concerns of increasing water and sewer rates, debt, and debt service levels.

### Background

In May of 1993, the Montgomery and Prince George's County Councils created a Bi-County Working Group on WSSC Spending Controls to focus on the financial concerns of increasing water and sewer rates, debt, and debt service levels. This group's January 1994 report recommended "the creation of a Spending Affordability Process that requires the Counties to set annual ceilings on WSSC's rates and debt, and then place corresponding limits on the size of the capital and operating budgets of the Commission."

A Bi-County team was put together and a process was created to control capital, operational, and new debt service costs for the purpose of moderating or avoiding water and sewer bill increases. WSSC's FY 1996 Budget was the first budget to be formulated with the limits set by the spending affordability process. The Spending Affordability Process focused the financial performance discussions on the need to balance affordability considerations against providing resources necessary to serve existing customers, meet environmental mandates, and provide the facilities needed to accommodate growth. The major objectives of this process are to establish the framework for (1) controlling costs, (2) achieving low or moderate water/sewer bill increases, and (3) slowing the rate at which WSSC incurs debt. The impact of this process has been integral in keeping customer bill increases at a stable and reasonable level.

The Spending Affordability process provides a framework within which the Council can review and decide on spending control limits. The limits do not cap what the Councils can approve within the regular budget process that concludes in May of each year.

### Schedule

The FY 2012 Spending Affordability Group met on September 8<sup>th</sup> and 22<sup>nd</sup> 2010. The base case scenario presented at the first meeting, assuming a same services level as FY 2011 yielded a 10.6% rate increase. This scenario represented a 2.1% rate increase above the FY 2011 adopted budget and represented a 2.5% rate increase above the FY 2011 same services scenario during the spending affordability process at the same time last year. An FY 2012 Spending Affordability Scenario which included funding for new and expanded programs translated into a 12.0% rate increase.

### **Historical Data on Spending Control Limits**

Historically, the spending affordability process has worked and the limits have been used as a basis to formulate the Commission's budget. Over the years, certain minimal rate increases were achieved by use of fund balance; staff will urge caution in using a one-time revenue source such as fund balance to reduce rates as this only reassigns expenses to later years and does not eliminate it. The spending affordability process was put in place to review four limits: Rates, Operating Budget, Debt Service Expense and New Debt; however the most reviewed has been the rate increase and the other three limits are then adjusted accordingly.

From FY 1999 through FY 2004, there were no rate increases, in FY 2005 there was a 3% rate increase, FY 2006, 2.5% rate increase, FY 2007 3.0% rate increase and in FY 2008, there was a 6.5% rate increase. In FY 2008, the spending affordability recommended rate was 5.3%; however the actual budget rate increase was 6.5%; In FY 2009 there was a rate increase of 8% with the use of \$5.6 million of fund balance, in FY 2010, there was a rate increase of 9% and in FY 2011, there was an 8.5% rate increase.

### Scenarios

Several scenarios were discussed over the course of the Spending Affordability Process in conjunction with an adjustment to the billing factor and without. The billing factor discussion came about as a result of the past years projected revenues not being reflected in the actual revenues. All scenarios include the use \$7 million funding for the GASB 45 requirement which is an 8 year phase in, and a proposed increase of \$1.9 million in addition to the \$1.5 million operating reserve contribution in order to meet the 10% target that they were trying to attain. The \$1.5 million, which is usually

contributed is insufficient and has shown a regression in the operating reserve rather than a progression toward 10%. A 5% cost of living adjustment / merit for some employees that are eligible for such raises has also been included in all scenarios.

Scenario 1: A same services scenario as last fiscal year with an adjustment of 1.5% to the billing factor. WSSC has been conservatively increasing the billing factor over a period of time, however, the projected revenues based on the proposed and adopted billing factors, have never materialized. The group agreed that it was fiscally prudent to adjust the billing factor so as to get a more accurate picture of the projected revenues. A 10.6 % rate increase adds approximately \$6.35 to an average monthly consumers' bill which means that 1% of the rate increase translates into .64 cents per month on an average consumers' bill.

Scenario 1 yielded a 10.6% rate increase. (Attachment A)

A further breakdown of the rate increase component between FY-2011 and

FY2012 - same services is attached. (Attachment B)

<u>Scenario 2</u>: is exactly as Scenario 1 without the adjustment to the billing factor and therefore assuming more revenues, yielded a rate increase of 9.1%. (Attachment C)

Scenario 3: shows a same services level as in FY 2011; and all assumptions in scenario 1, and an inclusion of all <u>mandatory</u> new and expanded programs and yields a rate increase of 12.0%. In light of the economic climate, the group had discussions to review the new and expanded programs and determine which of them are mandatory and which ones are not. (Attachment D)

A further breakdown of the rate increase component between FY-2011 and FY2012 – with new and expanded programs is attached. (Attachment E)

(Attachment G) is a consolidated list of all new and expanded programs projected within the spending affordability process in a prioritized order.

<u>Scenario 4:</u> represents a rate increase of 7% which adds approximately \$4.18 to an average consumer's bill.

(Attachment H)

<u>Scenario 5:</u> The debt to equity ratio will become a concern in the next two to three years as it moves to 40%. This will impact WSSC's borrowing ability as they will not be deemed favorable in terms of credit worthiness. (Attachment I)

### **Council Staff Recommendations**

- Council Staff recommends Scenario 4 which is the rate increase of 7.0%.
- Council Staff recommends that Capital Projects must be conservatively reviewed so as not to incur debts for projects that are not expensed in the budget year projected; these projected costs are rolled into rate increases during the budget process.
- The Commission must consider reducing expenditures a cancellation of merits and Colas, by furloughs, reduction in force and freezing of vacancies rather than raising rates.
- Council Staff suggests that Consent Decree items be stretched out over longer periods of time so as to lessen the impact on consumer rates.

ASSUMPTIONS
WSSC's Multi-Year Financial Forecast
FY 2012 thru 2017 Forecast: Preliminary (Revised)

Reconstruction Completion Factor	Blue Plains Sewer Construction Completion Factor	Sewer Construction Completion Factor	Water Construction Completion Factor	Construction Inflation	CAPITAL EXPENDITURES RELATED PARAMETERS	Bond Life for Water and Sewer Bonds (yrs)	Long-Term Bond Interest Rate	Short-term Construction Note Rate	BOND FUNDS	Capital Programs	Operating Program	Work Years / FTE \$s	Sewer REDO (\$ thousands)	Water REDO (\$ thousands)	GASB 045 Expense	All Other - % Annual Increase	Blue Plains (Regional Sewage Disposal) Rate of Increase	Sewer (\$ thousands)	Heat, Light & Power Annual Expenses (includes savings from Energy Performance Program)  Water (\$ thousands)	Salaries & Wages Rate of Increase	OPERATING FUNDS	Yearly Growth Increment (MGD) Estimated Annual Average Water Production (MGD)	WATER PRODUCTION	
100%	80%	80%	80%	3.0%		19	6.0%	4.0%				ı	5,500	5,500	7,000	5.00%	3.7%	12,944	15.821	5.0%		170.0		FY 2012 Proposed
100%	80%	80%	80%	3.0%		19	6.0%	4.0%		ä	·		5,500	5,500	8,000	5.00%	3.7%	11,834	14.463	5.0%		0.5 170.5		FY 2013 Estimate
100%	80%	800%	80%	3.0%		19	6.0%	4.0%		()	ï	•	5,200	5,300	9,000	5.00%	3.7%	12,563	15.354	5.0%		0.5 171.0		FY 2014 Estimate
100%	80%	200%	80%	3.0%		19	6.0%	4.0%			,		5,200	5,300	10,000	5.00%	3.7%	13,339	16,304	5.0%		0.5 171.5		FY 2015 Estimate
100%	80%	80%	80%	3.0%		19	6.0%	4.0%		Ť	ī	ĝ.	5,000	5,000	10,000	5.00%	3.7%	14,163	17,310	5.0%		0.5 172.0		FY 2016 Estimate
100%	80%	80%	80%	3.0%		19	6.0%	4.0%			ï	•	5,000	5,000	10,000	5.00%	3.7%	15,062	18,409	5.0%		0.5 172.5		FY 2017 Estimate

ATTACHMENT A

## WSSC's Multi-Year Financial Forecast: Combined Water/Sewer Operating Funds Summary FY 2012 thru 2017 Forecast: Preliminary (Revised)

		17	16	5	14		13 [	_	12	⇉	10	9 0	8 7	a		4 n	m	ယ	2 -	~	
Impact of Rate Increase on Average Residential Monthly Bill	NOTE:	New Debt	Debt Service Expense	Operating Budget	Rate Increase		Debt Service Ratio (debt service / budget)	Water Production (MGD)	Revenue Gap (Revenue - Expenses)	Total Expenses	Unspecified reductions	Adjustments to Expenses (SDC Debt Service Offset, REDO)	Additional Operating Reserve Contribution	במני ספו <i>א</i> וכם	Debt Conico	Maintenance & Operating Regional Sewage Disposal	Expenses	Total Revenue	Water & Sewer Rate Revenue All Other Sources	Revenue	
\$4.60	FY 2011	249,374	174,454	\$530,477	8.5%	FY 2011	32.9%	170.0	•	\$530,477		(13,898)	1,500	1,10	174 454	320,708 47,713		530,477	51,659	9	FY 2011 Approved
\$6.35	FY 2012	325,285	196,290	\$572,980	10.6%	FY 2012	34.3%	170.0	(50, 158)	\$572,980	ı	(13,293)	3,400		196 290	49.478		522,822	51,186	71	FY 2012 Proposed
\$6.07	FY 2013	401,889	232,144	\$623,711	9.2%	FY 2013	37.2%	170.5	(48,084)	\$623,711		(13, 192)	4,100		232 144	51,309		575,626	52,297	3	FY 2013 Estimate
\$6.15	FY 2014	341,560	264,929	\$672,014	8.5%	FY 2014	39.4%	171.0	(48,868)	\$672,014	ı	(11,928)	1,500		264.929	53,207		623,146	50,057	\$E70 000	FY 2014 Estimate
\$4.95	FY 2015	284,930	288,948	\$713,838	6.3%	FY 2015	40.5%	171.5	(39,452)	\$713,838	ı	(11,667)	1,500		288,948	55,176	200	674,387	50,611	277 277	FY 2015 Estimate
\$5.50	FY 2016	293,573	313,130	\$759,845	6.6%	FY 2016	41.2%	172.0	(43,952)	69	1	(10,728)	1,500	ui <sup>a</sup>	313,130	57,218	200	715,893	50,732	200	FY 2016 Estimate
\$5.59	FY 2017	307,784	337,749	\$806,965	6.3%	FY 2017	41.9%	172.5	(44,032)	\$806,965		(10,207)	1,500		337,749	59,335	100	762,133	50,959	6744 474	FY 2017 Estimate

## WSSC's Multi-Year Financial Forecast : Combined Water/Sewer Operating Funds Summary FY 2012 thru 2017 Forecast : Preliminary (Revised)

Estimated Revenues and Expenditures (\$1,000)

