

PRINCE GEORGE'S COUNTY COUNCIL

COMMITTEE REPORT

2017 Legislative Session

Reference No.: CB-034-2017
Draft No.: 1
Committee: PLANNING, ZONING AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT
Date: 5/3/2017
Action: FAV

Committee Vote: Favorable with recommended amendments, 5-0 (In favor: Council Members Harrison, Patterson, Franklin, Glaros, and Toles)

Staff provided an overview of the legislation and informed the Committee of written referral comments that were received. CB-34-2017, which was introduced on April 11, 2017, codifies the provisions within CR-24-2017 also adopted by the Council on April 11, 2017. This legislation modifies the minimum acreage requirements for a Private Limousine Service Dispatching Station in the I-3 Zone.

Council Member Patterson, the bill's sponsor, explained the need to correct current regulations for the use as originally placed in the Code pursuant to the enactment of CB-87-2015 and CB-22-2016.

The Office of Law reviewed CB-34-2017 and provided comments in a memorandum dated May 2, 2017 to the Council Administrator with suggested amendments to reflect the current codified language in Footnote 60. The Planning Board reviewed the legislation and took no position with explanation and provided comments and suggested amendments to Footnote 60. The comments/amendments are as follows:

- Delete the words "Provided the use is on property with a land area of at least five (5) acres". This language is not needed because the next sentence says that a Private Limousine Dispatching Service Station is located on three (3) or more acres of land.
- It should be noted the words "was in existence on November 1, 2015 that is within the definition of Private Limousine Service Dispatching Station" will require the applicant to provide documentation that the use was in existence on November 1, 2015.
- In addition, the words "for which no new development to expand the use requiring a County building permit" should be deleted. The use will require a grading permit which requires a use and occupancy permit. A grading permit is another type of building permit. The bill as drafted with the above referenced language would still prohibit the use.

The Zoning and Legislative Counsel summarized proposed amendments to Footnote 60 as recommended by the Planning Board and informed the Committee that given the bill was already introduced, any amendments would have to be in the form of an amendment sheet at the time of public hearing/enactment of the legislation. The Committee voted favorably with recommended amendments as provided by the Zoning and Legislative Counsel.