


April 26, 2022



WBLH, LLC - John Harris,  
7164 Columbia Gateway Drive, Suite 230  
Columbia, MD 21046

Re: Notification of Planning Board Action on  
**Specific Design Plan SDP-2101**  
**Locust Hill – Architecture**

Dear Applicant:

This is to advise you that the above-referenced Specific Design Plan was acted upon by the Prince George's County Planning Board on **April 21, 2022**, in accordance with the attached Resolution.

Pursuant to Section 27-528.01, the Planning Board's decision will become final 30 calendar days after the date of this final notice of the Planning Board's decision, unless:

1. Within the 30 days, a written appeal has been filed with the District Council by the applicant or by an aggrieved person that appeared at the hearing before the Planning Board in person, by an attorney, or in writing and the review is expressly authorized in accordance with Section 25-212 of the Land Use Article of the Annotated Code of Maryland; or
2. Within the 30 days (or other period specified by Section 27-291), the District Council decides, on its own motion, to review the action of the Planning Board.

(You should be aware that you will have to reactivate any permits pending the outcome of this case. If the approved plans differ from the ones originally submitted with your permit, you are required to amend the permit by submitting copies of the approved plans. For information regarding reactivating permits, you should call the County's Permit Office at 301-636-2050.)

Please direct any future communication or inquiries regarding this matter to Ms. Donna J. Brown, Clerk of the County Council, at 301-952-3600.

Sincerely,  
James R. Hunt, Chief  
Development Review Division

By: *Jill Kosack*  
Reviewer

Attachment: PGCPB Resolution No. **2022-36**

cc: Donna J. Brown, Clerk of the County Council  
Persons of Record

RESOLUTION

WHEREAS, the Prince George’s County Planning Board is charged with approval of Specific Design Plans pursuant to Part 8, Division 4 of the Zoning Ordinance of the Prince George’s County Code; and

WHEREAS, in consideration of evidence presented at a public hearing on March 31, 2022, regarding Specific Design Plan SDP-2101 for Locust Hill – Architecture, the Planning Board finds:

1. **Request:** This application requests approval of an umbrella architecture package for 31 single-family detached home models for the Locust Hill subdivision.
2. **Development Data Summary:**

	<b>EXISTING</b>	<b>APPROVED</b>
Zones	R-L	R-L
Use	Vacant	Single-family Detached and Attached Dwelling Units
Gross Total Acreage	505.81	505.81
<b>Total Dwelling Units</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>471–706</b>
Single-Family Detached	0	306–635
Single-Family Attached	0	47–247

3. **Location:** The Locust Hill development is located on the north and south sides of Oak Grove Road, west of its intersection with Leeland Road, in Upper Marlboro. The subject property is in Planning Area 79 and Council District 6.
4. **Surrounding Uses:** The site is bounded to the north by the Oak Creek Club subdivision in the Residential Low Development (R-L) Zone. To the northeast is Leeland Road, which also crosses through the subject site, and beyond are the National Capital Business Park and the Collington Corporate Center in the Residential Suburban Development (R-S), Light Industrial, and Employment and Institutional Area Zones. To the east is the Beech Tree subdivision in the R-S Zone, and to the south are single-family detached homes in the Residential Estate (R-E) Zone. To the west are developed residential properties in the R-S Zone, the Perrywood development and the St. Barnabas Church and Cemetery historic site in the Residential-Agricultural (R-A) Zone, and the Queen Anne Parish property in the R-E Zone.
5. **Previous Approvals:** The subject property was rezoned from the R-A and R-E Zones to the R-L Zone through the approval of Zoning Map Amendment (Basic Plan) A-9975-C, by the Prince George’s County District Council (Zoning Ordinance No. 19-2006), on October 31, 2006. This

resulted in an approved residential dwelling unit range of 475–581 dwelling units, subject to 18 conditions and 5 considerations.

