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RECORDING: This conference will now be recorded.

MS. MCNEIL: Good morning everybody. I'm Maurene McNeil and I'll be your hearing examiner today. And it is February 8, 2023. We're here on zoning map application 2022-001 and it's a request to rezone approximately 12.43 acres located at 10301 and 10303 Greenbelt Road from the RR zone, which is residential rural to the RMS 48 zone, which is residential multifamily.

Is anyone here in opposition to this request?
MR. FOREMAN: No, Madam Examiner. For the record, Nate Foreman but everyone here is in support.

MS. MCNEIL: Thank you, Nate. And, so, would counsel ident- -- introduce themselves for the record?

MR. FOREMAN: Yes, please -- yes, thank you. My name is Nate Foreman and I'm attorney with o'Malley, Miles, Nylen and Gilmore and I'm here on behalf of the applicant in support of zoning map amendment 2022-001.

MR. BROWN: Stan Brown, people's zoning counsel.

MS. MCNEIL: Thank you, gentlemen. And I'm getting ready to turn it over to Nate, but I would remind everyone that this is being recorded and streamed, so if you're not speaking please keep your mics off and please keep your cameras on when you are speaking. Thank you all and Nate you can give us an opening statement or take it away.

MR. FOREMAN: Thank you, Madam Examiner. Just real quick, if no one is speaking would you prefer everyone to have their cameras off also to save bandwidth or what is -- what is your preference?

MS. MCNEIL: I don't care. I think we're -I've never had a problem with them being on but either way is fine with me.

MR. FOREMAN: Okay. Perfect. Thank you.
So to begin again, good morning everyone. I'm Nate Foreman here on behalf of the applicant, Land Development Investors II, LLC.

Mr. Hayes, could you please mute your microphone?

MR. HAYES: Uh --
MR. FOREMAN: Oh.

MR. HAYES: Is it -- can you hear me?
MR. FOREMAN: Yes. All right. Thank you. So --
MR. HAYES: Okay.
MR. FOREMAN: -- as I mentioned -- oh --
MR. HAYES: Can you hear me now?
MR. FOREMAN: We can hear you. Can you hear us?

MR. HAYES: Yes.
MR. FOREMAN: I will -- Mr. Hayes is also with us this morning in support of the application. So Mr. Hayes, would you mind muting yourself for now?

MR. HAYES: Okay.
MR. FOREMAN: Thank you.
So yes, I'm here on behalf of the applicant, Land Development Investors II LLC, which is looking to rezone property that is owned by the Maryann Davis Trust and Doreen and Robert Kramer. I plan on calling two witnesses to testify in support of the application this morning. But if I could, I would like to briefly add some context regarding ownership of the property.

The 12.3 --
MS. MCNEIL: Mr. Foreman, I apologize, will
you also in the beginning talk about the four exhibits that we were not able to put out in the binder last week?

MR. FOREMAN: Okay.
MS. MCNEIL: Thank you.
MR. FOREMAN: Yes, I will certainly in- -include that. In fact, I have that as part -- part of my opening remarks to sort of close with whatever additional stuff I'm saying. Thank you.

So yes, the 12.4 -- -3 acres of land is comprised of parcels 420, 421 and 4- -- 422 and a portion of lot 1 have been owned by the same family for around a hundred years or so. And at the time of this application, the entire property is owned by two entities that are all part of the same family.

One owner, the Maryann Davis Trust owns the portion shown as parcel 420 and a portion of parcel -of lot 1, which is about 10 and a half acres or about -- maybe probably about 10 acres. Doreen and Robert Kramer own parcel 421 and have an undivided one-half tenant in common interest in parcel 422. And their ownership amounts to approximately two acres.

Maryann Davis, of whom the trust is named, after -- passed away in 2018. There are two trustees: April, legal name Diana O'Neil, and Doreen Kramer, the daughters of Maryann Davis. That's Doreen Kramer not only owns two acres outright but she's also the trustee for the 10 acres owned by the Maryann Davis trust. This is why you may have seen Doreen's name on two separate applications.

April and Doreen are present this morning, but on behalf of the family you will be hearing from April's husband, Mr. Dan O'Neil, who has volunteered to testify on behalf of the family. After Mr. O'Neil concludes his testimony, I will be calling Mr. Ferguson to testify regarding the merits of this zoning application.

But before I do call Mr. Dan O'Neil to testify, I want to comment on the other undivided one half tenant in common interest of -- that $I$ had mentioned for parcel 422. The other party with the undivided one-half tenant in common interest is Cottage City Mennonite Church. Mr. Gregory Hayes is here this morning on behalf of the church and has registered to
testify in support of this application.
Because the church has an undivided one-half tenant in common interest in the parcel 422, and we have included parcel 422 in this application, we recently submitted an application signed by them, a disclosure statement, a state ethics affidavit and a certificate of good standing on behalf of the Mennonite -- Cottage City Mennonite Church. These were submitted late in the process and $I$ would request that these documents be included in the record.

If this --
MS. MCNEIL: If I may, if you don't know the exhibit numbers, Miss Potete [sic] could you tell us the exhibit numbers for these four documents that we received recently?

MS. POTETE: 25 through 28.
MS. MCNEIL: Which -- like which is 25, etcetera?

MS. POTETE: Excuse me?
MS. MCNEIL: Which one is 25?
MS. POTETE: 25 is the map of the property. MS. MCNEIL: Okay.

MS. POTETE: Also 20- -- I mean 422
information.
MS. MCNEIL: Okay.
MS. POTETE: 26, good standing certificate Cottage City Mennonite Church. 27 is the application of the co-owners, Cottage City Mennonite Church, Inc. 28 is ethics business entity affidavit for Cottage City Mennonite Church.

MS. MCNEIL: Thank you so much.
MR. FOREMAN: Thank you, Betty. And I will also add that the application, which is Exhibit 27, also has the disclosure statement on page 3.

MS. MCNEIL: Yes.
MR. FOREMAN: Okay. So just -- yeah, that way we can make sure that everything's submitted that we need to show them as the owners.

With those opening remarks out of the way and if there's no questions, I would call my first witness, Mr. Dan O'Neil.

MR. BROWN: One [inaudible] --
MS. MCNEIL: Mr. O'Neil -- I'm sorry.
MR. BROWN: One very quick question, Mr.

Foreman. You indicated that Dan O'Neil is speaking on behalf of Doreen Kramer. Is that correct, did I understand?

MR. FOREMAN: Correct. And -- and April, his wife.

MR. BROWN: And April --
MR. FOREMAN: And Dan --
MR. BROWN: His -- his wife is who again?
MR. FOREMAN: Dan's wife is April O'Near [sic]
-- April O'Neil who is one of the trustees.
MR. BROWN: Oh, so she's one of the trustees.
And Mr. O'Neil himself is not a title owner nor of any relationship as a trustee himself; is that correct?

MR. FOREMAN: That is correct.
MR. BROWN: Why is he testifying?
MR. FOREMAN: Because he is -- his wife, April, and his sister-in-law Doreen have asked him to testify on their behalf in -- in support of the family and the trust. And Mr. -- Miss Doreen Kramer and Bob -sorry -- Miss April O'Neil and Doreen Kramer are also on the line and they can verify and support that fact too.

MR. BROWN: Well, we don't typically allow a layperson to testify on behalf of someone else. He's not a lawyer, is he?

MR. FOREMAN: No. But -- but really the -- the point of Mr. O'Neil's testimony is to talk about the family history and the history of the property. Not -and that would be within his personal knowledge having been part of the family for 47 years, I believe.

MR. BROWN: All right. I'll -- I'll listen to his testimony, Madam Examiner, but we would need April O'Neil and Doreen Kramer to ratify any statements made of where he has no personal knowledge because it's improper for him to testify on their behalf but $I$ will try to be [inaudible] objection.

MS. MCNEIL: Okay. I would agree with that Mr. Foreman. And when the two ladies realize how easy our proceedings are, I'm sure they won't mind coming on camera and just saying they ratify the testimony provided by Mr. O'Neil. So you can start with Mr. O'Neil if you like.

MR. FOREMAN: Okay. So thank you. Just so I'm -- I'm clear and Mr. Brown and Madam Examiner, after

Mr. O'Neil has finished his testimony, I will just ask Miss O'Neil and Miss Kramer to come on camera, identify themselves and state whether they ratify and -- and agree with Mr. O'Neil's testimony; is that correct?

MR. BROWN: That is --
MS. MCNEIL: Yes.
MR. BROWN: -- correct.
MR. FOREMAN: Okay. Thank you.
Mr. O'Neil, can you please state your name -well, your name and address for the record?

MR. O'NEIL: Thank you, Nate. Good morning, everybody. My name is Dan O'Neil -- Daniel O'Neil. 3508 Morelock Lane in Bowie, Maryland 20715.

MR. FOREMAN: All right. Thank you. Are you familiar with Maryann Davis Trust, the owner of most of the property that is the subject of this application?

MR. O'NEIL: Yes. Just for the record, I wanted to clarify one issue. It's not the Maryann Davis Trust. It's the Maryann Davies Trust, D-a-v-i-e-s. I'm very --

```
MR. FOREMAN: [inaudible] --
```

MR. O'NEIL: -- I am very familiar with it.

Maryann Davies was my mother-in-law. She's mother to my wife, Diana O'Neil and my sister-in-law Doreen Kramer both which are trustees to the trust and as well as their two brothers, my brothers-in-law, Lawrence and Michael Davies.

MR. FOREMAN: And is -- so with both Diana O'Neil and April O'Neil --

MS. MCNEIL: Excuse me, excuse me. I am so sorry, you all. It's been a while since the hearing. Mr. O'Neil, do you swear or affirm under the penalties of perjury that the testimony you shall give and have given is the truth and nothing but the truth? MR. O'NEIL: Yes, ma'am, I do. MS. MCNEIL: Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Ferguson. MR. FOREMAN: And -- and thank you for -MS. MCNEIL: You reminded me, thank you. MR. FOREMAN: Thank you, Madam Examiner. And, so, Mr. O'Neil, could you explain maybe the discrepancy between your wife sometimes going as Diana and then sometimes it's April, just for the record?

MR. O'NEIL: Yes. He legal is Diana. And her mother nicknamed her April shortly after birth.

MR. FOREMAN: Okay.
MR. O'NEIL: [inaudible] almost an April's Fools.

MR. FOREMAN: All right. So when we -- where we refer to April O'Neil, it's just Diana O'Neil who --

MR. O'NEIL: That is correct.
MR. FOREMAN: -- which is her legal name. Okay.

MR. O'NEIL: I will -- I will try to -- to keep my testimony to her legal name, Diana, with one "n."

MR. FOREMAN: Okay. Thank you. And this comment -- this question's kind of been brought up already but are you authorized to speak on behalf of the trust?

MR. O'NEIL: Yes. I fully understand Mr. Brown's question and concern. I am authorized both by Diana and Doreen as trustees to speak for them and I'm also authorized by Doreen's husband, Robert Kramer, to speak for him since the Kramers own that separate two-
acre parcel that's included in this project. All are sitting next to me if you wish to confirm.

MR. FOREMAN: Okay. Thank you. And so, how come you are the person that is testifying on behalf of the trust?

MR. O'NEIL: It's simple, my wife and my sister-in-law are cam- -- camera shy.

MR. FOREMAN: But you have enough personal experience with the property that you can also testify on behalf of your own personal knowledge?

MR. O'NEIL: Yes, I've been in -- in -- I've been part of the family for 47 years now. Married for the last 43 to my wife, Diana. Every year's a -- I'm going to learn a great deal about the family as well as the history of the property that we're discussing.

MR. FOREMAN: Okay. Thank you. If I can bring up Exhibit 21 and slide 9 of 11, please.

THE REPORTER: I'm sorry, you said 21?
MR. FOREMAN: Yes. Exhibit 21. It's a multipage exhibit, so if you could -- and that is slide 1, if you could go to slide 9, please. Perfect. Thank you. Is there any way we can maybe maximize the screen or --
to kind of get a better view of the property? Okay. Is -- thank you. I -- I think I'll -- that looks good. Can everybody see the slide that we're sharing -- showing?

MR. BROWN: No, I cannot. I -- I can see it from another computer.

MS. MCNEIL: You can't see it all or --
MR. BROWN: I can't see it all on my computer but I have another computer next to me and I can see it.

MR. FOREMAN: Okay. Mr. O'Neil, looking at the -- this exhibit, which is a bird's eye view of the property, would you -- can you please describe the property

MR. O'NEIL: Okay. Everything within the blue lines is basically the -- the property that we're talking about. The main nine, nine and a half acres, is -- is -- okay. The main nine and a half or so acres to the left, the big piece, is the Maryann Davies trust property.

The property in the square box to the bottom of the screen, along with the strip that goes all the way out to Greenbelt Road belongs to Robert and Doreen

Kramer. The property inside the cut out between the two properties is privately owned by someone else as is the other house to the left, which faces Greenbelt Road.

MR. FOREMAN: Just -- just to clarify that, those last comments, you mentioned that the portion on the left is the portion owned by Maryann Davies trust but that there is a portion cut out of that and it looks like there is a house on that; correct?

MR. O'NEIL: Yes. That used to be family property at one time, it's owned by my sister-in-law [inaudible] -- my wife's uncle, Douglas. He built a house and then he sold it and moved to Florida.

MR. FOREMAN: Okay. Do you know how long ago that was?

MR. O'NEIL: That would have been late '70s, early '80s.

MR. FOREMAN: Okay.
MR. O'NEIL: That's when he moved. He built the house in the '60s.

MR. FOREMAN: Okay. But he -- but it -- it was sold out from the family in about the '70s or '80s is what you're saying?

MR. O'NEIL: That is correct.
MR. FOREMAN: Okay. Thank you.
MR. O'NEIL: The other piece of property was never part of the family.

MR. FOREMAN: That's the one that is in front of the portion owned by Robert and Doreen Kramer that has frontage on Greenbelt Road; right?

MR. O'NEIL: That is correct. The lines are a little bit off because their house is actually down lower but yeah basically the design. That's -- that's their -- that's the house, that's the property.
[talking in background, inaudible]
MR. FOREMAN: Okay. Thank you. Could you briefly describe that long strip that is all the way on the right of the property?

MR. O'NEIL: Sure. That's -- that's a right of way that is partially owned by the Kramers and partially by the Cottage City Mennonite Church.

MR. FOREMAN: And so that is the -- the parcel that's 422 that has the basically undivided half interest shared between Robert and Doreen Kramer and the Cottage City Mennonite Church; correct?

MR. O'NEIL: I don't know what 422 is but, yes, you are correct.

MR. FOREMAN: It's that -- that long strip. MR. O'NEIL: That's correct.

MR. FOREMAN: Okay. There are two houses on the subject property. Can you please explain who lives in those two houses?

MR. O'NEIL: Yes. The house -- you can't really see it on this picture, but the house to the -I guess where the green dot is, is owned by the trust. It was the house that my wife's grandparents lived in and then her mother lived in and currently it's being used by niece Maryann Kramer. And the house on the right belongs to the Kramers.

MR. FOREMAN: All right. And how long was your mother-in-law living -- or when did she pass away or sorry --

MS. MCNEIL: I'm sorry, Nate. Nate, I'm sorry. I couldn't hear who -- the other house is owned by whom?

MR. O'NEIL: The Kramers.
MR. FOREMAN: And -- and I guess it's -- was

Maryann Davies living in that house on the left or what has happened to it?

MR. O'NEIL: Oh, yes, when her -- when her -her parents died, when her mom died, the house was, you know, the interior was renovated and she moved into it and then she lived there until she died in 2018.

MR. FOREMAN: Okay. So she passed away in -in 2018?

MR. O'NEIL: That is correct.
MR. FOREMAN: Okay. Thank you. And, so, you had mentioned that the other house is owned by Robert and Doreen Kramer.

MR. O'NEIL: That is correct.
MR. FOREMAN: Can you -- I know it's hard to -- to point but can you kind of direct the zoning hearing examiner to where it is on this map?

MR. O'NEIL: If you look to the two boxes on the right, the box at the top closest to Greenbelt Road and you'll see a house down at the bottom of that box, the one with a white square that's actually their camper sitting there, that line should actually be closer to Greenbelt Road but that's the house I'm
explain -- I'm describing.
MR. FOREMAN: Okay. And -- and Robert and -and Doreen Kramer currently live there.

MR. O'NEIL: They do.
MR. FOREMAN: Okay. And so how much of the total property is owned by the trust and how much is owned by Robert and Doreen Kramer?

MR. O'NEIL: I believe it's about nine and a half acres that's owned by the trust. And if you include the -- the strip that's partially owned by the Kramers and the Mennonite Church they own approximately 2.83 acres.

MR. FOREMAN: Okay. Thank you very much. Now that we kind of have an understanding of the property itself, are you familiar with this rezoning application? Sorry -- I'm sorry. Do you have personal knowledge of the property's history now that we're familiar with it?

MR. O'NEIL: I do.
MR. FOREMAN: Could you please describe the history of this property?

MR. O'NEIL: This property's been in my wife's
family for about a hundred years. Six generations [inaudible] lived on it or currently live on the property, the youngest being six years old. Her great grandparents immigrated to this country around 1900 and settled on property in Glendale. Sometime between 1918 and 1924 the government decided they wanted the farmland that the family had settled on so the property was condemned and they were given a stipend for their land.

The property that they were removed from is now referred to as got it's [inaudible] center. In 1924 the family moved across the street to the property that we're discussing now. This property included most of the land between what we're looking at on the screen and [inaudible] Road. Over the years, the Kaylors [??], which is the name of -- of my wife's great grandparents split up their property amongst their children.

In 1930 approximately 30 acres was -- were gifted to my wife's grandparents, George and [inaudible] Ruth as a wedding gift. Approximately half of this piece of property remains in the family and that's what we're discussing today. As we discussed,
there are two homes on the property. Sometime in the early '80s, the government once again took a large portion of the property in order to -- in order to widen Greenbelt Road.

Thomas and [inaudible] Kaylor my wife's great grandparents, the original family owners are currently buried in St. George's chapel, which is literally a block away from the property.

MR. FOREMAN: All right. Thank you for the -the history of the property. It's certainly the family's been in this area for a long time and so it's not even just that this area but they had previously been on property that was owned by [inaudible] that is now currently occupied by [inaudible] so they have a -deep ties to the area.

Can you please describe the properties that are around the property, to the best of your knowledge?

MR. O'NEIL: Yes, there are churches on either side of the property currently. Eastgate Shopping Center is just adjacent -- adjacent to the Mennonite Church, which is on the far right of the document that's on the screen right now. Townhouses are behind
the property to the south, apartments to the west, offices across the street, huge new townhouse development I believe is about -- they're approved for about 500 townhomes and -- and single family homes.

Across the street is a [inaudible] grocery store there, got tons and tons of traffic and it's also my understanding that a Popeye's Chicken has been approved to be built in front of the [inaudible] store. MR. FOREMAN: You had mentioned townhouses to the south of the property. Are they abutting the property line themselves or is anything between them?

MR. O'NEIL: No, there's a piece of wooded property between our property and the townhouses that's owned by the Mennonite Church.

MR. FOREMAN: Okay. And -- and the -- to the -

- your knowledge, are there any other environmental features on that property to the south?

MR. O'NEIL: There is a stream that goes
through, Folly Branch.
MR. FOREMAN: Okay. Folly Branch goes through that property --

MR. O'NEIL: That's the --

MR. FOREMAN: -- that's --
MR. O'NEIL: -- it's right at the edge of -between our property. More -- more on the property owned by the church but it's back there.

MR. FOREMAN: Okay. Thank you very much. To -to the best of your knowledge, has the subject property been the subject of a proposed rezoning prior to this application?

MR. O'NEIL: We have never made an application for rezoning.

MR. FOREMAN: Okay. You've never had any rezoning applications previously. What is the basis for this current application? What situation has changed when previously no one had wanted or sought to rezone the property?