28	8	23	24 25	20 21 23	13 14 15 19 16 17 22	12	110	o 5	νω
Revenue - Expenditure Gap before rate increase Rate increase	Less: SDC Debt Service Offset Reconstruction Debt Service Offset NET EXPENSES	TOTAL GROSS EXPENSES (Operating & Financial)	Debt Service Debt Reduction (PAYGO)	Unspecified reductions Unspecified reduction of future year's expenditure base Total Operating Expenses	EXPENDITURES  Salaries and Wages  Salaries and Wages based on Workyear Adjustment  Heat, Light and Power  Regional Sewage Disposal  All Other  All Other based on New & Expanded Programs  Additional Operating Reserve Contribution	FUNDS AVAILABLE	Adjustments to Revenue Use of Fund Balance Less Rate Stabilization Adjustments to Total Revenue	Products & Technology Miscellaneous Total Revenue	REVENUE  Water / Sewer Use Charges Account Maintenance Fee (Ready to Serve Charge) Interest Income Plumbing/Inspection Fees Rockville Sewer Use
8.5%	(2,398) (11,500) 530,477	544,375	174,454	369,921	93,483 - 27,819 47,713 199,406 - 1,500	530,477	3,181	13,547 527,296	FY 2011 <u>Approved</u> \$478,818 22,850 4,000 5,823 2,258
(50,159) 10.6%	(2,293) (11,000) 572,980	586,273	196,290	389,983	98,158 - 28,765 49,478 210,182 - 3,400	522,822	3,400 - 3,400	12,760 519,422	FY 2012 <u>Proposed</u> \$471,636 22,850 4,000 5,823 2,353
(48,084) 9.2%	(2,192) (11,000) 623,711	636,903	232,144	404,758	103,067 26,297 51,309 219,985 4,100	575,626	4,100 - 4,100	12,970 571,526	FY 2013 <u>Estimate</u> \$523,329 22,900 4,050 5,873 2,404
(48,868) 8.5%	(1,428) (10,500) 672,014	683,942	264,929	419,013	108,222 - 27,917 53,207 228,167 - 1,500	623,146	1,500 - 1,500	13,290 621,646	FY 2014 <u>Estimate</u> \$573,089 22,900 4,050 5,873 2,444
(39,452) (43,4 6.3% 6 ATTACHMENT A	(1,167) (10,500) 713,838	725,505	288,948	436,557	113,634 - 29,643 55,176 236,604 - 1,500	674,387	1,500 1,500	13,700	FY 2015 Estimate \$623,776 22,950 4,100 5,923 2,438
(43,952) 6.6% IENT A	(728) (10,000) 759,845	770,573	313,130	457,443	119,317 31,473 57,218 247,935	715,893	1,500	13,700 714,393	FY 2016 Estimate \$665,161 22,950 4,100 5,973 2,509
(44,832) 6.3%	(207) (10,000) 806,965	817,172	337,749	479,422	125,284 - 33,471 59,335 259,832 - 1,500	762,133	1,500	13,750 760,633	FY 2017 Estimate \$711,174 23,000 4,150 6,023 2,536

Water & Sewer Capital and Bond Funds Summary - Report

WSSC Multi-Year Financial Forecast (Spending Affordability -- Preliminary (Revised)): FYs 2012 thru 2017

Estimated Expenditures and Funding (\$1,000)

Capital Expenditures         FY 2011         FY 2012         FY 2013         FY 2014         FY 2015         FY 2016         Estimate	18	17	15 14	3 2	3 =		10	9	8	7	6	ហ	4	w	2 -		
FY 2011         FY 2012         FY 2013         FY 2014         FY 2015         FY 2016         FY 2016 <t< td=""><td>Total Funds Available</td><td>Previous Year's Funds Available after Construction</td><td>PAYGO Grants - Federal &amp; State (includes ENR Grants) Developers and Government Contrib. (w/ scaling, completion &amp; inflation index factors)</td><td>System Development Charges (w/ scaling, completion &amp; inflation index factors)</td><td>Bond Issues</td><td>Funding</td><td>Total Capital Funding Required</td><td>EPP &amp; Water Storage Facility Rehab</td><td>Sewer Reconstruction</td><td>Information Only Projects (@ 100% completion) Water Reconstruction</td><td>Subtotal - Capital Expenditures w/ scaling, completion, &amp; inflation index factors</td><td>Subtotal - Capital Expenditures less unspecified SAG capital spending reductions</td><td>SAG Adjustments (unspecified capital spending reductions)</td><td>Additional High Probability Future CIP Projects</td><td>Water &amp; Sewer CIP Projects Information Only Projects (@ &lt; 100% completion)</td><td>Capital Expenditures</td><td></td></t<>	Total Funds Available	Previous Year's Funds Available after Construction	PAYGO Grants - Federal & State (includes ENR Grants) Developers and Government Contrib. (w/ scaling, completion & inflation index factors)	System Development Charges (w/ scaling, completion & inflation index factors)	Bond Issues	Funding	Total Capital Funding Required	EPP & Water Storage Facility Rehab	Sewer Reconstruction	Information Only Projects (@ 100% completion) Water Reconstruction	Subtotal - Capital Expenditures w/ scaling, completion, & inflation index factors	Subtotal - Capital Expenditures less unspecified SAG capital spending reductions	SAG Adjustments (unspecified capital spending reductions)	Additional High Probability Future CIP Projects	Water & Sewer CIP Projects Information Only Projects (@ < 100% completion)	Capital Expenditures	
FY 2012         FY 2013         FY 2014         FY 2015         FY 2016         FY Proposed         Estimate         <	425	35	57 13	56	249		425	10	69	64		351			r.a	FY 20 Appro	
FY 2012         FY 2013         FY 2014         FY 2015         FY 2016         FY 2016 <t< td=""><td>419</td><td>,174</td><td>974 ,586</td><td>186</td><td>125</td><td></td><td>419</td><td>,252</td><td>445</td><td>485</td><td>,237</td><td>544</td><td></td><td>•</td><td>693</td><td>ved</td><td></td></t<>	419	,174	974 ,586	186	125		419	,252	445	485	,237	544		•	693	ved	
FY 2013         FY 2014         FY 2015         FY 2016         FY Estimate																무정	
FY 2013         FY 2014         FY 2015         FY 2016         FY 2016         FY Estimate         1           75,253	507,873	_	70,464 15,075	79,928	325,285 17 120		507,873	6,325	49,560	65,860	386,128	468,600		1	452,804 15,796	2012 posed	
FY 2014         FY 2015         FY 2016         FY           Estimate         Estimate         Estimate         Estimate         Estimate           \$ 324,812         \$ 158,647         \$ 144,520         \$ 1           \$ 324,812         \$ 158,647         \$ 144,520         \$ 1           \$ 324,812         \$ 158,647         \$ 144,520         \$ 1           \$ 34,612         \$ 24,809         24,269         \$ 1           \$ 343,975         \$ 183,456         \$ 168,789         \$ 1           \$ 300,697         \$ 165,186         \$ 156,539         \$ 1           \$ 300,697         \$ 165,186         \$ 156,539         \$ 1           \$ 300,697         \$ 165,186         \$ 156,539         \$ 1           \$ 300,697         \$ 165,186         \$ 156,539         \$ 1           \$ 4,541         94,632         104,161         1           \$ 456,936         337,362         345,265         3           \$ 341,560         284,930         293,573         3           \$ 17,977         14,996         15,451           \$ 5,820         3         3           \$ 1,560         2,105         3           \$ 2,105         2         1																E J	
FY 2015 FY 2016 FY  Estimate Estimate Est  \$ 158,647 \$ 144,520 \$ 1 24,809 24,269 24,269  183,456 168,789 1 \$ 165,186 \$ 156,539 \$ 1 94,632 104,161 1 71,395 79,565 6,149 5,000 337,362 345,265 3 284,930 293,573 3 14,996 15,451 12,271 5,820 23,604 28,315 1,560 2,105 23,604 28,315 1,560 2,105 337,362 345,265 3	585,223	2	63,248 11,265	87,667	401,889 21 152		585,223	7,310	57,021	74,958	445,934	525,418			510,074 15,344	2013 timate	
FY 2015 FY 2016 FY  Estimate Estimate Est  \$ 158,647 \$ 144,520 \$ 1 24,809 24,269 24,269  183,456 168,789 1 \$ 165,186 \$ 156,539 \$ 1 94,632 104,161 1 71,395 79,565 6,149 5,000 337,362 345,265 3 284,930 293,573 3 14,996 15,451 12,271 5,820 23,604 28,315 1,560 2,105 23,604 28,315 1,560 2,105 337,362 345,265 3			€.				1				<del>(A</del>				ы	E 3	
FY 2016 FY Estimate Est  \$ 144,520 \$ 1 24,269	456,936	2	36,771 6,145	54,482	341,560 17 977		456,936	7,282	64,416	84,541	300,697	343,975			324,812 19,163	1 2014 timate	
FY 2016 FY Estimate Est  \$ 144,520 \$ 1 24,269							1				49		Ī		69	E 및	
\$   FY	337,362	2	23,604 1,560	12,271	284,930		337,362	6,149	71,395	94,632	165,186	183,456			158,647 24,809	2015 timate	
\$   FY								1			69		1		69	[J] T	
	345,265		28,315 2,105	5,820	293,573		345,265	5,000	79,565	104,161	156,539	168,789			144,520 24,269	Y 2016 stimate	
stimate 136,788 17,798 17,798 1147,668 1147,668 114,173 88,162 5,000 355,003 28,691 1,798 1 355,003							1	1			G		1		69	lm n	
	355,003	_	28,691 1,798	530	307,784		355,003	5,000	88,162	114,173	147,668	154,586			136,788 17,798	Y 2017 stimate	

19

Funds Available after Construction

### Rate Increase Components.xls

### Rate Increase Components

	10.63%				
	0.02%	(105,000)	2,293,000	2,398,000	SDC Debt Service Offset
	0.11%	(500,000)	11,000,000	11,500,000	Reconstruction Debt Service Offset
Based on historical miscellaneous revenue	0.15%	(692,000)	20,936,000	21,628,000	Miscellaneous Revenue
Based on projection from WSSC Energy Manager.	0.20%	946,000	28,765,000	27,819,000	Heat, Light & Power
Fifth year of eight year phase-in of GASB 45	0.21%	1,000,000	7,000,000	6,000,000	GASB 45
35	0.36%	(1,681,000)	1	1,681,000	Use of Prior Year Net Revenue to fund EAM/ERP
	0.37%	1,765,000	49,478,000	47,713,000	Regional Sewage Disposal
Assumed 5% increase in Salaries & Wages	0.99%	4,675,000	98,158,000	93,483,000	Salaries & Wages
Decrease in billing factor. No increase in water production.	1.52%	\$ (7,182,000)	\$471,636,000	\$478,818,000	Water & Sewer Revenue
Assumed 5 % increase in All Other Costs	2.07%	9,776,000	203,182,000	193,406,000	All Other
Debt Service is increasing due to increased capital spending assumptions (current & prior).	4.63%	21,836,000	196,290,000	174,454,000	Debt Service
Description	Rate Impact	Dollar Change	FY 2012 Estimate	FY 2011 Approved	

## WSSC's Multi-Year Financial Forecast: Combined Water/Sewer Operating Funds Summary FY 2012 thru 2017 Forecast: Decrease in Billing Factor Offset by Fund Balance

Estimated Revenues and Expenditures (\$1,000)