On January 4, 2007, Comprehensive Design Plan CDP-0506 and Type I Tree Conservation Plan TCPI-024-06 were approved by the Prince George’s County Planning Board (PGCPB Resolution No. 06-274), subject to 44 conditions. This CDP was approved for 552 dwelling units, which included single-family detached and a maximum of 110 attached dwelling units on approximately 503.53 acres of land. The District Council affirmed the findings of the Planning Board and approved CDP-0506 on April 9, 2007, with all 44 conditions.

On March 15, 2007, Preliminary Plan of Subdivision (PPS) 4-06075, with TCPI-024-06-01, was approved by the Planning Board (PGCPB Resolution No. 07-28), subject to 26 conditions. The approval was for 554 lots and 24 parcels.

On May 13, 2019, Basic Plan A-9975-C-01 was approved by the District Council (Zoning Ordinance No. 6-2019), subject to 21 conditions and 9 considerations. The residential dwelling unit range was approved for 471–706 dwelling units on an adjusted gross tract area of 471.21 acres, with 58 acres of public open space and 65 acres of private open space.

On April 9, 2020, Specific Design Plan SDP-1705 for Locust Hill, Phase 1, was approved by the Planning Board (PGCPB Resolution No. 2020-57) for infrastructure only to support the development of 285 single-family detached and 53 single-family attached residential lots.

The project is also the subject of Stormwater Management (SWM) Concept Plan 47462-2005-00 dated March 25, 2009, and valid until March 25, 2022. A condition has been included herein, to provide an updated SWM concept plan that is currently valid.

6. **Design Features:** The subject application requests approval of 31 single-family detached architectural models by three builders: 8 models by Toll Brothers, 12 models by NV Homes, and 11 models by Ryan Homes. This architecture SDP will apply to the entire Locust Hill community. The following models and gross floor areas are proposed with this application:

<b>Toll Brothers</b>			
<b>Model</b>	<b>Elevations</b>	<b>Base Finished Square Feet</b>	<b>Garage</b>
Cooke	Traditional, Brandywine, Fairview, and Farmhouse	2,539 sf	2-Car
Fallon	Traditional, Brandywine, Fairview, and Farmhouse	2,685 sf	2-Car
Kincaid	Traditional, Brandywine, Fairview, and Farmhouse	3,071 sf	2-Car
Tilden	Traditional, Brandywine, Fairview, and Farmhouse	3,171 sf	2-Car/ 3-Car option

<b>Toll Brothers</b>			
<b>Model</b>	<b>Elevations</b>	<b>Base Finished Square Feet</b>	<b>Garage</b>
Gibson	Traditional, Brandywine, Fairview, and Farmhouse	3,242 sf	2-Car/ 3-Car option
Haddon	Traditional, Brandywine, Fairview, and Farmhouse	3,397 sf	2-Car/ 3-Car option
Lochlan	Traditional, Brandywine, Fairview, and Farmhouse	3,644 sf	2-Car/ 3-Car option
Roberts	Traditional, Brandywine, Fairview, and Farmhouse	3,884 sf	2-Car/ 3-Car option

<b>NV Homes</b>			
<b>Model</b>	<b>Elevations</b>	<b>Base Finished Square Feet</b>	<b>Garage</b>
Edgewood	Elevation A, K and L	2,098 sf	2-Car
Fairhaven	Elevation A, K and L	2,324 sf	2-Car
Stonehill	Elevation A, K and L	2,738 sf	2-Car
Westminster	Elevation A, K and L	3,031 sf	2-Car
Baldwin	Elevation A, B, K, L and R	3,049 sf	2-Car / 3-Car option
Bridgewater	Elevation A, B, K, L and R	3,242 sf	2-Car / 3-Car option
Danville	Elevation A, B, K, L and R	3,343 sf	2-Car / 3-Car option
Longwood	Elevation A, B, K, L and R	3,531 sf	2-Car / 3-Car option
Tyler	Elevation A, B, K, L and R	3,641 sf	2-Car / 3-Car option
Marymount	Elevation A, B, K, L and R	3,820 sf	2-Car / 3&4-Car option
Radford	Elevation A, B, K, L and R	3,869 sf	2-Car / 3-Car option
Stratford Hall	Elevation A, B, K, L and R	4,290 sf	2-Car / 3&4-Car option