MR. O'NEIL: Well, for most of the history of this property, the families were content to keep it as it existed. Rezoning is costly and we simply couldn't afford it. And when $I$ say we, I'm talking about the family in general, much less afford the increase in taxes that the new zoning would bring. We didn't see the advantage of paying more taxes if my mother-in-law
and others were just going to stay living there.
As to what changed, April's mother died in 2018, leaving the property to my wife and her siblings in the form of a trust. If the property were to be sold, it would be -- need to be distributed amongst all four siblings. Although we'd love to keep the property as is, none of us four -- none of the four par- -siblings can afford to buy the others out.

Each sibling could use the money now generated for the sale of the property to live on and secure the four siblings currently of solely dependent on Social Security for income. Health issues and medical expenses are getting expensive. Two out of the four siblings are cancer survivors and one spouse of one of the siblings is currently undergoing cancer treatments and that's very costly.

Finally, I want to just point that we're just a family. We're not a big corporation. We're just trying to sell what's left to us so we can carry out the rest of our lives. All four siblings in -- are in their 60s, upper 60s or lower 70s, so none of us have lengthy lives to look forward to. So far we are four
years into this project and the trust directs it -- us to distribute the assets of my mother-in-law and this is the best way that we could find to honor her request.

MR. FOREMAN: Thank you very much for your -your testimony this morning, Mr. O'Neil. Those are all the questions I have for you. I guess we could --

Would Madam Examiner, would you like to swear in Miss April or Diana O'Neil and Doreen Kramer for them to ratify the testimony given by Mr. O'Neil?

MS. MCNEIL: Did you have any questions, Stan? I guess we can swear them so that you know you accept his testimony and then ask your questions.

MR. BROWN: Yes, if they could just --
MS. MCNEIL: Okay.
MR. BROWN: -- ratify [inaudible] that would be fine.

MS. MCNEIL: Okay. Miss -- was it Diana O'Neil? Where's Diana.

MS. O'NEIL: Yes.
MS. MCNEIL: Do you swear or affirm -- is your -- is her mic on?

MR. FOREMAN: No, it looks like --
MS. O'NEIL: I can hear you.
MS. MCNEIL: Can she -- can she turn her mic
on? Thank you. Miss O'Neil do you swear --
MS. O'NEIL: Wait a minute.
MS. MCNEIL: Okay. [inaudible]. I think I can hear you. Do you swear or affirm [technical difficulty]. Maybe everybody else needs to turn theirs off, Stan and Nate.

MS. O'NEIL: Well, we'll come over there.
MS. MCNEIL: Oh. Okay. Okay. Okay. Miss O'Neil, do you swear or affirm under the penalties of perjury that the testimony you shall give will be the truth and nothing but the truth?

MS. O'NEIL: I do, yes.
MS. MCNEIL: Were you able to hear the
testimony that your husband provided?
MS. O'NEIL: Yes. We're -- we're sitting by --
MS. MCNEIL: And do --
MS. O'NEIL: -- him.
MS. MCNEIL: -- do you agree with that
testimony?

MS. O'NEIL: Yes, I do.
MS. MCNEIL: And -- okay, is there anything else you think you need to add to it about the history? MS. O'NEIL: No, I don't think so.

MS. MCNEIL: Okay. Thank you.
Nate or Stan, do you have questions of this witness?

MR. FOREMAN: No question.
MR. BROWN: No question.
MS. MCNEIL: And I apologize, sister of -it's Kramer, Miss --

MS. KRAMER: Yes.
MS. MCNEIL: -- is it Doreen Kramer? Is that right? Okay. Do you swear or affirm under the penalties of perjury that the testimony you shall give will be the truth and nothing but the truth?

MS. KRAMER: I do.
MS. MCNEIL: And did you hear -- hear your brother-in-law's testimony concerning the property and the history of it?

MS. KRAMER: Yes.
MS. MCNEIL: And do you agree with that
testimony?
MS. KRAMER: I do.
MS. MCNEIL: And is there anything further you'd like to tell us about the history or ownership of the property?

MS. KRAMER: No.
MS. MCNEIL: Okay. Gentlemen, do you -counsel, do you all have any questions of this witness?

MR. BROWN: No questions.
MR. FOREMAN: No questions, Madam Examiner.
MS. MCNEIL: See that was easy ladies. Thank you so much.

FEMALE: Thank you so much.
MR. O'NEIL: Thank you [inaudible].
MS. MCNEIL: So I believe Mr. Brown may have questions of Mr. O'Neil.

MR. BROWN: Yes. Mr. O'Neil if you put back up that -- the diagram or photograph aerial view of the property you had a moment ago. Mr. O'Neil my question is, concerning the panhandle strip on the right-hand side of the property that I'm going to assume is the east side of the property, you indicated that strip is
owned by the Mennonite Church and by your family members.

Do we have anything in the record that concerns that title ownership or is that merely an easement that is allowed by the Mennonite -- Mennonite Church and your family members?

MR. O'NEIL: The -- the -- [inaudible] the record, I'd -- I'd have to look. But the deed says something about right away in pur- -- purity or -maybe that's word, maybe I'm using the --

MR. BROWN: In --
MR. O'NEIL: -- wrong word.
MR. BROWN: -- in perpetuity.
MR. O'NEIL: Okay.
MR. BROWN: Mr. Foreman, we need to have in the record, $I$ don't know if it's in there, $I$ didn't see it but if that is a right of way in perpetuity, it is not a title ownership by the Mennonite Church and the family members. So at some point, we need you to put in the file who actually owned that panhandle strip --
[talking in background, inaudible]
MR. BROWN: -- so that can be determined.

MR. FOREMAN: Okay. Yes, Mr. Brown, I -- I believe they do have -- they -- the deed does call it an undivided half tenant in common interest. And I think the -- the idea of it was to be, not necessarily, right of way, but an access easement -- to not this area but we'll give you the language and -- and I understand what you're asking.

We'll get you the deed of explanation. But it is my understanding from having looked at it that they do have deed simple ownership of it divided equally between the two -- the two entities. But I'll send it to you and --

MR. BROWN: Yeah. We -- we need that in the record because, of course, all owners of the subject property must be signatories to this application so we need to confirm that, in fact, owned by certain entities. All right?

MR. FOREMAN: Understood.
MR. BROWN: No other questions.
MS. MCNEIL: And I just had one question.
Looking at the blue outline and this probably because of my vision issues, but is -- is -- the Kramers' house
is not part of the application is it or maybe the rear of it is? Is it part -- the blue line seems to leave it out.

MR. O'NEIL: Yes, it is. The blue lines are in
-- in --
FEMALE: In the wrong places.
MR. O'NEIL: -- the wrong places.
MS. MCNEIL: Oh, okay. So Nate, on your Exhibit 25, you're saying that the home would be all on -- on parcel 421?

MR. FOREMAN: I be- -- I believe that is correct. There is, I believe, a -- a boundary survey in the record which also -- the Exhibit 18 -- let me double check, see if that has the house location on it. Yes. If you look at Exhibit 8 -- 18, which is the boundary survey, it does show the exact locations of the structures.

MS. MCNEIL: Okay.
MR. FOREMAN: You can -- you can see that the -- the Kramers' house is below the red property line if you zoom in.

MS. MCNEIL: Okay. Okay, thank you.

MR. FOREMAN: My pleasure. Thank you.
MS. MCNEIL: So thank you Mr. O'Neil, I don't believe anyone has any other questions.

MR. FOREMAN: Thank you for your testimony this morning, Mr. O'Neil.

I would now like to call my second witness, Mr. Mark Ferguson.
[talking in background, inaudible]
MS. MCNEIL: Where is Mark? Aww, thank you Mark. Good morning, Mr. Ferguson. Do you swear or affirm under the penalties of perjury that the testimony you shall give will be the truth and nothing but the truth?

MR. FERGUSON: I do.
MR. FOREMAN: Please state your name and address for the record.

MR. FERGUSON: Good morning, Mr. Foreman. My name is Mark Ferguson. My business address is 5407 Water Street, Suite 206 in historic downtown Upper Marlboro, Maryland.

MR. FOREMAN: Thank you, Mark. And I guess good morning to you. I just jumped right in without
even any pleasantries.
Miss -- Madam Examiner, I would -- I can -[technical difficulty] proffer Mark Ferguson as an expert in land planning. I can have him qualified if you'd like or if you would like to accept the proffer -- the proffer. You're muted -- Mi- -- Madam Examiner.

MS. MCNEIL: Mr. Ferguson has appeared in countless hearings before this examiner and has been accepted as an expert in the area of land use planning.

Mr. Ferguson, have you been rejected as a expert in that area since $I$ last saw you?

MR. FERGUSON: No, ma'am.
MS. MCNEIL: Okay. Um, Mr. Brown, do you have anything further you need to --

MR. BROWN: You know Mr. Ferguson, I doubt your word that you haven't been rejected. We're going to let you go.

MR. FERGUSON: My rejections -- my rejections are plenty but all non-professional, Mr. Brown.

MS. MCNEIL: Then you'll be accepted as an expert in the area of land use planning.

MR. FERGUSON: Thank you, Madam Examiner. And
thank you Mr. Zoning Counsel.
MR. FOREMAN: Thank you. So Mr. Ferguson, are you familiar with the subject property that -- for this application?

MR. FERGUSON: Yes, I am.
MR. FOREMAN: Did you prepare a land planning analysis for this evidentiary hearing?

MR. FERGUSON: I did and I believe that's Exhibit 24 in the record.

MR. FOREMAN: Do you adopt the contents of this report as your testimony?

MR. FERGUSON: I -- I do and I will certainly supplement that as a -- as the question goes on.

MR. FOREMAN: Okay. And thank you, Mr. Ferguson. Yeah, and I -- I would like to ask you a few questions just to kind of highlight portions of your testimony for the record.

You heard this testimony this morning from Mr. O'Neil regarding this site. Is there any information that you would like to add regarding [technical difficulty]?

MR. FERGUSON: In -- in -- if I would, maybe
like to expand a little bit. The only clarification I would offer, Mr. O'Neil's very comprehensive, I would point out that the stream behind the property is not in fact the main stem of Folly Branch, it is a major tributary. It has quite a large drainage area and there is a substantial area of -- of flood plain and nontitle wetlands associated with it but the main stem of Folly Branch is to the -- to the east on the -- on the east side of the Eastgate Center.

What I would ask is if Miss Potete [ph] could bring up page 86 of the binder and that's, I believe, part of the backup to the technical staff report, which is Exhibit Number 20. Thank you. And that -- that really is, I think, the best exhibit to illustrate the -- the description of the land uses and -- and reflecting -- reflected in the zoning. You can see certainly the -- the property is surrounded by higher intensity uses, namely multi-family dwellings, which are both in the RMF20 and in the CGO zone and in what is now the RMF12 zone but formerly was the MXT zone, so that was certainly one of the casualties that has been talked about at such great length recently concerning
the county wide map amendment.
There are -- there are additionally attached single family dwellings in the RSFA zone to the south. And what this exhibit illustrates is that there is in fact a -- a donut of intense land uses and zoning that surround the subject property leaving a hole in the middle of -- of -- of $R R$ zoning.

Mr. O'Neil described that the -- the -- the college -- or the Cottage City Mennonite Church, which now, I believe, is the -- the Capital Christian Fellowship as they -- as they present themselves, owns the subject property -- owns the property adjacent to the subject in the $R R$ zone both to the east and to the south.

There is another church as yet unoccupied. They've apparently been having county and construction and permitting and -- and -- and perhaps other issues for approximately 15 years immediately to the west of the property. I don't know what their -- what their name is but they're -- it is a church. It hasn't yet been occupied.

To the -- to the west of that are two single
family houses but those are corporately owned. To the west of that is a vacant parcel in the $R R$ zone which is owned by a church. There are two enclaved properties that -- that the subject surrounds, those two enclaved -- front on -- on Greenbelt Road, one of which was previously the subject of special exception 4337. I've actually not been able to find out anything about what that special exception was for.

The second enclave to the east is actually owned by a client of mine that is currently in the last stages of permitting and that is to be used as a comm--- commercially -- as a hair salon.

MR. FOREMAN: Is there anything else about that -- that -- not just the hair salon but have they had any other requested uses for that property?

MR. FERGUSON: I'm sorry, for which property?
MR. FOREMAN: The -- the one -- the other client that you're doing the -- the detailed site plan -- that you did the detailed site plan for and now going to permitting. The --

MR. FERGUSON: The -- enclave propertu. So -so technically we didn't -- we weren't the engineer for
the detailed site plan. We've -- we've taken over that job from an engineer that is -- that is transitioning out of business.

In the past that had attempted to have been developed as a church and I believe a Moose Lodge. So you know, the -- the -- the use of this property, this -- the enclave property that is said is not intended to be residential for -- for some time.

MR. FOREMAN: And please correct me if I'm wrong but wasn't one of the uses permitted an eating or drinking establishment and they were trying to have a liquor license with it also?

MR. FERGUSON: I'm actually not aware of that.
MR. FOREMAN: Okay.
MR. FERGUSON: So that -- it could be, I'm just -- I'm not aware of it.

MR. FOREMAN: Cur- -- currently they're just trying to -- the permitting is for a hair salon.

MR. FERGUSON: For a beauty shop.
MR. FOREMAN: Beauty shop.
MR. FERGUSON: The use that is permitted in the ordinance.

MR. FOREMAN: Okay. Thank you, Mark. I think you kind of have touched on this but just so for the record, and for the purpose of this application, could you describe the boundaries of this neighborhood?

MR. FERGUSON: Yeah. The neighborhood that -that I have defined is on the north Greenbelt Road. Greenbelt Road is a divided arterial roadway. It does form a substantial barrier from a land use perspective.

It is worth noting that the use is on the north side of Greenbelt Road are all high intensity uses and in fact it's planned as -- by the 2006 east Greenbelt -- I'm sorry, east Glendale -- the east Glendale area sector plan as a mixed use area. But I -I think the -- the presence of Greenbelt Road is a substantial -- is a substantial barrier.

My definition of the eastern edge of the neighborhood is the -- the Penn line railroad tracks just to the east of Land [inaudible] Road. The southern edge of the neighborhood is the line of Palomar Drive and Forbes Boulevard as it curves to the south which is to say the boundary between the single family portion of the Wood Stream development and the attached
dwelling or townhouse portion of the Wood Stream development to the north and then the western edge of the development I identify as Good Luck Road.

These boundaries are in fact the northern, eastern and western boundaries of living area four in the -- in the master plan's discussion. They extended living area four some -- some ways to the south but I believe the -- the distance and the barriers limit the neighborhood to that which I've described now.

MR. FOREMAN: Thank you very much. I want to just ask you a formal question about Greenbelt Road. You -- you had mentioned that you do believe that it's the northern boundary for the neighborhood but that given the uses on the other side of Greenbelt Road and the -- the [inaudible], the commercial, the office and the most of the attached single family, do you think that would play any role in the land planning analysis for this property?

MR. FERGUSON: It's -- it's certainly part of the neighborhood context and I think the pictures that are on the screen really illustrate the fact that that the subject property and -- and the church occupied
par- -- parcels that surround it really do form the whole in a -- in a fairly intensely used [inaudible] of surrounding uses.

MR. FOREMAN: Okay. Thank you very much. And the neighborhood that you have just described, does that match the neighborhood description in park and planning staff report?

MR. FERGUSON: As Madam Examiner will no doubt chuckle, with my -- my neighborhoods are often at variance with the staff's. The curious part about this application is that the staff actually never defined a neighborhood for the purpose of their analysis. They simply in the staff report describe the surrounding uses which -- which is accurate enough but I don't think tells all of the story that needs to be told in analyzing the zoning application.

MR. FOREMAN: Okay.
MS. MCNEIL: Mr. -- Mr. Foreman, if I may ask a quick question here, so you still only use Greenbelt Road but you want to consider the things on the other side? That'd be --

MR. FERGUSON: I think that they -- I think
that they inform the application. Greenbelt Road is a barrier but certainly you can see across Greenbelt Road and the traffic going to those uses is -- is relevant to the -- to the occupancy of this site. And, you know, further $I$ think it is -- it is germane to -- to just the -- the picture of the hole in the donut.

Would this be a hole in the donut if -- if, you know, Greenbelt Road was the edge of a cliff, it still would be. But I -- I do think it's -- it is at least a part of the context, one that $I$ do put less weight on than those properties which are more directly connected but are none the less part of the context. So that's -- that's a little bit -- Madam Examiner, I understand a bit of having my cake and eating it too kind of an answer.

MS. MCNEIL: Yeah. So you -- there's no other street beyond the -- how did you all say? I'm always confused because Lytle or Lytle [pronounced differently]. I don't know how you say that store.

MR. FERGUSON: Thank you Madam --
MS. MCNEIL: [inaudible] --
MR. FERGUSON: -- Examiner I -- I share you --

I share your confusion.
MS. MCNEIL: But there's no street or any other delineation beyond the things you want us to take note of.

MR. FERGUSON: I -- I -- I habitually will
mention on the -- the far side of ar- -- arterial roads, the properties that front on that arterial road and I believe there's some back up in -- in case law which Mr. Foreman may be able to speak to -- to that extent so I -- I don't -- I don't feel it's -- it's -it's necessary to go any further than the properties which front on Greenbelt Road and those are the ones that I do describe in my report in more detail.

MS. MCNEIL: Okay. I think why I'm confused though is the -- the neighborhood you list just says Greenbelt Road to the north.

MR. FERGUSON: Correct.
MS. MCNEIL: So you're saying Greenbelt Road and the properties that are front on it to the north?

MR. FERGUSON: Yeah. And that -- that is --
that is a -- a -- a convention I certainly use regularly and -- and as I say, I think Mr. Foreman may
be able to confirm is -- is also a practice in -- in land use jurisprudence in Maryland.

MS. MCNEIL: I got you. And then my next question is, I should have asked earlier, but which exhibit is on the screen? I've been --

MR. FERGUSON: This --
MS. MCNEIL: -- over here curiously trying to find it, so I should just ask you.

MR. FERGUSON: Ma'am, it's -- it's slide four of Exhibit 20.

MS. MCNEIL: Of the back-up. It's the PowerPoint.

MR. FERGUSON: It is the backup of the --
MS. MCNEIL: Okay.
MR. FERGUSON: -- staff report --
MS. MCNEIL: Thank you.
MR. FERGUSON: -- it is all part of Exhibit
20. Correct.

MS. MCNEIL: Thank you.
MR. FERGUSON: So not -- not Exhibit 21. I
believe --
MS. MCNEIL: Yeah, we just say -- we called
the whole thing 20 but when I cite to it I always backup page since they started adding backup instead of numbering them all.

MR. FERGUSON: Yeah, I -- I -- I refer to this as Exhibit 20 simply because that's what Adobe tells me when I put that -- that on the screen. I -- flipping around I can see it. It is the backup and yes, you are correct, it probably is 21.

MS. MCNEIL: Thank you so much.
MR. FERGUSON: No, thank you, Madam Examiner.
MS. MCNEIL: I'm sorry Mr. Foreman.
MR. FOREMAN: No, no -- no problem.
Mr. Ferguson, the county wide map amendment, CMA, took affect on April 1st, 2022, which was meant to implement the planning goals of the county wide general plan paran- -- plan Prince George's 2035.

Do you consider that plan to be the most recently approved sectional map amendment that would impact the property?

MR. FERGUSON: That's a -- that's a deceptively complicated question, Mr. Foreman. Certainly there is a -- there is a set of findings in,
you know, 273601E, right, which requires for the approval of a zoning map amendment that there was a mistake in the current sectional map amendment or failing that a mistake in the original zoning.

So what -- what is the county wide map amendment? Is it a sectional map amendment or not? I -I -- I -- I honestly don't know.

Madam Examiner, you have to make findings of law. I don't envy your task in this matter because those findings may be playing hide and seek. When you look at the definition in the current ordinance of sectional map amendment it says a comprehensive rezoning of one or more properties pursuant to and in--- and intended to implement the recommendations of an area master plan or a sector plan, well, that's not what the county wide map amendment was.

However, when you look at CR-136-2021, which was the resolution adopting the CMA, it's titled the county wide sectional map amendment. So I'm not sure that that's helpful. Planning staff in their staff report kind of go both sides. They recognize this as the most recent rezoning on page 6 and $I$ believe at the
top of page 4 it's unclear whether they call that the sectional map amendment or not.

