Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: "Thomas-Lester, Avis" <<u>AThomasLester@co.pg.md.us</u>> Date: July 17, 2019 at 6:00:38 PM EDT To: "Brown, Jacqueline W." <<u>jwbrown@co.pg.md.us</u>> Cc: "Austin, Candice D." <<u>CDAustin@co.pg.md.us</u>>, "Edelen, William K." <<u>WKEdelen@co.pg.md.us</u>>, "Cary, Valerie" <<u>vcary@co.pg.md.us</u>>, "Thomas-Lester, Avis" <<u>AThomasLester@co.pg.md.us</u>> Subject: CB-34-2019

Jackie -

Here's Bill's quick memo.

ATL

From: Edelen, William K.
Sent: Wednesday, July 17, 2019 5:52 PM
To: Thomas-Lester, Avis <<u>AThomasLester@co.pg.md.us</u>>
Cc: Cary, Valerie <<u>vcary@co.pg.md.us</u>>; Edelen, William K. <<u>WKEdelen@co.pg.md.us</u>>
Subject: RE: Answers to your questions on FW: CB-34-2019 (fence bill) Staff Comments

Good Day. Concerns listed below:

There is no definition of "electric fence" - definition is needed to include being in compliance with building and/or electric code.

In just about every zone in the zoning ordinance, any fence over 6 feet tall requires a variance, which is heard at the Board of Appeals and adjoining property owners can advise the Board of their approval or disapproval of the variance. This bill seems to eliminate this discussion with the adjoining property owners.

The property owner needs to elaborate on why they need a fence over 6 feet tall. They need it for security, etc.

According to the Planning Board recommendations, they list basically the

same above concerns. Also recommending using what has already been approved by the County Council in the new zoning regulations.

The changes in this Council bill are major and other concerns need to be researched and hearings need to be held.

Bill



Bill Edelen, Code Officer Enforcement Division

9400 Peppercorn Place, Suite 600 Largo, MD 20774 301-883-6039 Office Phone 240-832-9560 Cell Phone 301-883-6050 Fax wkedelen@co.pg.md.us