



Prince George's County Planning Department
Office of the Planning Director

April 24, 2019

Danielle M. Glaros, Chair
Planning, Housing and Economic Development Committee (PHED)
County Administration Building
14741 Governor Oden Bowie Drive
Upper Marlboro, Maryland

Re: M-NCPPC – Planning Housing and Economic Development Committee Work Program Requests

Dear Councilmember Glaros:

We would like to thank you for allowing us to present the Prince George's County Planning Department's Proposed FY 2020 Operating Budget. During our last session, members of your Committee presented our team with various questions and requests for new work programs to consider in the FY 2020 budget. There was also a supplemental request by you. Over the past couple of weeks, our team has met with PHED Committee members to further discuss requested new or expanded work programs to be considered for FY 2020. We have worked earnestly to provide feedback on each request.

Please see the attached document that details estimated costs, staff time and recommendations associated with each new or expanded work program request. We look forward to having continued dialogue with you and the PHED Committee leading up to and during our next scheduled budget session scheduled on April 29, 2019. If you have any questions, please feel free to contact me.

Sincerely,

Andree Green Checkley,
Director, Prince George's County
Planning Department

Cost Associated With Budget Requests From The PHED Committee

Council Member	Project	Personnel Staff weeks needed	Non Personnel Cost (Consulting, Printing, etc...)	Planning Department Recommendation
Taveras	Takoma/Langley Crossroads Study	25	\$250,000	The Department recommends preparing a Takoma/ Langley Crossroads Study to evaluate the following items: (1) how can property owners take advantage of the new Local Transit Oriented (LTO) Activity Center zone and the new purple line stations and (2) prepare a traffic and parking demand analysis for the Takoma/Langley area. A study will assist with the implementation of the sector plan recommendations. The study would be prepared in Spanish and English.
Anderson-Walker	Fort Washington Transit Village Study	25	\$ 175,000	The Planning Department recommends inclusion of a Fort Washington Transit Village study. This consultant led effort will engage the community in an evaluation of the Henson Creek-South Potomac Plan's recommendations for the Transit Village and evaluate the constraints and opportunities to implementation. The study would also evaluate the recreation and open space elements and recommendations for improving multi-modal transportation in the area.
				We understand this to be a request to study whether Prince George's County should consider slowing down or temporarily arresting new real estate development in portions of the County that lack adequate infrastructure. The Department could research and summarize the practices of comparable Maryland jurisdictions using existing staff. We recommend this as a first step. Should the Council then wish to pursue a new growth management policy, more extensive work would need to occur during FY 2021. If the Council desires identification of growth management options in FY 2020, with the goal of identifying those tools most appropriate for Prince George's County, the project would need \$200,000 for consultant services and additional staff time to manage the consultant.
Dernoga	Growth Management Policy Study	21 (summary of practices only); 40 (summary + policy analysis)	\$200,000 (policy analysis option)	This project would update the 2014 Pupil Yield Study to project the number of public school students likely to enter the system because of new residential development. The study would project pupil yield at the elementary, middle and high school level for various types of real estate development.
Glaros	Pupil Yield Study	30	\$0.00	The county's economic development plan ("Economic Drivers and Catalysts"), approved in 2013, remains a viable document. However, there may be value in updating the existing plan to account for economic and market changes, and to assess the County's progress in implementing the plan. The 2013 plan was successful because many stakeholders were engaged during plan preparation, and an update should be similarly inclusive. Therefore we recommend a significant investment of staff time and consulting assistance to produce the best possible update.
Davis	Update Economic Development Plan	60	250,000	