		16 17	16	14		13 [	_	11 12	10	10 E	7	6	C)	4	ယ	2	_	
Impact of Rate Increase on Average Residential Monthly Bill	NOTE:	Debt Service Expense  New Debt	Operating Budget	Rate increase		Debt Service Ratio (debt service / budget)	Water Production (MGD)	Total Expenses  Revenue Gap (Revenue - Expenses)	Unspecified reductions	Additional Operating Reserve Contribution  Adjustments to Expenses (SDC Debt Service Offset, REDO)	PAYGO	Debt Service	Regional Sewage Disposal	Expenses  Maintenance & Operating	Total Revenue	All Other Sources	Water & Sewer Rate Revenue	Revenue
\$4.60	FY 2011	249,374	\$530,477	8.5%	FY 2011	32.9%	170.0	\$530,477		(13,898)	7 1	174,454	47,713	320,708	530,477	51,659	\$478,818	FY 2011 Approved
\$5.44	FY 2012	325,285	\$572,980	9.1%	FY 2012	34.3%	170.0	\$572,980 (42,976)		(13,293)	3 -	196,290	49,478	337,105	530,004	58,368	\$471,636	FY 2012 Proposed
\$6.98	FY 2013	401,889	\$623,711	10.7%	FY 2013	37.2%	170.5	\$623,711 (55,288)	,	(13,192)	4 100	232,144	51,309	349,349	568,423	52,297	\$516,126	FY 2013 Estimate
\$6.15	FY 2014	341,560	\$674,165	8.5%	FY 2014	39.3%	171.0	(48,868)	ı	(11,928)	3 651	264,929	53,207	364,306	625,297	52,208	\$573,089	FY 2014 Estimate
\$4.95	FY 2015	284,930	\$712,338	6.3%	FY 2015	40.6%	171.5	\$/12,338 (39,452)		(11,667)		288,948	55,176	379,881	672,887	49,111	\$623,776	FY 2015 Estimate
\$5.50	FY 2016	293,573	\$758,345	6.6%	FY 2016	41.3%	172.0	\$/58,345 (43,952)		(10,728)		313,130	57,218	398,725	714,393	49,232	\$665,161	FY 2016 Estimate
\$5.59	FY 2017	307,784	\$805,465	6.3%	FY 2017	41.9%	172.5	(44,832)	-	(10,207)		337,749	59,335	418,587	760,633	49,459	\$711,174	FY 2017 Estimate

## WSSC's Multi-Year Financial Forecast: Combined Water/Sewer Operating Funds Summary FY 2012 thru 2017 Forecast: Decrease in Billing Factor Offset by Fund Balance Estimated Revenues and Expenditures (\$1,000)

28	8		24 25 23	23	20 21	13 14 15 16 17	12	1100	6	O	N & -	
Revenue - Expenditure Gap before rate increase Rate Increase	Less: SDC Debt Service Offset Reconstruction Debt Service Offset  NET EXPENSES	TOTAL GROSS EXPENSES (Operating & Financial)	Debt Service Debt Reduction (PAYGO) Total Financial Expenses	Total Operating Expenses	Unspecified reductions Unspecified reduction of future year's expenditure base	EXPENDITURES  Salaries and Wages Salaries and Wages based on Workyear Adjustment Heat, Light and Power Regional Sewage Disposal All Other All Other based on New & Expanded Programs Additional Operating Reserve Contribution	FUNDS AVAILABLE	Adjustments to Revenue Use of Fund Balance Less Rate Stabilization Adjustments to Total Revenue	Total Revenue	Rockville Sewer Use Products & Technology Miscellaneous	Water / Sewer Use Charges Account Maintenance Fee (Ready to Serve Charge) Interest Income Plumbing/Inspection Fees	REVENUE
8.5%	(2,398) (11,500) 530,477	544,375	174,454 - 174,454	369,921	6.9	93,483 27,819 47,713 199,406 1,500	530,477	3,181	527,296	2,258 - 13,547	\$478,818 22,850 4,000 5,823	FY 2011 Approved
(42,977) 9.1%	(2,293) (11,000) 572,980	586,273	196,290 - 196,290	389,983	i i	98,158 28,765 49,478 210,182 3,400	530,004	10,582	519,422	2,353 12,760	\$471,636 22,850 4,000 5,823	FY 2012 Proposed
(55,288) 10.7%	(2,192) (11,000) 623,711	636,903	232,144	404,758	B 348	103,067 26,297 51,309 219,985 4,100	568,423	4,100	564,323	2,404 - 12,970	\$516,126 22,900 4,050 5,873	FY 2013 Estimate
(48,868) 8.5%	(1,428) (10,500) 674,165	686,093	264,929	421,164		108,222 27,917 53,207 228,167 3,651	625,297	3,651 - 3,651	621,646	2,444 - 13,290	\$573,089 22,900 4,050 5,873	FY 2014 Estimate
(39,452) 6.3% ATTACI	(10,500) (10,500) 712,338	724,005	288,948	435,057		113,634 29,643 55,176 236,604	672,887		672,887	2,438 - 13,700	\$623,776 22,950 4,100 5,923	FY 2015 Estimate
9,452) (43,952) 6.3% 6.6% ATTACHMENT C	(10,000) (158,345	769,073	313,130	455,943	T :40	119,317 31,473 57,218 247,935	714,393	2 2 2	714,393	2,509 - 13,700	\$665,161 22,950 4,100 5,973	FY 2016 Estimate
(44,832) 6.3%	(10,000) (10,465	815,672	337,749	477,922	ř. ř.	125,284 - 33,471 59,335 259,832	760,633	1 1	760,633	2,536 13,750	\$711,174 23,000 4,150 6,023	FY 2017 Estimate

ATTACHMENT C

Water & Sewer Capital and Bond Funds Summary - Report

WSSC Multi-Year Financial Forecast (Spending Affordability -- Decrease in Billing Factor Offset by Fund Balance): FYs 2012 thru 2017 Estimated Expenditures and Funding (\$1,000)

18	17	16 14	13 12 11	į	10	98	1	6	CH	<b>-</b> 0 0 4	*
Total Funds Available	Previous Year's Funds Available after Construction	PAYGO Grants - Federal & State (includes ENR Grants) Developers and Government Contrib. (w/ scaling, completion & inflation index factors)	Bond Issues 5% Debt Buydown of Short-term Construction Notes System Development Charges (w/ scaling, completion & inflation index factors)	Funding	Total Capital Funding Required	Sewer Reconstruction Sewer Reconstruction EPP & Water Storage Facility Rehab	Information Only Projects (@ 100% completion)	Subtotal - Capital Expenditures w/ scaling, completion, & inflation index factors	Subtotal - Capital Expenditures less unspecified SAG capital spending reductions	Water & Sewer CIP Projects Information Only Projects (@ < 100% completion) Additional High Probability Future CIP Projects SAG Adjustments (unspecified capital spending reductions)	Capital Expenditures
425,419	35,174	57,974 13,586	249,374 13,125 56,186		425,419	69,445	64 485	\$ 281,237	351,544	\$ 332,851 18,693	FY 2011 Approved
507,873	_	70,464 15,075	325,285 17,120 79,928		507,873	49,560	85 860	\$ 386,128	468,600	\$ 452,804 15,796	FY 2012 Proposed
585,223	2	63,248 11,265	401,889 21,152 87,667		585,223	57,021 7,310	74 958	\$ 445,934	525,418	\$ 510,074 15,344	FY 2013 Estimate
456,936	2	36,771 6,145	341,560 17,977 54,482		456,936	64,416 7,282	84 541	\$ 300,697	343,975	\$ 324,812 19,163	FY 2014 Estimate
337,362	2	23,604 1,560	284,930 14,996 12,271		337,362	71,395	94 632	\$ 165,186	183,456	\$ 158,647 24,809	FY 2015 Estimate
345,265		28,315 2,105	293,573 15,451 5,820	8	345,265	79,565	104 161	\$ 156,539	168,789	\$ 144,520 24,269	FY 2016 Estimate
355,003	_	28,691 1,798	307,784 16,199 530		355,003	88,162 5,000	114 173	\$ 147,668	154,586	\$ 136,788 17,798	FY 2017 Estimate

19

# WSSC's Multi-Year Financial Forecast: Combined Water/Sewer Operating Funds Summary FY 2012 thru 2017 Forecast: Preliminary w/ Additional & Reinstated Programs Estimated Revenues and Expenditures (\$1,000)

21		20	19	18	17		16	15		ដ	12	1	10	9	8	7	6	Ch	4	မ	2	2		
Impact of Rate Increase on Average Residential Monthly Bill	NOTE:	New Debt	Debt Service Expense	Operating Budget	Rate Increase		Debt Service Ratio (debt service / budget)	Water Production (MGD)	Revenue Gap (Revenue - Expenses)	Total Expenses	Unspecified reductions	Adjustments to Expenses (SDC Debt Service Offset, REDO)	Additional Operating Reserve Contribution	PAYGO	Debt Service	Regional Sewage Disposal	Maintenance & Operating	Expenses	Total Revenue	All Other Sources	Water & Sewer Rate Revenue	2 Revenue		
\$4.60	FY 2011	249,374	174,454	\$530,477	8.5%	FY 2011	32.9%	0.07	r	\$530,477		(13,898)	1,500		174,454	47,713	320,708		530,477	51,659	\$478,818	Davoiddy	1102 AH	
\$7.19	FY 2012	325,285	196,290	\$579,600	12.0%	FY 2012	33.9%	0.07	(56,778)	\$579,600		(13,293)	3,400		196,290	49,478	343,725		522,822	51,186	\$471,636	Flobosed	P-2012	
\$6.11	FY 2013	401,889	232,144	\$630,663	9.1%	FY 2013	36.8%	1/0.5	(48,397)	\$630,663		(13,192)	4,100	T	232,144	51,309	356,301		582,265	52,297	\$529,968	Estillate	FY 2013	
\$6.19	FY 2014	341,560	264,929	\$679,314	8.5%	FY 2014	39.0%	1/1.0	(49,195)	\$679,314	1	(11,928)	1,500		264,929	53,207	371,606		630,119	50,057	\$580,062	Estillate	F7 2014	
\$4.99	FY 2015	284,930	288,948	\$721,504	6.3%	FY 2015	40.0%	7/1.5	(39,796)	\$721,504	3	(11,667)	1,500	1	288,948	55,176	387,547		681,708	50,611	\$631,097	Estilliate	51.07 A H	
\$5.55	FY 2016	293,573	313,130	\$767,895	6.6%	FY 2016	40.8%	1/2.0	(44,313)	\$767,895	31	(10,728)	1,500	1	313,130	57,218	406,775		723,581	50,732	\$672,849	Estilliate	91.07 A-1	
\$5.64	FY 2017	307,784	337,749	\$815,419	6.3%	FY 2017	41.4%	7/2,5	(45,212)	\$815,419	ı	(10,207)	1,500	OF S	337,749	59,335	427,041		770,207	50,959	\$719,248	Estillidie	Fortmoto	7

### WSSC's Multi-Year Financial Forecast : Combined Water/Sewer Operating Funds Summary FY 2012 thru 2017 Forecast : Preliminary w/ Additional & Reinstated Programs

1,

7. . . . . . .