<b>Ryan Homes</b>			
<b>Model</b>	<b>Elevations</b>	<b>Base Finished Square Feet</b>	<b>Garage</b>
Alberti Ranch	Elevation A, B, K and L	1,421 sf	2-Car
Bramante Ranch	Elevation A, B, K and L	1,666 sf	2-Car
Palladio Ranch	Elevation A, B, K and L	1,947 sf	2-Car
Ballenger	Elevation A, B, C, K and L	2,114 sf	2-Car
Bramante 2-Story	Elevation A, B, K and L	2,324 sf	2-Car
Columbia	Elevation A, B, C, K and L	2,424 sf	2-Car / 3-Car option
Palladio 2-Story	Elevation A, B, K and L	2,626 sf	2-Car
Hudson	Elevation A, B, C, K and L	2,718 sf	2-Car / 3-Car option
Lehigh	Elevation A, B, C, K and L	3,010 sf	2-Car / 3-Car option
Seneca	Elevation A, B, C, K and L	3,306 sf	2-Car / 3-Car option
York	Elevation A, B, C, K and L	3,656 sf	2-Car / 3-Car option

**Architecture**

The proposed one- and two-story single-family detached models range in size from 1,421 to 4,290 square feet. Each of the models offer varied gable roof lines and a variety of styles and high-quality detailing options with features such as brick jack arches, dormer windows, cornices, front entries defined with columns, and transom and sidelight windows. The proposed front façades offer optional finishes including brick, stone, vinyl, shake siding, shutters, specialty windows, stone or brick water tables, and front porches.

An exhibit identifying the single-family detached, highly visible side elevation units was provided. High visibility elevations should include a minimum of three standard architectural features in addition to a brick, stone, or other masonry water table, and the applicant should provide elevation drawings for all proposed highly visible side elevations, as conditioned herein. In addition, a minimum 50 percent of the single-family detached units shall have full brick or stone (excluding gables, dormers, bay windows, trim, and doors) front façades, with some provisions for specific front elevations and revisions to elevations, as presented by the applicant at the Planning Board hearing and conditioned herein.

**COMPLIANCE WITH EVALUATION CRITERIA**

- Prince George’s County Zoning Ordinance:** The subject SDP is in general compliance with the applicable requirements of the Zoning Ordinance, as follows:

- a. This SDP is in general conformance with the requirements of the R-L Zone, as the single-family detached homes are permitted uses.
- b. Section 27-528 of the Zoning Ordinance contains the following required findings for the Planning Board to grant approval of an SDP:

**(1) The plan conforms to the approved Comprehensive Design Plan, the applicable standards of the Landscape Manual, and except as provided in Section 27-528(a)(I.1), for Specific Design Plans for which an application is filed after December 30, 1996, with the exception of the V-L and V-M Zones, the applicable design guidelines for townhouses set forth in Section 27-274(a)(I)(B) and (a)(II), and the applicable regulations for townhouses set forth in Section 27-433(d) and, as it applies to property in the L-A-C Zone, if any portion lies within one-half (1/2) mile of an existing or Washington Metropolitan Area Transit Authority Metrorail station, the regulations set forth in Section 27-480(d) and (e);**

The SDP is in conformance with the approved CDP and each of the relevant conditions of approval, as discussed in Finding 9 below. As the application is for approval of architecture only, many of the site-related review components do not apply to this SDP.

**(2) The development will be adequately served within a reasonable period of time with existing or programmed public facilities either shown in the appropriate Capital Improvement Program, provided as part of the private development or, where authorized pursuant to Section 24-124(a)(8) of the County Subdivision Regulations, participation by the developer in a road club;**

This finding was made at the time of PPS 4-06075 and SDP-1705, which meets the adequacy test for the required public facilities serving this development. The applicant noted that substantial improvements and realignment to Leeland Road will occur as part of the Locust Hill project in phase with development. The applicant is coordinating with the Prince George's County Department of Permitting, Inspections and Enforcement and the Prince George's County Department of Public Works and Transportation regarding the acceptable roadway improvements. The applicant will also make contributions to the US 301 Capital Improvement Project fund with the submission of each building permit, as required by Condition 2 of PPS 4-06075.

