COUNTY COUNCIL OF PRINCE GEORGE'S COUNTY, MARYLAND SITTING AS THE DISTRICT COUNCIL

2023 Legislative Session

Bill No.	CB-054-2023
Chapter No.	48
Proposed and P	Presented by Council Members Dernoga, Burroughs, Olson, and Oriadha
Introduced by	Council Members Dernoga, Burroughs, Olson, Oriadha, Watson, Blegay,
	Hawkins and Ivey
Co-Sponsors	
Date of Introdu	ction July 5, 2023
	ZONING BILL
AN ORDINANC	E concerning
Administration	n—Standard Review Procedures—Summaries of Opposition Arguments and
	Objections
For the purpose o	f requiring a summary of testimony in opposition and in support of proposed
development appl	lications, as expressed through oral or written testimony submitted during
consideration of c	ertain development applications pursuant to the Zoning Ordinance.
BY repealing and	reenacting with amendments:
	Sections 27-3406, 27-3408, and 27-3409,
	The Zoning Ordinance of Prince George's County, Maryland,
	being also
	SUBTITLE 27. ZONING.
	The Prince George's County Code
	(2019 Edition; 2022 Supplement).
SECTION 1	. BE IT ENACTED by the County Council of Prince George's County,
Maryland, sitting	as the District Council for that part of the Maryland-Washington Regional
District in Prince	George's County, Maryland, that Sections 27-3406, 27-3408, and 27-3409 of
the Zoning Ordina	ance of Prince George's County, Maryland, being also Subtitle 27 of the Prince
George's County	Code, be and the same are hereby repealed and reenacted with the following
amendments:	

1	SUBTITLE 27. ZONING.	
2	PART 27-3. ADMINISTRATION.	
3	SECTION 27-3400. STANDARD REVIEW PROCEDURES.	
4	* * * * * * * *	*
5	27-3406. Staff Review and Action	
6	* * * * * * * *	*
7	(c) Application Subject to Staff Recommendation	
8	(1) Technical Staff Report	
9	Except for variances decided by the BOA (Section 27-3613, Variance) and appeals to	the
10	BOA (Section 27-3616, Appeal to Board of Appeals), if an application is subject to a decis	sion by
11	the District Council, Planning Board, or ZHE in accordance with Section 27-3200, Summa	ary
12	Table of Development Review Responsibilities, the Planning Director shall, following	
13	completion of staff and agency review, prepare a Technical Staff Report that:	
14	(A) Analyzes whether the application complies with applicable review star	ıdards;
15	(B) Delineates the approximate area of the neighborhood, either on the Off	icial
16	Zoning Map, an aerial photograph, or a sketch map, as appropriate;	
17	(C) Describes land use and zoning in the neighborhood; [and]	
18	(D) Recommends action on the application, including any recommended	
19	conditions of approval. Where staff may recommend a zone different than that requested, a	an
20	analysis of the alternative zone recommended shall be included [.]; and	
21	(E) For development applications subject to evidentiary hearings in Section	<u>as 27-</u>
22	3412 and 27-3413 of this Code, summarizes any written communications relevant to the re-	<u>view</u>
23	standards applicable for the application type provided by those in opposition and by those	<u>in</u>
24	support, and received by the Planning Director at least one (1) week prior to the publication	n of
25	the Technical Staff Report. Such summary shall include the Planning Director's response to	to the
26	objections and arguments made by those in opposition and by those in support, and shall b	<u>e</u>
27	made part of the record. If there are objections and arguments made by multiple people or	
28	organizations in opposition, the Planning Director shall summarize each separate issue rais	<u>sed</u>
29	instead of addressing each individual person or organization. The summary should also co	
30	on objections and arguments made by the opposition and by those in support that, while no	
31	relevant to the review standards applicable to the application type, would be pertinent to or	ther

approvals required in the development process.