Estimated Revenues and Expenditures (\$1,000)

32	31	29 30	28	27	25 26	24	22	20	19	18	17 0	ត់ភ	14	13	12	± 5 6		80	7	ם מ	4 1	ယ ၊	v	-	
Revenue - Expenditure Gap before rate increase Rate Increase	NET EXPENSES	Less: SDC Debt Service Offset Reconstruction Debt Service Offset	TOTAL GROSS EXPENSES (Operating & Financial)	Total Financial Expenses	Debt Service Debt Reduction (PAYGO)	Total Operating Expenses	Unspecified reductions Unspecified reduction of future year's expenditure base	All Other - Additional & Reinstated Programs  Additional Operating Reserve Contribution	All Other	Regional Sewage Disposal	Heat, Light and Power	Salaries and Wages - Additional & Reinstated Programs	EXPENDITURES	FUNDS AVAILABLE	Adjustments to Total Revenue	Use of Fund Balance Less Rate Stabilization	Adjustments to Revenue	Total Revenue	Miscellaneous	Products & Technology	Plumbing/Inspection Fees	Interest Income	Water / Sewer Use Charges Account Maintenance Fee (Ready to Serve Charge)	REVENUE	
8.5%	530,477	(2,398) (11,500)	544,375	174,454	174,454	369,921	848 (1	1,500	199,406	47,713	27,819	93,483		530,477	3,181	3,181		527,296	13,547	2,258	5,823	4,000	\$478,818 22,850	Approved	EV 2011
(56,778) 12.0%	579,600	(2,293) (11,000)	592,893	196,290	196,290	396,603	30.3	4,274 3,400	210,182	49,478	28,765	98,158 2,346		522,822	3,400	3,400		519,422	12,760	2,353	5,823	4,000	\$471,636 22,850	Proposed	EV 2012
(48,397) 9.1%	630,663	(2,192) (11,000)	643,855	232,144	232,144	411,710	100 0	4,488 4,100	219,985	51,309	26,297	103,067		582,265	4,100	4,100		578,165	12,970	2,404	5,873	4,050	\$529,968	Estimate	EV 2013
(49,195) 8.5%	679,314	(1,428) (10,500)	691,242	264,929	264,929	426,313	ев	4,712 1,500	228,167	53,207	27,917	108,222		630,119	1,500	1,500		628,619	13,290	2,444	5,873	4,050	\$580,062	Estimate	EV 2014
(39,796) 6.3%	721,504	(1,167) (10,500)	733,171	288,948	288,948	444,223	ę a	4,948 1,500	236,604	55,176	29,643	113,634 2 718		681,708	1,500	1,500		680,208	13,700	2,438	5,923	4,100	\$631,097 22,950	Estimate	EV 2015
(44,313) 6.6%	767,895	(728) (10,000)	778,623	313,130	313,130	465,493	<b>1</b> 0 ( <b>1</b>	5,196 1,500	247,935	57,218	31,473	119,317 2,854		723,581	1,500	1,500		722,081	13,700	- 2 2 2 3	5,973	4,100	\$672,849 22.950	Estimate	EV 2016
(45,212) 6.3%	815,419	(207) (10,000)	825,626	337,749	337,749	487,876	t: A	5,456 1,500	259,832	59,335	33,471	125,284		770,207	1,500	1,500		768,707	13,750	2,030	6,023	4,150	\$719,248 23,000	Estimate	EV 2017

ATTACHMENT E

### Rate Increase Components

	12.04%				
	0.02%	(105,000)	2,293,000	2,398,000	SDC Debt Service Offset
	0.11%	(500,000)	11,000,000	11,500,000	Reconstruction Debt Service Offset
Based on historical miscellaneous revenue	0.15%	(692,000)	20,936,000	21,628,000	Miscellaneous Revenue
Based on projection from WSSC Energy Manager.	0.20%	946,000	28,765,000	27,819,000	Heat, Light & Power
Fifth year of eight year phase-in of GASB 45	0.21%	1,000,000	7,000,000	6,000,000	GASB 45
	0.36%	(1,681,000)	v.	1,681,000	Use of Prior Year Net Revenue to fund EAM/ERP
	0.37%	1,765,000	49,478,000	47,713,000	Regional Sewage Disposal
	0.65%	3,048,343	3,048,343		New Positions
	0.76%	3,570,219	3,570,219		Additional & Reinstated Programs
Assumed 5% increase in Salaries & Wages	0.99%	4,675,000	98,158,000	93,483,000	Salaries & Wages
Decrease in billing factor. No increase in water production.	1.52%	\$ (7,182,000)	\$471,636,000	\$478,818,000	Water & Sewer Revenue
Assumed 5 % increase in All Other Costs	2.07%	9,776,000	203,182,000	193,406,000	All Other
Debt Service is increasing due to increased capital spending assumptions (current & prior).	4.63%	21,836,000	196,290,000	174,454,000	Debt Service
Description	Rate Impact	Dollar Change	FY 2012 Estimate	FY 2011 Approved	

### WSSC FY 2012 ADDITIONAL & REINSTATED PROGRAM REQUESTS SUMMARY

### Program: PLANT OPERATIONS

Patuxent Plant

Request: 1 Sr. Water Plant Operator, 1 Facility Technician Cost including benefits: \$135,070, Water/Sewer Impact: \$135,070 Justification:

There are a total of 13 operators/facility technicians in the Patuxent Group. Given that it requires two staff members to cover each shift, often times the dayshift is sparsely covered, thus causing supervisors to perform operational and/or maintenance functions. Currently, 5 Sr. Water Plant operators and one Facility Technician are charged with covering plant operations between the hours of 3:00 pm and 6:30 am, as well as weekends and holidays. The current Sr. Operator is responsible for covering these "off-hour" shifts, often by working overtime. Fatigue is becoming a factor within the Sr. Operator ranks. In a self-perpetuating cycle, many of them work the necessary double shifts then need to take off in order to recuperate, requiring someone else to work a double shift in their place.

The Patuxent Plant's Phase II expansion and the addition of the new UV system will test the already stretched Plant workforce. Relief is necessitated in the form of at least one Sr. Water Plant Operator and one Facility Technician I. Without the addition of these new positions, overtime costs will continue to rise. The Plant may also begin to experience subtle slips in productivity as staff attempts to work a growing number of elongated hours.

### Operations Support

Request: Contamination Rapid Response Team Call Back Duty Program

Cost: \$50,000, Water/Sewer Impact: \$50,000

Justification:

The Contamination Rapid Response Team (CRRT) was created to properly respond to water system contamination events such as those caused by terrorist, criminal, or accidental acts involving toxic and/or bacteriological agents. Presently, there is no plan or program in place to reliably ensure that CRRT personnel will be available at all times (24/7/365) to respond to a security breach event requiring mobile testing. The WSSC Internal Security Task Force (ISTF) is requesting that an after hours standby duty program be established, and modeled after the other Production Team Standby Duty Programs, to insure the availability and prompt response of two CRRT members at all times.

### Operations Support

Request: 1 Sr. Mechanical Engineer

Cost including benefits: \$95,550 Water/Sewer Impact: \$95,550

Justification:

The Energy Manager has been working independently for many years, due to staffing levels. This has exposed the Commission to a serious succession management problem should the Energy Manager unexpectedly leave the Commission. In addition, the duties have expanded due to the increasing number of energy saving programs. The Energy Manager's responsibilities include investigating and administering bulk power procurements with other consortium members, monitoring and reporting to management proposed and actual changes in state power regulations, investigating and pursuing federal, state, and local utility grants for energy conservation, projecting WSSC's power requirements and preparing associated cost estimates, designing and maintaining the Commission's renewable energy portfolio plans and researching and participating in the implementation of energy saving projects, including wind, solar, and anaerobic digestion/combined heat and power.

In light of the increasing duties and the associated succession risk exposure, a new Sr. Mechanical Engineer position is requested. This position would also assist in supporting the Production Team's Internal Security Task Force as its workload increases.

Systems Control

Request: 1 Electrical/Mechanical Supervisor

Cost including benefits: \$102,050, Water/Sewer Impact: \$102,050

Justification:

The Chief Water Distribution Operator has 15 direct reports. He is also responsible for overseeing day to day operations, work assignments, the coordination of new facilities going on line, verifying rehabilitation contracts are ready to return to service, and covers emergency duty for water operations. In addition, administrative workload has increased significantly during the last five years. An Electrical/Mechanical Supervisor would provide better oversight and coordination of employees' electrical work and the electrical skill sets being developed for succession planning. The workyear would also allow for the review and development of better preventive maintenance work requirements and would be available to implement the Asset Management Utility Master Plan.

Industrial Assets Management

Request: 1 Electrical/Mechanical Technician

Cost including benefits: \$69,420, Water/Sewer Impact: \$69,420

Justification:

The addition of an Electrical/Mechanical Technician is requested due to an increased workload within the Electrical/Mechanical Unit. The increased workload, including bank testing and total discharging of all microwave battery sites and additional load banking of new generator sites along with the increasing number of Preventive and Predictive Maintenance tasks cannot be accomplished with the existing Electrical/Mechanical staff. This position was requested as an expanded activity for FY10 and was approved for inclusion in the budget request. However, the position was subsequently not funded due to budget reductions that year.

Request: 570kVA Generator

Cost: \$150,000, Water/Sewer Impact: \$18,750

Justification:

The new portable 570kVA generator is being requested due to increased demand for portable generators. On multiple occasions last year, Industrial Asset Management's entire fleet of portable generators was deployed at the same time supporting power reliability upgrades, elevated tank renovations and providing stand-by power while site generators and breakers were being repaired. This left no available units to support any power outages that may occur due to feeder outages or additional site generator failures. The shortage of available portable generators has already placed our facilities at risk of overflows and system disruptions when extreme weather events have hit our area. It becomes difficult to find an available rental unit in the correct size to fill our needs, especially when power outages affect our entire region.

Fuel Management

Request: Generator Fuel Management Program (Contract)

Cost: \$150,000, Water/Sewer Impact: \$150,000

Justification:

Industrial Assets Management is proposing to initiate a Fuel Management Program (FMP) to support the nearly 70 site and portable generator fuel systems across the Commission. Diesel fuel degrades over time, where it can separate, suffer water contamination, accumulate sediment and gums, or even grow micro-organisms. This program would address these potential problems through monitoring of fuel condition, treatment of fuel to remove contaminates, and the addition of additives to restore fuel to a useable condition. WSSC has already experienced problems with contaminated diesel fuel at several of its generator sites where fuel purification measures had to be deployed. The expected benefits of this program include increased reliability, less stack smoking, and reduced maintenance of filters and engine components on all of WSSC's site and portable generators.

### Program: PCCP AND TRANSMISSION MAIN INSPECTION

Request: 5 Utility Technicians

Cost including benefits: \$293,150, Water/Sewer Impact: \$293,150

Justification:

### PCCP inspection

With the increased mileage of planed Prestressed Concrete Cylinder Pipe (PCCP) inspection and the ever increasing water main break rate the Customer Care Team cannot support the level of service needed for both programs with present staff. WSSC used to have a large transmission water main inspection program. This program did not go to the extent of dewatering and internal inspection that the PCCP program is required to do.

It is expected to have at least 12 -16 miles of the PCCP inspection per winter season, specifically between October and June. To complete a typical 12-mile project with devoted crews, it can be expected about 15,000 hours needed for 9 months long. In practice, the inspection crews need to work more than 8-hour shift due to arriving and preparing job site prior to the inspection team. The overtime work (more than 8-hour shift) is unavoidable to accommodate the inspection staffs as well as meeting the in-service date before the high demand in summer season. Based on the scenario above, there would be 8-person crews that would be needed to support a typical 12-mile inspection and there would be approximately 3,000 hours of overtime.

### Non-PCCP transmission main inspection

The large transmission main inspection program was intended to make sure all surface structures on the main were visible and in proper operation condition. This program forces WSSC employees to gain knowledge of the location and operation of all the large water mains in their geographical area. This knowledge put WSSC in the position to be able to rapidly and expertly respond to any situation involving those critical mains. With the reorganization and down sizing that occurred about 10 years ago the staffing needed to handle the transmission main inspection effort was cut. There are about 350 miles of 20 inch and larger transmission size mains in the systems that are not PCCP. The vast majority of these mains are made of cast iron followed by ductile iron and some steel.

Note that 8 Utility Technicians were requested. Our recommendation is to stage in the positions over 2 years; 5 in FY'12, and the balance in FY'13.

