1	OFFICE OF THE ZONING HEARING EXAMINER
2	FOR PRINCE GEORGE'S COUNTY
3	
4	
5	x :
6	: HYATTSVILLE BRIGHTSEAT ROAD RE LLC : Case No. SE/VSE-4845
7	: :
8	x
9	
10	A hearing in the above-entitled matter was held on
11	March 9, 2022, at the Prince George's County Office of
12	Zoning, Zoning Hearing Examiners Office, 1301 McCormick
13	Drive, 3rd Floor, Largo, Maryland 20774 before:
14	
15	Maureen McNeil
16	Hearing Examiner
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

Deposition Services, Inc.

P.O. Box 1040
Burtonsville, MD 20866
Tel: (301) 881-3344 Fax: (301) 881-3338
info@DepositionServices.com www.DepositionServices.com

A P P E A R A N C E S

On Behalf of the Applicant:

Tom Haller, Esq.

On Behalf of People's Zoning:

Stan Brown

PROCEEDINGS

MS. MCNEIL: Good morning, everyone, I'm Maurene McNeil, I'll be the zoning hearing examiner today. Today we're here on the variance request accompanying a special exception request for a gas station or food or beverage store, case number SE/VSE, put on my glasses, 4845, and today is March the 8th, 2022. I just want to note for the record, if anything happens that we have a new way of signing in to go to meetings, which I didn't discover until about 20 minutes ago, and I think I'm in here, but it says authentication failed. So, talk fast Tom Haller, because we don't want to continuance. Okay, if counsel would identify themselves for the record.

MR. HALLER: No, we do not want to continuance Madam Examiner Thomas Haller on behalf of the applicant.

MR. BROWN: Stan Brown, peoples zoning counsel.

MS. MCNEIL: Okay, before we start, so we had a hearing a little over a month ago and realized we had to continue to have a hearing on the variance. Back then, all of the exhibits were in binders 1, 2 and 3, I believe we noted in the transcript, and there were 47 exhibits. But for ease of this continuance, I believe all 47 exhibits are in one binder and we have now added Exhibits 48 and 49. Forty-nine is the proforma for Mr. Brown of his notice of intent to defend, but Mr. Haller, would you briefly explain

what 48 is since it was not out on the website, if anybody was out there trying to review all the document, thank you.

3

4

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. HALLER: Absolutely, Madam Examiner. close of the last hearing, the record was left open to submit an organizational chart for the entity that is the contact purchaser of the property, which is Hyattsville Brightseat Road, RE LLC. And so, what the Exhibit 48 includes is an organizational chart listing, the name of the entities that own a 5 percent or greater interest in that entity. And then there are two entities that own a 5 percent or greater interest in that entity, and so I've also provided an operating statement, not only for Hyattsville Brightseat Road RE LLC, but also for the two entities who own a 5 percent or greater interest. And I've also included operating, I mean operating statements for them as well. So, I just wanted to make sure that we had all of the disclosures that were necessary in the record. And then I also included just out of an abundance of caution, although I don't think its required, a business entity ethics affidavit for one of the entities that owns an interest in another entity that has an interest in Brightseat Road, about Hyattsville Brightseat Road, RE LLC. So, the purpose was just to ensure that the record was fully compliant with all of the disclosure and affidavit requirements and also to provide the organizational information Mr. Brown requested.

MS. MCNEIL: Thank you, and just before you start, is there anyone here in opposition to the request? I don't see any names that we didn't see last time around, but I need to ask that. Okay, there doesn't appear to be, so Mr. Haller, you may proceed.

1

3

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. HALLER: And Madam Examiner, I'm going to be very brief this morning. At the prior hearing, we provided testimony specifically related to the variance. We did not withhold any discussion of that, and I would request testimony from the prior hearing be incorporated into the record of today's hearing. In addition, I would note for the record that the criterial for the variance was addressed both in our statement of justification, which is Exhibit 18 in the record, as well as in Mr. Ferguson's land planning analysis which is Condition 43. So, the variance requirements have been addressed both in writing and through testimony. If the examiner would like, I could have Mr. Ferguson give a brief overview of what the variance is just to make sure that this record is clear, and I'm happy to do that. Or that's up to the examiner, we do not have to provide any more information other than what's already in the record unless the examiner would like us to just for clarity purposes.

MS. MCNEIL: Okay, just because some new person may be watching, we don't know that, could we have Mr.

Ferguson briefly go through the reasoning for the variance request? Oh, there he is. Mr. Ferguson, do you swear or affirm under the, I'm sorry, do you swear or affirm under the penalties of perjury that the testimony you shall give will be the truth and nothing but the truth?

MR. FERGUSON: I do. Mr. Haller's mic appears to go dead.

MR. HALLER: No, no, I'm here, no, okay. Thank you, Mr. Ferguson. For purposes of the clarity in the record, would you please briefly describe the specific variance which has been requested in conjunction with the special exception and which is the subject of today's hearing?

MR. FERGUSON: I will. The variance is that the subject property shall have direct vehicular access to a street with a right-of-way width of at least 70-feet. The subject property in this case is the special exception limit which is a part of the larger parcel which currently exists. The access to the subject property, which is to say the special exception limits, will pass through a portion of the property which is outside of the special exception limits on its way from Brightseat Road into the special exception limits. And then furthermore, it is the applicant's intention in the very near future to actually subdivide the property so that the special exception area is in fact a

separate lot which will have its access by an easement over the remainder of what is now a single lot. The condition which gives rise to the request is principally a topographic separation which exists from the subject property, i.e. the special exception area, and the adjoining Brightseat Road, as well as a denial of access along the subject properties other frontage which is Long Arena or Medical Center Drive. And so really, the combination of the topographic access and to some degree, some safety considerations and separation from the nearby intersection of Brightseat and Arena, are the conditions which require the entrance to be located where it is.