So when I make my findings of mistake, which are related to the -- the voice [??] standard of were there facts or trends or projects that were considered that -- that had they been considered might have lead the district counsel to make a different decision, if the CMA is the applicable sectional map amendment, then there was no consideration of any facts or trends.

It was very explicitly a -- supposed to be a like for like exercise without consideration of anything other than what the zoning was or if you were unfortunate enough to be in the MXT zone, what the matrix was or if you were in certain other areas where you maybe got the TAC or the NAC zone or something like that. But otherwise no specific considerations and planning staff was -- was insistent throughout the whole process that that's not what this was intended to be.

So I think there's probably just a de facto mistake for every property in the county if the CMA is in fact the most recent sectional map amendment. That's
a legal conclusion, not a -- a planner's conclusion but, you know, clearly to me the exercise did not consider any facts about individual properties or neighborhoods that you get in a conventional sectional map amendment.

So at least if the CMA is [technical difficulty] then, you know, with there -- to me there were self-evidentiary no facts or projects or trends that were considered in that action and therefore that would be a mistake.

Now, in my land use planning report, in my land planning report, I presumed that the 2010 SMA was the one that was operative and make the analysis from that -- from that standpoint so that we're not resting, you know, the case on -- on, you know, an argument which, you know, at least isn't clear to me for whatever that's worth.

The only other thing that $I$ will add, and this is, you know, anecdotal observation and not -- not an opinion, but I'd certainly heard in the course of many recent public hearings on the part of the district counsel as well as private conversations that suggest
if you have a proposed project bring in a rezoning. Bring in a rezoning. Bring in a rezoning. I'm hearing that over and over again.

I don't know that the counsel is necessarily aware of the -- of the burden of proof that has to be made in a case. I think Madam Examiner you and Mr. People Zoning Counsel in the work you do in preparing your recommendations do make the job easy for them.

So whether or not they'll be -- they'll be given a easy mistake to -- to be able to implement projects that they want to implement through rezoning, I can't say. So that's -- that's -- that's -- as I say that's simply a comment and an observation, not an opinion.

MR. FOREMAN: Okay. Well, thank you Mr. -- Mr. Ferguson for that kind of in-depth analysis of the -the zon- -- excuse me, the CMA. Just for my own purposes and clarification, is -- is your opinion that should the CMA be considered the operative or applicable sectional map amendment that there was a mistake made because there's no findings of facts or any conclusions made regarding the rezoning of this
property?
MR. FERGUSON: Correct. Or any other property in the county for that matter. The other -- the other possibility that occurs to me, by the way, with regard to the CMA, is that it could, in fact, be a whole new original zoning.

So notwith- -- so notwithstanding the fact that it was intended to be a like for like and there was some basis in previous zoning, it was so comprehensive that it could in fact serve as an original zoning. I don't know. These are all findings of law that Madam Examiner I believe has to make or could choose to make or could choose to go into or not. I'm glad on this case $I$ don't have her job.

MR. FOREMAN: Are you saying in other cases you would like her job? And that's a rectorical questions.

MR. FERGUSON: I'm -- I'm -- I'm quite happy doing what $I$ do. Thank you.

MR. FOREMAN: And we're happy having you as the land planning expert.

In the event that the CMA is not found to be
the operative or applicable SMA, what other plan would be the operative master plan and sectional map amendment?

MR. FERGUSON: So in that -- in that -- in that case it would be the -- the March 2010 sectional map amendment for the Glendale Seabrook land and vicinity area.

MR. FOREMAN: And what zone did that master plan and SMA recommend for this property?

MR. FERGUSON: The master plan recommended the low residential land use for the area that is zoned RR and previously [inaudible]. And retained the -- and retained the previous $R R$ zoning.

MR. FOREMAN: Okay. Was there any discussion of this property, let alone the -- what zone would be appropriate as part of the master plan SMA?

MR. FERGUSON: None -- none whatsoever.
MR. FOREMAN: Okay. And then based on your review of the subject application, as well as the testimony and exhibits that have been put forward into the record for this case, in your opinion, did the district counsel fail to take into account then
existing facts that resulted in them erroneously retaining the subject property in the $R R$ zone through approval of the 2010 SMA?

MR. FERGUSON: I believe they did. You had outlined two mistakes in the statement of justification that you prepared and I do agree with them, I'll -I'll expand a little bit on -- on at least the first one. And I think there's a third mistake that's -- that amplifies and further illustrates the first mistake, which is essentially that the -- the sectional map amendment did not consider any of the information that was discussed in the master plan in any of its -- its land use recommendations, which were curiously very, very few.

And instead, you know, you got -- you -- there is a vision statement at the very beginning of the plan, which $I$ believe is the root of -- the root of the mistake and that vision is maintain the current density as residential neighborhoods. So that to me, says regardless of any facts, trends, projects, etcetera, etcetera, we're not going there, we're just leaving that alone.

And that's really borne out in this master plan by the fact that the land use recommendations, which normally are the greater part or at least the -the -- the preponderance part, the largest individual part of a master plan or sector plan are 15 pages at the very, very end of the plan. Of those 15 pages, 12 of them are exclusively related to the Vista Gardens marketplace area at the intersection of Annapolis Road and Martin Luther King Boulevard and the Seabrook metro area, which is a designated general plan center.

All of the rest of the planning area is discussed essentially in the form of one table on page 200, which sets out eight principals for land use decisions which it then ignored in retaining the zone for the -- for the subject property.

So I think the root of that -- that specific -- the mistake that's specific to this property in this area is that there is an assumption that the subject donut hole, if you will, is in fact a residential neighborhood.

And when you listen to Mr. O'Neil's description of the area, you listen to my specific
iteration of the uses, you see that in fact you have a whole bunch of church used, church -- about to be used church owned land. You have the subject, you have two houses that are owned by a single corporation. And you have the two enclave properties, one of which is developing commercially.

So I don't know how you get residential neighborhood out of that particularly when there is the substantial barrier of the Folly Branch flood plain stream valley that separates the subject in all of this RR zoned donut hole from the higher density residential uses that are in place to the -- to the south and to the west.

So again and again you have things that, if you look at this, if you look at the actual land use pattern on the subject, you look at the actual ownership pattern, you look at the physical surroundings and you look at the -- at the land use principals, which do include things like not just preserve residential neighborhoods but encourage land uses that provide sensitive trans- -- transitions between commercial and employment centers and
residential areas.
And those commercial and employment areas surround the subject property. And, so, having low density residential be it the transitional use between that boggles my planning mind.

MR. FOREMAN: The -- the planning principal of that was [inaudible] by the master plan sectional map amendment, if -- you -- you briefly just mentioned them, but a further discussion of that is located in your planning analysis; correct?

MR. FERGUSON: Correct, on pages 7 and 8. I go through each of those principals. Some of them aren't applicable because of, you know, what -- what the use and the nature of the property is. But a number of them are and retaining the $R R$ zone in the light of the use of the subject and its immediate surroundings, the use of the -- the -- the larger surroundings, religious stands those recommendations on -- on their heads.

Now, you can make a judgement to do that and it's just bad judgment, that's not a mistake. The mis--- the root of the mistake goes back to the very beginning, it said, regardless of these facts, we're
going to leave things -- we're not even going to look at the facts. We're just going to leave things the way they are.

MR. FOREMAN: And we're not going to even consider the policies and -- that we put forward when -- when rezoning properties.

MR. FERGUSON: Right. If --
MR. FOREMAN: If you would agree with that statement.

MR. FERGUSON: I do.
MR. FOREMAN: Madam Examiner, I wasn't going to have Mr. Ferguson go through each of the policies he thought would be relevant because it's in the report, but I -- I -- could ask him if you would like it for -for the record.

MS. MCNEIL: I -- I don't. I was able to read them. I did -- I did want to allow you the opportunity to submit some legal argument in this case because Mr. Ferguson on -- voiced these simply and he did a wonderful job, it's not a legal expert and I'm -- I'm wondering if you -- if your position is that they would have had to say -- they would have had to look at these
policies and then still ignore them for it to be the kind of mis- -- the kind of action that's not a mistake.

MR. FERGUSON: That is -- that is what leads me to the -- to the contents of my report, that principal. So Mr. -- Mr. -- I certainly don't do my own legal research, no matter how much I play a lawyer sometimes in -- in -- in public hearings. Mr. --

MS. MCNEIL: I'm sure attorney grievance --
MR. FERGUSON: -- [inaudible] --
MS. MCNEIL: -- commission -- I'm sure attorney grievance commission he's being facetious. Okay. Go ahead, Mr. Ferguson.

MR. FERGUSON: Thank you, Madam Examiner.
No, I -- I -- I -- I do rely on -- on Mr. Foreman for what is the standard. If this is the standard what are the -- what are the planning facts that -- that speak to that.

MR. FOREMAN: And -- and I would prefer not to -- to give a time to set forth more of a legal argument in writing to answer the question than perhaps misspeak during this hearing, if that is appropriate.

MS. MCNEIL: That is what I was asking you to do. Yeah. Okay. I don't -- the 40-acre donut hole too, can I -- is it okay to ask about that right now since he discussed it? Go ahead.

MR. FOREMAN: I still have more -- I still
have more testimony --
MS. MCNEIL: Okay. Okay.
MR. FOREMAN: -- more questions.
MS. MCNEIL: Go ahead.
MR. FOREMAN: It was suggested --
MS. MCNEIL: So I'm good -- I'm -- I'm good as to these principals. You don't have to go further into that part. Go ahead.

MR. FOREMAN: Okay. Thank -- thank you Madam Examiner.

And -- and Mr. Ferguson were there -- in spite of the policies and goals of the sector plan -- master plan and sectional map amendment, are there any other facts that would lead you to believe that the counsel made a mistake in retaining this property in the $R R$ zone?

MR. FERGUSON: So two others generally. You
describe in your statement of -- of justification, the -- the alignment of the C 340 For- -- Forbes Boulevard relocated. And I -- I agree with your -- your discussion in there. I amplify that a little bit in -in my report. I don't feel any need to go over that again.

The other thing that I -- I do want to mention that -- that really amplifies and echoes the testimony under the -- the -- the policies and procedures is that the general plan that was enforced at the time of this sectional map amendment was the 2002 general plan, which contained policies for intensifying land uses along seven corridors in the county.

And that -- that general plan did include what it called the University Boulevard corridor as one of its seven. The introductory language to this sector plan acknowledges that that is in fact the corridor. It calls it in -- in the context of this plan the Greenbelt Road corridor.

It also recognizes a portion of the sector plan area is impacted by the Annapolis Road corridor and that in fact is discussed. But the Greenbelt
corridor slash University Road -- University Boulevard corridor doesn't receive a further mention other than an illustration of traffic problems like it's hard to cross the street in the transportation element in a map.

In the entire discussion of land use, I -- and I did a word search to -- to just confirm that I didn't miss it when $I$ was reading, Greenbelt Road corridor does not appear in any discussion of land use policies. So would this -- would this area be in fact a corridor node? I would think it would given the intensity of activity that -- that surrounds it, the mixed use area that the east Glendale area created immediately to the north but that wasn't even discussed, it wasn't considered.

Again, this just amplifies the direction of the plan's vision, which is whatever it is, leave it alone except for this Vista Gardens and the -- the Seabrook market area.

MR. FOREMAN: Okay. Thank you. And you have further elaboration of all three of these mistake arguments in your land plan analysis.

MR. FERGUSON: I do.
MR. FOREMAN: Okay. Thank you. As we know for a piecemeal rezoning, there are two criteria that -for including piecemeal rezoning there are two criteria that you can rely upon for -- to justify the rezoning. The one is the mistake argument, which you have elaborated on. And the other is a change in the neighborhood.

Do you believe that there's any facts in the record that would support a rezoning based upon change in the neighborhood since the 2010 sector plan and zoning ma- -- sectional map amendment?

MR. FERGUSON: I do. I -- I -- I proffer one which is essentially the passage of CV71-2016 which amended the zoning ordinance to make a beauty shop legal in -- or a permitted use in the -- in the $R R$ zone if it met five conditions which are laid out in footnote 114 to the residential table of uses.

The proponent of that -- that text amendment and we'll send a passing remembrance to Mr. Nagy [ph] who -- who I believe was the -- the representative -the late -- lamented Mr. Nagy who's the representative
of the -- the owner of the one salon studio parcel which is the eastern most of the two enclaves in the subject property and they -- they received approval of detailed site plan DSP17012 and have got their park and planning approvals on grading permit 17628-2019 and are waiting only final HSA approval on the engineering to receive that permit and building permit 23235-2022 so that property can be developed commercially.

MR. FOREMAN: Okay. And -- and -- and you think that this sufficient to show the change in the -in the neighborhood?

MR. FERGUSON: That's -- that is my -- my proffer, yes.

MR. FOREMAN: Okay. Thank you. So having, in your opinion, shown that there is a justification by -for both mistake and change to justify a piecemeal rezoning, what zone do you believe in your opinion would be the appro- -- appropriate remedy for this mistake or this change in the neighborhood?

MR. FERGUSON: So the -- the -- the -- the zone which has been requested is the RMF48 zone. Now, that would permit on this property, notwithstanding
staff's contention it would be 550 zoning, staff doesn't subtract the right of way of the C 340 and it doesn't subtract the hundred year flood plan of the Folly Branch tributary. That will take the -- the net track area to approximately nine and a half acres which would yield an RMF48 density of approximately 450 units.

Now, I don't believe that the economics pre- -- prevalent of -- for multi-family development today would support density that high at the subject property. What the applicant has proposed and it's in the record in an exhibit, I'm not sure of what the number is, but there is an illustrative plan in the exhibit that proposes podium buildings, so surface parking, sub- -- structured parking on the ground level and then four stories of residential above. That is RMF20 kind of development.

The thing that $I$ will say is that we've spoken about the -- the environmental features, namely the -the -- the -- the flood plain at the Folly Branch tributary. There are other peripheral non-title wetlands which will substantially reduce the
developable but not necessarily the net area of the subject track.

So the RMF48 zone provides for 60 percent lot coverage instead of 40 percent lot coverage in the RMF20 zone. I do believe that the additional lot coverage will be most beneficial in developing -- being able to develop the subject property at the densities which you do find in -- in the surrounding land uses that are characteristic in the neighborhood.

MR. FOREMAN: And -- and that's not including additional, you know, parking areas, landscaping, [inaudible] management to see what the actual developable yield of the property would be.

MR. FERGUSON: Well, yeah, that -- that's a separate issue of course. I do point out parking is in fact one of the elements of lot coverage. So you do limit with the lot coverage restriction the ordinance does limit the amount of surface parking and area for buildings that can -- that can occupy a piece of property.

MR. FOREMAN: Okay. Thank you. So does this rezoning application conform to the purposes of the
base residential zones specifically the RMF48 zone which is the requested zone in this application?

MR. FERGUSON: It does. It conforms in my opinion to the purposes of the ordinance generally, those of based residential zones generally and that of the RMF48 zone in particular. I go over those in my report. The only thing that I will highlight of that is -- is one of the purposes of the RMF48 zone, the third purpose in fact, is to ensure that development is compatible with surrounding lands.

And that is in fact one of the land use principals in the sector plan. So there is actually a lot of constants [??] in between the purposes of the RMF48 zone, the principals in the sector plan and the conditions of subject property.

MR. FOREMAN: And -- and that's the same principal that the sector plan seemed to ignore when it retained this property; correct?

MR. FERGUSON: One of several.
MR. FOREMAN: We've made -- thank you very much. We had mentioned this previously but the staff for the Maryland National Capital Park and Planning

Commission, they have prepared a staff report recommending denial of this application. Have you read the report?

MR. FERGUSON: I have.
MR. FOREMAN: Do you agree with staff's recommendation of denial?

MR. FERGUSON: I -- I do not. I find staff's analysis essentially in -- in the strict philosophical sense begs the question. In other words, they assume, oh, well, there -- the land use recommendation is low -- residential low and that's what the zoning is therefore it can't be a mistake.

And that -- that really steps around the question of what should -- had the facts been considered, what should the land use recommendation and therefore the appropriate action in the sectional map amendment have been.

MR. FOREMAN: Okay. Is there -- do you have any other disagreements with staff or that's -- or that sort of kind of the -- the main thrust of what you believe they were incorrect when it came to their --

MR. FERGUSON: No, that's -- that's it of
substance. I mean, they -- they certainly took the applicant to task for not trying to get the property rezoned in the county wide map amendment, which made me scratch my head but --

MR. FOREMAN: Understood. Thank you. And just one final question for you this morning, Mr. Ferguson, in your opinion, is this subject -- if the subject application is approved, would it be detrimental to the public health, safety and welfare of the residents for Prince George's County?

MR. FERGUSON: I -- I do not believe that. Again, particularly given the prop- -- subject property's frontage, the character of its surrounding uses, the buffers to the -- the residential neighborhood to the -- to the south which even then is -- is attached dwellings. No, this will not -- not in -

- in any way, particularly with all of the normal protections that are inherent in the development process be detrimental to the public's heal- -- saf- -health, safety and welfare.

MR. FOREMAN: Okay. Thank you very much for your -- your testimony Mr. Ferguson.

Madam Examiner those are all of the questions I have for Mr. Ferguson.

MS. MCNEIL: Mr. Brown, do you have any questions?

MR. BROWN: Yes. Two or three.
Good morning, Mr. Ferguson.
MR. FERGUSON: Morning, Mr. Brown. Always a pleasure to see you.

MR. BROWN: Same here.
As you have outlined, this case is problematic from the standpoint of where do we measure change or mistake from. That is, the adoption of the county wide map amendment or the 2010 adoption of what is not argument, the SMA.

MR. FERGUSON: Correct.
MR. BROWN: For clarity, the property owners, and when I say the property owners, I mean all of the persons identified by Mr. O'Neil earlier, did any of those property owners participate in the 2010 SMA?

MR. FERGUSON: I believe Mr. O'Neil even testified that they did not.

MR. BROWN: Did any of those property owners
participate in the county wide map amendment process?
MR. FERGUSON: I -- I do not believe they -they did and again I believe Mr. O'Neil testified to that.

MR. BROWN: You had described or concluded the staff did not identify a neighborhood. And so if the staff did not identify a neighborhood by operation of law, the technical staff report is erroneous, isn't it?

MR. FERGUSON: It -- I'd be happy to say yes. It sounds like you're asking me to -- to make a legal conclusion but --

MR. BROWN: I'm not -- I'm not trying to beat up on them but [technical difficulty] requires an identification of the neighborhood and what --

MR. FERGUSON: It does.
MR. BROWN: -- change occurred in that neighborhood and whether or not the mistake grew out of that neighborhood; correct?

MR. FERGUSON: I be- -- I believe that is absolutely the case, yes sir.

MR. BROWN: So if you do not or fail to identify the neighborhood how can you come to a
reasonable conclusion of whether or not change or mistake has occurred? You cannot, can you?

MR. FERGUSON: I -- I absolutely agree with that, sir.

MR. BROWN: So we're going to take for the sake of discussion your neighborhood as the neighborhood where we try to determine whether or not change or mistake has occurred. Now, you identified that there were two houses owned by corporations to make an argument related to change, I believe; is that correct?

MR. FERGUSON: Well, really mistake. And, so, it was -- it was considering whether or not, you know, the -- considering what the actual pattern of use and -- and occupancy of the whole donut hole of which the subject property is a substantial part, right. So is this in fact a residential neighborhood that we need to maintain the -- the density of? My contention is that it's not.

Certainly those two houses to the west, plus the two enclave pieces all taken together amount to less than 10 percent of the $R R$ zoned area. So --

MR. BROWN: And so, that's my question. You seem to conclude that, because those houses are corporately owned and we can all acknowledge in the last 10 years hedge funds and what have you have been buying up homes, but a mere title ownership of those homes in a corporate status does not mean that they are corporate uses.

So my question to you is --
MR. FERGUSON: Correct --
MR. BROWN: -- how are those two homes utilized, as a corporate use or are they residential use?

MR. FERGUSON: Yeah, it's -- there is -- there is no evidence that they're used any way other than residentially. My -- my contention is that, even if that's so, I think the ownership could be instructive but regardless even if it isn't, those two, plus the two enclave properties, one of which is being developed commercially, together constitute less than 10 percent of the -- of the donut hole.