### Program: WATER MAIN BEST PRACTICE / SMALL VALVE EXERCISING & REPAIR

Request: 3 Utility Technicians

Cost including benefits: \$175,890, Water/Sewer Impact: \$175,890

Justification:

The potential for system contamination from water main leaks and repair practices and depressurization events came to the forefront as the US EPA was developing new regulations associated with the Total Coliform Rule. Thus, began the increasing regulatory and research focus on distribution systems and water quality, especially during pipe breaks. In 2009, WSSC retained the services of a consulting firm to develop a practical, cost effective, risk-based public health protection program to address WSSC distribution system depressurization events, with an emphasis on repairing broken water mains, especially mains less than 16 inches in diameter.

The study found that WSSC incorporates many best practices as a matter of routine operations and maintenance and there is no evidence of acute problems or imminent public health concerns associated with ongoing repair practices. However, the study found that there are reasonable measures that can be taken to enhance the existing program. The study identified many preventive measures and monitoring procedures that could significantly strengthen the program from a sanitary standpoint. Several best management practices (BMP's) were identified in the report that directly affects the maintenance crew's repair time and level of service. They are:

- Implement and maintain a valve inspection and maintenance program;
- Cleaning repair tools;
- Chlorine swabbing/spraying fittings, valves, pipe prior to installation;
- Documentation of conditions, repair methods, chlorine residuals, valve tagging; and
- Chlorine residual testing and flushing.

Twelve (12) Utility Technicians were requested to support this effort. We recommend staging in this program over 4 years. Three workyears are requested for FY'12.

Approved FY 2012 Additional & Reinstated Requests by Program

### Program: LEAK DETECTION

Request: 2 Utility Technicians

Cost including benefits: \$117,260, Water/Sewer Impact: \$117,260

Justification:

WSSC's current Leak Detection Program consists of a 2-person leak detection crew and coverage is limited. They survey approximately 100 miles a year. The entire water system has over 5,500 miles of pipe. It would take 55 years to survey the entire system at this rate. WSSC is in the process of preparing a Water Conservation Plan as a condition of the Maryland Water Appropriations Permit. The Plan includes several steps, one of which is to identify and select potential water conservation measures. MDE recommends employing a leak detection program to reduce water loss.

The Utility Master Plan also recommends having a leak detection program. It would provide valuable information to the Commission that would likely assist in prioritizing major capital work such as pipeline replacement projects.

Six (6) workyears (as Utility Technicians) were requested to ramp up the leak detection program. This will result in placing a 2-person leak detection crew in each of the remaining depots. Keeping with the goal of 100 miles surveyed per 2 person crew per year, the addition of these three crews would increase the surveyed miles to 400 per year. The whole water main distribution would be surveyed in an estimated 14 years. We recommend staging this expansion over 3 years, thereby adding 2 workyears per year.

### Program: CONSENT DECREE - SEWER DESIGN

Request: 7 Project Managers

Cost including benefits: \$581,880, Water/Sewer Impact: \$0 (Included in CIP)

Justification:

These positions are needed for the Sewer Design Program to support the Consent Decree. This function is transferring from Customer Care. However, based on the required workload, the unit being transferred is severely understaffed and there are no existing workyears within Engineering & Construction to support this function. These positions have been documented in the UMP workyear needs assessment.

### Program: WATER MAIN & VAULT METER REPLACEMENT

Request: 3 Project Managers

Cost including benefits: \$246,220, Water/Sewer Impact: \$0 (Included in CIP)

Justification:

The organization that is currently responsible for designing main replacement is also responsible for the Meter/Pressure Reducing Valve Rehabilitation Program. Prior to the addition of this program, the group was required to design 40 miles of main for FY'11. This program adds a significant workload as it requires a feasibility study, acquisition of rights of way for each vault, and the design of 30 vaults. Also, the Water Main Replacement Program will continue to expand from 40 miles in FY'11 to 61 miles in FY'15.

### Program: UTILITY MASTER PLAN (UMP)

In accordance with the results of Project 6 (Organizational Structure) of the Utility Master Plan, the following workyears were identified as requirements beginning in FY'12:

**Technical Services** 

Request: 4 Workyears (see below)

Cost including benefits: \$397,540, Water/Sewer Impact: \$198,770

Justification:

This request provides for the establishment of the engineering and technical support structure needed to sustain asset management at WSSC under the UMP. Project 6 of the UMP calls for an organizational structure that includes an entity entitled the Center of Excellence. This engineering support and technical team will provide input to various WSSC asset management personnel. The Group will stay current on best practices, engineering and technology advances, and will provide support to the planning, operation and maintenance teams for their asset management functions. The requested positions are as follows:

- One (1) Capital Cost Benefit Advisor This position will incorporate engineering economics into the decision making for capital investment projects prioritized by the UMP asset management plans.
- One (1) Maintenance (Electrical/Mechanical) Engineering Unit Coordinator This position will provide oversight and supervision for the soon to be formed Electrical and Mechanical Engineering Unit. Prior to FY'10, WSSC had only one Electrical and one Mechanical Engineer to support all capital, maintenance and operational needs and they reported directly to the Group Leader. There are now two positions for both functions, all four of which will report to this requested position.
- One (1) Principal Materials Engineer Currently WSSC has no resource with the knowledge base dedicated to evaluating the suitability of existing and new materials for WSSC pipelines and facilities. This position will play an active role in developing project material specifications and will support asset management with material selections and material condition assessment techniques.
- One (1) Sr. Civil Engineer (Pipelines) The growth in the number of Project Managers for pipeline reconstruction projects has significantly out numbered the technical civil pipeline design support needed to assure that the best design practices are being consistently followed. In addition, with the planned expansion of the Systems Enhancement Unit, a significant increase in the requests for civil pipeline design support is expected.

Buried Systems Asset Management Request: 8 Workyears (see below)

Cost including benefits: \$830,310, Water/Sewer Impact: \$830,310

Justification:

The following positions have also been identified as requirements for the UMP:

- Two (2) Buried Asset Strategy Managers These positions will determine the replacement/renewal strategies for water buried infrastructure. They will also develop Systems/Sub-Systems Asset Management Plans, update and refine renewal costs database, and monitor asset lifecycle costs for buried infrastructure.
- One (1) Maintenance Optimization Manager Determines replacement/renewal for wastewater buried infrastructure
- One (1) Asset Management Business Improvement Manager Manages Buried Infrastructure Asset Management Improvement Plan
- One (1) Buried Systems Asset Manager Determines and manages maintenance strategy, standards and procedures for buried infrastructure (including within facilities). Monitors and manages maintenance performance for buried infrastructure.

Approved FY 2012 Additional & Reinstated Requests by Program

 One (1) Water Analysis Unit Coordinator, One (1) Principal Civil Engineer, One (1) Engineering Assistant IV – These positions are needed for the condition assessment of pipes function.

### Program: GEOGRAPHICAL INFORMATION SYSTEM

Request: 1 GIS Program Analyst

Cost including benefits: \$102,050, Water/Sewer Impact: \$102,050

This request includes additional resources to support an expanding and improved GIS Program and the newly formed GIS Init. The Unit's primary role has been to update GIS data in order to depict an accurate graphical representation of our distribution and collection system and to maintain synchronicity with other databases. With the increase in the use of GIS throughout WSSC and an effort to support the Engineering Records effort, the role of the Unit is rapidly expanding. New responsibilities include:

Leading GIS development and application support for all Commission GIS users;

 Coordinating data sharing with both counties and MNCPPC and providing WSSC consultants with GIS data to support their work for the Commission;

Future data layers will include water and sewer house connections and water meters. The new data layers require additional resources to develop and maintain;

With the continual increase in water and sewer pipeline reconstruction and the mapping requirements of our SSO Consent Decree, the Unit's workload demand has increased to input and maintain GIS data.

The resources below are requested as a result of the expansion.

A GIS Program Analyst would undertake the higher level work beyond the data maintenance being done by current staff. This higher level function would include application support, data preparation for consultants, application deployment, training and support, new data development and integration of GIS data with other systems.

Request: New Aerial Photos

Cost: \$100,000, Water/Sewer Impact: \$100,000

Justification:

These funds are to support regional efforts for data development in conjunction with both counties and M-NCPPC. Specifically, WSSC would enter into cost sharing agreements for new orthographic (aerial) photos every two years and regular updates of topographical elevation and property parcel data. This would be a continued additional cost in future years in order to maintain up to date data.

### Program: PERMIT SERVICES

Request: 1 Permit Agent

Cost including benefits: \$69,290, Water/Sewer Impact: \$69,290

Justification:

In anticipation of launching a fully automated permitting system, four positions in the Permit Services Unit were eliminated. In May of 2005, implementation of the application was cancelled. In 2006, only Phase I (Short Form Permits) of the automated system was implemented. The more labor intensive Long Form Permits process was never automated. Under current economic conditions, new construction permits have decreased and Systems Extension projects are 59% below 2007 levels. However, issuance of redevelopment/remodeling permits is higher and Onsite Plan submittals are 76% above 2007 levels. The addition of a Permit Agent is critical to the Unit's ability to maintain reasonable levels of service given the workload and reducing current review/turnaround times (ranging up to 120 days for complex reviews).

### Program: COLLECTIONS

Request: 2 Collections Field Specialists

Cost including benefits: \$117,260, Water/Sewer Impact: \$117,260

Justification:

WSSC collections averaged about \$10 million in FY 2010, with about \$3 million in a "static turned off" state. This request provides for an internal collections effort, with 2 Collections Field solely devoted to collections work. Additionally, we recommend eliminating "same day turn-on" in order to allow greater scheduling flexibility for turn-offs; with the possibility of an additional charge for a "same-day turn-on." 16 positions were requested, 4 for each depot. Our recommendation is to stage this expansion over multiple years after determining the effectiveness of this collection effort.

### Program: SITE UTILITY INSPECTION

Request: 1 Contract Manager and 5 Consultant Inspectors Cost including benefits: \$89,440, Water/Sewer Impact: \$0 Cost of Inspectors: \$500,000, Water/Sewer Impact: \$0 Justification:

Third party inspections are often not occurring (no proof provided) until work has progressed beyond a point that any correction can be done. As a result, WSSC is taking over the inspection of site utility jobs. The work involves the installation of water and sewer systems on property from the property line to 5 feet off of the building. WSSC would be responsible for inspecting the work of the property owner's contractor. This request is cost neutral as a fee for the inspection would be charged to the property owner to recoup all of the WSSC costs for the management and inspection of this work. Based on the amount of site utility work anticipated in FY'12, there is a need to hire 5 additional consultant inspectors and 1 Contract Manager.

### Program: PROPERTY AND RIGHT OF WAY ACQUISITION

Request: 1 Property Acquisition Agent

Cost including benefits: \$78,390, Water/Sewer Impact: \$19,598

Justification:

This request provides for additional support to the Land Unit in the area of property and right of way acquisitions. Services provided by this Unit include land surveying, property and right of way acquisition, and engineering records. The aging infrastructure and resulting increase in water and sewer reconstruction efforts and the requirements from the SSO Consent Decree, have increased the demand for the property and right of way acquisition services which are currently provided by only one agent. In addition, the Unit will also be assisting in the acquiring of rights of way and/or right of entry agreements that are required to meet their sewer reconstruction and rehabilitation requirements as set forth in the Consent Decree. An additional Property Acquisition Agent is needed to maintain acceptable delivery and quality of service.