* * * * * * * * *

27-3408. Review and Recommendation by Advisory Board or Official

If a development application is subject to a recommendation by an advisory board or official (either the Planning Board or ZHE, in accordance with Section 27-3500, Legislative Amendments, Area Master Plans, and Sectional Map Amendment Review Procedures and Decision Standards and Section 27-3600, Application-Specific Review Procedures and Decision Standards, unless stated to the contrary in those Sections), the advisory board or official shall review and act on the application in accordance with the requirements in this Section.

(a) General

(1) The advisory board or official shall hold any required public hearing on the application, as appropriate. At the hearing, the advisory board or official shall consider the application, relevant support materials, the Technical Staff Report, and any public comments, and then recommend one of the decisions authorized for the particular type of application, based on the decision standards applicable for the application type, as set forth in Section 27-3500, Legislative Amendments, Area Master Plans, and Sectional Map Amendment Review Procedures and Decision Standards, and Section 27-3600, Application-Specific Review Procedures and Decision Standards.

(2) When recommending a decision for a development application subject to an evidentiary hearing in Sections 27-3412 or 27-3413 of this code, the advisory board or official shall include a summary of the oral and written testimony submitted by those in opposition and by those in support that is relevant to the review standards applicable for the application type. Such summary shall respond to the objections and arguments made by those in opposition and by those in support, and shall be made a part of the record. The summary should also comment on objections and arguments made by the opposition and by those in support that, while not relevant to the review standards applicable to the application type, would be pertinent to other approvals required in the development process.

27-3409. Review and Decision by Decision-Making Body or Official

If a development application is subject to a final decision by the District Council, the Planning Board, the BOA, or the ZHE (see Section 27-3200, Summary Table of Development

23

24

25

26

27

28

Review Responsibilities), the decision-making body or official, unless stated otherwise in Section 27-3500, Legislative Amendments, Area Master Plans, and Sectional Map Amendment Review Procedures and Decision Standards, and Section 27-3600, Application-Specific Review Procedures and Decision Standards, shall review and make a final decision on the application in accordance with the requirements in this Section.

(a) General

(1) The decision-making body or official shall hold any required public hearing on the application. At the hearing, the decision-making body or official shall consider the application, relevant support materials, the Technical Staff Report, any recommendations by advisory boards or officials, and any public comments. The body or official shall then make one of the decisions authorized for the particular type of application, based on the review standards applicable for the application type, as set forth in Section 27-3500, Legislative Amendments, Area Master Plans, and Sectional Map Amendment Review Procedures and Decision Standards, and Section 27-3600, Application-Specific Review Procedures and Decision Standards Studies of any agency (or its staff) in the nature of land development plans, which have not been subjected to the requirements of the adoption and approval of an Area Master Plan or Sector Plan, shall not be used as the basis for approval or disapproval of zoning cases. However, the Planning Board may consider the recommendations of the applicable adopted Area Master Plan or Sector Plan prior to its approval by the District Council, when making a recommendation on a Planned Development (PD) Zoning Map amendment application. The Zoning Hearing Examiner, Planning Board, or District Council shall not be precluded from considering any factual or empirical evidence contained in any staff studies.

(2) When making a final decision on a development application subject to evidentiary hearing procedures in Sections 27-3412 or 27-3413, the decision-making body or official shall include a summary of the oral and written testimony submitted by those in opposition and by those in support that is relevant to the review standards applicable for the application type. Such summary shall respond to the objections and arguments made by the opposition.

* * * * * * * * *

1	SECTION 2. BE IT FURTHER ENACTED that this Ordinance shall take effect forty-five		
2	(45) calendar days after its adoption.		
	Adopted this 6 th day of September, 2023.		
	COUNTY COUNCIL OF PRINCE GEORGE'S COUNTY, MARYLAND, SITTING AS THE DISTRICT COUNCIL FOR THAT PART OF THE MARYLAND-WASHINGTON REGIONAL DISTRICT IN PRINCE GEORGE'S COUNTY, MARYLAND		
	BY: Thomas E. Dernoga Chair ATTEST:		
	Donna J. Brown Clerk of the Council		
	KEY: <u>Underscoring</u> indicates language added to existing law. [Brackets] indicate language deleted from existing law. Asterisks *** indicate intervening existing Code provisions that remain unchanged.		