- (3) **Adequate provision has been made for draining surface water so that there are no adverse effects on either the subject property or adjacent properties;**

The subject SDP is for approval of architecture only and site-related provisions do not apply. However, the subject property will be constructed in accordance with state and county SWM regulations.

- (4) **The plan is in conformance with an approved Type 2 Tree Conservation Plan; and**

The subject SDP is for approval of architecture only and site-related provisions do not apply. However, a Type 2 Tree Conservation Plan, TCP2-027-2015-01, was approved with SDP-1705 on April 9, 2020, for development of infrastructure on the Locust Hill site.

- (5) **The plan demonstrates that the regulated environmental features are preserved and/or restored to the fullest extent possible in accordance with the requirement of Subtitle 24-130(b)(5).**

The subject SDP is for approval of architecture only and site-related provisions do not apply. However, the previously approved SDP-1705 demonstrated conformance with this requirement.

8. **Zoning Map Amendment (Basic Plan) A-9975-C-01:** Basic Plan A-9975-C-01 was approved on May 13, 2019, subject to 21 conditions and 9 considerations, to increase the number of dwelling units by 125, to increase the percentage of single-family attached dwelling units allowed, to add townhouses as an attached dwelling unit type, and revise the conditions of A-9975-C. None of the conditions are relevant to the review of this umbrella architecture SDP. The following considerations are relevant to the review of this umbrella architecture SDP:

6. **Single-family dwelling units shall have a range of lot sizes and lot standards to ensure a variety of housing types.**

The SDP provides a range of single-family dwelling unit sizes, and the corresponding lot sizes are noted on the plans. Future SDPs will propose additional housing types per CDP-0506.

7. **To ensure that the increase from 20 percent to 35 percent in single-family attached units will continue to provide a high-quality suburban development and will be in keeping with previous approvals and surrounding developments in terms of site design, lot size, dwelling units size, even “architecture and scale” (Master Plan page 179), at the next stages of the review process, such as at the time of the CDP, PPS, and SDP, the development proposal shall be carefully reviewed and attention should be given to the design of the project to ensure the site provides various lot sizes, house types, and architectural design that is compatible with surrounding land uses.**

**To support the residential low (R-L) zoning of the community, but also allow the flexibility requested by the Applicant, a varied housing stock is appropriate. The carriage home lot sizes shall be comparable to the CDP approved lot sizes, to be determined with the CDP. This will ensure a more integrated layout with single-family dwellings on varying lot sizes, attached carriage homes on large lots, and townhouses, which will provide for a varied ownership interest that will support an integrated development. The increase in dwelling units and change in house types will require careful site planning to preserve the natural features of the site.**

The subject SDP contains a mix of single-family detached dwelling unit sizes with architectural designs that are compatible with the surrounding residential developments. The dwelling units will be located on various lot sizes within the Locust Hill community, as shown in Figures 1–3 below. The lot sizes were previously approved with CDP-0506, as discussed in Finding 9 below.

Toll Brothers Single Family Detached Models			
Model Name	Lot Area		
	Small Lot	Medium	Large
	55' X 110'	72' X 110'	125' X 150'
Cooke	X		
Fallon	X		
Gibson		X	X
Haddon		X	X
Kincaid	X		
Lochlan		X	X
Roberts		X	X
Tilden		X	

***Figure 1. Toll Brothers Models and Corresponding Lot Sizes***



NV Homes Single Family Detached Models			
Model Name	Lot Area		
	Small Lot	Medium	Large
	55' X 110'	72' X 110'	125' X 150'
Baldwin	X		
Bridgewater		X	X
Danville	X		
Edgewood		X	X
Fairhaven		X	X
Longwood		X	X
Marymount		X	X
Radford		X	X
Stonehill		X	X
Stratford Hall		X	X
Tyler	X		
Westminster		X	X