And, so, don't really -- aren't really indicative of the character of the -- of the use and
whether or not its -- its -- its -- its density needs to be preserved.

MR. BROWN: All right. So looking at your discussion on whether or not the county wide map amendment is an SMA compared to the 2010 SMA to determine whether or not change or mistake has occurred since either one of those two events, I want to make sure I understand your and the applicant's argument.

Let's assume for the sake of discussion the 2022 county wide map amendment is an SMA. Your argument then is, and correct me if I'm wrong, there is no -first let's say, there is no change at all since April 1 of 2022 in this neighborhood you've identified, would you not agree?

MR. FERGUSON: I would agree with that.
MR. BROWN: All right. So there's no change argument if the SMA is 2022.

With regards to mistake, your argument is the 2022 county wide map amendment is a mistake because the county counsel or the district counsel did not go through the typical analysis of an SMA in the county wide map amendment; correct?

MR. FERGUSON: Correct.
MR. BROWN: All right.
MR. FERGUSON: They considered no -- they considered no facts other than what was the zoning before.

MR. BROWN: Right. When you say they considered no facts, they considered no facts concerning this specific property is your contention.

MR. FERGUSON: So my contention is, actually with the entirety of the county.

MR. BROWN: I -- I agree with you there but -MR. FERGUSON: Yeah.

MR. BROWN: -- at the late stage they didn't -

MR. FERGUSON: And certainly -- certainly concerning this property, absolutely.

MR. BROWN: All right. So do you have a twofold argument with regards to a mistake that if the 2022 is an SMA there was a mistake because they did no analysis and if the 2010 SMA is the operative rezoning, they did no analysis in 2010 either with regards to a mistake?

MR. FERGUSON: Correct.
MR. BROWN: All right.
MR. FERGUSON: That's in a nutshell. Thank you, Mr. Brown. You said it much more concisely and eloquently than $I$ did in a much longer time.

MR. BROWN: Well, we -- we hope that counsel will understand that, what we just described.

But you -- you made the argument a moment ago concerning this salon that was approved as a detailed site plan. To make the argument that that also is evidence of change in the neighborhood since 2010 SMA; correct?

MR. FERGUSON: Since 2010, correct, because the -- the -- the operative change, I think, really was the passage of CB72, I forget, of 2016.

MR. BROWN: And that did what?

MR. FERGUSON: That made the -- the use of a beauty shop permissible in the $R R$ zone by right subject to five conditions which do fit the subject property -I'm sorry, the enclave within the subject property, specifically it's below a certain maximum acreage. It fronts on an arterial roadway. I can refer to my report
for the other three which $I$ can't recall off the top of my head.

MR. FOREMAN: If -- if I may interject just real quick. We'd be more than happy to submit a copy of that counsel bill for the records in -- in -- in support of not only Mr. Ferguson's testifying but also what other uses may have been allowed by that bill.

MR. BROWN: Oh, no, that's fine. I think Mr. Ferguson has done an adequate job of answering that question because my concern was --

MS. MCNEIL: We take official notice of laws anyway so you wouldn't have to do it. I'm sorry, Stan.

MR. FOREMAN: Okay.
MR. BROWN: Yeah, we'll take administrative notice of it. But $I$ was just concerned not knowing that there was an actual bill passed to allow that salon as permitted by write up while he was making the argument, the approval of a detailed site plan is evidence of change which it is not.

MR. FERGUSON: Which it is correct.
MR. BROWN: So --
MR. FERGUSON: Correct.

MR. BROWN: -- well, since he's telling me that there was a bill that allowed it of as of right and that's a different argument which would be consistent with what he testified to.

MS. MCNEIL: Yeah. Can I interrupt you right there because it's -- it's part of yours. So it's evidence of change to allow a use as permitted in the zone? That's what you're saying.

MR. FERGUSON: It -- that -- that is certainly a part of it and I -- I guess I -- I amplify that evidence with the evidence of the progress of the -- of the -- of the actual construction. So certainly by the time this -- your recommendation reaches the district counsel, there will be dirt moving.

MS. MCNEIL: Yeah, maybe.
MR. FERGUSON: No, we have -- we have -- we have SHA and the tender mercies of SHA in -- in [inaudible] to rely on so perhaps I should not use the word certainly, but.

MS. MCNEIL: Okay. I'm sorry, Stan, go ahead.
MR. BROWN: That's fine.
But also Mr. Ferguson I looked at the staff
report first page and it says that this application was accepted on September 23rd, 2022. I'm just curious, it seems to be fruitive that it was accepted in September of last year, the detailed site plan of course for the salon is in process.

This case, when was it actually filed, was it prior to the adoption of the county wide map amendment?

MR. FERGUSON: I'm sorry, which -- which -the -- the subject CMA or the --

MR. BROWN: This case, this rezoning case, when was that filed?

MR. FOREMAN: When was it accepted by the park -- park and planning?

MR. BROWN: Well, I just noted that it was accepted on September 23rd, 2022. When was the case filed with park and planning? Was that prior to the adoption of the county wide map amendment?

MR. FOREMAN: What $I$ can say is that we did have our preapplication conference prior to the adoption of the county wide map amendment because the preapplication conference was on 3/11/2022 because as you know, park and planning was getting -- gearing up
to have these mandatory preapplication conferences for development properties and because we knew we would not be able to get this application accepted prior to it, we had to go under the purview of the new zoning ordinance was going to take effect.

I don't know exactly when the first application was submitted because, as you know, the [inaudible] application conference is not a submittal, it is just what you have to do before you can even submit the application.

MR. FERGUSON: But you did get the first number.

MR. BROWN: That's fine.
Mr. Ferguson, has the applicant prepared any draft lot plan that would show under the IMF zone the layout of lots as it relates to this proposed master plan roadway?

MR. FERGUSON: There is in the record an illustrative plan which is how about -- nope, Mr. Foreman, help me here. I am --

MR. FOREMAN: [inaudible] --
MR. FERGUSON: -- [inaudible] by. I see --
here it is. It is page 33 of the binder. That is right after the preapplication neighborhood meeting sign-in sheet so --

MS. MCNEIL: Is this part of Exhibit 10, Tameya [ph]? Thank you.

MR. FERGUSON: Yes.
MS. MCNEIL: It's good to know what exhibit we're looking at..

MR. FERGUSON: Yes.
MR. FOREMAN: This is material we had to provide at the neighborhood meeting.

MS. MCNEIL: Okay. So Exhibit 10. Okay.
MR. FERGUSON: And it is from that exhibit or an exhibit substantially like that that I base my testimony on the appropriateness of the RMF48 zone is that --

MR. BROWN: I do not see the roadway that allegedly dissects the property, the proposed roadway.

MR. FERGUSON: It is illustrated as dashed. I do not believe that this is illustrative plan proposes to construct it.

MR. BROWN: All right. Well, then that's my
concern. You made the argument and Mr . Foreman made the argument in his opening that part of the mistake was the counsel neglected to take into consideration the proposed, what I'm going to call it, the master plan right of way and I know this particular rendering is not set in stone but then you don't even consider that roadway as part of this plot plan so how can that be a mistake if you don't even utilize it?

MR. FERGUSON: Oh, I -- I -- I do believe that it is a -- a mistake, Mr. Brown, not to be a -- not have pointy fingers but I believe that's a mistake on the part of the applicant contention here.

MR. BROWN: All right. So that exhibit we're looking at clearly is erroneous because the road is not there, it's not depicted.

MR. FERGUSON: Yeah, it is -- it is depicted, it's just not -- not proposed to be constructed and I've -- I've mentioned to the -- to the applicant that I don't believe that will be a likely outcome.

MR. BROWN: All right. So Mr. Foreman, we need to have in this file an accurate diagram or rendering that illustrates the proposed roadway whether it's
going to be constructed or not 40 years from know we don't know but it's in the plan, it should be shown as such. Or it would buttress your argument of a mistake and you don't even have it, that's an argument that $I$ would discount.

MR. FOREMAN: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Brown. I would ask that we hold the record open so we can submit that exhibit.

One thing that $I$ will kind of add on to, yes, would you show the existing road right of way location. But I think when we were going through this process and having meetings with some neighborhood, we did contemplate possibly moving the roadway one way or maybe even vacating it when we got forward, we wanted to show the neighborhood kind of if we were trying to maximize everything we can do on this site, what would the neighborhood look like.

Because as you know, when -- when it comes to meeting with the neighborhood -- neighbors, they want to see what the image looks like and if we had shown the roadway and very limited what we could do, I don't -- and we maybe had thought about moving the roadway or
getting rid of it all together.
We didn't want to be shown as being disingenuous in what we had initially shown when it came to, you know, arguably the most important application which is the zoning map event. But I -- but I understand your point.

MR. BROWN: Yeah, I understand but the roadway will go to the issue of density which is my question to Mr. Ferguson.

Mr. Ferguson, you said, and I believe someone else testified that there is a townhouse development south of this project --

MR. FERGUSON: Correct.
MR. BROWN: -- and looking at one of the exhibits that was shown earlier that townhouse development looked relatively dense.

Is the density for the zone you are requesting RMF similar to the density of the project townhouse that is south of this particular property?

MR. FERGUSON: No, it's higher. It is higher.
MR. BROWN: Which one is higher?
MR. FERGUSON: The subject.

MR. BROWN: The subject project. What are the comparative densities?

MR. FERGUSON: So the -- the theoretical density of the RMF48 is 48 dwelling units, 48 multifamily dwelling units per acre. It permits higher densities of other land uses as well but certainly the applicant's in- -- intent is to develop it as in -- in the building types illustrated.

The -- the subject property -- or the property to the south of the subject beyond the -- beyond the intervening church property historically was zoned RT, so my presumption is that that would be -- would have been developed at -- at -- the historical eight units per acre that would have been the density at the time of its -- of its development.

MR. BROWN: I see. And the subject property has no access to the east, the west or the south to adjoining properties, it only has access to Greenbelt Road; is that correct?

MR. FERGUSON: That is correct.
MR. BROWN: So I guess my last question is, is the applicant making a choice here with regards to
change or mistake being present based upon the 2010 SMA and ignoring the 2022 county wide map amendment in its argument all together?

MR. FERGUSON: So I -- I cannot speak -- I presume the question is to me. I can't speak for the applicant on the argument. This is simply my observation of the -- of the situation and I think that neither Mr. Foreman nor I thought that the matter was sufficiently clear to rely on the use of the CMA as the most recent SMA for the -- the purposes of evaluating change or mistake.

So I think, presenting the belt and suspenders argument was the -- was the most prudent thing to do.

MR. BROWN: Thank you. I have no other questions.

MS. MCNEIL: Mr. Ferguson.
MR. FERGUSON: Good morning, Madam Examiner again.

MS. MCNEIL: Good morning. So it's true that the staff didn't get to consider at least one of your mistake arguments or your change argument.

MR. FERGUSON: That is correct.

MS. MCNEIL: And even the other two mistake arguments have been expanded, right?

MR. FERGUSON: The first one. I didn't really
do much expansion of the -- of the -- the transportation alignment.

MS. MCNEIL: Okay.
MR. FERGUSON: I agreed with [inaudible] --
MS. MCNEIL: [inaudible] --
MR. FERGUSON: -- didn't really expand on it sub- -- substantively.

MS. MCNEIL: Okay. And -- and I wanted a little more help with that donut hole.

MR. FERGUSON: Yes, ma'am.
MS. MCNEIL: I can't see the whole 40 acres so tell me again, because you mentioned it but on page 5 of your report but --

MR. FERGUSON: All right. So if we go --
MS. MCNEIL: Fifty acres, go ahead.
MR. FERGUSON: If -- yes, if we go to Exhibit 20 and then really page 86 up on the --

MS. MCNEIL: And while they're doing that, I want to clarify for the record that the -- the

PowerPoint did get its own exhibit, so it's 21.
MR. FERGUSON: I -- I apologize. You already
made that point and $I$ promptly forgot it.
MS. MCNEIL: So is that the one you want, 21?
MR. FERGUSON: Yes -- yes, ma'am, Exhibit 21 and then $I$ think it's the fourth page of Exhibit 21 which is page 86 in the binder.

And that's the top -- the top of the pages that are there. So the 50 acres that $I$ refer to in my report is the $R R$ zoned area of which the subject is only a part.

MS. MCNEIL: Okay.
MR. FERGUSON: And that -- that to me is the whole hole, the w-h-o-l-e h-o-l-e --

MS. MCNEIL: You're saying --
MR. FERGUSON: -- which --

MS. MCNEIL: -- only four of those acres are used residentially?

MR. FERGUSON: Correct. And so you can see the two enclaves within the subject -- within the subject -- the front on Greenbelt Road and then skip one property to the -- to the west --

MS. MCNEIL: Wait, wait, wait. One second. And the enclaves are the area that are not part of the subject property.

MR. FERGUSON: Correct.
MS. MCNEIL: Okay.
MR. FERGUSON: Correct. And then --
MS. MCNEIL: Okay.
MR. FERGUSON: -- the one property to the west there are two slender lots -- two slender parcels stacked one on top of the other in that image.

MS. MCNEIL: Mm-hmm.
MR. FERGUSON: Those are the other -- those are the other two which appear to be residentially used but are owned corporately.

MS. MCNEIL: And the beauty --
MR. FERGUSON: The left --
MS. MCNEIL: -- salon is one of the corporately owned properties?

MR. FERGUSON: No. The beauty salon is one of the enclaves. It's the one on the right.

MS. MCNEIL: Okay. So when you --
MR. FERGUSON: And then --

MS. MCNEIL: -- right closer to the applicant church.

MR. FERGUSON: Closer to -- correct. Closer to the applicant church.

MS. MCNEIL: Okay.
MR. FERGUSON: And -- and the other enclave, the smaller of the two is the one that was the subject of -- of SC4337 about which I can provide no more information.

MS. MCNEIL: Okay. And -- oh, man the other --
MR. FERGUSON: There's --
MS. MCNEIL: -- one --
MR. FERGUSON: -- there's no evidence that that special exception was put into -- put into use. It -- it appears to simply be used residentially.

MS. MCNEIL: Okay. I got that [inaudible]. One more -- I have papers everywhere. Get one more [inaudible] you gave me the 50 acres. Say [inaudible] -- oh, I know what it was.

So the technical staff ultimately recommended this approval but some of the sections had no problem but they might have mentioned conditions. I don't know
if you can answer this but does staff -- does the applicant accept their conditions?

MR. FERGUSON: There were conditions in the back-up, correct --

MS. MCNEIL: Mm-hmm.
MR. FERGUSON: -- and I believe the one that I recall was -- show the extent and the limits of the C340.

MS. MCNEIL: Mm-hmm.
MR. FERGUSON: And I believe that is shown at least on some of the exhibits if there is a -- a -- a -- a plan to address Mr. Brown's concerns that would presumably address that condition as well.

MS. MCNEIL: Okay.
MR. FERGUSON: The conditions or the recommendations of the historic preservation commission related and they recommended approval for what that's worth but they recommended future -- they were concerning future archeologically investigations which are now the standard operating procedures for developing parcels and --

MS. MCNEIL: So are they talking about the
houses on your site or the -- the enclave?
MR. FERGUSON: No, our site.
MS. MCNEIL: Okay. And both of them are still
there. Okay. We [inaudible]. I think that was it on those conditions. There could have been one more though. [inaudible]. You know you would have to do the natural resources and that sort of thing.

MR. FERGUSON: That's correct.
MS. MCNEIL: What about -- no [inaudible]. Okay. I think that's it then. Thank you.

MR. FERGUSON: Thank you very much Madam Examiner.

MR. FOREMAN: May I ask a question or two in rebuttal, Madam Examiner?

MS. MCNEIL: In rebuttal? Okay. Go ahead.
MR. FOREMAN: Mr. Ferguson you had mentioned, you know, about the corporate ownership of the single family detached homes and you talked about how they could be used residentially but they do have a kind of different character to them.

Would you mind kind of elaborating on that for what the corporate ownership might mean versus being a
private owner or --
MR. FERGUSON: Well, I -- I -- I -- I mean I think Mr. Brown's, you know, questioning got to the -got to the -- the root of that matter. They do appear to be used residentially. It is possible, as Mr. Brown suggested that they were, you know, simply bought as -as income properties.

I think the condition of those houses physically means they won't be getting much income but -- but they could alternatively been acquired for, you know, future -- future redevelopment or perhaps even future -- another -- another church.

Certainly there is a strong demand for church sites in the county and -- and properties like this with, you know, a lot of exposure on a -- on a high classification roadway are -- are desirable --

MR. FOREMAN: Okay.
MR. FERGUSON: -- and -- yeah, and -- and that promptly removes even -- even more property off of the tax rules.

MR. FOREMAN: Thank you. That -- that's the only question $I$ had Madam Examiner.

MS. MCNEIL: Okay. But I'm going to be people's zoning counsel for a second and -- and state -- they need to know hearsay is allowed.

We don't really know what those corporations want to do with that property; correct?

MR. FERGUSON: Absolutely correct, Madam Examiner. We do not.

MS. MCNEIL: Thank you.
MR. FERGUSON: Thank you.
MS. MCNEIL: So we're -- any other witnesses?
MR. FOREMAN: So those are the only two witnesses that I have questions for. I do know that Mr. Gregory Hayes is on the line as representative of the church. He's not a witness to the case but he did want to speak on behalf of the church.

MS. MCNEIL: Okay, Mr. Hayes, if you turn your mic on. Do you swear --

MR. HAYES: Yes.
MS. MCNEIL: -- or affirm under the penalties of perjury that the testimony you shall give will be the truth and nothing but the truth?

MR. HAYES: I do.

MS. MCNEIL: Okay. State your name and address for the record and then let me know what you like to talk about in reference --

MR. HAYES: Okay.
MS. MCNEIL: -- to this application today.
MR. HAYES: My name is Gregory Hayes. My home address is 11805 Blanding Court, Bowie, Maryland. I live a mile and a half from this church. I'm currently at the church and I have the -- the church listed as my business address. I'm retired, I'm a volunteer so I spend a lot of time here.

What I wanted to do is just shortly voice my support for the rezoning. As you stated, I heard earlier, we don't know exactly what type of facility is going to go on but based on our interaction with Miss Barbara Kahn, Mr. Foreman, Mr. Atar [ph] and a civil engineer, it sounds like this is one of the better options that we could live next door to.

And basically, the owners and the representatives around the church we -- we think this would be a positive move for the church. And in full disclosure, we have a nursery that we wanted to have
associated or next to the church and it is going through the rezoning process. Again, to have additional congregants would be a better situation than having a say another liquor store, another retail outlet, another gas station. This would be one of the better options for us.

I would like to also say, I'm with -- or I've attended the Prince George's County single family dwelling at large committee. I've also attended the community forum for Prince George's County community development. I've attended the Maryland at-large policy for comm- -- committee town hall meeting dealing with housing. And again based on the needs of housing for Maryland in general, this is another good option.

I -- I don't have any other comments. I -- I can entertain questions if you want.

MS. MCNEIL: Mr. Brown, do you have any questions?

MR. BROWN: Yes. Good morning, Mr. Hayes.
MR. HAYES: Good morning.
MR. BROWN: You indicated that you live approximately a mile from the subject property;

## correct?

MR. HAYES: Correct.
MR. BROWN: Are you an officer of the church?
MR. HAYES: Yes. I'm on the -- the executive board. Most churches would call it trustee board. I'm not the president, I'm the -- I'm the human resources person on the board. I am authorized to speak for the board and did get permission from the board, from the bishop, the associate bishop to speak.

MR. BROWN: I -- I may not of -- or I may have missed that in the file. Is there a letter in the file from the church giving you authority to speak on their behalf?

I didn't see it Mr. Foreman.
MR. FOREMAN: We did not have a le- -- a letter but Mr. Hayes did list it as -- on a disclosure forms as one of the officers.

MR. BROWN: All right. I'm not questioning his title, I'm questioning his authority to speak on their behalf.

Mr. Hayes, if you could, before the record closes in this case, get a letter from the board of the
church that basically testifies they've reviewed this application and given you authority to speak on their behalf today?

MR. HAYES: Yes, I can do that. How soon do you need that?

MR. BROWN: The examiner can tell you a minute.

