1	THE PRINCE GEORGE'S COUNTY PLANNING BOARD OF
2	THE MARYLAND-NATIONAL CAPITAL PARK AND PLANNING COMMISSION
3	
4	
5	PARKSIDE, SECTION 4
6	Specific Design Plan, SDP-1601-03
7	
8	TRANSCRIPT
9	O F
10	PROCEEDINGS
11	
12	COUNTY ADMINISTRATION BUILDING
13	Upper Marlboro, Maryland
14	
15	July 9, 2020
16	VOLUME 1 of 1
17	
18	
19	BEFORE:
20	ELIZABETH M. HEWLETT, Chair
21	DOROTHY F. BAILEY, Vice-Chair
22	A. SHUANISE WASHINGTON, Commissioner
23	MANUEL R. GERALDO, Commissioner
24	WILLIAM M. DOERNER, Commissioner
25	

Deposition Services, Inc.

12321 Middlebrook Road, Suite 210
Germantown, MD 20874
Tel: (301) 881-3344 Fax: (301) 881-3338
info@DepositionServices.com www.DepositionServices.com

OTHERS PRESENT:

ANDREW BISHOP, Staff, Urban Design Section

JILL KOSACK, Staff, Urban Design Section

KIM FINCH, Staff, Environmental Planning Section

GLENN BURTON, Staff, Transportation Section

ROBERT ANTONETTI, Esquire, Attorney for Applicant

	Marked	and	Entered
Applicant's Exhibit Nos. 1-2			5
Staff's Exhibit No. 1			5

1

23

24

25

have her muted.

PROCEEDINGS

2 MADAM CHAIR: So we have our Board back and 3 present and then our next Item on the agenda is Item 8, 4 which is SDP-1601-03, Parkside, Section 4. I am going to 5 check to make sure we have everyone that we need. We have Andrew Bishop, are you here? 6 7 MR. BISHOP: Yes, Madam Chair, present. MADAM CHAIR: Okay. Jill Kosack, are you on? 8 9 MS. KOSACK: Present. 10 MADAM CHAIR: Rob Antonetti, are you on? 11 MR. ANTONETTI: Yes, Madam Chair, I'm here. 12 MADAM CHAIR: Okay. Kim Finch, are you on? Kim 13 Finch? There she is. Kim Finch? She's muted. Okay. MS. FINCH: Yes, I'm on. I'm on. 14 MADAM CHAIR: Wonderful. Glen Burton? 15 MR. BURTON: Present. 16 17 MADAM CHAIR: Noelle Smith? I don't really see 18 her, but you've got it, right? You've got it, Mr. Burton. 19 Okay. She might be on there, but you can keep checking. 20 Helen Asan? See where it says Helen? 21 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Down and muted. 22 MADAM CHAIR: Helen Asan? Okay. All right.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: When I unmute all of them

Rachel Leitzinger? She's muted. Rachel Leitzinger? You

```
it prevents them from here and they have to unmute from
1
 2
   there.
 3
             MADAM CHAIR: Okay. Rachel, you have to unmute
 4
   yourself. All right. I'm going to come back. Basim
   Kattan?
 6
             MR. KATTAN: I'm present.
 7
             MADAM CHAIR: Okay. Keith Tunell? Keith? Okay.
 8
   Arthur Horne?
 9
             MR. ANTONETTI: Madam Chair, Rachel and Keith and
   Arthur are with the applicant, but they're really only there
10
11
   to listen or answer questions if asked.
12
             MADAM CHAIR: Okay.
13
             MR. ANTONETTI: So I'll fill in if necessary if
14
   they can't --
15
             MADAM CHAIR: Okay.
16
             MR. ANTONETTI: -- unmute or join.
17
             MADAM CHAIR: Okay. Wes Guckert?
18
             MR. GUCKERT: Present.
19
             MADAM CHAIR: Okay. That's what I call loud and
20
   clear. Okay. All right. Thank you, Mr. Guckert. Okay.
21
   Now that concludes the signup list. And if you need them,
22
   Mr. Antonetti, well you'll figure out how to get them.
23
   Okay. So with that, we do have two exhibits, we have
24
   Applicant's temporary trail signage exhibit which we'll call
25
   Applicant's Exhibit Number 1. And then we have applicant's
```

