Reference No: CB-88-1990 AGENDA ITEM SUMMARY

Draft No: 2

Prince George's Meeting Date:

County Council Requestor: W

Item Title: An Ordinance to delete 20% limitation for
 residential parking serving adjacent prop erty zoned I-1 since 1949, if used contin uously since Jan. 1, 1969

Sponsors W

Date Presented Committee Referral Committee Action Date Introduced Pub. Hearing Date	1(2) 10/23/90 (1) 10/2/90 10/16/90	C.O.W. Effecti FAV(A)	ve Action// ve Date//
Council Action Council Votes	(_)//_ :,:	: ::	;:;;
Pass/Fail _ Remarks	Intro too late		
Drafter:		Resource Personnel:	- Savitri Belazaire

LEGISLATIVE HISTORY

COMMITTEE-OF-THE-WHOLE REPORT DATE: 10/2/90

Committee Vote: Favorable with amendments, 4-3-1 (In favor: Council

Members Bell, MacKinnon, Pemberton and Wilson; in opposition: Council Members Castaldi, Casula and

Mills; abstaining: Council Member Wineland)

Staff reported that the information necessary to determine the number of properties affected by the proposed amendment is not available, and there is no way to make the determination. The legislation was amended to affect fewer properties, and this proposed amendment was presented to the Committee.

The following language was accepted by the Committee: "The twenty percent (20%) limitation is not applicable when it can be demonstrated that the land to be served has been in the I-1 Zone since 1949, and the

use has been in continuous operation since January 1, 1969.".

COMMITTEE-OF-THE-WHOLE REPORT DATE: 9/25/90

Held in Committee.

Council staff explained that this legislation amends one of the four requirements applicable to the approval of a Special Exception for a parking lot in a residential zone to serve a commercial or industrial use. The requirement currently states that the parking lot shall not contain more than 100 spaces, or 20% of the minimum number of space required, whichever is less. This legislation removes the 20% limitation when the use has been in existence since January 1, 1969. The restriction of 100 parking spaces would still be applicable.

The Proposed Draft 2 also corrects this Section by adding the M-X-T Zone to the type of adjacent use that the parking may serve. This language should have been added with the enactment of CB-85-1988.

There was concern about the ramifications of this legislation Countywide. The Chairman requested that Council staff determine the number of properties that would be affected, and report back to the Committee with that information.

BACKGROUND INFORMATION/FISCAL IMPACT (Includes reason for proposal, as well as any unique statutory requirements)

The bill would eliminate the requirement that off-street parking in residential zones which serve adjacent commercial or industrial uses not exceed twenty percent (20%) of the required spaces and would provide that the commercial and industrial use be in operation prior to and continuously from January 1, 1969.