MR. HAYES: Okay.
MR. BROWN: Let me ask you, I noticed on the plats for this property the church and if it's the same one, it's described as the Mennonite Church which allegedly owns the panhandle stem and there was reference to a new name for that church.

What is the formal name of the church today?
MR. HAYES: Capital Christian Fellowship.
MR. BROWN: All right. So is the Capital Christian Fellowship the successor to title of the Men--- Mennonite Church properties?

MR. HAYES: On all legal documents it's still listed as Cottage -- Cottage City Mennonite Church.

MR. BROWN: So I mean, clarify for me. Have you guys purchased the property or not? Or are you just
a tenant on the property?
MR. HAYES: We own the property.
MR. BROWN: Mr. Foreman, we need in the file a copy of a deed that demonstrates -- what is the name of the church today again Mr. Hayes?

MR. HAYES: Capital Christian Fellowship.
MR. BROWN: -- that Capital Christian Fellowship is the owner of the properties previously identified in this case as owned -- being owned by the Mennonite Church, those entities I'm going to assume are not one in the same.

And, so, all of your plans that you've shown here today that show the Mennonite Church as being the owner and an application that you have executed by the Mennonite Church agreeing to this application should be changed if in fact this new entity described by Mr. Hayes is the actual title owner of the properties identified as being in the name of the Mennonite Church.

Do you understand what I'm saying?
MR. FOREMAN: Yes. Understand completely.
MR. BROWN: All right. So if in fact you give
us that document and it shows this new entity is the owner, then we can dispense with that potential issue concerning the Mennonite Church.

I have no other questions. Thank you, Mr. Hayes.

MR. HAYES: Thank you for the opportunity to speak. Any other questions?

MR. FOREMAN: None for me. Thank you for speaking this morning.

MR. HAYES: Thank --
MS. MCNEIL: Thanks, Mr. Hayes. So that'll be a new Exhibit 27 and 28 as well if -- if it's required, correct? Because [inaudible] Cottage City.

MR. FOREMAN: Well I think we would maybe ask, yeah, that they replace the existing 27 and 28 and actually probably 26 with the --

MS. MCNEIL: Okay.
MR. FOREMAN: -- Capital Christian Fellowship.
MS. MCNEIL: Okay. Now, I've saved this to the very end for you. I have a concern that staff didn't see the other arguments and I would like to send this planning statement to them and give them a short period
of time to add additional comments if they like. And I like to do that because I don't like to have remands if we don't have to but I'll listen to your argument if you think I -- if you disagree.

MR. FOREMAN: No, I -- I -- if you want -- if that's how you would like to proceed and feel more comfortable with making your decision, I think we will agree or allow that to happen.

MS. MCNEIL: Okay. And then part two of that is, I think I should set a date for them and I think I should be -- have this hearing but only you would have to appear because you could have comments based on their comments. So --

MR. FOREMAN: I see.
MS. MCNEIL: -- I could wait -- I could wait and do another date or if you all want to pause for a minute, $I$ could give you the other date now and tell them if they have any comments to let us know and -okay?

MR. FOREMAN: I'm sorry --
MS. MCNEIL: And tell everyone else here, I mean I wouldn't be taking any more testimony on that --
on any issue other than if they have something to say about the change argument or the additional mistake argument.

MR. FOREMAN: Well, I may ask if Mr. Ferguson would be able to testify --

MS. MCNEIL: Oh, yeah. Oh, yes, he can come back. I was just warning -- not warning, letting everybody else know that they're always free to come but they don't have to worry about testifying, although maybe I should get the two sisters to come back and say something. Look, I'm looking at -- no, I won't do that ladies.

So can we have like a three-minute recess so I can see what dates are available and make sure that you and Mr. Brown and your witness would be available too?

MR. FOREMAN: Yes. No problem.
MS. MCNEIL: Thank you, I'll be right back.
RECORDING: This conference is no longer being recorded.

MR. FERGUSON: Nate, I'm wide open. I keep my Wednesdays free with the possible exception of the end of March I may be up in Maine attending the birth of a
grandchild.
MR. FOREMAN: Mazel tov. Jack is going to have a sibling?

MR. FERGUSON: Jack will have a brother.
MR. FOREMAN: Congratulations. Some days are better than others but we'll see what date she comes back with for me.

MR. FERGUSON: So I believe by the way, Nate, now that we're off the record, $I$ think Capital City Christian Fellowship and Cottage City Mennonite Church, I think Mr. Hayes indicated that they actually are the same entity, one may be just a branding or a tra- -you know, trading as -- churches don't trade as, right, but --

MR. FOREMAN: Mr. Hayes --
MR. FERGUSON: Hi, is that right?
MR. HAYES: Yeah, we are the same entity. And I'm going to guess they didn't -- well, I don't know. I don't know if they went to the title to get it changed but Cottage City Mennonite Church, you know, I could tell you the history, it originated in D.C. and when we left the college out near Laurel, we changed our name
when we got this building.
MR. FERGUSON: Did you -- did you
reincorporate or did you just change the name?
MR. HAYES: And my understanding we reincorporated.

MR. FERGUSON: Yeah. So that'll be there in the -- Nate, that'll be there in the -- the filings.

MR. FOREMAN: Okay. But then we would still -I guess --

RECORDING: This conference will now be recorded.

MR. FERGUSON: We got to --
MS. MCNEIL: We're back. I think I would like to just mail it out -- send it out to them today and come back on the 22 nd. And if for some reason they can't do that we'll worry about that then.

MR. FOREMAN: Um --
MS. MCNEIL: Okay, because some of our March dates aren't available and I -- I don't want to hold this out too much further. Does that work for you all?

MR. FOREMAN: Yeah at 9:30?
MS. MCNEIL: Yes.

MR. FOREMAN: Yeah, assuming that that's the only issue we need to discuss, then yes, I'll -- I'm available.

MS. MCNEIL: That's the only issue I need to discuss. This was your case, so you -- you're -- you're finished, right?

MR. FOREMAN: Yes.
MS. MCNEIL: Okay. So I thank --
MR. FOREMAN: I -- I --
MS. MCNEIL: -- you all for being here -- I'm sorry, go ahead.

MR. FOREMAN: I -- I do want to maybe clarify a few things real quick just before we -- we do leave.

We were just having a conversation while you were gone with Mr. Hayes and it does seem that the Capital Christian Fellowship is a successor interest from the Cottage City Mennonite Church, but we will still need to get the filings from, you know, the Department of Assessment and Taxation to show that they're the same entity.

Would -- because I -- I mean, they're -- the Mennonite Church is still in good standing and I think
if they had been fully been a subsumed by the Capital Christin Fellowship they'd probably been out of standing but I will provide the document and we can discuss whether a whole new application, a whole new -say that affidavits are necessary or if they're just a successor in interest then that'd be sufficient. You --

MS. MCNEIL: Also you should make sure -- I just -- I just hold those ethics affidavits on behalf of the clerk and I know they're real sensitive about making sure the proper parties -- so you might want to talk to them no matter what to see if you still need Capital Christian to do an affidavit.

MR. FOREMAN: Okay.
MS. MCNEIL: Because otherwise they'll send it back and we have to stop -- you know, they can't have the affidavit if it's not valid. Okay. So we -- we left the record open for that information and also with some information -- I believe -- yeah, the illustration with the proposed right of way going through --

MR. FOREMAN: Mm-hmm.
MS. MCNEIL: -- and I don't know if you'll
have those in two weeks but if you want to submit them
then because I know you wanted to close it or you'll have a little longer now. And $I$ think that is it.

MS. POTETE: Documents for Mr. Hayes [inaudible] speak.

MS. MCNEIL: No, wait. We just discussed those. Yeah.

MS. POTETE: Okay.
MS. MCNEIL: I'm sorry, I was talking about the others in addition to the one for Mr. Hayes and the church. Thank you, though.

MR. FOREMAN: Mr. Brown has asked for a deed for parcel 422, so that --

MS. MCNEIL: Right. The -- the easement.
MR. FOREMAN: Oh -- oh, whether the [inaudible] --

MS. MCNEIL: [inaudible] --
MR. FOREMAN: Yeah. And -- and Madam Examiner you had also asked for additional legal analysis about the mis- -- mistake argument and whether it -- having policies [inaudible] in a master plan, a sector plan and failing to follow them cost due to mistake. Is -is that correct?

MS. MCNEIL: Yes.
MR. FOREMAN: Okay.
MS. MCNEIL: Do you want to throw in anything on the CMA versus the SMA you can as well. So I thank you all for being here. We're going to continue this matter until February 22nd at 9:30 a.m. just to -- if those documents are ready, we'll ad- -- admit them into the record and also to see if the park and planning technical staff has any comment on the additional arguments as to why this application should be granted.

MR. FOREMAN: Okay.
MS. MCNEIL: Change or mistake.
MR. FOREMAN: And -- and one final thing. I know you took administrative notice of it but just for the record and clarification, I would also like to submit a copy of CV71 2016 which was for the beauty salon on the adjoining property.

MS. MCNEIL: Sure. No problem. And -- and I'll look this up myself but if Mr. Ferguson had already done it, you're still under oath. Is he still here?

MR. FERGUSON: I am, Madam Examiner.
MS. MCNEIL: Do you know, I'm pretty sure it
wasn't, but do you know if that language is carried over in the recent supplement to the zoning ordinance? MR. FERGUSON: I do not believe that it is. MS. MCNEIL: I -- yeah, probably not. Okay. Thank you all and I'll see you on the 22 nd. MR. FERGUSON: Thank you. RECORDING: This conference is no longer being recorded.
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| 25:11, 25:15, | decision | $37: 8,41: 9,$ | $84: 15, \quad 95: 11,$ |
| 38:10, 39:17, | $48: 7, \quad 100: 7$ | $42: 5,70: 5,$ | 109:19 |
| 94:8 <br> curves | decisions | $\begin{aligned} & 75: 7, \quad 97: 11, \\ & 98: 16 \end{aligned}$ | diagram $29: 18, \quad 81: 21$ |
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| else | 99:1, 102:12, | 100:21 | exercise |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10:2, 16:2, | 102:17, 104:20 | everything | 48:11, 49:2 |
| 27:8, 28:3, | environmental | 15:14, 82:16 | exhibit |
| 38:13, 83:11, | 23:16, 64:19 | everything's | 7:13, 7:14, |
| 100:21, 101:8 | envy | 8:15 | 8:11, 14:17, |
| employed | 47:9 | everywhere | 14:19, 14:20, |
| 109:6 | equally | 89:17 | 15:11, 32:9, |
| employment | 31:10 | evidence | 32:13, 32:15, |
| 55:22, 56:2 | erroneous | 72:14, 75:11, | 35:9, 36:13, |
| enclave | 70:8, 81:14 | 76:18, 77:7, | 36:14, 37:4, |
| 38:9, 38:21, | erroneously | 77:11, 89:13 | 45:5, 45:10, |
| 39:7, 55:5, | 53:1 | evidentiary | 45:17, 45:20, |
| 71:21, 72:18, | essentially | 35:7 | 46:5, 64:12, |
| 75:20, 89:6, | 53:10, 54:12, | exact | 64:14, 80:4, |
| 91:1 | 62:14, 67:8 | 32:16 | 80:7, 80:12, |
| enclaved | establishment | exactly | 80:13, 80:14, |
| 38:3, 38:4 | 39:11 | 79:6, 94:14 | 81:13, 82:8, |
| enclaves | etcetera | examiner | 86:19, 87:1, |
| 63:2, 87:20, | 7:18, 53:20, | 2:5, 2:13, 3:8, | $87: 5,87: 6,$ |
| 88:2, 88:20 | 53:21 | 10:10, 10:22, | $99: 12$ |
| encourage | ethics | 12:18, 19:16, | exhibits |
| $\begin{aligned} & 55: 20 \\ & \text { end } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7: 6, \quad 8: 7,105: 8 \\ & \text { evaluating } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 26: 8, \quad 29: 10, \\ & 34: 2, \quad 34: 6, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5: 1, \quad 52: 20, \\ & 83: 15, \quad 90: 11 \end{aligned}$ |
| 54:6, 99:20, | 85:10 | 34:8, $34: 22$, | existed |
| 101:21 | even | 42:8, 43:13, | 24:18 |
| enforced | 22:12, 34:1, | 43:22, 46:10, | existing |
| 60:10 | 57:1, 57:4, | 47:8, 50:6, | 53:1, 82:10, |
| engineer | 61:14, 68:15, | 51:12, 57:11, | 99:15 |
| 38:22, 39:2, | $69: 20,72: 15,$ | $58: 14, \quad 59: 15,$ | expand |
| 94:17 | $72: 17,79: 9,$ | $69: 1, \quad 85: 17,$ | $36: 1,53: 7,$ |
| engineering | $81: 6,81: 8,$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 91: 12, & 91: 14, \\ 92: 22, & 93: 7 . \end{array}$ | $86: 9$ <br> expanded |
| $\begin{aligned} & 63: 6 \\ & \text { enough } \end{aligned}$ | $82: 4, \quad 82: 14,$ | $\begin{aligned} & 92: 22, \quad 93: 7, \\ & 97: 6, \quad 106: 17, \end{aligned}$ | expanded $86: 2$ |
| 14:8, 42:14, | $92: 19$ | $107: 21$ | expansion |
| 48:13 | event | except | 86:4 |
| ensure | 51:22, 83:5, | 61:18 | expenses |
| 66:9 | 109:10, 109:13 | exception | 25:12 |
| entertain | events | 38:6, 38:8, | expensive |
| $95: 16$ | $73: 7$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 89:14, 101:21 } \\ & \text { exclusivelv } \end{aligned}$ | $25: 13$ |
| entire | every | exclusively $54: 7$ | experience $14: 9$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 5: 14, \quad 61: 6 \\ & \text { entirety } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 14: 13, \quad 48: 21 \\ & \text { everybody } \end{aligned}$ | excuse | expert |
| 74:10 | 2:4, 11:12, | 7:19, 12:8, | 34:4, 34:9, |
| entities | 15:3, 27:8, | $50: 17$ | 34:11, 34:21, |
| 5:15, 31:11, | 101:8 | executed | $51: 21,57: 20$ |
| $31: 17, \quad 98: 10$ | everyone | $98: 14$ | explain |
| entity | $\begin{array}{ll} 2: 14, & 3: 3, \\ 3.10 & 3.16 \end{array}$ | executive $96: 4$ | $\begin{aligned} & 12: 19, \quad 18: 6, \\ & 20: 1 \end{aligned}$ |
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| explanation | fairly | ferguson's | flood |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 31:8 | 42:2 | 76:6 | 36:6, 55:9, |
| explicitly | familiar | few | 64:3, 64:20 |
| 48:10 | 11:15, 11:22, | 35:15, 53:14, | florida |
| exposure | 20:15, 20:18, | 104:13 | 16:12 |
| 92:15 | 35:3 | fifty | follow |
| extended | families | 86:18 | 106:21 |
| 41:6 | 24:17 | file | folly |
| extent | family | 30:20, 81:21, | 23:19, 23:20, |
| 44:10, 90:7 | 2:11, 5:12, | 96:11, 98:3 | 36:4, 36:8, |
| eye | 5:15, 6:10, | filed | 55:9, 64:4, |
| 15:11 | 6:12, 9:18, | 78:6, 78:11, | 64:20 |
| F | 10:6, 10:8, | 78:16 | fools |
| faces | $14: 12,14: 14$, $16: 9,16: 21$, | filings | $13: 5$ |
| $16: 3$ | $\left[\begin{array}{ll} 16: 9, & 16: 21, \\ 17: 4, & 21: 1, \end{array}\right.$ | final | $62: 18$ |
| facetious $58: 12$ | 21:7, $21: 12$, | 63:6, 68:6, | forbes |
| facility | 21:21, 22:6, | 107:13 | 40:20, 60:2 |
| $94: 14$ | 23:4, 24:20, | finally | forget |
| fact | 25:18, 30:1, | 25:17 | 75:15 |
| 5:7, 9:21, | $\begin{array}{lll}30: 6, ~ 30: 19, \\ 37: 3, & 38: 1,\end{array}$ | financial | forgot |
| $31: 16,36: 4$, | 37:3, 38:1, | 109:7 | 87:3 |
| 37:5, 40:11, | $\begin{aligned} & 40: 21, \quad 41: 16, \\ & 84: 5, \quad 91: 18, \end{aligned}$ | find | form |
| 41:4, 41:21, | $\begin{aligned} & 84: 5, \quad 91: 18, \\ & 95: 8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{lll} 26: 3, & 38: 7, & \\ 45: 8, & 65: 8, & 67: 7 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 25: 4, & 40: 8 \\ 42: 1, & 54: 12 \end{array}$ |
| 48:22, 51:5, | family's | 45:8, 65:8, 67:7 findings | $\begin{aligned} & 42: 1, \quad 54: 12 \\ & \text { formal } \end{aligned}$ |
| $51: 7,51: 10$, $54: 2,54: 19$, | 22:11 | 46:22, 47:8, | 41:11, 97:14 |
| $55: 1, \quad 60: 17$ | far | 47:10, 48:3, | formerly |
| $60: 22,61: 10$ | 22:21, 25:22, | 50:21, 51:11 | 36:20 |
| 65:16, 66:9, | 44:6 | fine | forms |
| 66:11, 71:17, | farmland | 3:14, 26:17, | 96:17 |
| 98:16, 98:22 | 21:7 | 76:8, 77:21, | forth |
| facto | features | 79:13 | 58:20 |
| 48:20 | 23:17, 64:19 february | fingers | forum |
| facts | february | $81: 11$ | 95:10 |
| 48:5, 48:9, | $\begin{aligned} & 1: 10, \quad 2: 6, \\ & 107: 6, \quad 109: 16 \end{aligned}$ | finished | forward |
| 49:3, 49:8, | feel | 11:1, 104:6 first | $\begin{aligned} & 25: 22, \quad 52: 20, \\ & 57: 5, \quad 82: 14 \end{aligned}$ |
| $50: 21, ~ 53: 1, ~$ $53: 20,56: 22$, | $44: 10, \quad 60: 5$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \text { first } \\ & \text { 8:18, } 53: 7, \end{aligned}\right.$ | 57:5, 82:14 found |
| $\begin{aligned} & 53: 20, \quad 56: 22, \\ & 57: 2, \quad 58: 17, \end{aligned}$ | $100: 6$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 8: 18, & 53: 7, \\ 53: 9, & 73: 12, \end{array}$ | found $51: 22$ |
| 59:19, 62:9, | fellowship | 78:1, 79:6, | four |
| $67: 14,74: 4$ | 37:11, 97:15, | 79:11, 86:3 | 5:1, 7:14, |
| $74: 7$ | 97:17, 98:6, | fit | 25:6, 25:7, |
| fail | 98:8, 99:18, | 75:19 | 25:11, 25:13, |
| 52:22, 70:21 | 102:10, 104:16, $105: 2$ | five | 25:20, 25:22, |
| failing | $105: 2$ female | 62:17, 75:19 | 41:5, 41:7, |
| 47:4, 106:21 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { female } \\ & 29: 13,32: 6 \end{aligned}$ | flipping $46: 6$ | $\begin{aligned} & 45: 9, \quad 64: 16, \\ & 87: 17 \end{aligned}$ |