DW 5

1	proposed revised conditions which we will call Applicant's
2	Exhibit Number 2 and both are accepted into the record.
3	(Applicant's Exhibit Nos. 1-2
4	were marked and entered into
5	the record of proceedings.)
6	MADAM CHAIR: Okay.
7	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: DPIE referral? DPIE
8	referral.
9	MADAM CHAIR: Excuse me, and the DPIE referral
10	dated July 1, 2020, and that would be I guess that's, well
11	did you submit that, Mr. Antonetti? No, that'll
12	MR. ANTONETTI: I did not that came from the
13	MADAM CHAIR: Okay. We'll call it Staff Exhibit
14	Number 1.
15	(Staff's Exhibit No. 1
16	was marked and entered into
17	the record of proceedings.)
18	MADAM CHAIR: Okay. Thank you. With that Mr.
19	Bishop, you are on.
20	MR. BISHOP: Thank you, Madam Chair. Good morning
21	Madam Chair, members of the Planning Board, for the record,
22	Andrew Bishop with the Urban Design Section. Item 8 is an
23	SDP application which proposes the development of a mixed
24	retirement development consisting of 188 single family
25	detached and 96 single family attached dwellings in Section

4 of Parkside.

DW

The applicant has submitted additional information prior to the Wednesday deadline and will be proposing revised conditions and is prepared to discuss these and the exhibits if the Board would like the applicant to elaborate on them. Slide 2, please.

The site is in Planning Area 78, Council District 06. Slide 3, please.

The property is located on the east and west sides of Melwood Road, south of Westphalia Road. Slide 4, please.

The site is in the R-M Zone within Section 4 of the larger Parkside development. This section of Parkside is surrounded by single family detached homes and vacant land to the north, east by Section 7 of Parkside, west by Section 2 and proposed Rock Spring Drive and south by Section 3 and Central Park Drive. Slide 5, please.

The site is in the Noise Impact Zone and the Height Zone, DEM of the Military Installation Overlay Zone which requires the residential development to meet specific requirements. The proposed single family detached and attached buildings meet these regulations and are further discussed on page 11 of the Technical Staff Report. Slide 6, please.

This aerial shows the property which is currently under construction and is outlined on the slide here in red.

Slide 7, please.

The site includes varied topography and the impacts to the regulated environmental features on site have been previously approved and no new impacts are proposed with this application. Slide 8, please.

This slide shows the Master Plan rights-of-way which abut the property. These include the repose collector Rock Spring Drive west of the site shown in green and the major collector of Central Park Drive in blue. Slide 9, please.

This exhibit shows the overall Site Plan of the Parkside development with Section 4 highlighted in yellow showing its relationship to the rest of the community. For orientation purposes you can see that this portion of the development as centrally located and directly north of Section 3 and north of the feature Central Park. Slide 10, please.

This is the Illustrative Site Plan submitted with the application and shows the single family attached and detached dwellings on the site, which are arranged in a modified grid pattern. The development includes a number of open spaces and pocket parks with passive and active recreational facilities for the residents. The Melwood Legacy Trail is a 10-foot wide hiker biker trail which runs through the middle of Section 4 and forms the spine of the

community, linking the development to Sections 5 and 6 south of the subject site. Slide 11, please.

A mix of single family attached and detached alternatives are proposed with the application and are designed with master done options to appeal to the senior population. The following elevations were provided by the applicant and show the character of the proposed buildings. This slide shows three front loaded two car garage models that are proposed for the 96 single family attached dwellings, including the Flow, Awaken, and Connect models. Slide 12, please.

This slide shows the rear elevation of these models. Slide 13, please.

And lastly, this slide shows the proposed side elevations. Slide 14, please.

The following slides will show the single family detached models proposed with the application. This slide shows the Adventurer. Slide 15, please.

Multiple elevations are available for this model and offer a variety of architectural options. Slide 16.