Secondly, the subject property is currently owned by the owners of the adjoining hotel and they do not wish their property to be encumbered by the special exception, so therefore you know they were unwilling to grant the special exception area to extend across their entrance, and therefore you know remove the need for the variance.

MS. MCNEIL: Mr. Brown?

MR. BROWN: I have no questions, thank you.

MS. MCNEIL: Do you all know approximately how long it would take to get to subdivision, if this were approved?

MR. HALLER: We have an application that is ready for preview by Park and Planning, we have a number assigned

and we've sent notices out. So, we would anticipate that we would get to subdivision probably in about, well it depends on how long it takes Park and Planning to accept the subdivision, but if the examiner will recall, because this property's in the I-3 zone, we also need a conceptual site plan. So, we have a conceptual site plan, a detailed site plan and a subdivision application ready to submit. We just wanted to make sure that we knew where we stood as far as the special exception was concerned before we submitted that.

MS. MCNEIL: And what was this property rezoned to in the Countywide map amendment, I just want to know?

MR. HALLER: It would be the IE zone.

MS. MCNEIL: And I apologize for not knowing this off the top, but I remember at one point, the zoning ordinance rewrite would say that the special exception site plan would be all that's needed in the future and no other detail or other site plan. Do you know if that's the case? So, you all want to proceed under the current ordinance no matter what, I take it?

MR. HALLER: Well, we are at this point, and one of the reasons why is because in the IE zone, the hotel requires a special exception.

MS. MCNEIL: Okay, but it's there? Okay, all right. I don't think I have any further questions. So it

would not be a hardship to you to supplement this record with any future subdivision plat and showing the legal easement giving you access to Arena Drive, I mean not Arena, but Brightseat?

MR. HALLER: No, it would not. We did submit a copy of the draft REA that has been negotiated between the property owner and the contract purchaser. That's in the record. Obviously, that won't be executed unless this application and the other application go through, and they proceed to settlement. So, we can certainly provide a fully executed copy of that upon completion of the application.

MS. MCNEIL: Okay. Well, I really want to keep you longer, but I don't have any other questions.

MR. HALLER: There is one other housekeeping matter that I did want to bring to the examiner's attention --

MS. MCNEIL: Okay.

MR. HALLER: -- and ask a question. If you will recall, and I'm happy to share my screen to show the site plan, but if you recall, there was a question that was discussed because this particular food and beverage store has some seats in it, and there was some discussion as to whether we needed to reference both parking requirements for a food and beverage store, and for an eating and drinking establishment on the site plan. We submitted a revised site plan which was Exhibit 46,

and that site plan referenced eating and drinking establishment. I subsequently became aware that Madam Examiner made a determination in another similar case that adding a few seats to a food and beverage store doesn't invert it to being an eating and drinking establishment, and that all that is required is for the parking schedule to account for the seats. So, if that is --

MS. MCNEIL: Hasn't been tested out any further than the examiner, but yes, I think that's an excellent interpretation of the code, but go ahead.

MR. HALLER: So, what that would necessitate in this case would be simply, and again, I can pull the site down if you want to seed it, but simply remove the reference fooding from the parking schedule, the reference to an eating and drinking establishment, and leave only the reference to providing parking for the seats. It wouldn't change the parking count, it just would mean that we wouldn't represent it's a separate or a different use. And my thought would be that it would be cleaner for the record to simply submit a revised site plan reflecting that, rather than have that be a condition of approval or going into the future.

MS. MCNEIL: Okay, well if you don't -- well you could submit it now, but just in case this were approved, there might be like another note someone might want added,

maybe, I'm not sure yet. So, you want to wait a while? I 2 like that you agreed to that, but --MR. HALLER: Well, I don't want to hold the 3 4 opportunity to paying a decision in this matter you know for 5 any length of time. We can submit a revised site plan 6 within a day or two, then the record would be complete. 7 MS. MCNEIL: Okay, you can do that. Obviously, if somebody requires that 8 MR. HALLER: 9 I modify those other than the examiner's decision, then that 10 would be what it would be, but we're happy to hold the 11 record open to submit a revised site plan without one 12 housekeeping modification. 13 MS. MCNEIL: Okay, that will be great. Thank you. 14 MR. HALLER: Okay. 15 MS. MCNEIL: And the record will close upon 16 receipt of the revised site plan. And if there's nothing 17 further from anyone, this matter is adjourned. 18 MR. HALLER: Thank you, Madam Examiner. 19 MS. MCNEIL: Thank you all. 20 MR. BROWN: Thanks everyone. 21 MR. HALLER: Thank you all, bye. 22 (Whereupon, the hearing was concluded.) 23 24

25

DEPOSITION SERVICES, INC., hereby certifies that the attached pages represent an accurate transcript of the electronic sound recording of the proceedings before the Prince George's County Office of the Zoning Hearing Examiner in the matter of:

HYATTSVILLE BRIGHTSEAT ROAD RE LLC

Case No. SE/VSE-4845

By:

Par farrell

Pat Purnell, Transcriber