**Figure 2. NV Homes Models and Corresponding Lot Sizes**

Ryan Homes Single Family Detached Models			
Model Name	Lot Area		
	Small Lot	Medium	Large
	55' X 110'	72' X 110'	125' X 150'
Alberti Ranch	X		
Ballenger	X		
Bramante 2-Story	X		
Bramante Ranch	X		
Columbia	X		
Hudson	X		
Lehigh	X		
Palladio 2-Story	X		
Palladio Ranch	X		
Seneca	X		
York	X		

**Figure 3. Ryan Homes Models and Corresponding Lot Sizes**

The application included an analysis of housing market trends, and the proposed unit types were chosen to provide a range of cost and size options to encourage an inclusive and diverse community. The design and finishes for each dwelling unit is high quality

and consistent to provide an integrated neighborhood aesthetic. The locations of the proposed dwelling units and lots are consistent with the previous approvals and preserve the natural features of the site.

**8. As the original Basic Plan required carriage homes, there shall be some percentage of carriage homes provided.**

The subject SDP is for single-family detached homes only. Carriage homes will be proposed in future SDPs.

**9. Comprehensive Design Plan CDP-0506:** CDP-0506 was approved by the Planning Board on January 4, 2007 (PGCPB Resolution No. 06-274). The District Council affirmed the Planning Board's approval on April 9, 2007. The following conditions of approval are relevant to this SDP:

**5. All future submissions to the Development Review Division regarding Locust Hill, CDP-0506 shall indicate the PMA as shown on the NRI submitted with the subject application.**

The natural resources inventory (NRI) submitted with the application is consistent with the NRI approved with CDP-0506.

**12. At time of Specific Design Plan submission, each SDP shall include a statement regarding how the proposal uses green building techniques and alternative energy sources.**

The models proposed by this SDP will include energy efficient appliances, thermal insulation, and will feature environmentally friendly materials such as engineered wood that reduces impacts to the environment from the timber industry. The site design for Locust Hill preserves woodlands and sensitive environmental features and will utilize low impact development techniques to capture stormwater runoff, in conformance with this condition.

**31. At the time of Specific Design Plan, all planting within the scenic easement shall be native plant material.**

This condition will be addressed with future SDPs for site development.

**10. Preliminary Plan of Subdivision 4-06075:** On January 25, 2007, the Planning Board approved PPS 4-06075 (PGCPB No. 07-28(C)(A)), which provided for 554 lots and 24 parcels, subject to 29 conditions. The conditions relevant to the review of this SDP are as follows:

**23. Construction drawings for the recreational facilities on public parkland shall be reviewed and approved by the Park Planning and Development staff prior to certificate approval of the first specific design plan.**

While the subject SDP is for architecture only, the applicant provided the following update on the status of this condition: detailed construction drawings for park facilities were submitted to the Prince George's County Department of Parks and Recreation (DPR) and were initially reviewed in May of 2017. Revised detailed construction drawings were resubmitted to DPR on September 21, 2017. The applicant further met with DPR on November 14, 2019 to discuss an updated park concept plan.