This slide shows the single family detached model the Curator. Slide 17, please.

Again, multiple elevations are offered and include balanced fenestration, enhanced window trim, and roofed porches over the front doors. Slide 18, please.

This slide shows the third single family detached model, the Enthusiast. Slide 19, please.

The alternative elevation shown here proposed different roof lines and porch options. Slide 20, please.

Lastly, this slide shows the fourth of single family detached model, the Virtuoso. Slide 20, please.

The alternative elevation shown here proposes a variety of different building materials. All the architectural elevations for the single family attached and detached models have been evaluated by staff and have been found acceptable. Slide 22, please.

This exhibit highlights the pedestrian and road network of the community and it includes sections of the roadways proposed with the application. The vehicular roadways are shown here in red and the pedestrian network is shown in blue and green.

In conclusion, the Urban Design Section recommends the Planning Board adopt the findings of this report and approve Specific Design Plan SDP-1601-03 and Type 2 Tree Conservation Plan, TCP2-0142016-03 for Parkside Section 4, subject to the conditions found in the Staff Report. The applicant has proposed revised condition language which is included in your backup and has been reviewed by staff and staff is in agreement with the proposed language. This concludes staff's presentation. Thank you.

MADAM CHAIR: Thank you Mr. Bishop, let's see if 1 2 there is any questions. Madam Vice Chair? MADAM VICE CHAIR: No questions, Madam Chair. 3 4 MADAM CHAIR: Commissioner Washington? 5 COMMISSIONER WASHINGTON: Yes, if we could go back 6 to Slide 13, please, I believe that's the one with the Flow, 7 Connect and Lincoln. Yes, in just looking at the fenestration illustrated here, I'm wondering what's in the upper portion of those homes above the two windows? 9 MR. BISHOP: On these slides it looks like it's a 10 vinyl siding option on it. I'll let the applicant --11 12 COMMISSIONER WASHINGTON: No, no, no. No, I'm 13 wondering what is it actually a room? Because there are no 14 windows on the upper portion of the home. Exactly, yes in 15 those areas. MR. BISHOP: I'm going to let Mr. Antonetti 16 17 address that question. 18 COMMISSIONER WASHINGTON: Okay. Thank you, Mr. 19 Bishop. Yes, because it looks, well I'd be curious to what 20 Mr. Antonetti has to say. Thank you. No further questions, Madam Chair. 21 MADAM CHAIR: Okay. Commissioner Doerner? 22 23 COMMISSIONER DOERNER: No questions. 24 MADAM CHAIR: Commissioner Geraldo? Commissioner 25 Geraldo?

COMMISSIONER GERALDO: No questions.

MADAM CHAIR: Thank you. Okay. Mr. Antonetti, you're on.

MR. ANTONETTI: Good morning, Madam Chair, members of the Planning Board, for the record, Robert Antonetti with the Law Firm of Shipley and Horne. We're pleased to represent the applicant, SHF Property Owner for this Section 4. With me today we have Mr. Basim Kattan, Keith Tunell may be on the line, he's with the builder, Rachel Leitzinger with Dewberry, Wes Guckert our transportation engineer and John Ferrante's with me in cyberspace, but he is an essential part of the Shipley and Horne Team, I just wanted to thank him for his work on this.

We'd also like to thank Mr. Bishop for his thorough review of the application and his willingness to interact with the applicant as we prepared for today's hearing. It's always appreciated and again I don't mean to sound like a broken record but I continue to be thoroughly impressed with the staff, the Planning Board, and the technical aspects of these hearings. It is quite a feat to do these week to week and you do it so seamlessly and professionally and it's greatly appreciated, thank you for everything that you do.

MADAM CHAIR: Thank you, Mr. Antonetti. Appreciate it.