Transcript of Hearing
February 8, 2023

| fourth | 66:5 | 51:13, 56:11, | grandparents |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 87:6 | generated | 57:12, 58:13, | 18:11, 21:4, |
| free | 25:9 | 59:4, 59:9, | 21:16, 21:19, |
| 101:8, 101:21 | generations | 59:12, 59:13, | 22:6 |
| front | 21:1 | 60:5, 66:6, | granted |
| 17:5, 23:8, | gentlemen | 73:20, 77:20, | 107:10 |
| 38:5, 44:7, | 3:1, 29:7 | 79:4, 83:8, | great |
| 44:12, 44:19, | george | 86:17, 86:18, | 14:14, 21:3, |
| 87:21 | 21:19 | 86:19, 91:15, | 21:16, 22:5, |
| frontage | george's | 94:15, 104:11 | 36:22 |
| 17:7, 68:13 | 22:7, 46:16, | goals | greater |
| fronts | 68:10, 95:8, | 46:15, 59:17 | 54:3 |
| 75:22 | 95:10 | goes | green |
| fruitive | germane | 15:21, 23:18, | 18:10 |
| 78:3 | $43: 5$ | 23:20, 35:13, | greenbelt |
| full | getting | 56:21 | 2:9, 15:22, |
| 94:21, 109:8 | 3:2, 25:13, | going | 16:3, 17:7, |
| fully | 78:22, 83:1, | 12:20, 14:14, | 19:18, 19:22, |
| 13:18, 105:1 | 92:9 | 25:1, 29:21, | 22:4, 38:5, |
| funds | gift | 34:16, 38:20, | 40:6, 40:7, |
| 72:4 | 21:20 | 43:3, 53:21, | 40:10, 40:12, |
| further | gifted | 57:1, 57:2, | 40:14, 41:11, |
| 29:3, 34:14, | 21:19 | $57: 4$, $71: 57: 11$, 79.5 | 41:14, 42:19, |
| 43:5, 44:11, | gilmore |  | $\begin{array}{ll} 43: 1, & 43: 2, \\ 43: 8, & 44: 12 \end{array}$ |
| 53:9, 56:9, | $2: 19$ | 81:4, 82:1, $82: 11, \quad 93: 1$ | $\begin{aligned} & 43: 8, \quad 44: 12, \\ & 44: 16, \quad 44: 18 \end{aligned}$ |
| 59:12, 61:2, | give | $94: 15, \quad 95: 1,$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 44: 16, & 44: 18, \\ 60: 19, & 60: 22, \end{array}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 61:21, 103:20, } \\ & 109: 12 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3: 6, \quad 12: 11, \\ & 27: 13, \quad 28: 15, \end{aligned}$ | $98: 10,102: 2,$ | $61: 8,84: 18,$ |
| future | 31:6, 33:12, | 102:18, 105:19, | 87:21 |
| 90:18, 90:19, | 58:20, 93:20, | gone | gregory $6: 21,93: 13 .$ |
| 92:11, $92: 12$ | 98:22, 99:22, | gone $104: 15$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6: 21, ~ 93: 13, \\ & 94: 6 \end{aligned}$ |
| G | 100:17 | good | 94:6 <br> grew |
| gardens | given $12.12, ~ 21: 8$, | $2: 4,3: 16,7: 7,$ | grew $70: 17$ |
| $54: 7,61: 18$ | $26: 10,41: 14,$ | $8: 4,11: 11,$ | grievance |
| gas $95: 5$ | 50:10, 61:11, | 15:2, 33:10, | 58:9, 58:12 |
| gave | 68:12, 97:2 | $33: 17, ~ 33: 22, ~$ $41: 3,59: 11$, | grocery |
| 89:18 | giving | 41:3, 59:11, $69: 6, ~ 80: 7$, | 23:5 |
| gearing | 96:12 | $69: 6,80: 7$, $85: 17, ~ 85: 19$, | ground |
| 78:22 | glad | 95:14, 95:19, | $64: 15$ |
| general | 51:14 <br> glendale | 95:20, 104:22 | guess 18:10, 18:22, |
| 24:20, 46:15, | $21: 5,40: 12,$ | government | $26: 7,26: 12$ |
| $\begin{array}{llll}54: 10, & 60: 10, \\ 60: 11, & 60: 14,\end{array}$ | $40: 13,52: 6,$ | 21:6, 22:2 | $33: 21,77: 10 \text {, }$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 60: 11, \quad 60: 14, \\ & 95: 14 \end{aligned}$ | $61: 13$ | grading | 84:21, 102:18, |
| generally | \| go | 63:5 | 103:9 |
| 59:22, 66:4, | $14: 21,34: 17,$ | grandchild | guys |
| 59:22, 66:4, | $44: 11, \quad 47: 21,$ | 102:1 | 97:22 |
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| H | heads | high | 18:8, 18:9, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| h-o-l-e | 56:18 | 40:10, 64:10, | 18:11, 18:13, |
| 87:14 | heal | 92:15 | 18:19, 19:1, |
| habitually | 68:19 | higher | 19:4, 19:11, |
| 44:5 | health | 36:17, 55:11, | 19:19, 19:22, |
| hair | 25:12, 68:9, | 83:20, 83:21, | 31:22, 32:14, |
| 38:12, 38:14, | 68:20 | 84:5 | 2:20 |
| 39:18 | hear | highlight | ouses |
| half | $4: 1,4: 5,4: 6$, | 35:16, 66:7 | $\begin{array}{ll} 18: 5, & 18: 7, \\ 38: 1 & 55: 4 \end{array}$ |
| 5:18, 6:18, | $\begin{aligned} & 18: 19, \quad 27: 2, \\ & 27: 7, \quad 27: 16, \end{aligned}$ | himself $9: 12, \quad 9: 13$ | $71: 9, \quad 71: 20,$ |
| 15:16, 15:17, | 27:7, 27:16, 28:18 | historic | $72: 2, \quad 91: 1, \quad 92: 8$ |
| $17: 20, ~ 20: 9$, $21: 20, ~ 31: 3$, | heard | 33:19, 90:16 | housing |
| 64:5, 94:8 | 35:18, 49:20, | historical | 95:13 |
| hall | 94:13 | 84:13 | however |
| 95:12 | hearing | historically | 47:17 |
| happen | 1:8, 2:5, 6:10, | 84:11 | hsa $63: 6$ |
| 100:8 | $\begin{array}{ll}12: 9, & 19: 16, \\ 35: 7, & 50: 2,\end{array}$ | history | huge |
| happened | $\begin{aligned} & 35: 7, \quad 50: 2, \\ & 58: 22, \quad 100: 11, \end{aligned}$ | $10: 6,14: 15$, 20:17, $20: 21$, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { huge } \\ & \text { 23:2 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 19:2 | $109: 20$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{ll} 20: 17, & 20: 21, \\ 22: 10, & 24: 16, \end{array}\right.$ | human |
| happy | hearings | $22: 10, ~ 24: 16$, $28: 3,28: 20$, | 96:6 |
| $70: 9, \quad 76: 4$ | 34:8, 49:21, | 29:4, 102:21 | hundred |
| hard | 58:8 | hold | 5:13, 21:1, |
| 19:14, 61:3 | hearsay | 82:7, 103:19, | $64: 3$ <br> husband |
| hayes | hedge | 105:8 <br> hole | husband $6: 11,13: 21,$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 3: 19, \quad 3: 21, \\ & 4: 1,4: 3, \quad 4: \end{aligned}$ | $72: 4$ | $\text { hole } \begin{aligned} & \text { hol } \\ & 37: 6,43: 6, \end{aligned}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{ll} 6: 11, & 13: 21, \\ 27: 17 & \end{array}\right.$ |
| 4:8, 4:9, 4:11, | help | 43:7, 54:19, | I |
| 4:12, 6:21, | 79:20, 86:12 | 55:11, 59:2, | idea |
| 93:13, 93:16, | helpful | 71:15, 72:20, | 31:4 |
| 93:18, 93:22, | 47:20 | 86:12, 87:14 | ident |
| 94:4, 94:6, | here | home ${ }^{82 \cdot 9,94}$ | 2:16 |
| 95:19, 95:20, | 2:6, 2:12, | 32:9, 94:6 | identification |
| 96:2, 96:4, | 2:14, 2:19, | homes | 70:14 |
| 96:16, 96:21, | 3:17, 4:14, | 22:1, 23:4, | identified |
| 97:4, 97:8, | 6:21, 42:19, | $72: 5,72: 6$, | 69:18, 71:8, |
| 97:15, 97:19, | 45:7, 69:9, | 72:10, 91:18 | 73:13, 98:9, |
| 98:2, 98:5, | 79:20, 80:1, | honestly | 98:18 |
| 98:6, 98:17, | 81:12, 84:22, | 47:7 | identify |
| 99:5, 99:6, | 94:11, 98:13, | honor | 11:2, 41:3, |
| 99:10, 99:11, | \|100:21, 104:10, 107:5, 107:20 | $\begin{aligned} & 26: 3 \\ & \text { hope } \end{aligned}$ | $70: 6,70: 7$, |
| 102:11, 102:15, | hereby | hope <br> 75: 6 | $70: 22$ |
| 102:17, 103:4, | hereby \| 109:2 | 75: 6 <br> house | ignore |
| $104: 15, ~ 106: 3$, $106: 9$ | \| 109:2 <br> hi | house | $58: 1,66: 17$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 106: 9 \\ & \text { head } \end{aligned}$ | 102:16 | 16:3, 16:8, $16: 12,16: 19,$ | ignored |
| 68:4, 76:2 | hide | $17: 9,17: 11$ | 54:14 |
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| ignoring | income | interaction | judgement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 85:2 | 25:12, 92:7, | 94:15 | 56:19 |
| ii | 92:9 | interest | judgment |
| 3:18, 4:15 | incorrect | 5:21, 6:18, | 56:20 |
| illustrate | 67:21 | 6:20, 7:3, | jumped |
| 36:14, 41:21 | increase | 17:21, 31:3, | 33:22 |
| illustrated | 24:20 | 104:16, 105:6, | jurisprudence |
| 80:19, 84:8 | indicated | 109:7, 109:12 | 45:2 |
| illustrates | 9:1, 29:22, | interior | justification |
| 37:4, 53:9, | 95:21, 102:11 | 19:5 | 53:5, 60:1, |
| 81:22 | indicative | interject | 63:15 |
| illustration | 72:22 | 76:3 | justify |
| 61:3, 105:18 | individual | interrupt | 62:5, 63:16 |
| illustrative | 49:3, 54:4 | 77:5 | K |
| 64:13, 79:19, | inform | intersection | kahn |
| 80:20 | 43:1 | 54:8 | 94:16 |
| image | information | intervening | kaylor |
| 82:20, 88:10 | $8: 2,35: 19,$ | $84: 11$ | 22:5 |
| imf | $53: 11,89: 9,$ | introduce | kaylors |
| $79: 15$ | $105: 17,105: 18,$ | $2: 16$ | 21:15 |
| immediate | 109:4 | introductory | keep |
| 56:16 | inherent | $60: 16$ | 3:4, 3:5, |
| immediately | $68: 18$ | investigations | 13:12, $24: 17$, |
| $37: 18,61: 13$ | initially | $90: 19$ | 25:6, 101:20 |
| immigrated | $83: 3$ | investors | kind |
| 21:4 | inside | $1: 9,3: 18,$ | 13:15, 15:1, |
| impact | $16: 1$ | $4: 15, \quad 109: 19$ | 19:15, 20:14, |
| $46: 19$ | insistent | issue | 35:16, 40:2, |
| impacted | 48:17 | 11:18, 65:15, | $43: 15,47: 21$, |
| 60:21 | instead | 83:8, 99:2, | 50:16, 58:2, |
| implement | 46:2, 53:15, | 101:1, 104:2, | 64:17, 67:20, |
| 46:15, 47:14, | 65:4 | 104:4 | 82:9, 82:15, |
| 50:10, 50:11 | instructive | issues | 91:19, 91:21 |
| important | 72:16 | 25:12, 31:22, | king |
| 83:4 | intended | 37:17 | $54: 9$ |
| improper | 39:7, 47:14, | iteration | knew |
| 10:13 | 48:18, 51:8 | $55: 1$ | 79:2 |
| in-depth | intense | itself | know |
| 50:16 | 37:5 | 20:15 | 7:12, 16:13, |
| inc | intensely | J | 18:1, 19:5, |
| 8:6 | 42:2 |  | $19: 14, \quad 26: 12$ |
| include | intensifying | $102: 2, \quad 102: 4$ | $30: 16, \quad 34: 15,$ |
| 5:7, 20:10, | 60:12 | job | $37: 19, \quad 39: 6,$ |
| 55:19, 60:14 | intensity | 1:20, 39:2, | $43: 4,43: 8,$ |
| included | $36: 18,40: 10,$ | 50:8, 51:14, | 43:19, 47:1, |
| 7:4, 7:10, | $61: 11$ | 51:16, 57:20, | 47:7, 49:2, |
| 14:1, 21:13 | intent | 76:9 | 49:7, 49:15, |
| including | 84:7 |  |  |
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| listed | 55:15, 55:16, | 10:10, 10:22, | mandatory |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 94:9, 97:20, | 55:17, 55:18, | 12:18, 26:8, | 79:1 |
| 109:10 | 57:1, 57:22, | 29:10, 34:2, | many |
| listen | 82:17, 101:11, | 34:6, 34:22, | 49:20 |
| 10:9, 54:21, | 107:19 | 42:8, 43:13, | map |
| 54:22, 100:3 | looked | 43:20, 46:10, | 2:6, 2:20, |
| literally | 31:9, 77:22, | 47:8, 50:6, | 7:21, 19:16, |
| 22:7 | 83:16 | 51:12, 57:11, | 37:1, 46:13, |
| little | looking | 58:14, 59:14, | 46:18, 47:2, |
| 17:9, 36:1, | 4:15, 15:10, | 69:1, 85:17, | 47:3, 47:5, |
| 43:13, 53:7, | 21:14, 31:21, | 91:11, 91:14, | 47:6, 47:12, |
| 60:4, 86:12, | 73:3, 80:8, | 92:22, 93:6, | 47:16, 47:19, |
| 106:2 | 81:14, 83:14, | 106:17, 107:21 | 48:2, 48:8, |
| live | 101:11 | made | 48:22, 49:5, |
| 20:3, 21:2, | looks | 10:11, 24:9, | 50:20, 52:2, |
| 25:10, 94:8, | 15:2, 16:8, | 50:6, 50:21, | 52:6, 53:10, |
| 94:18, 95:21 | 27:1, 82:20 | 50:22, 59:20, | 56:7, 59:18, |
| lived | lot | 66:20, 68:3, | 60:11, 61:5, |
| 18:11, 18:12, | 5:12, 5:18, | 75:8, 75:17, | 62:12, 67:16, |
| 19:6, 21:2 | 65:3, 65:4, | 81:1, 87:3 | 68:3, 69:13, |
| lives | 65:5, 65:16, | mail | 70:1, 73:4, |
| 18:6, 25:20, | 65:17, 66:13, | 103:14 | 73:10, 73:19, |
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| ```morelock 11:13 morning 2:4, 3:16, 4:10, 4:19, 6:9, 6:22, 11:11, 26:6, 33:5, 33:10, 33:17, 33:22, 35:18, 68:6, 69:6, 69:7, 85:17, 85:19, 95:19, 95:20, 99:9 most 11:15, 21:13, 24:16, 41:16, 46:17, 47:22, 48:22, 63:2, 65:6, 83:4, 85:10, 85:13, 96:5 mother 12:1, 13:2, 18:12, 25:2 mother-in-law 12:1, 18:16, 24:22, 26:2 move 94:21 moved 16:12, 16:18, 19:5, 21:12 moving \(77: 14,82: 13\), 82:22 much 8:9, 20:5, 20:6, 20:13, 24:5, 24:20, 26:5, 29:12, 29:13, 41:10, 42:4, 46:9, 58:7, 66:21, 68:21, 75:4, 75:5, 86:4, 91:11, 92:9, 103:20 multi 2:10, 14:19,``` | 84:4 <br> multi-family <br> 36:18, 64:9 <br> must <br> 31:15 <br> mute <br> 3:19 <br> muted <br> 34:6 <br> muting <br> 4:11 <br> mxt <br> 36:20, 48:13 <br> myself <br> 107:19 $\qquad$ <br> n <br> 13:13 <br> naaden <br> 1:22, 109:2, <br> 109:17 <br> nac <br> 48:15 <br> nagy <br> 62:20, 62:22 <br> name | 103:7 <br> national <br> 66:22 <br> natural <br> 91:7 <br> nature <br> 56:14 <br> nd <br> 103:15, 107:6, <br> 108:5 <br> near <br> 102:22 <br> necessarily <br> 31:4, 50:4, <br> 65:1 <br> necessary <br> 44:11, 105:5 <br> need <br> 8:16, 10:10, <br> 25:5, 28:3, <br> $30: 15,30: 19$, <br> $31: 13,31: 16$, <br> 34:14, 60:5, <br> $71: 17,81: 20$, <br> 93:3, 97:5, <br> 98:3, 104:2, <br> 104:4, 104:18, <br> 105:11 <br> needs <br> 27:8, 42:15, <br> 73:1, 95:13 <br> neglected <br> 81:3 <br> neighborhood <br> 40:4, 40:5, <br> 40:17, 40:19, <br> 41:9, 41:13, <br> $41: 20,42: 5$, <br> 42:6, 42:12, <br> $44: 15,54: 20$, <br> 55:8, 62:8, <br> 62:11, 63:11, <br> 63:19, 65:9, <br> 68:15, 70:6, <br> $70: 7,70: 14$, <br> $70: 17,70: 18$, <br> 70:22, 71:6, <br> 71:7, 71:17, | ```73:13, 75:11, 80:2, 80:11, 82:12, 82:15, 82:17, 82:19 neighborhoods 42:9, 49:4, 53:19, 55:20 neighbors 82:19 neither 85:8, 109:5 net 64:4, 65:1 never 3:13, 17:4, 24:9, 24:11, 42:11 new 23:2, 24:21, 51:5, 79:4, 97:13, 98:16, 99:1, 99:12, 105:4 next 14:2, 15:8, 45:3, 94:18, 95:1 nicknamed 13:2 niece 18:13 nine 15:16, 15:17, 20:8, 64:5 node 61:11 non 36:6 non-professional 34:19 non-title 64:21 none 25:7, 25:21, 43:12, 52:17, 99:8 nope 79:19``` |
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| passing | permitted | $36: 6,55: 9$ | plats |
| 62:20 | 39:10, 39:21, | $64: 20$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 97:10 } \\ & \text { plav } \end{aligned}$ |
| past | 62:16, 76:17, | plan | play |
| $39: 4$ | $77: 7$ | 4:17, 38:18, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 41:17, } 58: 7 \\ & \text { playing } \end{aligned}$ |
| pattern | permitting | $38: 19,39: 1$, | playing |
| 55:16, 55:17, | 37:17, 38:11, | 40:13, $46: 16$, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 47:10 } \\ & \text { pleasantries } \end{aligned}$ |
| 71:14 | 38:20, 39:18 | $46: 17,47: 15,$ | pleasantries $34: 1$ |
| pause | perpetuity | $52: 1, \quad 52: 2,$ | 34:1 <br> please |
| 100:16 | 30:13, 30:17 | $52: 9,52: 10,$ | please 2:17, 3:4, 3:5, |
| paying | person | $52: 16,53: 12,$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 2: 17, & 3: 4, \\ 3: 19, & 11: 9, \end{array}$ |
| $24: 22$ | $14: 4, \quad 96: 7$ | $53: 17, \quad 54: 2,$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3: 19, \quad 11: 9, \\ & 14: 17, \quad 14: 21, \end{aligned}$ |
| penalties | personal | $54: 5,54: 6,$ | $\begin{aligned} & 14: 17, \\ & 14: 21, \\ & 15: 12, \\ & 18: 6, \end{aligned}$ |