### Program: MAINTENANCE

Fire Hydrant Painting Program

Cost: \$200,000, Water/Sewer Impact: \$200,000

Justification:

This request is to initiate a contract that improves the preparation and painting process. The Fire Hydrant Painting Program has been cut for at least three years. There are high-performance coating systems (repainting) that can be used on the surfaces of the Commission's fire hydrants. This high performance paint will allow for WSSC to lengthen its painting frequency from the current three years to approximately 10 years. The initial start-up would

require more funding until all fire hydrants have been through the new process. The plan is to utilize our in-house crews to conduct refresher painting.

Request: 1 Maintenance Unit Coordinator

Cost including benefits: \$102,050, Water/Sewer Impact: \$102,050

Justification:

An assessment of the Flexible Worker Program was completed in March 2010. The report indicated the Unit Coordinators are overwhelmed by their workload. Their responsibilities have increased by more participation at the management level of the Commission, training of staff, and oversight of geographically larger field operations. Two of the recommendations from the study are to add one Unit Coordinator and 3 Flexible Workers to each zone group. The additional crew members are to assist with coverage for team members when scheduled for training and to proactively manage the preventive maintenance tasks not getting done in a timely manner. Additionally, the large diameter PCCP inspection program, which involves direct Unit Coordinator oversight, is being reinstated. The Transmission Main Inspection/Large Valve Exercising and Water Main Break BMP's, including an expanded Leak Detection Program will require additional staff if these programs are to be implemented successfully. The potential addition of 26 Utility Technicians will compound the Unit Coordinator overload.

Note that 4 Unit Coordinator positions were requested. Our recommendation is to stage in the positions over 4 years.

### Program: CORROSION MONITORING

Request: Test station monitoring and new database Cost: \$500,000, Water/Sewer Impact: \$500,000 Justification:

Test stations measure how well existing corrosion protection is functioning to ensure the intended useful life of the pipeline is realized. The current level of funding allows for only 145 test stations to be monitored. The goal is to monitor 400 test stations per year. Additional funding is also requested to acquire a new database to replace the existing database that is over 15 years old and no longer functional. With new software in place, the consultant engineer would be able to perform condition assessments using the test station data collected since FY'10 as well as readings taken prior to the start of the Corrosion Monitoring Program. The assessments will determine if there has been a reduction or loss of protective cathodic (corrosion) protection during the years the pipeline has been in service.

### Program: CHEMICAL ROOT CONTROL

Request: \$500,000, Water/Sewer Impact: \$500,000 Justification:

The Consent Decree required cleaning of sewers, which includes jet cleaning and chemical root control. Non-approval of the expansion will result in fewer miles treated. More mileage treated should result in a more immediate reduction of root blockage related SSOs. This is an effort to reduce root blockage related SSOs immediately. The funding for this program dictates how many miles of sewer mains that can be treated. Non-approval of this will not have an impact on future years. It will only extend the time needed to treat 30% to 40% of the collection system,

which is suspected to experience problems with root blockages and intrusion.

### Program: LARGE DIAMETER SEWER MAIN INSPECTION

Request: \$1,500,000, Water/Sewer Impact: \$1,200,000 Justification:

This program is designed to perform closed circuit television, laser and sonar inspections of sewers 15 inches in diameter and larger. The Commission's collection system consists of almost 700 miles of sewers 15 inches in diameter and larger. To date, most of these sewers have not been inspected from manhole to manhole. The Commission's inspection of large diameter sewers to date has been reactive. Partial review of the data collected from the ongoing trunk sewer inspection program, which includes a video lamping of approximately 40 to 80 feet of each pipe, has identified that over 40 miles of these large pipes require complete internal inspection. In addition,

Approved FY 2012 Additional & Reinstated Requests by Program

there are several sections of the 66-inch diameter sewer that failed in Hyattsville in 2008 as well as many sections of large sewers downstream of pump stations throughout the collection system.

Program: LATERAL INSPECTION

Request: \$187,500, Water/Sewer Impact: \$187,000 Justification:

Standard Commission practice for performing sewer lining or pipe bursting is to rehab all the associated sewer laterals. However, the Commission does not currently have a lateral inspection program. Therefore, lateral rehabilitation during pipeline rehabilitation has resulted in some delays in construction and cost increase because of a lack of information. Adding a lateral inspection program will provide the necessary information to allow contractors to submit more accurate bids for lateral rehab. It will also minimize construction delays currently experienced without the lateral inspections. A lateral inspection program will also help with the reduction of inflow and infiltration impacting the collection system through on-property sewer defects.

### Program: INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY

Oracle Master Data Management

Request: \$500,000, Water/Sewer Impact: \$410,000

Justification:

The Master Data Management implementation will identify, create, clean and maintain an accurate list of Master Data pertinent to the Commission's business processes for the successful implementation of its Oracle E-Business Suite and Utilities Enterprise Resource Planning (ERP), Enterprise Asset Management (EAM) and Customer Relationship Management (CRM) solutions. The funding requested for IT to support ERP/EAM/CRM will consist of Professional Services for the following:

- Oracle Standard Benefits build programs/interfaces
- Oracle Learning Management Populate the learning management system with information/content
- Master Data Management Cleanup data from MAPS and other application being migrated to Oracle ERP/EAM.

Network Support

Request: 1 Data Network Engineer

Cost including benefits: \$89,960, Water/Sewer Impact: \$73,767

Justification:

The WSSC Security Network is the largest network at the Commission and currently has no dedicated network support or built-in redundancy. The number of additional devices requiring network support include: 20 INET radios and associated antenna systems; 47 moxa devices; 60 dial-up modems; 2 VDSL modems; 15 telephones (SOC); 23 routers; and 24 switches.

In FY'11 and FY'12, Security Network upgrades are planned to clean up physical cabling; replace router and switches with current, supported CISCO devices, and perform other communication-related repairs as needed. The requested Data Network Engineer will be required for ongoing support, maintenance, and enhancement of the communication functions of the Security Network.

Application Development

Request: 1 Sr. Financial Information Systems (FIS) Support Developer

Cost including benefits: \$107,900, Water/Sewer Impact: \$88,478

Justification:

The 5-year staged plan to add over 11 Oracle modules places demand on application support efforts. This request provides for a Sr. FIS Support Developer to fill some upcoming gaps in the expanding Oracle footprint at WSSC.

Technical target will primarily be the FIS HR environment, expanded to include Learning Management and Benefit data stores. As additional interconnected modules are added to the core application, the scope will be expanded.

### Program: COMMUNICATIONS

Request: WSSC Messaging & Initiatives Resulting from Customer Survey

Cost: \$200,000, Water/Sewer Impact: \$159,800

Justification:

WSSC is conducting a customer survey in FY'11. We expect that the survey will identify areas where we can improve communication to our customers on important issues such as our Infrastructure Rehabilitation/Replacement Program. Paid advertising is a powerful force that can shape attitudes and behaviors of those reached by your message. WSSC currently relies on the media to "advertise" our campaigns for free through stories that we pitch, such as aging infrastructure and Can the Grease. Paid advertising would allow the Commission direct control over our messages as well as control over the target audience.

Another example of the types of WSSC programs that would benefit from advertising is RoundUp, the program to increase donations to the Water Fund. Advertising would also publicize the infrastructure problems facing WSSC. While we cannot solicit funds through advertising, we can identify the situations we face that would benefit from increased funds.

### Program: CROSS CONNECTION

Request: 6 Workyears

Cost including benefits: \$458,380, Water/Sewer Impact: \$458,380

Justification:

This request is for an expedited expansion of the FY'11 approved Cross Connection Control Program. Cross connections are any permanent or temporary connecting arrangements to any part of a potable water system through which it is possible for contaminants to enter into the potable water supply system. The previously approved program with slow growth over a 5 year period would result in an estimated compliance of 20 years. If the requested staffing is obtained in FY'12, it is estimated that WSSC can achieve compliance in 16 years instead of 20 years. The following positions are requested for FY'12:

- One (1) Sr. Plumbing Inspector
- Four (4) Plumbing Inspectors
- One (1) Inspection Service Agents

It should be noted that last years' revenue estimates for fee collection are not currently being realized. Revised estimates indicate that, in the short term, fees will not cover all costs. Therefore, cost estimates above do <u>not</u> include fee offsets.

### Increased FY'12 Expenditure Assumptions Over and Above Inflation Factor

### FY'12 Additional & Reinstated Programs:

New Workyears	Reinstated Programs:			
Rank	Plant Operations			
1	1 Sr. Water Plant Operator			
2	1 Sr. Mechanical Engineer			
3	1 Electrical Mechanical Supervisor			
4	1 Facility Technician I			
5	1 Electrical Mechanical Technician			
	PCCP & Transmission Main Inspection			
6	5 Utility Technician			
	Water Main Best Practice / Small Valve Exercising & Repair			
7	3 Utility Technician			
	Leak Detection			
8	2 Utility Technician			
	Consent Decree - Sewer Design Program - no additional w/s impac	t - alrea	dy in CIP	
9	4 Project Manager I*			
10	3 Project Manager II*			
	Water Main & Vault Meter Replacement - no additional w/s impact -	already	in CIP	
11 12	2 Project Manager I			
12	1 Project Manager II Utility Master Plan			
13	1 Capital Cost Benefit Manager			
13	Maintenance Electrical Mechanical Engineering Unit Coordinator			
13				
13	Principal Materials Engineer     Sr. Civil Engineer - Pipelines			
13				
13	2 Buried Asset Strategy Manager			
13	1 Maintenance Optimization Manager			
13	Asset Management Business Improvement Manager     Business Improvement Manager			
	1 Buried Systems Manager			
13	1 Water Analysis Unit Coordinator			
13	1 Principal Civil Engineer			
13	1 Engineering Assistant IV			
	Geographical Information System			
14	1 GIS Program Analyst			
46	Permit Services			
15	1 Permit Agent Collections			
16	2 Collection Field Specialist			
10	Site Utility Inspection - fee based			
17	1 Contract Manager			
A350	Property & Right of Way Acquisition			
18	1 Property Acquisition Agent			
	Maintenance			
19	1 Customer Care (Maintenance) Unit Coordinator			
William	Information Technology			
20	1 Data Network Engineer			
21	1 Sr. FIS Support Developer			
	Cross Connection			
22	1 Sr. Plumbing Inspector			
22	4 Plumbing Inspector			
22	1 Inspection Service Agent			
	52 Total Workyears			
	POSANOM ZOVONOVO HARARANIO SOMINO PROMINO		Cost	W/S Impact
	New Workyears Impact	\$	3,276,200	\$ 2,344,879
	Benefits		982,860	703,464
	Miscellaneous Support Equipment		131,570	94,169
Other Additional &	Reinstated Programs			
1	570kVA generator		150,000	18,750
2	Corrosion Monitoring Program support		500,000	500,000
3	Chemical Root Control*		500,000	500,000
4	Large Diameter Sewer Main Inspection		1,500,000	1,200,000
5	Geographical Information System Regional Cost Share		100,000	100,000
6 7	Site Utility Inspection (fee based) Contamination Rapid Response Team Call Back Duty Program		500,000	-
8	Lateral Inspection Program		50,000	50,000
9	Generator Fuel Management Program		187,500 150,000	187,500 150,000
10	Fire Hydrant Painting		200,000	200,000
11	Oracle Master Data Management		500,000	410,000
12	WSSC Messaging & Initiatives Resulting from Customer Survey	142	200,000	159,800
	Total Other Additional & Reinstated Programs		4,537,500	2 476 050
	Total Additional & Reinstated Programs	\$	8,928,130	3,476,050 \$ 6,618,561
		3	0,020,130	V 0,010,001

<sup>\*</sup> Consent Decree required.