1

2

3

5

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. ANTONETTI: With regards to Section 4 as Mr. Bishop stated, this is the third revision of this Specific Design Plan. We are so excited to bring this forward. is the mixed retirement development or MRD portion of the Parkside project, at least the portion that's controlled by the applicant. And you know the site is selling well, the project overall is selling very well. It's one of the top selling communities in Maryland. It was the top selling in Maryland prior to COVID-19. I'm not sure how that data is tracking right now, but it's doing very well. The mixed retirement component is a condition of the zoning, it is required to be located within certain portions of the project, Section 4 is that portion of the project for our purposes and it will be age restricted with the appropriate covenants for 55 and older.

The project is very exciting because as you know the development is very expensive. The Parkside site is on beautiful rolling hill type layout overall and the site development is quite expensive for any section, market or mixed retirement. It's been challenging to find a mixed retirement partner or builder who'd be able to build and offer a lot price to justify the development costs overall for bringing something to market.

Fortunately, we have that situation here and the elevations that you have seen, I hope you would agree, are

very exciting and very interesting and well diversified product elevation types that we think will be incredibly well received in the market and within the aging population of the county and the region. So we expect this to meld seamlessly with the overall fabric and success of the Parkside project to date.

With regards to the elevations that were shown, even at Slide 13 (indiscernible) so what is above, Commissioner Washington, is living space shown on that elevation. And while there's no windows shown there, there is conditions in the Staff Report dealing with high visibility lots and end wall features, you know, these aren't fully optioned elevations, so changes to the side elevations are possible, you know, as buyers you know select what they, what their preference is when they go to contract.

You know, with regards to the project overall and while it is part of Parkside, there are ample amenities, it's a quite well amenitized (phonetic sp.) section. You did hear about, see a slide about pedestrian connectivity, including internal trials, connector trails, and the Master Plan Trail which is the Melwood Legacy Trail. Melwood Legacy Trail is essentially following the road alignment of historic Melwood Road and it continues through the central park which is a large kind of regional park area that has

been dedicated to the Commission. And so it will be a connection to that to the northern most portion of the project.

There are other amenities that are significant that includes in addition to the open spaces shown, there's a picnic pavilion, exercise stations, a butterfly garden, a dog park, bocce ball court and then there's also sitting area with a pavilion as well. So these amenities, while they are not exclusive to Section 4, in other words, we can't prohibit other members of the Parkside community from using them, they are proximate to Section 4 and Section 4 being kind of the northern most or the northeastern most quadrant of this large project, will very likely be mainly utilized by the age restricted senior component that will be populating Section 4 if this application is approved.

There will be an HOA, a separate HOA for this Section. The HOA will maintain landscape elements, the front and side yards, and there will be an option for maintenance of the rear yard. So some of these homes may have fenced rear yards, that's an option of the owner if they do, they'll either maintain it themselves and you know plant their own gardens and landscaping, or they'll have the option to have that maintained as well. But the HOA will maintain other aspects of this area.

With that, again we're very excited. I won't

belabor the presentation, but we're very excited to move this forward with your support. We do have some recommended condition changes marked as Applicant's Exhibit 2, otherwise we believe that the application meets all the requirements of the Zoning Ordinance for approval in SDP including past conditions. And I can go through the condition changes at the discretion of the Board quickly or not. But staff is in agreement with the language and we are very thankful for the opportunity to present this today.

The rest of our team were here to answer any questions that you may have and you know we look forward and urge your support for this wonderful application. So thank you --

MADAM CHAIR: Okay.

MR. ANTONETTI: -- for your time and I'll be willing to go through the conditions if that would be the preference of the Board.

MADAM CHAIR: Mr. Antonetti, just succinctly if you could. I think the first one is fine, 1C is fine because it says unless modified by DPIE, which make sense. And then you have a lot of deletions.

MR. ANTONETTI: Yes.

MADAM CHAIR: Okay.

MR. ANTONETTI: Yes. The deletions really are in recognition of, there was a plan, as you know materials need

to be resubmitted no later than 35 days prior to the hearing.

MADAM CHAIR: Yes.

2.3

MR. ANTONETTI: There was a subsequent plan set that contained many of these details and in an exercise of efficiency, was to make sure that any precertification conditions you know accurately reflect the plans that the staff actually had as part of their review.

MADAM CHAIR: Okay.