| 12:11, 27:12, | $10: 7, \quad 10: 12,$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 54: 10, & 56: 7 \\ 59: 17, & 59: 18 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 15: 12, & 18: 6, \\ 20: 20, & 22: 16, \end{array}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 28: 14, \quad 33: 11, \\ & 93: 19 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 14: 8, \quad 14: 10, \\ & 20: 16 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 59: 17, & 59: 18, \\ 60: 10, & 60: 11, \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 20: 20, & 22: 16, \\ 33: 15, & 39: 9 \end{array}$ |
| penalty | persons | 60:14, 60:17, | pleasure |
| 109:3 | 69:18 | $60: 18,60: 21$, | 33:1, 69:8 |
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| plenty | 106:3, 106:7 | prevalent | 68:19, 70:1, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 34:19 | powerpoint | 64:9 | 78:5, 82:11, |
| plot | 45:12, 87:1 | previous | 95:2 |
| 81:7 | practice | 51:9, 52:13 | proffer |
| plus | 45:1 | previously | 34:3, 34:5, |
| 71:20, 72:17 | pre | 22:12, 24:12, | 34:6, 62:13, |
| podium | 64:8 | 24:14, 38:6, | 63:13 |
| 64:14 | preapplication | 52:12, 66:21, | progress |
| point | 78:19, 78:21, | 98:8 | 77:11 |
| 10:5, 19:15, | 79:1, 80:2 | prince | project |
| 25:17, 30:19, | prefer | $46: 16,68: 10,$ | $14: 1, \quad 26: 1,$ |
| 36:3, 65:15, | 3:9, 58:19 | 95:8, 95:10 | $50: 1, \quad 83: 12,$ |
| 83:6, 87:3 | preference | principal | $83: 18, \quad 84: 1$ |
| pointy | 3:11 | 56:6, 58:6, | projects |
| 81:11 | prepare | 66:17 | 48:5, 49:8, |
| policies | 35:6 | principals | 50:11, 53:20 |
| 57:5, 57:12, | prepared | 54:13, 55:19, | promptly |
| 58:1, 59:17, | 53:6, 67:1, | 56:12, 59:12, | 87:3, 92:19 |
| 60:9, 60:12, | 79:14 | 66:12, 66:14 | pronounced |
| 61:9, 106:20 | preparing | prior | $43: 18$ |
| policy | 50:7 | 24:7, 78:7, | proof |
| 95:11 | preponderance | 78:16, 78:19, | 50:5 |
| popeye's | 54:4 | 79:3 | prop |
| 23:7 | presence | private | 68:12 |
| portion | 40:14 | 49:22, 92:1 | proper |
| 5:12, 5:17, | present | privately | 105:10 |
| 16:5, 16:6, | $6: 9, \quad 37: 11,$ | $16: 2$ | properties |
| 16:7, 17:6, | $85: 1$ | probably | $16: 2,22: 16,$ |
| $22: 3,40: 21$ | presenting | $5: 19,31: 21,$ | $38: 3,43: 11,$ |
| $41: 1, \quad 60: 20$ | $85: 12$ | $46: 8,48: 20,$ | $44: 7,44: 11,$ |
| portions | preservation | $99: 16, \quad 105: 2,$ | $44: 19, \quad 47: 13$ |
| 35:16 | 90:16 | 108:4 | $49: 3,55: 5$ |
| position | preserve | problem | 57:6, 72:18, |
| $\begin{aligned} & 57: 21 \\ & \text { positive } \end{aligned}$ | $55: 20$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} 3: 13, \quad 46: 12, \\ 89: 21, \quad 101: 16, \end{array}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & 79: 2, \quad 84: 18, \\ & 88: 18, \\ & 92: 7, \end{aligned}\right.$ |
| positive $94: 21$ | preserved $73: 2$ | $\begin{aligned} & 89: 21, \quad 101: 16, \\ & 107: 18 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 88: 18, \\ & 92: 14, \\ & 92: 18, \end{aligned}$ |
| possibility | president | problematic | 98:8, 98:17 |
| 51:4 | 96:6 | 69:10 | propertu |
| possible | presumably | problems | 38:21 |
| $92: 5, \quad 101: 21$ | $90: 13$ | $61: 3$ | property's |
| possibly | presume | procedures | $20: 17, \quad 20: 22,$ |
| 82:13 | $85: 5$ | $60: 9, \quad 90: 20$ | $68: 13$ |
| potential | presumed | proceed | proponent |
| $\begin{aligned} & 99: 2 \\ & \text { potete } \end{aligned}$ | $49: 12$ | 100:6 proceedings | 62:19 <br> proposed |
| 7:13, 7:16, | $84: 12$ | 10:17 | 24:7, 50:1, |
| 7:19, 7:21, 8:1, | pretty | process | 64:11, 79:16, |
| $8: 4,36: 10$, | 107:22 | 7:9, 48:18, | 80:18, 81:4, |
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| 81:17, 81:22, | 28:8, 28:9, | reading | recommendation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 105:19 | 29:19, 31:20, | 61:8 | 67:6, 67:10, |
| proposes | 35:13, 41:11, | ready | 67:15, 77:13 |
| 64:14, 80:20 | 42:19, 45:4, | 3:2, 107:7 | recommendations |
| protections | 46:21, 58:21, | real | 47:14, 50:8, |
| 68:18 | 67:9, 67:14, | 3:9, 76:4, | 53:13, 54:2, |
| provide | 68:6, 72:1, | 104:13, 105:9 | 56:18, 90:16 |
| 55:21, 80:11, | $72: 8,76: 10$, | realize | recommended |
| 89:8, 105:3 | 83:8, 84:21, | 10:16 | 52:10, 89:20, |
| provided | 85:5, 91:13, | really | 90:17, 90:18 |
| 10:19, 27:17 | 92:22 | 10:4, 18:9, | recommending |
| provides | question's | 36:14, 41:21, | 67:2 |
| 65:3 | 13:15 | 42:1, 54:1, | record |
| prudent | questioning | 60:8, 67:13, | 2:14, 2:16, |
| 85:13 | 92:3, 96:18, | 71:12, 72:21, | 7:10, 11:10, |
| public | $96: 19$ | $75: 14,86: 3,$ | $11: 17,12: 22,$ |
| 49:21, 58:8, | questions | $86: 9,86: 20,$ | $30: 3, \quad 30: 8$ |
| 68:9 | $8: 18,26: 7,$ | 93:4 | $30: 16,31: 14$, |
| public's | 26:11, 26:13, | rear | 32:13, 33:16, |
| 68:19 | 28:6, 29:8, | 32:1 | 35:9, 35:17, |
| pur | 29:9, 29:10, | reason | 40:3, 52:21, |
| 30:9 | 29:16, 31:19, | 103:15 | 57:15, 62:10, |
| purchased | 33:3, 35:16, | reasonable | 64:12, 79:18, |
| 97:22 | 51:17, 59:8, | 71:1 | 82:7, 86:22, |
| purity | 69:1, 69:4, | rebuttal | 94:2, 96:21, |
| $30: 9$ | 85:15, 93:12, | 91:14, 91:15 | 102:9, 105:17, |
| purpose | $\begin{aligned} & 95: 16, \quad 95: 18, \\ & 99: 4 . \\ & 99: 7 \end{aligned}$ | recall | 107:8, 107:15 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 40: 3, \quad 42: 12, \\ & 66: 9 \end{aligned}$ | quick | $\begin{aligned} & 76: 1, \quad 90: 7 \\ & \text { receive } \end{aligned}$ | recorded $2: 3,3: 3,$ |
| purposes | $\begin{aligned} & 3: 9, \quad 8: 22, \\ & 42: 19, \quad 76: 4, \end{aligned}$ | $61: 2,63: 7$ | $\begin{aligned} & 101: 19, \quad 103: 11, \\ & 108: 8 \end{aligned}$ |
| 50:18, 65:22, | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & 42: 19,76: 4, \\ & 104: 13 \end{aligned}\right.$ | received | 108:8 <br> recording |
| $\begin{aligned} & 66: 4, \quad 66: 8, \\ & 66: 13, \quad 85: 10 \end{aligned}$ | quite | $\begin{aligned} & 7: 15, \quad 63: 3, \\ & 109: 9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { recording } \\ & 2: 2,101: 18, \end{aligned}$ |
| pursuant | $36: 5, \quad 51: 18$ | recent | $103: 10,108: 7$ |
| 47:13 | R | 47:22, 48:22, | recordings |
| purview | railroad | 49:21, 85:10, | 109:4 |
| 79:4 | $40: 17$ | $108: 2$ | records $76: 5$ |
| put | ratify | recently | rectorical |
| $5: 2,29: 17,$ | $10: 11, \quad 10: 18,$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7: 5, \quad 7: 15, \\ & 36 \cdot 22 \quad 46 \cdot 18 \end{aligned}$ | rectorical <br> 51:16 |
| $30: 19, \quad 43: 10,$ $46: 6, \quad 52: 20$ | $\begin{aligned} & 11: 3, \quad 26: 10 \\ & 26: 16 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 36: 22, \quad 46: 18 \\ & \text { recess } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 51: 16 \\ & \text { red } \end{aligned}$ |
| $57: 5, \quad 89: 14$ | rd | $101: 13$ | $32: 20$ |
| Q | 78:2, 78:15 | recognize | redevelopment |
| qualified $34: 4$ | reaches <br> 77:13 | $47: 21$ <br> recognizes | 92:11 <br> reduce |
| question $8: 22,13: 19,$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { read } \\ & 57: 16, \quad 67: 2 \end{aligned}$ | $60: 20$ <br> recommend 52:9 | $\begin{aligned} & 64: 22 \\ & \text { refer } \\ & 13: 7,46: 4, \end{aligned}$ |
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| 96:18, 97:16, | 19:11, 20:2, | 88:19, 107:17 | second |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 98:22, 101:17, | 20:7 | same | 33:6, 38:9, |
| 102:13, 102:16, | role | 5:12, 5:15, | 88:1, 93:2 |
| 104:6, 105:19, | 41:17 | 66:16, 69:9, | sectional |
| 106:13 | root | 97:10, 98:11, | 46:18, 47:3, |
| right-hand | 53:17, 54:16, | 102:12, 102:17, | 47:6, 47:12, |
| 29:20 | 56:21, 92:4 | 104:20 | 47:19, 48:2, |
| rmf | rr | save | 48:8, 48:22, |
| 36:19, 36:20, | 2:9, 37:7, | 3:10 | 49:4, 50:20, |
| 63:21, 64:6, | 37:13, 38:2, | saved | 52:2, 52:5, |
| 64:17, 65:3, | 52:11, 52:13, | 99:19 | 53:10, 56:7, |
| 65:5, 66:1, | 53:2, 55:11, | saw | 59:18, 60:11, |
| 66:6, 66:8, | 56:15, 59:20, | 34:11 | 62:12, 67:16 |
| 66:14, 80:15, | 62:16, 71:22, | say | sections |
| 83:18, 84:4 | 75:18, 87:10 | 24:19, 40:21, | 89:21 |
| rms | rsfa | 43:17, 43:19, | sector |
| 2:10 | 37:3 | 44:22, 45:22, | 40:13, 47:15, |
| road | rt | 50:12, 57:22, | 54:5, 59:17, |
| 2:9, 15:22, | 84:11 | 64:18, 69:17, | 60:16, 60:20, |
| 16:3, 17:7, | rules | 70:9, 73:12, | 62:11, 66:12, |
| 19:18, 19:22, | 92:20 | 74:6, 78:18, | 66:14, 66:17, |
| 21:15, 22:4, | rural | 89:18, 95:4, | 106:20 |
| 38:5, 40:6, | 2:10 | 95:7, 101:1, | secure |
| 40:7, 40:10, | ruth | 101:10, 105:5 | 25:10 |
| 40:14, 40:18, | 21:20 | saying | security |
| 41:3, 41:11, | S | 5:9, 10:18, | 25:12 |
| 41:14, 42:20, |  | $16: 22,32: 9,$ | see |
| $43: 1,43: 2,$ | $16: 15,16: 16$ | $44: 18, \quad 51: 15$ | $15: 3, \quad 15: 4,$ |
| $43: 8,44: 7,$ | $16: 19,16: 21,$ | $77: 8, \quad 87: 15,$ | $15: 6,15: 7,$ |
| $44: 12, \quad 44: 16,$ | $22: 2$ | $98: 20$ | $15: 8, \quad 18: 9,$ |
| $\begin{array}{ll} 44: 18, & 54: 8, \\ 60: 19, & 60: 21 \end{array}$ | saf | says | 19:19, 24:21, |
| $\begin{aligned} & 60: 19, \quad 60: 21, \\ & 61: 1, \quad 61: 8, \end{aligned}$ | 68:19 | $30: 8, ~ 44: 15$, $47: 12,53: 19$, | $\begin{array}{ll} 29: 11, & 30: 16, \\ 32 \cdot 14, & 32 \cdot 19 \end{array}$ |
| $81: 14,82: 10,$ | safety | $47: 12,53: 19,$ $78: 1$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 32: 14, & 32: 19, \\ 36: 16, & 43: 2, \end{array}$ |
| 84:19, 87:21 | $\begin{aligned} & 68: 9, \quad 68: 20 \\ & \text { said } \end{aligned}$ | SC | $46: 7, \quad 55: 1$ |
| roads | $14: 18,39: 7$ | 89:8 | 65:12, 69:8, |
| 44:7 | $56: 22, \quad 75: 4$ | scratch | 79:22, 80:17, |
| roadway | $83: 10$ | 68:4 | 82:20, 84:16, |
| $40: 7,75: 22,$ | sake | screen | 86:14, 87:19, |
| $\begin{aligned} & 79: 17, \quad 80: 17, \\ & 80: 18, \\ & 81: 7 . \end{aligned}$ | $71: 6,73: 9$ | 14:22, 15:21, | $96: 14, \quad 99: 21,$ |
| $81: 22, \quad 82: 13,$ | sale | $\begin{array}{ll} 21: 14, & 22: 22, \\ 41: 21, & 45: 5 . \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100: 14, \quad 101: 14, \\ & 102: 6, \quad 105: 11, \end{aligned}$ |
| 82:21, 82:22, | 25:10 | 46:6 | 107:8, 108:5 |
| 83:7, 92:16 | salon | seabrook | seek |
| robert | $\begin{array}{ll} 38: 12, & 38: 14, \\ 39: 18, & 63: 1, \end{array}$ | 52:6, 54:9, | $47: 10$ |
| 4:17, 5:19, | $75: 9,76: 16,$ | 61:19 | seem |
| $\begin{aligned} & 13: 21, \quad 15: 22, \\ & 17: 6, \quad 17: 21, \end{aligned}$ | $78: 5,88: 17,$ | search | $72: 2,104: 15$ |
| 17.6, 17. |  | 61:7 | $66: 17$ |
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| seems | sheet | 29:22, 36:9, | 78:4, 82:16, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 32:2, 78:3 | 80:3 | 40:10, 41:14, | 91:1, 91:2 |
| seen | shop | 42:21, 44:6 | sites |
| 6:7 | 39:19, 39:20, | sides | 92:14 |
| self-evidentiary | 62:15, 75:18 | 47:21 | sitting |
| 49:8 | shopping | sign-in | 14:2, 19:21, |
| sell | 22:19 | 80:2 | 27:18 |
| 25:19 | short | signatories | situation |
| send | 99:22 | 31:15 | 24:13, 85:7, |
| 31:11, 62:20, | shortly | signature-mig2k | 95:3 |
| 99:21, 103:14, | 13:2, 94:12 | 109:14 | six |
| 105:14 | should | signed | 21:1, 21:3 |
| sense | 19:21, 45:4, | 7:5 | skip |
| 67:9 | 45:8, 50:19, | similar | 87:21 |
| sensitive | 67:14, 67:15, | 83:18 | slash |
| 55:21, 105:9 | 77:18, 82:2, | simple | 61:1 |
| separate | 98:15, 100:10, | 14:6, 31:10 | slender |
| 6:7, 13:22, | 100:11, 101:10, | simply | 88:9 |
| 65:15 | 105:7, 107:10 | 24:18, 42:13, | slide |
| separates | show | 46:5, 50:13, | 14:17, 14:20, |
| 55:10 | 8:16, 32:16, | 57:19, 85:6, | 14:21, 15:3, |
| september | 63:10, 79:15, | 89:15, 92:6 | 45:9 |
| 78:2, 78:3, | 82:10, 82:15, | since | sma |
| $78: 15$ | 90:7, 98:13, | 12:9, 13:22, | 49:12, 52:1, |
| serve | 104:19 | 34:11, 46:2, | 52:9, 52:16, |
| 51:10 | showing | 59:3, 62:11, | 53:3, 69:14, |
| set | 15:3 | 73:7, 73:12, | 69:19, 73:5, |
| 46:22, 58:20, | shown | 75:11, 75:13, | 73:10, 73:17, |
| 81:6, 100:10 | 5:17, 63:15, | 77:1 | 73:21, 74:19, |
| sets | 82:2, 82:20, | single | 74:20, 75:11, |
| 54:13 | 83:2, 83:3, | 23:4, 37:3, | 85:1, 85:10, |
| settled | 83:15, 90:10, | $37: 22,40: 21$, | 107:4 |
| 21:5, 21:7 | 98:12 | 41:16, 55:4, | smaller |
| seven | shows | 91:17, 95:8 | 89:7 |
| 60:13, 60:16 | 99:1 | sir | social |
| several | shy | $70: 20, \quad 71: 4$ | $25: 11$ |
| 66:19 | 14:7 | sister | sold |
| sha | sibling | 28:10 | 16:12, 16:21, |
| 77:17 | 25:9, 102:3 | sister-in-law | 25:5 |
| shall | siblings | 9:17, 12:2, | solely |
| 12:11, 27:13, | $25: 3, \quad 25: 6,$ | $14: 7, \quad 16: 10$ | $25: 11$ |
| 28:15, 33:12, | $\begin{aligned} & 25: 8, \quad 25: 11, \\ & 25: 13, \quad 25: 14, \end{aligned}$ | sisters <br> 101:10 | some $4: 20, \quad 30: 19$ |
| $93: 20$ <br> share | $\begin{aligned} & 25: 13, \quad 25: 14, \\ & 25: 20 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 101: 10 \\ & \text { site } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|ll} 4: 20, & 30: 19, \\ 39: 8, & 41: 7, \end{array}$ |
| 43:22, 44:1 | sic | 35:19, 38:18, | 44:8, 51:9, |
| shared | $7: 13, \quad 9: 9$ | 38:19, 39:1, | $56: 12, \quad 57: 18,$ |
| 17:21 | side | $43: 4,63: 4,$ | $82: 12,89: 21,$ |
| sharing | 22:19, 29:21, | 75:10, 76:18, | 90:11, 102:5, |
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| 103:15, 103:18, | 96:12, 96:19, | stan | 107:20 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 105:17 | 97:2, 99:7, | 2:21, 26:11, | stipend |
| someone | 106:4 | 27:9, 28:6, | 21:8 |
| 10:2, 16:2, | speaking | 76:12, 77:20 | stone |
| 83:10 | 3:4, 3:6, 3:9, | standard | 81: 6 |
| something | 9:1, 99:9 | 48:4, 58:16, | stop |
| 30:9, 48:15, | special | 58:17, 90:20 | 105:15 |
| 101:1, 101:11 | 38:6, 38:8, | standing | store |
| sometime | 89:14 | 7:7, 8:4, | 23:6, 23:8, |
| 21:5, 22:1 | specific | 104:22, 105:3 | 43:19, 95:4 |
| sometimes | 48:16, 54:16, | standpoint | stories |
| 12:20, 12:21, | 54:17, 54:22, | 49:14, 69:11 | 64:16 |
| 58:8 | 74:8 | stands | story |
| soon | specifically | 56:18 | 42:15 |
| 97:4 | 66:1, 75:21 | start | stream |
| sorry | spend | 10:19 | 23:18, 36:3, |
| 8:21, 9:20, | 94:11 | started | 40:22, 41:1, |