## WSSC's Multi-Year Financial Forecast: Combined Water/Sewer Operating Funds Summary FY 2012 thru 2017 Forecast: Preliminary w/ Additional & Reinstated Programs @ 7%

Estimated Revenues and Expenditures (\$1,000)

22		21	20	19	18		17 [	16 V	ō	14	13 i	12	1	10	9	œ	7	6	ST EE	4	ن	N			
Impact of Rate Increase on Average Residential Monthly Bill	NOTE:	New Debt	Debt Service Expense	Operating Budget	Rate Increase		17 Debt Service Ratio (debt service / budget)	16 Water Production (MGD)	Venerine Rep (Venerine - Exbenses)	Total Expenses	Unspecified reduction of future year's expenditure base	Unspecified reductions	Adjustments to Expenses (SDC Debt Service Offset, REDO)	Additional Operating Reserve Contribution	PAYGO	Debt Service	Regional Sewage Disposal	Maintenance & Operating	Expenses	I otal Revenue	All Offices	Water & Jewer Kate Kevenue	Noveling Control of the Control of t		
\$4.60	FY 2011	249,374	174,454	\$530,477	8.5%	FY 2011	32.9%	170.0	•	530,477			(13,898)	1,500	1	174,454	47,713	320,708		530,477	57,659	\$4/8,818		Approved	EV 2011
\$4.18	FY 2012	325,285	196,290	\$555,835	7.0%	FY 2012	35.3%	170.0	(33,013)	555,835	1-0,000)	(20.652)	(13,293)	3,400	ı	196,290	49,478	340,612		522,822	51,186	\$4/1,636		Proposed	EV 2012
\$6.44	FY 2013	401,889	232,144	\$609,443	10.1%	FY 2013	38.1%	170.5	(21,012)	609,443	(21,684)		(13,192)	4,100		232,144	51,309	356,765		558,431	167,75	\$506,134		Estimate	EV 2013
\$6.13	FY 2014	341,560	264,929	\$657,525	8.7%	FY 2014	40.3%	171.0	(40,009)	657,525	(22,768)		(11,928)	1,500	•	264,929	53,207	372,585		608,836	50,057	\$558,779		Estimate	FY 2014
\$4.79	FY 2015	284,930	288,948	\$698,036	6.3%	FY 2015	41.4%	171.5	(30,101)	698,036	(23,906)		(11,667)	1,500		288,948	55,176	387,985		659,855	1.19,00	\$609,244		Estimate	EY 2015
\$5.33	FY 2016	293,573	313,130	\$742,641	6.6%	FY 2016	42.2%	172.0	(42,390)	742,641	(25,102)		(10,728)	1,500	•	313,130	57,218	406,623		700,045	50,732	\$649,313		Estimate	EV 2016
\$5.76	FY 2017	307,784	337,749	\$791,077	6.7%	FY 2017	42.7%	172.5	(40, 150)	791,077	(26,358)		(10,207)	1,500		337,749	59,335	429,057		744,879	90,939	\$693,920		Estimate	EY 2017

### WSSC's Multi-Year Financial Forecast: Combined Water/Sewer Operating Funds Summary FY 2012 thru 2017 Forecast: Preliminary w/ Additional & Reinstated Programs @ 7%

Estimated Revenues and Expenditures (\$1,000)

33	32	30	29	28	26 27	25	23	22	21 6	20	18	16 17		4		10 A	9	8 ~	7 6	ъ.	e a	N	<u>ـ</u> کا	
Revenue - Expenditure Gap before rate increase Rate Increase	NET EXPENSES	Less: SDC Debt Service Offset Reconstruction Debt Service Offset	TOTAL GROSS EXPENSES (Operating & Financial)	Total Financial Expenses	Debt Service Debt Reduction (PAYGO)	Total Operating Expenses	Unspecified reductions Unspecified reduction of future year's expenditure base	Additional Operating Reserve Contribution	All Other - Additional & Reinstated Programs	Regional Sewage Disposal	Heat, Light and Power	Salaries and Wages - Additional & Reinstated Programs	EXPENDITURES	FUNDS AVAILABLE	ACCUPATION OF THE CONTRACT OF	Adjustments to Revenue Use of Fund Balance Less Rate Stabilization Adjustments to Total Revenue	Total Revenue	Miscellaneous	Rockville Sewer Use	Plumbing/Inspection Fees	Account Maintenance Fee (Ready to Serve Charge)	Water / Sewer Use Charges	REVENUE	
8.5%	530,477	(2,398) (11,500)	544,375	174,454	174,454	369,921	э т	1,500		47,713 199 406	27,819	93,483	2	530,477		3,181	527,296	13,547	2,258	5,823	22,850 4 000	\$478,818	FY 2011 Approved	
(33,013) 7.0%	555,835	(2,293) (11,000)	569,128	196,290	196,290	372,838	(20,652)	3,400	4,274	49,478 210 182	25,652	2,346	0	522,822		3,400	519,422	12,760	2,353	5,823	22,850 4 000	\$471,636	FY 2012 Proposed	0.0000000000000000000000000000000000000
(51,012) 10.1%	609,443	(2,192) (11,000)	622,635	232,144	232,144	390,490	(21,684)	4,100	4,488	51,309 219,985	26,761	2,464	103 067	558,431		4,100	554,331	12,970	2,404	5,873	22,900 4,050	\$506,134	FY 2013 Estimate	
(48,689) 8.7%	657,525	(1,428) (10,500)	669,453	264,929	264,929	404,524	(22,768)	1,500	4,712	53,207 228 167	28,896	2,588	200	608,836		1,500	607,336	13,290	2,444	5,873	22,900 4,050	\$558,779	FY 2014 Estimate	
(38,181) 6.3%	698,036	(1,167) (10,500)	709,703	288,948	288,948	420,755	(23,906)	1,500	4,948	236,604	30,081	2,718		659,855		1,500	658,355	13,700	2,438	5,923	22,950 4 100	\$609,244	FY 2015 Estimate	
(42,596) 6.6%	742,641	(728) (10,000)	753,369	313,130	313,130	440,239	(25,102)	1,500	5,196	247,935	31,321	2,854	140 247	700,045		1,500	698,545	13,700	2,509	5,973	22,950 4.100	\$649,313	FY 2016 Estimate	
(46,198) 6.7%	791,077	(207) (10,000)	801,284	337,749	337,749	463,534	(26,358)	1,500	5,456	259.832	35,487	2,998	126 367	744,879		1,500	743,379	13,750	2,536	6,023	23,000 4.150	\$693,920	FY 2017 Estimate	

ATTACHMENT H

## WSSC's Multi-Year Financial Forecast: Combined Water/Sewer Operating Funds Summary FY 2012 thru 2017 Forecast: ERP Funding thru Fund Balance

Estimated Revenues and Expenditures (\$1,000)