11. **Specific Design Plan SDP-1705:** SDP-1705 and TCP2-027-2015-01 were approved by the Planning Board on April 9, 2020 (PGCPB Resolution No. 2020-57) for Locust Hill, Phase 1, for infrastructure only, to support the development of 285 single-family detached and 53 single-family attached residential lots, subject to 4 conditions. The landscaping and recreational facilities for the development will need to be approved under an SDP before building permits can be issued. The subject SDP plans are consistent with SDP-1705 and none of the conditions are relevant to the subject SDP.
12. **Other site plan related regulations:**
  - a. **2010 Prince George's County Landscape Manual:** Per Section 27-528(a)(1) of the Zoning Ordinance, an SDP must conform to the applicable standards of the 2010 *Prince George's County Landscape Manual*. Since this SDP is for umbrella architecture only, conformance with the requirements will be reviewed at the time of SDP for site development.
  - b. **Prince George's County Woodland Conservation and Tree Preservation Ordinance:** The Locust Hill development is not subject to the 2010 Prince George's County Woodland and Wildlife Habitat Conservation Ordinance because the site has a TCPI approved prior to September 1, 2010. This site is subject to the provisions of the 1993 Woodland Conservation and Tree Preservation Ordinance, as established with the initial approval of TCPI-024-06 and carried forward with subsequent entitlements. Since this SDP is for umbrella architecture only, conformance with the requirements will be reviewed at the time of SDP for site development.
13. **Referrals:** The Planning Board reviewed and adopted a memorandum dated March 29, 2022 (Smith and Stabler to Guinn), which provided an analysis of the proposed architectural models and noted that the Historic Preservation Commission (HPC) reviewed a sight-line study at time of A-9975-C-01 in April 2018 and found that existing vegetation would protect the viewshed from the St. Barnabas Church and Cemetery historic site. Therefore, no review of the architecture of the single-family houses is necessary by the HPC.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that pursuant to Subtitle 27 of the Prince George's County Code, the Prince George's County Planning Board of The Maryland-National Capital Park and Planning Commission adopted the findings contained herein and APPROVED Specific Design Plan SDP-2101 for the above-described land, subject to the following condition:

1. Prior to certification of the specific design plan (SDP), the applicant shall provide this information and/or revise the site plan as follows:
  - a. Provide a valid stormwater management concept plan.
  - b. Provide drawings for all highly visible side elevations. All highly visible side elevations shall include a minimum of three standard features in addition to a brick, stone, or other masonry water table.
  - c. Provide updated side elevation drawings with a minimum of two standard features per side elevation.
  - d. Add the following note to the plans:

“No two houses directly adjacent to each other or across the street from one another shall have the same elevation.”
  - e. Add the building heights to all the architectural templates.
  - f. At least 50 percent of the total number of single-family detached units shall have full brick or stone (excluding gables, dormers, bay windows, trim, and doors) front façades. Notwithstanding the aforementioned language, the following front elevations consisting of a minimum of 75 percent brick or stone (excluding gables, dormers, bay windows, trim, and doors) shall be deemed a “full” brick or stone front façade counting towards the 50 percent requirement in this condition:
    - Cooke Model Brandywine Elevation (Toll)
    - Fallon Model Brandywine Elevation (Toll)
    - Kincaid Model Brandywine Elevation (Toll)
    - Roberts Model Brandywine Elevation (Toll)
    - Marymount Model Elevation R (NV Homes)
    - Radford Model Elevation R (NV Homes)
    - Cooke Model Modern Farmhouse Elevation (Toll)
    - Fallon Model Modern Farmhouse Elevation (Toll)
    - Kincaid Model Modern Farmhouse Elevation (Toll)
    - Roberts Model Modern Farmhouse Elevation (Toll)
    - Lochlan Model Modern Farmhouse Elevation (Toll)
  - g. The applicant may submit revised elevations reflecting conformance with the minimum percentage of brick or stone façades set forth in Condition 1f herein.


BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that an appeal of the Planning Board’s action must be filed with the District Council of Prince George’s County within thirty (30) days following the final notice of the Planning Board’s decision.

\* \* \* \* \*

This is to certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the action taken by the Prince George's County Planning Board of The Maryland-National Capital Park and Planning Commission on the motion of Commissioner Washington, seconded by Commissioner Doerner, with Commissioners Washington, Doerner, Bailey, and Geraldo voting in favor of the motion, and with Commissioner Hewlett absent, at its regular meeting held on Thursday, March 31, 2022, in Upper Marlboro, Maryland.


Adopted by the Prince George's County Planning Board this 21st day of April 2022.

Peter A. Shapiro  
Chairman

By   
Jessica Jones  
Planning Board Administrator

PAS:JJ:RG:rpg

APPROVED AS TO LEGAL SUFFICIENCY



David S. Warner  
M-NCPPC Legal Department  
Date: April 6, 2022