| 12:9, 14:18, | spite | 46:2 | 55:10 |
| 18:17, 18:18, | 59:16 | state | streamed |
| 20:16, 38:16, | split | 7:6, 11:3, | 3:4 |
| 40:12, 46:11, | 21:17 | 11:9, 33:15, | street |
| 75:20, 76:12, | spoken | 93:2, 94:1 | 21:12, 23:2, |
| 77:20, 78:8, | 64:18 | stated | 23:5, 33:19, |
| 100:20, 104:11, | spouse | 94:13 | 43:17, 44:2, |
| 106:8 | 25:14 | statement | 61: 4 |
| sort | square | 3:7, 7:6, 8:12, | strict |
| 5:8, 67:20, | 15:20, 19:20 | 53:5, 53:16, | 67:8 |
| 91:7 | st | 57:9, 60:1, | strip |
| sought | 22:7 | 99:22 | 15:21, 17:14, |
| 24:14 | stacked | statements | 18:3, 20:10, |
| sounds | 88:10 | $10: 11$ | 29:20, 29:22, |
| 70:10, 94:17 | staff | station | $30: 20$ |
| south | $36: 12,42: 7$ | $95: 5$ | strong |
| 23:1, 23:10, | 42:11, 42:13, | status | $92: 13$ |
| 23:17, 37:3, | 45:15, 47:20, | 72:6 | structured |
| 37:14, 40:20, | 48:17, 64:1, | stay | 64:15 |
| 41:7, 55:12, | 66:21, 67:1, | 25:1 | structures |
| 68:15, 83:12, | 67:19, 70:6, | stem | 32:17 |
| 83:19, 84:10, | 70:7, 70:8, | 36:4, 36:7, | studio |
| 84:17 | 77:22, 85:20, | 97:12 | 63:1 |
| southern | 89:20, 90:1, | steps | stuff |
| 40:18 | 99:20, 107:9 | 67:13 | 5:9 |
| speak | staff's | still | sub |
| 13:16, 13:20, | $42: 10,64: 1$ | $42: 19,43: 9$ | $64: 15, \quad 86: 10$ |
| 13:22, 44:9, | $67: 5, \quad 67: 7$ | $58: 1,59: 5,$ | subject |
| $58: 18,85: 4,$ | stage | 91:3, 97:19, | 11:16, 18:6, |
| $\begin{array}{ll} 85: 5, & 93: 15, \\ 96: 7, & 96: 9, \end{array}$ | $74: 13$ <br> stages | $103: 8,104: 18,$ | $24: 6,24: 7,$ |
| 96:7, 96:9, | stages $38: 11$ | 104:22, 105:11, | $31: 14,35: 3$ |
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| 37:6, 37:12, | suggest | swear | 70:13, 89:20, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 37:13, 38:4, | 49:22 | 12:10, 26:8, | 107:9 |
| 38:6, 41:22, | suggested | 26:12, 26:21, | technically |
| 52:19, 53:2, | 59:10, 92:6 | 27:4, 27:7, | 38:22 |
| 54:15, 54:18, | suite | 27:12, 28:14, | tell |
| 55:3, 55:10, | 33:19 | 33:10, 93:17 | 7:13, 29:4, |
| 55:16, 56:3, | supplement | T | 86:15, 97:6, |
| 56:16, 63:3, | 35:13, 108:2 | table | 100:17, 100:21, |
| 64:10, 65:2, | support | 54:12, 62:18 | 102:21 |
| 65:7, 66:15, | 2:14, 2:20, | tac | telling |
| 68:7, 68:12, | 4:10, 4:18, 7:1, | 48:15 | 77:1 |
| 71:16, 75:18, | 9:18, 9:21, | take | tells |
| 75:19, 75:20, | 62:10, 64:10, | $3: 7,44: 3,$ | $42: 15, \quad 46: 5$ |
| 78:9, 83:22, | $76: 6, \quad 94: 13$ | $52: 22,64: 4,$ | tenant |
| 84:1, 84:9, | supporting | $71: 5, \quad 76: 11$ | $5: 21,6: 18,$ |
| 84:10, 84:16, | $\text { 109: } 4$ | 76:14, 79:5, | $6: 20,7: 3,31: 3$, |
| $87: 10,87: 20 \text {, }$ | supposed | 81:3 | 98:1 |
| 88:3, 89:7, | 48:10 | taken | tender |
| 95:22 <br> submit | sure | $39: 1, \quad 71: 21$ | $77: 17$ |
| $57: 18, \quad 76: 4,$ | $8: 15,10: 17$ | taking | testified |
| 57:18, $79: 10, ~ 82: 7$, | 17:16, 47:19, | 100:22 | 69:21, 70:3, |
| 105:22, 107:16 | 58:9, 58:11, | talk | 77:4, 83 |
| submittal | 101:14, 105:7, | 5:1, 10:5, | testifies $97: 1$ |
| $79: 8$ | 105:10, 107:18, | talked | testify |
| submitted | 107:22 | 36:22, 91:18 | 4:18, 6:12, |
| 7:5, 7:8, 8:15, | surface | talking | 6:14, 6:17, 7:1, |
|  | 64:14, 65:18 | 15:16, 17:12, | 9:18, 10:2, |
| substance | surround | 24:19, 30:21, | 10:13, 14:9, |
| substantial | 37:6, 42:1, | 33:8, 90:22, | 101:5 |
| $36: 6,40: 8$ | 56:3 | 106:8 | testifying |
| $40: 15,55: 9,$ | surrounded | tameya | 9:15, 14:4, |
| $71: 16$ | 36:17 | 80:5 | 76:6, 101:9 |
| substantially | surrounding | tape-recording | testimony |
| 64:22, 80:14 | 65:8, 66:10, | 109:9 task | $6: 13,10: 5$, $10: 10, ~ 10: 18$, |
| substantively | $68: 13$ | $47 \cdot 9 . \quad 68 \cdot 7$ | 11:1, 11:4, |
| $86: 10$ | surroundings | $\operatorname{tax}$ | 12:11, 13:12, |
| subsumed | $55: 18, \quad 56: 16,$ | $92: 20$ | 26:6, 26:10, |
| 105:1 | $56: 17$ | taxation | 26:13, 27:13, |
| subtract | surrounds | 104:19 | 27:17, 27:22, |
| 64:2, 64:3 | 38:4, 61:12 | taxes | 28:15, 28:19, |
| successor | survey | $24: 21, \quad 24: 22$ | 29:1, 33:4, |
| $\begin{aligned} & 97: 17, \quad 104: 16, \\ & 105 \cdot 6 \end{aligned}$ | $32: 12,32: 16$ | technical | $\begin{array}{ll} 33: 12, & 35: 11, \\ 35 \cdot 17 & 35 \cdot 18 \end{array}$ |
| sufficient | survivors | 27:7, 34:3, | 35:17, 35:18, |
| 63:10, 105:6 | suspenders | $\begin{aligned} & 35: 20, \\ & 49: 6: 12, \\ & 40 \cdot 8 \end{aligned}$ | 60:8, 68:22, |
| sufficiently | 85:12 | 49:6, 70:8, | 80:15, 93:20, |
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| transcriber | 39:18, 45:7, | unclear | 56:4, 56:13, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 109:1, 109:2 | 68:2, 70:12, | 48:1 | 56:15, 56:16, |
| transcript | 82:15 | under | 61:6, 61:9, |
| 1:7 | turn | 12:10, 27:12, | 61:12, 62:16, |
| transcription | 3:2, 27:3, | 28:14, 33:11, | 66:11, 67:10, |
| 109:9 | 27:8, 93:16 | 60:9, 79:4, | 67:15, 71:14, |
| transitional | two | 79:15, 93:19, | 72:11, 72:12, |
| 56:4 | 4:18, 5:14, | 107:20, 109:3 | 72:22, 75:17, |
| transitioning | 5:22, 6:2, 6:5, | undergoing | 77:7, 77:18, |
| 39:2 | 6:7, 10:16, | 25:15 | 85:9, 89:14 |
| transitions | 12:4, 13:22, | understand | uses |
| 55:21 | 16:1, 18:5, | 9:3, 13:18, | 36:15, 36:18, |
| transportation | 18:7, 19:17, | 31:7, 43:14, | $37: 5,38: 15$, |
| 61:4, 86:5 | 22:1, 25:13, | 73:8, 75:7, | 39:10, 40:11, |
| treatments | 31:11, 37:22, | 83:6, 83:7, | 41:14, 42:3, |
| 25:15 | $38: 3,38: 4$ | $98: 20, \quad 98: 21$ | $42: 14,43: 3,$ |
| trends | $53: 5,55: 3,$ | understanding | $55: 1, \quad 55: 12,$ $55: 21.60: 12$ |
| 48:5, 48:9, | 55:5, 59:22, | 20:14, 23:7, | 55:21, 60:12, |
| $49: 8,53: 20$ | $\begin{array}{lll}62: 3, & 62: 4, \\ 63: 2, & 69: 5,\end{array}$ | 31:9, 103:4 | $\begin{array}{ll} 62: 18, & 65: 8, \\ 68: 14, & 72: 7, \end{array}$ |
| tributary | $\begin{array}{ll} 63: 2, & 69: 5, \\ 71: 9, & 71: 20 \end{array}$ | understood | $\begin{aligned} & 68: 14, \quad 72: 7, \\ & 76: 7, \quad 84: 6 \end{aligned}$ |
| $36: 5,64: 4,$ | $\begin{aligned} & 71: 9, \quad 71: 20, \\ & 71: 21, \quad 72: 10, \end{aligned}$ | $31: 18, \quad 68: 5$ undivided | $\begin{aligned} & 76: 7, \quad 84: 6 \\ & \text { using } \end{aligned}$ |
| $64: 21$ | $72: 17,72: 18,$ | undivided $5: 20,6: 17$ | $30: 10$ |
| true 85:19, 109:8 | $73: 7, \quad 86: 1$ | $6: 20,7: 2,$ | utilize |
| trust | 87:20, 88:9, | 17:20, 31:3 | 81:8 |
| 4:17, 5:16, | 88:13, 89:7, | unfortunate | utilized |
| $6: 1,6: 6,9: 19$, | 91:13, 93:11, | 48:13 | 72:11 |
| 11:15, 11:19, | 100:9, 101:10, | units | V |
| 12:3, 13:17, | twofold | $64: 7, \quad 84: 4,$ | vacant |
| 14:5, 15:18, | $74: 18$ | 84:5, 84:13 university | $38: 2$ |
| $16: 6, ~ 18: 10$, $20: 6, ~ 20: 9$, | type | $\begin{aligned} & \text { university } \\ & 60: 15, \quad 61: 1 \end{aligned}$ | vacating $82: 14$ |
| 25:4, 26:1 | 94:14 | unoccupied | valid |
| trustee | types | 37:15 | 105:16 |
| 6:5, 9:13, 96:5 | typical | until | valley |
| trustees | $73: 21$ | 19:6, 107:6 | 55:10 |
| $6: 2, ~ 9: 10$, $9: 11, ~ 12: 3$, | typically | upper | variance |
| $13: 20$ | 10:1 | use | verify |
| truth | U | 25:9, 34:9, | 9:21 |
| 12:12, 27:14, | uh | 34:21, 39:6, | versus |
| 28:16, 33:12, | 3:21 | 39:21, 40:8, | 91:22, 107:4 |
| 33:13, 93:21 | ultimately | 40:9, 40:13, | vicinity |
| try | 89:20 | 42:19, 44:21, | 52:7 |
| 10:14, 13:11, | um | $45: 2,49: 11,$ | view |
| 71:7 trying | $\begin{aligned} & 34: 13,103: 17 \\ & \text { uncle } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 52: 11, \quad 53: 13, \\ & 54: 2,54: 13, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15: 1, \quad 15: 11, \\ & 29: 18 \end{aligned}$ |
| 25:19, 39:11, | 16:11 | 55:15, 55:18, |  |
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| vision | 17:17, 26:3, | west | wife's |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 31:22, 53:16, | $30: 17,31: 5$, | 23:1, 37:18, | 16:11, 18:11, |
| 53:18, 61:17 | 51:4, 57:2, | 37:22, 38:2, | 20:22, 21:16, |
| vista | 64:2, 68:17, | 55:13, 71:20, | 21:19, 22:5 |
| 54:7, 61:18 | 72:14, 81:5, | 84:17, 87:22, | wish |
| voice | 82:10, 82:13, | 88:8 | 14:2 |
| 48:4, 94:12 | 102:8, 105:19 | western | within |
| voiced | ways | 41:2, 41:5 | 10:7, 15:14, |
| 57:19 | 41:7 | wetlands | 75:20, 87:20 |
| volunteer | we'll | 36:7, 64:22 | without |
| 94:10 | 27:10, 31:6, | whatever | 33:22, 48:11 |
| volunteered | 31:8, 62:20, | 5:8, 49:17, | witness |
| 6:11 | 76:14, 102:6, | 61:17 | 8:18, 28:7, |
| W | 103:16, 107:7 | whatsoever | 29:8, 33:6, |
| w-h-o-l-e | $\begin{aligned} & \text { we're } \\ & 2: 6,3: 12, \end{aligned}$ | $52: 17$ <br> whether | $93: 14, \quad 101: 15$ <br> witnesses |
| 87:14 | 14:15, 15:3, | 11:3, 48:1, | $4: 18, \quad 93: 10$ |
| $27: 5, \quad 88: 1,$ | 15:15, 20:17, | 50:9, 70:17, | 93:12 |
| $100: 15, \quad 106: 5$ | 21:13, 21:14, | 71:1, 71:7, | wonderful |
| waiting | $21: 22, ~ 25: 17$, $25: 18, ~ 27: 18$, | 71:13, 73:1, | 57:20 |
| $63: 6$ | $34: 16, \quad 49: 14,$ | $\begin{aligned} & 73: 4, \quad 73: 6, \\ & 81: 22, \quad 105: 4 \end{aligned}$ | wondering $57: 21$ |
| want 25.17 | $51: 20,53: 21,$ | $106: 14, \quad 106: 19$ | wood |
| $\begin{aligned} & 6: 17, \quad 25: 17, \\ & 41: 10, \quad 42: 20 \end{aligned}$ | $56: 22, \quad 57: 1$ | white | 40:22, 41:1 |
| $44: 3, \quad 50: 11,$ | 57:2, 57:4, | 19:20 | wooded |
| 57:17, 60:7, | $71: 5, ~ 80: 8$, $81: 13, ~ 93: 10$, | whole | 23:12 |
| 73:7, 82:19, | 102:9, 103:13, | $\begin{aligned} & 42: 2, \quad 46: 1, \\ & 48: 18, \quad 51: 5, \end{aligned}$ | word $30: 10, \quad 30: 12$ |
| $83: 2, ~ 86: 22$, $87: 4$, $93: 5$, | 107:5 | $55: 2,71: 15,$ | $34: 16,61: 7,$ |
| $\begin{array}{ll} 87: 4, & 93: 5, \\ 93: 14 . & 95: 16 \end{array}$ | we ' ve | 86:14, 87:14, | $77: 19$ |
| $100: 5, \quad 100: 16,$ | 39:1, 64:18, | 105:4 | words |
| $103: 19, \quad 104: 12$ | 66:20 | wide | 67:9 |
| 105:10, 105:22, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { wedding } \\ & 21: 20 \end{aligned}$ | $37: 1,46: 13$, $46: 15, ~ 47: 5$, | work |
| 107:3 | wednesdays | $\begin{array}{ll} 46: 15, & 47: 5, \\ 47: 16, & 47: 19 \end{array}$ | 50:7, 103:20 |
| wanted | $101: 21$ | $68: 3,69: 12,$ | worry $101: 9,103: 16$ |
| $\begin{array}{ll} 11: 18, & 21: 6, \\ 24: 14, & 82: 14, \end{array}$ | week | 70:1, 73:4, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 101:9, 103:16 } \\ & \text { worth } \end{aligned}$ |
| 86:11, 94:12, | 5:3 | 73:10, 73:19, | 40:9, 49:17, |
| 94:22, 106:1 | weeks | 73:22, 78:7, | 90:18 |
| warning | 105:22 | 78:17, 78:20, | wouldn't |
| $101: 7$ | weight | 85:2, 101:20 | 76:12, 100:22 |
| water | 43:11 | widen | write |
| 33:19 | welfare | 22: 4 | 76:17 |
| way | 68:9, 68:20 | wife | writing |
| 3:14, 8:14, | went | 9:5, 9:8, 9:9, | 58:21 |
| 8:17, 14:22, | 102:19 <br> weren't | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{ll} 9: 16, & 12: 2, \\ 12: 20, & 14: 6, \end{array}\right.$ | wrong |
| 15:22, 17:14, | $38: 22$ | $14: 13, \quad 25: 3$ | 30:12, 32:6, |
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| 73:11 | 54:14, 56:15, | 108 | 45:18, 46:1, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Y | 59:21, 62:16, | 109:8 | 46:5, 64:17, |
| yeah | 63:17, 63:21, | 109 | 65:5, 86:20 |
| 8:14, 17:10, | 65:3, 65:5, | 1:21 | 200 |
| $31: 13,35: 15$, | 66:1, 66:2, | 11 | 54:13 |
| 40:5, 43:16, | 66:6, 66:8, | 14:17, 78:21 | 2002 |
| 44:20, 45:22, | 66:14, 75:18, | 114 | 60:11 |
| 46:4, 59:2, | 77:8, 79:15, | 62:18 | 2006 |
| 65:14, 72:13, | 80:15, 83:17 | 11805 | 40:11 |
| 74:12, 76:14, | zoned | 94:7 | 2010 |
| 77:5, 77:15, | 52:11, 55:11, | 12 | 49:12, 52:5, |
| 81:16, 83:7, | 71:22, 84:11, | 36:20, 54:6 | 53:3, 62:11, |
| 92:18, 99:15, | 87:10 | 12.3 | 69:13, 69:19, |
| 101:6, 102:17, | zones | 4:21 | 73:5, 74:20, |
| 103:6, 103:21, | 66:1, 66:5 | 12.4 | 74:21, 75:11, |
| 104:1, 105:18, | zoning | 5:10 | 75:13, 85:1 |
| 106:6, 106:17, | 2:6, 2:20, | 12.43 | 2016 |
| 108:4 | 2:21, 6:14, | 2:8 | 62:14, 75:15, |
| year | 19:15, 24:21, | 136 | 107:16 |
| 64:3, 78:4 | 35:1, 36:16, | 47:17 | 2018 |
| year's | 37:5, 37:7, | 15 | 6:2, 19:6, |
| 14:13 | 42:16, 47:2, | 37:18, 54:5, | 19:8, 25:3 |
| years | 47:4, 48:12, | 54:6, 109:16 | 2019 |
| 5:13, 10:8, | $50: 7,51: 6$, $51: 9,51: 11$, | $17012$ | $63: 5$ |
| 14:12, 21:1, | $\begin{aligned} & 51: 9, \quad 51: 11, \\ & 52: 13, \quad 62: 12, \end{aligned}$ | $63: 4$ | $2021$ |
| 21:3, 21:15, |  | 17628 |  |
| $26: 1, ~ 37: 18$, $72: 4$, 22:1 | $67: 11,74: 4,$ | 63:5 | 2022 |
| $72: 4,82: 1$ yield | $79: 4, \quad 83: 5,$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1: 9,2: 7,2: 20, \\ & 46: 14,63: 7, \end{aligned}$ |
| yield $64: 6,65: 13$ | 93:2, 108:2 | $\begin{aligned} & 32: 13, \quad 32: 15 \\ & 1900 \end{aligned}$ | 73:14, 73 |
| youngest | zoom | 21:4 | 73:17, 73:19, |
| 21:3 | 32:21 | 1918 | 74:19, 78:2, |
| yourself | 0 | 21:5 | 78:15, 78:21, |
| 4:11 | 001$\begin{aligned} & 1: 9,2: 7,2: 20, \\ & 109: 19 \end{aligned}$ | 1924 | 85:2, 109:19 |
| Z |  | 21:6, 21:11 | $2023$ |
| $\begin{array}{ll} \text { zma } & \\ 1: 9, & 109: 19 \\ \text { zon } & \end{array}$ |  | 1930 | $1: 10,2: 6,$ 109:16 |
|  | $\xrightarrow{1}$ | 21:18 | $109: 16$ 2035 |
|  | $10$ | 1st | 46:16 |
| $\begin{array}{\|l} 50: 17 \\ \text { zone } \end{array}$ | $6: 6,71: 22,$ | 46:14 | 206 |
| 2:9, 2:10, | 72:4, 72:19, | 2-8 | $\begin{aligned} & 33: 19 \\ & 20715 \end{aligned}$ |
| $36: 19,36: 20$, | $\begin{array}{ll} 80: 4, & 80: 12 \\ 10301 & \end{array}$ | 109:20 | 11:13 |
| 37:3, 37:13, | $10301$ | 2.83 | 11:13 |
| $\begin{array}{rrr}38: 2, ~ 48: 13, \\ 48: 15, & 52: 8,\end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2: 8 \\ & 10303 \end{aligned}$ | 20:12 |  |
| $\begin{array}{ll}48: 15, & 52: 8, \\ 52: 15, & 53: 2,\end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10303 \\ & 2: 8 \end{aligned}$ | $20$ | 14:19, 45:20, |
| 52:15, 53:2, | 2:8 | $8: 1, \quad 36: 13$ | $46: 8, \quad 87: 1,$ |
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