	7	o	Ch	4		ω.	_	2	_	0	9 8	7	6	5	4	m	ω	2	-	-	
NOTE:	New Debt	Debt Service Expense	Operating Budget	Rate Increase		Debt Service Ratio (debt service / budget)	Water Production (MGD)	Revenue Gap (Revenue - Expenses)	Total Expenses	Unspecified reductions	Additional Operating Reserve Contribution  Additional Operating Reserve Contribution  Additional Operating Reserve Contribution	PAYGO	Debt Service	Regional Sewage Disposal	Maintenance & Operating	Expenses	Total Revenue	All Other Sources	Water & Sewer Rate Revenue	Revenue	
FY 2011	249,374	174,454	\$530,477	8.5%	FY 2011	32.9%	170.0	ï	\$530,477		1,500		174,454	47,713	320,708		530,477	51,659	\$478,818	Choronous	FY 2011
FY 2012	325,285	196,290	\$572,980	10.3%	FY 2012	34.3%	170.0	(48,562)	\$572,980		(13,293)	)	196,290	49,478	337,105		524,418	52,782	\$471,636	00000	FY 2012
FY 2013	401,889	232,144	\$623,711	9.4%	FY 2013	37.2%	170.5	(49,074)	\$623,711		4,100		232,144	51,309	349,349		574,636	52,908	\$521,728	Latinate	FY 2013
FY 2014	341,560	264,929	\$675,314	8.6%	FY 2014	39.2%	171.0	(49,481)	\$675,314		4,800	2 1	264,929	53,207	364,306		625,833	53,357	\$572,476	Laminan	FY 2014
FY 2015	284,930	288,948	\$716,164	6.3%	FY 2015	40.3%	171.5	(39,452)	\$716,164		3,826	2 '	288,948	55,176	379,881		676,713	52,937	\$623,776	Tour land	FY 2015
FY 2016	293,573	313,130	\$758,345	6.6%	FY 2016	41.3%	172.0	(43,952)	\$758,345		(10,728)	ι	313,130	57,218	398,725		714,393	49,232	\$665,161	Louillaic	FY 2016
FY 2017	307,784	337,749	\$805,465	6.3%	FY 2017	41.9%	172.5	(44,832)	\$805,465	,	(10,207)	Ĭ	337,749	59,335	418,587		760,633	49,459	\$711,174	Laminate	FY 2017
	FY 2011 FY 2012 FY 2014 FY 2015 FY 2016	ebt 249,374 325,285 401,889 341,560 284,930 293,573 FY 2014 FY 2016 F	Debt Service Expense       174,454       196,290       232,144       264,929       288,948       313,130         New Debt       249,374       325,285       401,889       341,560       284,930       293,573         NOTE:       FY 2011       FY 2012       FY 2013       FY 2014       FY 2016       FY 2016       F	Operating Budget         \$530,477         \$572,980         \$623,711         \$675,314         \$716,164         \$758,345           Debt Service Expense         174,454         196,290         232,144         264,929         288,948         313,130           New Debt         249,374         325,285         401,889         341,560         284,930         293,573           NOTE:         FY 2011         FY 2012         FY 2013         FY 2014         FY 2016         FY 2016	Rate Increase         8.5%         10.3%         9.4%         8.6%         6.3%         6.6%           Operating Budget         \$530,477         \$572,980         \$623,711         \$675,314         \$716,164         \$758,345         \$805           Debt Service Expense         174,454         196,290         232,144         264,929         288,948         313,130         337           New Debt         249,374         325,285         401,889         341,560         284,930         293,573         307           NOTE:         FY 2011         FY 2012         FY 2013         FY 2014         FY 2016         FY 2	Rate Increase         EY 2011         EY 2012         EY 2013         EY 2014         EY 2015         EY 2016         EY 2016         EY 2017         EY 2013         EY 2014         EY 2015         EY 2016         EY 2016         EY 2016         EY 2011         EY 2012         EY 2013         EY 2014         EY 2015         EY 2016         EY 2016	Public Service Ratio (debt service / budget)         32.9%         32.9%         34.3%         37.2%         39.2%         40.3%         41.3%           FY 2011         FY 2011         FY 2012         FY 2013         FY 2014         FY 2015         FY 2016         FY           Rate Increase         8.5%         10.3%         9.4%         8.6%         6.3%         6.6%         6.6%           Operating Budget         \$530,477         \$572,980         \$623,711         \$675,314         \$716,164         \$758,345         \$80           Debt Service Expense         174,454         196,290         232,144         264,929         288,948         313,130         33           New Debt         249,374         325,285         401,889         341,560         284,930         293,573         30	Water Production (MGD)       170.0       170.0       170.0       170.0       171	Revenue Gap (Revenue - Expenses)	Total Expenses   \$530,477   \$572,880   \$623,711   \$675,314   \$716,164   \$758,345   \$88   Revenue Gap (Revenue - Expenses)   170.0   170.0   170.5   171.0   171.5   172.0	Unspecified reductions   \$530,477   \$572,980   \$623,711   \$675,314   \$716,164   \$758,345   \$80   \$710,00   \$70.0   \$	Additional Operating Reserve Contribution Adjustments to Expenses (SDC Debt Service Offset, REDO)  Adjustments to Expenses (SDC Debt Service Offset, REDO)  Unspecified reductions  Total Expenses Revenue Gap (Revenue - Expenses)  Water Production (MGD)  Debt Service Ratio (debt service / budget)  Rate Increase Debt Spense  Debt Spense  Debt Spense  New Debt  Production  Rate Increase Debt Spense  Debt Spense  Debt Spense  Revenue Gap (Revenue - Expenses)  Production (MGD)  Rate Increase Debt Spense Debt Spense  Debt Spense  Rate Increase Debt Spense Debt Spense  Production  Rate Increase Debt Spense Debt Spense  Production  Rate Increase Debt Spense Debt Spense Debt Spense  Production (MGD)  Production (MGD)  Production (MGD)  Rate Increase Debt Spense Debt Spense Debt Spense  Production (MGD)  Production (MGD)  Production (MGD)  Rate Increase Debt Spense Debt Spense Debt Spense  Production (MGD)  Production (MGD)  Production (MGD)  Revenue Gap (Revenue - Expenses)  Revenue Gap	PAYSO Additional Operating Reserve Contribution Adjustments to Expenses (SDC Debt Service Offset, REDO)         1,500 (13,888)         3,400 (13,283)         4,100 (13,192)         4,800 (11,928)         3,826 (11,928)         -           Adjustments to Expenses (SDC Debt Service Offset, REDO)         \$530,477         \$572,980         \$623,711         \$675,314         \$716,164         \$758,345         \$80           Total Expenses Revenue Gap (Revenue - Expenses)         170.0         170.0         170.0         170.5         171.0         171.5         172.0           Water Production (MGD)         32.9%         34.3%         37.2%         39.2%         40.3%         41.3%           Pobt Service Ratio (debt service / budget)         52.9%         52.9%         34.3%         37.2%         39.2%         40.3%         41.3%           Rate Increase Debt Service Expense         FY 2011         FY 2012         FY 2013         FY 2014         FY 2015         FY 2016         FY 2016         FY 2016         FY 2016         53.8%         6.6%         6.6%         6.6%         6.6%         6.6%         58.8         53.9,47         325,285         401,889         341,560         284,930         283,573         33.130         33.130         33.130         33.5         33.130         33.5         57.2016         FY 201	Debt Service PAYGO Additional Operating Reserve Contribution Adjustments to Expenses (SDC Debt Service Offset, REDO)       1,500 3,400 4,100 4,800 3,826 4,100 4,929 288,948 313,130 31,700 3,400 4,100 4,800 3,826 4,100 4,929 288,948 4,100 4,800 3,826 4,100 4,929 288,948 4,100 4,800 3,826 4,100 4,929 288,948 4,100 4,929 288,948 4,100 4,929 288,948 4,100 4,929 288,948 4,100 4,929 288,948 4,100 4,929 288,948 313,130 31,100 3,100 4,100 4,929 288,948 313,130 31,100 3,100 4,100 4,929 288,948 313,130 31,100 3,100 4,100 4,929 288,948 313,130 31,100 3,100 4,100 4,929 288,948 313,130 31,100 3,100 4,100 4,929 288,948 313,130 31,100 3,100 4,100 4,929 288,948 313,130 3,100 4,100 4,929 288,948 313,130 3,100 3,100 4,100 4,929 288,948 313,130 3,100 3,100 4,100 4,929 288,948 313,130 3,100 3,100 4,100 4,929 288,948 313,130 3,100 3,100 4,100 4,929 288,948 313,130 3,100 3,100 4,100 4,929 288,948 313,130 3,100 3,100 4,100 4,929 288,948 313,130 3,100 4,100 4,929 288,948 313,130 3,100 4,100 4,929 288,948 313,130 3,100 4,100 4,929 288,948 313,130 3,100 4,100 4,929 288,948 313,130 3,100 4,100 4,929 288,948 313,130 3,10	Regional Sewage Disposal   247,11   249,478   51,309   53,207   55,176   57,218   51,200   520,144   264,929   288,948   313,130   32,244   264,929   288,948   313,130   32,244   264,929   288,948   313,130   32,244   264,929   288,948   313,130   32,244   264,929   288,948   313,130   32,244   264,929   288,948   313,130   32,244   264,929   288,948   313,130   32,244   325,288   32,244   264,929   288,948   313,130   32,244   325,288   32,244   326,928   32,244   326,928   32,244   326,928   32,244   326,928   32,244   326,928   32,244   326,928   32,244   326,928   32,244   326,928   328,948	Maintenance & Operating Regional Servage Disposal         320,708 (47,713)         337,105 (49,348)         349,349 (36,306)         378,881 (39,875)         4.773 (47,713)         44,478 (47,713)         49,478 (47,713)         49,478 (47,713)         49,478 (47,713)         49,478 (47,713)         49,478 (49,478)         53,207 (55,178)         55,178 (37,18)         32,207 (48,502)         288,948 (31,318)         31,218 (37,18)         32,207 (48,502)         289,948 (31,318)         31,218 (37,18)         32,207 (48,502)         42,000 (41,328)         42,000 (41,328)         43,200 (48,007)         4,800 (48,007)         3,228 (48,007)         4,800 (41,527)         4,800 (41,527)         4,800 (41,527)         4,100 (41,5	Expenses   Expenses	Expenses   Expenses	All Other Sources	Wyler & Sever Rate Revenue   \$478 t8   \$471 (58) \$527,728   \$527,728   \$523,77   \$62	Revenue   Saver Rate Rate Rate Rate Rate Rate Rate Rate

 ⅎ.

ω Ν ユ

## WSSC's Multi-Year Financial Forecast : Combined Water/Sewer Operating Funds Summary FY 2012 thru 2017 Forecast : ERP Funding thru Fund Balance

Estimated Revenues and Expenditures (\$1,000)

28 29		7	es	23	24 25	23	20	13 14 15 16 17 22	12	11 0 9	0	O1	2 3 4	
Revenue - Expenditure Gap before rate increase Rate Increase	NET EXPENSES	Less: SDC Debt Service Offset Reconstruction Debt Service Offset	TOTAL GROSS EXPENSES (Operating & Financial)	Total Financial Expenses	Debt Service Debt Reduction (PAYGO)	Total Operating Expenses	Unspecified reductions Unspecified reduction of future year's expenditure base	EXPENDITURES  Salaries and Wages  Salaries and Wages based on Workyear Adjustment  Heat, Light and Power  Regional Sewage Disposal  All Other  All Other based on New & Expanded Programs  Additional Operating Reserve Contribution	FUNDS AVAILABLE	Adjustments to Revenue Use of Fund Balance Less Rate Stabilization Adjustments to Total Revenue	Total Revenue	Products & Technology Miscellaneous	Water / Sewer Use Charges Account Maintenance Fee (Ready to Serve Charge) Interest Income Plumbing/Inspection Fees Rockville Sewer Use	REVENUE
8.5%	530,477	(2,398) (11,500)	544,375	174,454	174,454	369,921	1.3	93,483 27,819 47,713 199,406 1,500	530,477	3,181 - 3,181	527,296	13,547	\$478,818 22,850 4,000 5,823 2,258	FY 2011 Approved
(48,563) 10.3%	572,980	(2,293) (11,000)	586,273	196,290	196,290	389,983	F SE	98,158 28,765 49,478 210,182 3,400	524,418	4,996 4,996	519,422	12,760	\$471,636 22,850 4,000 5,823 2,353	FY 2012 Proposed
(49,074) 9,4%	623,711	(2,192) (11,000)	636,903	232,144	232,144	404,758	in the C	103,067 26,297 51,309 219,985 - 4,100	574,636	4,711	569,925	12,970	\$521,728 22,900 4,050 5,873 2,404	FY 2013 Estimate
(49,481) 8,6%	675,314	(1,428) (10,500)	687,242	264,929	264,929	422,313		108,222 27,917 53,207 228,167 4,800	625,833	4,800	621,033	13,290	\$572,476 22,900 4,050 5,873	FY 2014 Estimate
(39,452) 6,3% ATTAC	716,164	(1,167) (10,500)	727,831	288,948	288,948	438,883	ìτ	113,634 29,643 55,176 236,604 3,826	676,713	3,826 - 3,826	672,887	13,700	\$623,776 22,950 4,100 5,923 2,438	FY 2015 Estimate
9,452) (43,952) 6,3% 6,6% ATTACHMENT I	758,345	(728) (10,000)	769,073	313,130	313,130	455,943	1 E	119,317 31,473 57,218 247,935	714,393		714,393	13,700	\$665,161 22,950 4,100 5,973 2,509	FY 2016 Estimate
(44,832) 6,3%	805,465	(207) (10,000)	815,672	337,749	337,749	477,922	x (	125,284 33,471 59,335 259,832	760,633		760,633	13,750	\$711,174 23,000 4,150 6,023 2,536	FY 2017 Estimate

Water & Sewer Capital and Bond Funds Summary - Report

WSSC Multi-Year Financial Forecast (Spending Affordability -- ERP Funding thru Fund Balance): FYs 2012 thru 2017 Estimated Expenditures and Funding (\$1,000)

ē	_1 DD	17	15 14	13 12 11	8	10	7 8 9	6	Ch	1 1 2 4	<u>.</u> د	
	Total Funds Available	Previous Year's Funds Available after Construction	PAYGO  Grants - Federal & State (includes ENR Grants)  Developers and Government Contrib. (w/ scaling, completion & inflation index factors)	Bond Issues 5% Debt Buydown of Short-term Construction Notes System Development Charges (w/ scaling, completion & inflation index factors)	Funding	Total Capital Funding Required	Information Only Projects (@ 100% completion) Water Reconstruction Sewer Reconstruction EPP & Water Storage Facility Rehab	Subtotal - Capital Expenditures w/ scaling, completion, & inflation index factors	Subtotal - Capital Expenditures less unspecified SAG capital spending reductions	Additional High Probability Future CIP Projects  SAG Adjustments (unspecified capital spending reductions)	Water & Sewer CIP Projects	Capital Expenditures
	425,419	35,174	57,974 13,586	249,374 13,125 56,186		425,419	64,485 69,445 10,252	\$ 281,237	351,544		\$ 332,851	FY 2011 Approved
	507,873	_	70,464 15,075	325,285 17,120 79,928		507,873	65,860 49,560 6,325	\$ 386,128	468,600		\$ 452,804 15,796	FY 2012 Proposed
	585,223	N	63,248 11,265	401,889 21,152 87,667		585,223	74,958 57,021 7,310	\$ 445,934	525,418		\$ 510,074	FY 2013 Estimate
	456,936	2	36,771 6,145	341,560 17,977 54,482		456,936	84,541 64,416 7,282	445,934 \$ 300,697 \$	343,975		\$ 324,812	FY 2014 Estimate
	337,362	2	23,604 1,560	284,930 14,996 12,271		337,362	94,632 71,395 6,149	\$ 165,186	183,456		\$ 158,647	FY 2015 Estimate
	345,265	_	28,315 2,105	293,573 15,451 5,820		345,265	104,161 79,565 5,000	\$ 156,539	168,789		\$ 144,520 24,269	FY 2016 Estimate
Committee of the Commit	355,003	-	28,691 1,798	307,784 16,199 530		355,003	114,173 88,162 5,000	\$ 147,668	154,586		\$ 136,788 17,798	FY 2017 Estimate

19

Funds Available after Construction