MR. ANTONETTI: So Condition 1B, E, F, all those either materials or changes to the plans have already been made so I think staff recognized that at conference call, and yes they have all that material and it's sufficient.

MADAM CHAIR: And then obviously 1K is important to us so that's fine. So all the other deletions and then 2 makes sense because whichever approval is last.

MR. ANTONETTI: Yes.

MADAM CHAIR: Okay, 3 let's --

MR. ANTONETTI: I think it's in 3.

MADAM CHAIR: 3, let's go, 3.

MR. ANTONETTI: Sorry.

MADAM CHAIR: Can you just touch on 3 and I think that's about it.

MR. ANTONETTI: Certainly. Condition 3 is about the Melwood Legacy Trail, the timing for it. Since it's

following generally the location of historic Melwood Road it does run through the middle of the project, which is fine we've designed with that in mind.

However, since it's in the middle of the project we want to make sure that the construction of it stays in a way that it might not be, that it would not interfere with the development of or remainder of the project at the homes and would not invite individuals to kind of traverse construction areas. So what we've done is we've separated a northern and a southern portion of it, leading to each respective boundary lines and we've offered permit triggers to make sure that there's teeth to it that the Master Plan trails are provided in a timely but appropriate fashion. So that's what this condition recommends and reflects those condition triggers that we've discussed with staff for the northern and southern portion of this trail.

MADAM CHAIR: Okay. Okay. Got it. So again I think that was Applicant's Exhibit Number 2 and okay, so let's see if the Board has any questions of you. Madam Vice Chair?

 $\mbox{\sc MADAM\sc VICE\sc CHAIR:}$ No questions at this time, thank you.

MADAM CHAIR: Commissioner Washington?

COMMISSIONER WASHINGTON: No questions and thank

25 | you, Mr. Antonetti.

MADAM CHAIR: Commissioner Doerner? 1 2 COMMISSIONER DOERNER: No questions. MADAM CHAIR: Commissioner Geraldo? 3 4 COMMISSIONER GERALDO: No questions. 5 MADAM CHAIR: Okay. All right. Well that's it. 6 So and none of your folks are to speak at this time, right? 7 MR. ANTONETTI: That is correct. 8 MADAM CHAIR: Okay. 9 They're with us. MR. ANTONETTI: 10 MADAM CHAIR: Okay. So if no one else has anything to add like Mr. Burton or Ms. Finch, I don't know 11 12 or Mr. Bishop if you have anything to respond to, please say 13 so now or else I'm calling for a motion. MR. BISHOP: Nothing to add, Madam Chair. 14 15 MADAM CHAIR: Okay. Is there a motion? 16 COMMISSIONER WASHINGTON: Madam Chair, I move that 17 we adopt the findings of staff and approve SDP-1601-03 and 18 TCP2-104-2016-03 along with the associated conditions as 19 outlined in staff's report and as further amended by 20 Applicant Exhibit Number 2. 21 MADAM CHAIR: We have a motion --22 MADAM VICE CHAIR: Second. 23 MADAM CHAIR: -- and a second by Vice Chair 24 Bailey. Vice Chair Bailey?

MADAM VICE CHAIR: I vote aye.

25

1	MADAM CHAIR: Commissioner Washington?
2	COMMISSIONER WASHINGTON: Aye.
3	MADAM CHAIR: Commissioner Doerner?
4	COMMISSIONER DOERNER: Aye.
5	MADAM CHAIR: Commissioner Geraldo?
6	COMMISSIONER GERALDO: Aye.
7	MADAM CHAIR: Okay. The ayes have it 5-0.
8	(Whereupon, the proceedings were concluded.)
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

DIGITALLY SIGNED CERTIFICATE

DEPOSITION SERVICES, INC., hereby certifies that the attached pages represent an accurate transcript of the electronic sound recording of the proceedings before the Prince George's County Planning Board in the matter of:

PARKSIDE, SECTION 4
Specific Design Plan, SDP-1601-03

-	
"O: ~ · v	Corew
Me divide	

By:		Date:	September	28,	2020	
Diana	Wilson	Transcriber				